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1. Introduction

Fake news is a term which has been circulating through popular media and culture in recent years. Since the 2016 US presidential election the term has gained traction and has been used to criticize all forms of media. The issue originated with small groups manipulating social media algorithms and online advertising for personal financial gain.

The terms traction didn’t stop there however, and went onto spark worldwide debate about the credibility of the news sources which we use today. The term soon became a sound bite and theme coherent with the 2016 US election and presidency of Donald Trump.

A truth which exposed a series of vulnerabilities in these platforms became intertwined with other scandals such as organizations and alleged Russian Government interfering with elections using fake social media profiles and accounts. This coupled with news that political consulting firms like Cambridge Analytica were able to develop digital profiles that represented individuals of certain political beliefs and demographics provided a scary outlook for the everyday social media user. Not only are there groups creating fake accounts and profiles to manipulate these applications but the data they themselves provided was being used to facilitate political advert targeting.

The fake news frenzy sparked a series of worldwide debates and even forced US and Irish (EU \*?) Government bodies to summon social media companies’ representatives before them and seriously start thinking about heavier regulation for such companies. That being said these social media platforms are still being used daily by millions of Users and the same vulnerabilities that were present before still exist today. The potential for personal financial gain still exists on these platform and the efforts made so far by these companies

Determining the legitimacy of a social media account through language style is a problem which falls out of the imperative programming capabilities. In the last decade\*\* companies have been turning toward Machine Learning solutions to problems. The advancements and research into Machine Learning and AI are leading to trivial human cognitive tasks being replaced. A potential solution to the issue of “fake news” social media may be possible through a machine learning techniques.

An area where rumors and fake news is not new is in the Premier League football transfer windows. Twice a year there are transfer window periods where clubs can buy and sell players amongst one another. This leads to a lot transfer rumor speculation amongst the press, specifically on twitter. There are numerous accounts set up with the sole purpose of reporting on this, claiming to be the some of the first individuals “in the know”.

This area gives an ideal sample set of a fake news in the media. By taking a specific transfer period in the past one can look at public tweeted rumors and use a ground truth to label whether this rumor actually became true. Twitter provides a medium to access to hundreds of thousands of rumors and true claims, all of which can be fact checked through official records of confirmed transfers\*\*. This gives a sample set to develop a model capable of determining the veracity of a transfer rumor or a social media account.

* 1. Research Question

The purpose of this research project is to answer the following question:

*“To what extent can an ML model be defined to determine the accuracy of a Twitter rumor or Twitter account in predicting football transfers?”*

From this the following research objectives were created:

* A rumor Twitter API query generation method
* A Natural Language Processing (NLP) technique for Name Entity Recognition (NER).
* Model development using various ML techniques and labelled Twitter data.
  1. Hypothesis

This research is aimed to determine whether the accuracy of a twitter football transfer rumor can be determined by developing a model using supervised machine learning techniques. The research is aimed to find evidence that there is a supervised Machine Learning technique which can be used to train a model capable of predicting rumor accuracy.

*(H0) The research finds no method of developing a supervised machine learning technique capable of producing a model with the aforementioned capabilities.*

*(H1) The research finds a method supervised machine learning method of producing a model with the aforementioned capabilities.*

1.3 Overview of this Dissertation

2. Existing Work

2.1 Fake News

2.1.1 Overview

Manipulating news and media outlets for personal, political and financial gain is not a new concept, and has been around so far as news and media has itself[[1]](#footnote-1). However, in 2016 we appeared to witness and ill-fitting combination between these practises and social media. The origin of the fake news social media we have come to know today can be traced back to the unlikely and infamous Macedonian town of Veles. In a town who’s average monthly salary was $371, a group of young teens had figured out a way to make $16000[[2]](#footnote-2), around about the same time a report found that over one hundred pro Trump fake news websites were registered to Veles. These two happenings of course were not a coincidence and as it turned out this group of teens had found a way of exploiting social media websites such as Twitter and Facebook to generate thousands of clicks to their websites which would in turn lead to a payday via Google ads for themselves.

This idea of enticing users to a click onto a website in hope of revenue is also not new, and these so called “clickbait” tactics to generating clicks have been used form as early as<when>\*. However, its relevance has become more important in our post-truth politics era due to the easy access to advertising revenue and polarizing political beliefs\*.

Aside from groups using these techniques for financial gain, a number of report have suggested that states such as Russia have faced allegations of disseminating false information to influence the 2016 US presidential election[[3]](#footnote-3).

The phrase quickly turned into a sound bite to refer to the “lying press” for politicians as the social media fake news epidemic became mainstream news itself. Although many primarily associate the phrase with political jargon, the problem of groups using fake news to exploit social media algorithms is still an ever-present issue[[4]](#footnote-4). Facebooks CEO Mark Zuckerberg even testified before the US Congress as a result. In late 2018 some of the world’s leading tech firms agreed upon a code of conduct to do more to tackle the spread of fake news. However, as many reports have suggested this code of conduct provided little transparency on how to implement it. Most efforts to deter these practises have come in the form of manual human labour of shutting down payments and setup of fake accounts. However, automated detection of fake news accounts and posts still poses a real struggle[[5]](#footnote-5).

2.1.2 Definition

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1708.01967.pdf

2.1.3 Academic research

2.2 Football Transfer Rumours

2.2.1 Overview

Fake news within the football transfer window has also been around since the transfer windows existence itself\*. During each January transfer and Summer transfer window journalists and supposed sports media accounts report on player transfers which they claim to be in the know of in advance of the deal being publicly announced. It has seen the same explosion of the same fake news tactics used in during the 2016 US Presidential election, however it has never gained similar interest most likely due to the difference in nature between the two. Nonetheless, the thousands of football transfer rumour tweets available gives the opportunity to investigate the feasibility of a model capable of classifying the veracity of a rumour, or the account which posted it.

1. https://www.wired.com/story/free-speech-issue-tech-turmoil-new-censorship/?CNDID=50121752 [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. https://www.wired.com/2017/02/veles-macedonia-fake-news/ [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. https://www.bbc.com/news/technology-46590890 [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2019/feb/28/facebook-twitter-fake-news-eu-elections [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
5. https://uk.reuters.com/article/us-eu-tech-fakenews/facebook-google-to-tackle-spread-of-fake-news-advisors-want-more-idUKKCN1M61AG [↑](#footnote-ref-5)