

Arithmetic: A Programmatic Approach

Murisi Tarusenga

Saturday 2nd June, 2018 14:57

Introduction

What follows is a reformulation of the elementary parts of number theory and linear algebra in terms of a system of procedures for achieving particular objectives, objectives like showing that modular exponentiation of a specific integer with specific properties yields a stated result. So, while formal mathematics usually takes the format of definition-theorem-proof, this project has the format of declaration-procedure objective-procedure implementation. So where there usually would have been a statement and proof of Euler's totient theorem, **procedure 1.50** is provided, and where there would have been a definition of Euler's totient function, **declaration 1.16** is provided.

At this point the natural question is that of how we are to know that the following procedure implementations achieve the corresponding procedure objectives for all inputs. Well, strictly speaking, the only way to know that the following procedures always achieve their respective objectives is to actually execute them on all possible inputs and actually verify that the objective is met. However, when the input can be any integer, this proposal is in-principle not possible. So the actual question is that of how we can see the *potential* of the following procedure implementations to achieve their respective objectives on different inputs, that is, of how we can get that feeling that if the input is changed to this or that, the objective should still be achieved. And the answer to this question is that we can see the potential of the following procedure implementations by simply looking at their (purposefully chosen) syntax in the same way that we can simply see from the syntax of the code fragment, "if $a = b$ and $b = c$, then verify that $a = c$, otherwise verify that $a \neq c$ ", the potential of the instructions to be carried out successfully on different integer inputs.

For the purposes of storage and transmission of knowledge pertaining to the elementary parts of

number theory and linear algebra, the following procedures are interchangeable with their analogous proofs in the sense that, assuming equal competence in programming and proving, if you have the procedure objective and implementation, you can trivially generate the analogous theorem and proof, and if you are in possession of the theorem and proof, then you can trivially generate the analogous procedure objective and implementation.

Contents

I Integer Arithmetic	1
II Rational Arithmetic	25
III Matrix Arithmetic	38

Part I

Integer Arithmetic

Procedure 1.00

Objective

Choose an integer a and a positive integer b . The objective of the following instructions is to construct integers n and m such that $a = nb + m$ and $0 \leq m < b$.

Implementation

1. Let $n = 0$.
2. While $(n + 1)b \leq a$, do the following:
 - (a) Let n receive $n + 1$.
 - (b) Verify that $nb \leq a$.
3. While $nb > a$, do the following:
 - (a) Let n receive $n - 1$.
 - (b) Verify that $(n + 1)b > a$.
4. Therefore verify that $nb \leq a$.
5. Also verify that $(n + 1)b > a$.
6. Let $m = a - nb$.
7. Now verify that $b > a - nb = m \geq 0$.
8. Also verify that $a = bn + a - nb = nb + m$.
9. Yield $\langle n, m \rangle$.

Declaration 1.00

The notation $a \text{ div } b$ will be used to refer to the first part of the pair yielded by executing **procedure 1.00** on $\langle a, b \rangle$.

Declaration 1.01

The notation $a \text{ mod } b$ will be used to refer to the second part of the pair yielded by executing **procedure 1.00** on $\langle a, b \rangle$.

Declaration 1.02

The notation $a \equiv b(\text{mod } c)$ will be used as a shorthand for " $a \text{ mod } c = b \text{ mod } c$ ".

Procedure 1.01

Objective

Choose four integers a, b, c, d and a positive integer e in such a way that $a \equiv c(\text{mod } e)$ and $b \equiv d(\text{mod } e)$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $a + b \equiv c + d(\text{mod } e)$.

Implementation

1. Verify that $a + b$
 - (a) $\equiv (a \text{ div } e)e + (a \text{ mod } e) + (b \text{ div } e)e + (b \text{ mod } e)$
 - (b) $\equiv (a \text{ mod } e) + (b \text{ mod } e)$
 - (c) $\equiv (c \text{ mod } e) + (d \text{ mod } e)$
 - (d) $\equiv (c \text{ div } e)e + (c \text{ mod } e) + (d \text{ div } e)e + (d \text{ mod } e)$
 - (e) $\equiv c + d(\text{mod } e)$.

Procedure 1.02

Objective

Choose four integers a, b, c, d and a positive integer e in such a way that $a \equiv c(\text{mod } e)$ and $b \equiv d(\text{mod } e)$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $ab \equiv cd(\text{mod } e)$.

Implementation

1. Verify that ab
 - (a) $\equiv ((a \text{ div } e)e + (a \text{ mod } e))((b \text{ div } e)e + (b \text{ mod } e))$
 - (b) $\equiv (a \text{ div } e)(b \text{ div } e)e^2 + (a \text{ div } e)(b \text{ mod } e)e + (a \text{ mod } e)(b \text{ div } e)e + (a \text{ mod } e)(b \text{ mod } e)$

- $$(c) \equiv (a \bmod e)(b \bmod e)$$
- $$(d) \equiv (c \bmod e)(d \bmod e)$$
- $$(e) \equiv (c \operatorname{div} e)(d \operatorname{div} e)e^2 + (c \operatorname{div} e)(d \bmod e)e + (c \bmod e)(d \operatorname{div} e)e + (c \bmod e)(d \bmod e)$$
- $$(f) \equiv cd \bmod e.$$

Procedure 1.03

Objective

Choose an integer a and two positive integers b, c . The objective of the following instructions is to show that $(a \bmod bc) \bmod b = a \bmod b$.

Implementation

1. **Verify that** $(a \bmod bc) \bmod b = (a - (a \operatorname{div} bc)bc) \bmod b = a \bmod b$.

Procedure 1.04

Objective

Choose a positive integer a and four integers b_1, b_0, c_1, c_0 such that $0 \leq b_0 < a$, $0 \leq c_0 < a$, and $b_1a + b_0 = c_1a + c_0$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $b_1 = c_1$ and $b_0 = c_0$.

Implementation

1. **Verify that** $b_0 = b_0 \bmod a = (b_1a + b_0) \bmod a = (c_1a + c_0) \bmod a = c_0 \bmod a = c_0$.
2. Therefore verify that $b_1a = c_1a$.
3. **Therefore verify that** $b_1 = c_1$.

Procedure 1.05

Objective

Choose an integer a and two positive integers b, c . The objective of the following instructions is to show that $ca \bmod cb = c(a \bmod b)$ and that $ca \operatorname{div} cb = a \operatorname{div} b$.

Implementation

1. Verify that $bc(a \operatorname{div} b) + c(a \bmod b) = c(b(a \operatorname{div} b) + a \bmod b) = ca = cb(ca \operatorname{div} cb) + ca \bmod cb$.
2. Now verify that $0 \leq a \bmod b < b$.
3. Therefore verify that $0 \leq c(a \bmod b) < cb$.
4. Now verify that $0 \leq ca \bmod cb < cb$.
5. Execute **procedure 1.04** on $\langle bc, a \operatorname{div} b, c(a \bmod b), ca \operatorname{div} cb, ca \bmod cb \rangle$.
6. **Therefore verify that** $c(a \bmod b) = ca \bmod cb$.
7. **Also verify that** $a \operatorname{div} b = ca \operatorname{div} cb$.

Procedure 1.06

Objective

Choose two integers a, b and a positive integer c such that $a \bmod c + b \bmod c < c$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $a \operatorname{div} c + b \operatorname{div} c = (a + b) \operatorname{div} c$ and $a \bmod c + b \bmod c = (a + b) \bmod c$.

Implementation

1. Verify that $a = c(a \operatorname{div} c) + a \bmod c$.
2. Verify that $b = c(b \operatorname{div} c) + b \bmod c$.
3. Therefore verify that $a + b = c(a \operatorname{div} c + b \operatorname{div} c) + (a \bmod c + b \bmod c)$.
4. Verify that $0 \leq a \bmod c + b \bmod c < c$.
5. Also verify that $a + b = ((a + b) \operatorname{div} c)c + (a + b) \bmod c$.
6. Verify that $0 \leq (a + b) \bmod c < c$.
7. Execute **procedure 1.04** on $\langle c, a \operatorname{div} c + b \operatorname{div} c, a \bmod c + b \bmod c, (a + b) \operatorname{div} c, (a + b) \bmod c \rangle$.
8. **Therefore verify that** $a \operatorname{div} c + b \operatorname{div} c = (a + b) \operatorname{div} c$.
9. **Also verify that** $a \bmod c + b \bmod c = (a + b) \bmod c$.

Procedure 1.07

Objective

Choose two integers a, b and a positive integer c such that $a \bmod c + b \bmod c \geq c$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $1 + a \div c + b \div c = (a + b) \div c$ and $a \bmod c + b \bmod c - c = (a + b) \bmod c$.

Implementation

1. Verify that $a = c(a \div c) + a \bmod c$.
2. Verify that $b = c(b \div c) + b \bmod c$.
3. Therefore verify that $a + b = c(a \div c + b \div c) + a \bmod c + b \bmod c = c(1 + a \div c + b \div c) + (a \bmod c + b \bmod c - c)$.
4. Verify that $c \leq a \bmod c + b \bmod c < 2c$.
5. Therefore verify that $0 \leq a \bmod c + b \bmod c - c < c$.
6. Also verify that $a + b = c((a + b) \div c) + (a + b) \bmod c$.
7. Verify that $0 \leq (a + b) \bmod c < c$.
8. Execute **procedure 1.04** on $\langle c, 1 + a \div c + b \div c, a \bmod c + b \bmod c - c, (a + b) \div c, (a + b) \bmod c \rangle$.
9. **Therefore verify that** $1 + a \div c + b \div c = (a + b) \div c$.
10. **Therefore verify that** $a \bmod c + b \bmod c - c = (a + b) \bmod c$.

Procedure 1.08

Objective

Choose an integer a and two positive integers b, c . The objective of the following instructions is to show that $a \div bc = (a \div b) \div c$ and $a \bmod bc = ((a \div b) \bmod c)b + a \bmod b$.

Implementation

1. Verify that $a = (a \div b)b + a \bmod b$.

2. Verify that $a \div b = ((a \div b) \div c)c + (a \div b) \bmod c$.
3. Therefore verify that $a = (((a \div b) \div c)c + (a \div b) \bmod c)b + a \bmod b = ((a \div b) \div c)bc + ((a \div b) \bmod c)b + a \bmod b$.
4. Verify that $0 \leq (a \div b) \bmod c \leq c - 1$.
5. Therefore verify that $0 \leq ((a \div b) \bmod c)b \leq cb - b$.
6. Verify that $0 \leq a \bmod b < b$.
7. Therefore verify that $0 \leq ((a \div b) \bmod c)b + a \bmod b < cb$.
8. Now verify that $a = (a \div bc)bc + a \bmod bc$.
9. Verify that $0 \leq a \bmod bc < bc$.
10. Execute **procedure 1.04** on $\langle bc, (a \div b) \div c, ((a \div b) \bmod c)b + a \bmod b, a \div bc, a \bmod bc \rangle$.
11. **Therefore verify that** $(a \div b) \div c = a \div bc$.
12. **Also verify that** $((a \div b) \bmod c)b + a \bmod b = a \bmod bc$.

Declaration 1.03

The notation $\text{sgn}(a)$, where a is an integer, will be used to denote:

1. -1 if $a < 0$
2. 0 if $a = 0$
3. 1 if $a > 0$

Procedure 1.09

Objective

Choose an integer a and a non-negative integer b . The objective of the following instructions is to construct integers c, d, e, f, g such that $a = cd$, $b = ce$, $fa + gb = c$, and if $b = 0$, then $c = |a|$, otherwise $0 < c \leq b$.

Implementation

1. If $b = 0$, then do the following:

- (a) **Verify that** $a = \text{sgn}(a)|a|$.
- (b) **Verify that** $b = 0|a|$.
- (c) **Verify that** $|a| = \text{sgn}(a)a + 0b$.
- (d) **Yield** $\langle |a|, \text{sgn}(a), 0, \text{sgn}(a), 0 \rangle$.
- 2. Otherwise do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $0 \leq a \bmod b < b$.
 - (b) Execute **procedure 1.09** on $\langle b, a \bmod b \rangle$ and let $\langle c, d, e, f, g \rangle$ receive.
 - (c) **Now verify that** $b = cd$.
 - (d) Also verify that $a \bmod b = ce$.
 - (e) **Therefore verify that** $a = (a \text{ div } b)b + (a \bmod b) = c(d(a \text{ div } b) + e)$.
 - (f) **Also verify that** $(f - g(a \text{ div } b))b + ga = fb + g(a - (a \text{ div } b)b) = fb + g(a \bmod b) = c$.
 - (g) If $a \bmod b = 0$, then do the following:
 - i. **Using (2) and (b), verify that** $0 < b = c \leq b$.
 - (h) Otherwise do the following:
 - i. **Using (b), verify that** $0 < c \leq a \bmod b < b$.
 - (i) **Therefore yield** $\langle c, d(a \text{ div } b) + e, d, g, f - g(a \text{ div } b) \rangle$.

Declaration 1.04

The notation (a, b) will be used to refer to the first part of the quintuple yielded by executing **procedure 1.09** on the pair $\langle a, b \rangle$.

Procedure 1.10

Objective

Choose an integer a and a positive integer b . Let $1 \leq c \leq b$ be the largest integer such that $a \bmod c = 0$ and $b \bmod c = 0$. The objective of the following instructions is to either show that $0 \neq 0$ or $(a, b) = c$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 1.09** on $\langle a, b \rangle$ and let $\langle d, e, f, g, h \rangle$ receive.
2. Verify that $0 < d \leq b$.
3. If $d > c$, then do the following:
 - (a) Using the precondition, verify that $a \bmod d \neq 0$ or $b \bmod d \neq 0$.
 - (b) If $a \bmod d \neq 0$, then do the following:
 - i. Using (1), verify that $a = ed$.
 - ii. Therefore verify that $a \bmod d = 0$.
 - iii. **Therefore using (3b) and (3bii), verify that** $0 \neq 0$.
 - iv. **Abort procedure.**
 - (c) Otherwise if $b \bmod d \neq 0$, then do the following:
 - i. Using (1), verify that $b = fd$.
 - ii. Therefore verify that $b \bmod d = 0$.
 - iii. **Therefore using (3c) and (3cii), verify that** $0 \neq 0$.
 - iv. **Abort procedure.**
4. Otherwise if $d < c$, then do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $ga + hb = d$.
 - (b) Therefore verify that $0 \equiv gc(a \text{ div } c) + hc(b \text{ div } c) = g(c(a \text{ div } c) + a \bmod c) + h(c(b \text{ div } c) + b \bmod c) = ga + hb = d \not\equiv 0 \pmod{c}$.
 - (c) **Therefore verify that** $0 \neq 0$.
 - (d) **Abort procedure.**
5. **Otherwise verify that** $(a, b) = d = c$.

Procedure 1.11

Objective

Choose integers a, c, d, j and a non-negative integer b . Execute **procedure 1.09** on $\langle a, b \rangle$ and let $\langle e, f, g, h, i \rangle$ receive. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $ca + db = (c + gj)a + (d - fj)b$.

Implementation

1. **Verify that** $(c + gj)a + (d - fj)b = ca + db + gja - fjb = ca + db + gje f - fje g = ca + db$.

Procedure 1.12

Objective

Choose integers a, c, d and a non-negative integer b such that $ca + db = (a, b)$. Execute **procedure 1.09** on $\langle a, b \rangle$ and let $\langle e, f, g, h, i \rangle$ receive. The objective of the following instructions is to construct a j such that $c = h + gj$ and $d = i - fj$.

Implementation

1. Verify that $cef + deg = ca + db = (a, b) = e$.
2. Therefore verify that $cf + dg = 1$.
3. Now verify that $hef + ieg = ha + ib = e$.
4. Therefore verify that $hf + ig = 1$.
5. Let $j = ci - hd$.
6. Now verify that $cf = 1 - dg$.
7. Therefore verify that $c - cig = c(1 - ig) = chf = h(1 - dg) = h - hdg$.
8. **Therefore verify that** $c = h + cig - hdg = h + g(ci - hd) = h + gj$.
9. Now verify that $dg = 1 - cf$.
10. Therefore verify that $d - dhf = d(1 - hf) = dig = i(1 - cf) = i - icf$.
11. **Therefore verify that** $d = i - icf + dhf = i - f(ic - dh) = i - fj$.
12. **Yield** $\langle j \rangle$.

Procedure 1.13

Objective

Choose an integer a and a positive integer b such that $0 < (a, b) < b$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $0 \neq 0$ or $a \bmod b \neq 0$.

Implementation

1. If $a \bmod b = 0$, then do the following:
 - (a) Using (1), verify that $af \equiv 0f \equiv 0 \pmod{b}$.
 - (b) Execute **procedure 1.09** on $\langle a, b \rangle$ and let $\langle c, d, e, f, g \rangle$ receive.
 - (c) Verify that $0 < (a, b) = c = fa + gb < b$.
 - (d) Therefore verify $fa \equiv (a, b) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{b}$.
 - (e) Therefore using (1a) and (1d), verify that $0 \neq 0$.
 - (f) **Abort procedure.**
2. **Otherwise verify that** $a \bmod b \neq 0$.

Procedure 1.14

Objective

Choose five integers a, d, e, f, g and two non-negative integers b, c such that $a = cd$, $b = ce$, and $fa + gb = c$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $0 < 0$ or $(a, b) = c$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 1.09** on $\langle a, b \rangle$ and let $\langle u, v, x, y, z \rangle$ receive.
2. Verify that $u \geq 0$.
3. Verify that $a = uv$.
4. Verify that $b = xu$.
5. Therefore verify that $c = fa + gb = (fv + gx)u$.
6. If $u = 0$, then do the following:
 - (a) **Verify that** $c = (fv + gx)u = 0 = u = (a, b)$.
 - (b) **Yield.**
7. Also using (1) and the precondition, verify that $u = ya + zb = (yd + ze)c$.
8. If $c = 0$, then do the following:
 - (a) **Verify that** $(a, b) = u = (yd + ze)c = 0 = c$.
 - (b) **Yield.**
9. Verify that $c > 0$.

10. Now verify that $c = (fv+gx)u = (fv+gx)(yd+ze)c$.
11. Therefore verify that $(fv+gx)(yd+ze) = 1$.
12. Therefore verify that $fv+gx = yd+ze = \pm 1$.
13. If $fv+gx = yd+ze = -1$, then do the following:
 - (a) Using (7) and (9), verify that $u = (yd+ze)c = -c < 0$.
 - (b) **Therefore using (2) and (13a), verify that $0 \leq u < 0$.**
 - (c) **Abort procedure.**
14. Otherwise, do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $fv+gx = yd+ze = 1$.
 - (b) **Therefore verify that $c = (fv+gx)u = u = (a, b)$.**

Procedure 1.15

Objective

Choose an integer a and a non-negative integer b . The objective of the following instructions is to show that $0 < 0$ or $(a, b) = (-a, b)$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 1.09** on $\langle a, b \rangle$ and let $\langle c, d, e, f, g \rangle$ receive.
2. Verify that $a = dc$.
3. Therefore verify that $-a = (-d)c$.
4. Verify that $b = ec$.
5. Verify that $fa + gb = c$.
6. Therefore verify that $(-f)(-a) + gb = c$.
7. Execute **procedure 1.14** on $\langle -a, b, c, -d, e, -f, g \rangle$.
8. **Therefore verify that $(-a, b) = c = (a, b)$.**

Procedure 1.16

Objective

Choose two non-negative integers a, b . The objective of the following instructions is to show that $0 < 0$ or $(a, b) = (b, a)$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 1.09** on $\langle a, b \rangle$ and let $\langle c, d, e, f, g \rangle$ receive.
2. Verify that $b = ec$.
3. Verify that $a = dc$.
4. Verify that $gb + fa = c$.
5. Execute **procedure 1.14** on $\langle b, a, c, e, d, g, f \rangle$.
6. **Therefore verify that $(b, a) = c = (a, b)$.**

Procedure 1.17

Objective

Choose two integers a, b and a positive integer c such that $a \equiv b \pmod{c}$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $0 < 0$ or $(a, c) = (b, c)$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 1.09** on $\langle a, c \rangle$ and let $\langle d, e, f, g, h \rangle$ receive.
2. Verify that $a = ed$.
3. Verify that $c = fd$.
4. Let $j = b \text{ div } c - a \text{ div } c$.
5. Therefore verify that $b = a + jc = ed + jfd = (e + jf)d$.
6. Verify that $gb + (h - gj)c = g(a + jc) + (h - gj)c = ga + hc = d$.
7. Now execute **procedure 1.14** on $\langle b, c, d, e + jf, f, g, h - gj \rangle$.
8. **Therefore verify that $(b, c) = d = (a, c)$.**

Procedure 1.18

Objective

Choose an integer a and two non-negative integers b, c . The objective of the following instructions is to show that either $0 < 0$ or $(ca, cb) = c(a, b)$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 1.09** on $\langle a, b \rangle$ and let $\langle d, e, f, g, h \rangle$ receive.
2. Verify that $a = ed$.
3. Therefore verify that $ca = e(cd)$.
4. Verify that $b = df$.
5. Therefore verify that $cb = f(cd)$.
6. Verify that $ga + hb = d$.
7. Therefore verify that $g(ca) + h(cb) = cd$.
8. Now execute **procedure 1.14** on $\langle ca, cb, cd, e, f, g, h \rangle$.
9. Therefore verify that $(ca, cb) = cd = c(a, b)$.

Procedure 1.19

Objective

Choose an integer a and two non-negative integers b, c . The objective of the following instructions is to show that either $0 < 0$ or $(a, (b, c)) = ((a, b), c)$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 1.09** on $\langle a, b \rangle$ and let $\langle d_0, e_0, f_0, g_0, h_0 \rangle$ receive.
2. Execute **procedure 1.09** on $\langle b, c \rangle$ and let $\langle d_1, e_1, f_1, g_1, h_1 \rangle$ receive.
3. Execute **procedure 1.09** on $\langle (a, b), c \rangle$ and let $\langle d_2, e_2, f_2, g_2, h_2 \rangle$ receive.
4. Verify that $a = d_0e_0 = e_0(a, b) = e_0d_2e_2 = e_0e_2((a, b), c)$.
5. Verify that (b, c)

$$(a) = g_1b + h_1c$$

$$\begin{aligned} (b) &= g_1d_0f_0 + h_1d_2f_2 \\ (c) &= g_1f_0(a, b) + h_1f_2((a, b), c) \\ (d) &= g_1f_0d_2e_2 + h_1f_2((a, b), c) \\ (e) &= g_1f_0e_2((a, b), c) + h_1f_2((a, b), c) \\ (f) &= (g_1f_0e_2 + h_1f_2)((a, b), c). \end{aligned}$$

6. Verify that $((a, b), c)$

$$\begin{aligned} (a) &= d_2 \\ (b) &= g_2(a, b) + h_2c \\ (c) &= g_2d_0 + h_2d_1f_1 \\ (d) &= g_2(g_0a + h_0b) + h_2f_1(b, c) \\ (e) &= g_2g_0a + g_2h_0d_1e_1 + h_2f_1(b, c) \\ (f) &= g_2g_0a + g_2h_0e_1(b, c) + h_2f_1(b, c) \\ (g) &= g_2g_0a + (g_2h_0e_1 + h_2f_1)(b, c). \end{aligned}$$

7. Execute **procedure 1.14** on $\langle a, (b, c), ((a, b), c), e_0e_2, g_1f_0e_2 + h_1f_2, g_2g_0, g_2h_0e_1 + h_2f_1 \rangle$.

8. Therefore verify that $((a, b), c) = (a, (b, c))$.

Declaration 1.05

The notation $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ will be used to contextually refer to one of the following integers:

1. $((a_0), (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}))$
2. $((a_0, a_1), (a_2, a_3, \dots, a_{n-1}))$
3. \vdots
4. $((a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}), (a_{n-1}))$

Procedure 1.20

Objective

Choose two integers a, b and a non-negative integer c such that $(a, c) = 1$ and $(b, c) = 1$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that either $0 < 0$ or $(ab, c) = 1$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 1.09** on $\langle a, c \rangle$ and let $\langle d, e, f, g, h \rangle$ receive.
2. Verify that $ga + hc = d = (a, c) = 1$.
3. Execute **procedure 1.09** on $\langle b, c \rangle$ and let $\langle t, u, v, w, x \rangle$ receive.
4. Verify that $wb + xc = t = (b, c) = 1$.
5. Therefore verify that $(gw)(ab) + (gax + wbh + hxc)c = (ga + hc)(wb + xc) = 1$.
6. Now execute **procedure 1.14** on $\langle ab, c, 1, ab, c, gw, gax + wbh + hxc \rangle$.
7. **Therefore verify that $(ab, c) = 1$.**

Procedure 1.21

Objective

Choose an integer a and two non-negative integers b, c such that $(a, bc) = 1$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that either $0 < 0$ or $(a, b) = 1$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 1.09** on $\langle a, bc \rangle$ and let $\langle d, e, f, g, h \rangle$ receive.
2. Verify that $d = (a, bc) = 1$.
3. Verify that $ga + (hc)b = ga + h(bc) = d = 1$.
4. Now execute **procedure 1.14** on $\langle a, b, 1, a, b, g, hc \rangle$.
5. **Therefore verify that $(a, b) = 1$.**

Declaration 1.06

The phrase " a is prime" will be used as a shorthand for " $a > 1$ and $a \bmod k \neq 0$ for $1 < k < a$ ".

Procedure 1.22

Objective

Choose an integer a and a prime b such that $a \bmod b \neq 0$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that either $0 \neq 0$ or $(a, b) = 1$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 1.09** on $\langle a, b \rangle$ and let $\langle c, d, e, f, g \rangle$ receive.
2. Verify that $0 < c \leq b$.
3. If $c = b$, then do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $a = cd = bd$.
 - (b) Therefore verify that $a \bmod b = 0$.
 - (c) **Therefore using the precondition and (3b), verify that $0 \neq 0$.**
 - (d) **Abort procedure.**
4. Otherwise if $1 < c < b$, then do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $b = ce$.
 - (b) Therefore verify that $b \bmod c = 0$.
 - (c) **Therefore using the precondition and (4b), verify that $0 \neq 0$.**
 - (d) **Abort procedure.**
5. Otherwise, do the following:
 - (a) **Verify that $(a, b) = c = 1$.**

Procedure 1.23

Objective

Choose two integers a, b and a prime c such that $a \bmod c \neq 0$ and $b \bmod c \neq 0$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that either $0 \neq 0$ or $ab \bmod c \neq 0$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 1.22** on $\langle a, c \rangle$.
2. Verify that $(a, c) = 1$.
3. Execute **procedure 1.22** on $\langle b, c \rangle$.

4. Verify that $(b, c) = 1$.
5. Execute **procedure 1.20** on $\langle a, b, c \rangle$.
6. Now verify that $0 < (ab, c) = 1 < c$.
7. Execute **procedure 1.13** on $\langle ab, c \rangle$.
8. **Now verify that** $ab \bmod c \neq 0$.

Declaration 1.07

The notation $|A|$ will be used to refer to the number of items in the list A .

Declaration 1.08

The notation $\prod_{r=a}^b c_r$ will be used as a shorthand for 1 if $a = b$, otherwise it will stand for $c_a \prod_{r=a+1}^b c_r$.

Declaration 1.09

The notation a_* will be used as a shorthand for $\prod_{i=0}^{|a|} a_i$.

Declaration 1.10

The notation $A \frown B$ will be used to refer to the list formed by concatenating A and B .

Procedure 1.24

Objective

Choose a positive integer a . The objective of the following instructions is to construct a list of prime numbers b such that $a = b_*$.

Implementation

1. If $a = 1$, then do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $a = 1 = \langle \rangle_*$.
 - (b) Therefore yield $\langle \rangle$.
2. Otherwise, do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $a > 1$.
 - (b) For $c = 2$ up to $c = a - 1$, do the following:

- i. If $a \bmod c = 0$, then do the following:
 - A. Verify that $a = (a \operatorname{div} c)c$.
 - B. Therefore verify that $1 < a \operatorname{div} c < a$.
 - C. Execute **procedure 1.24** on $\langle a \operatorname{div} c \rangle$ and let $\langle d \rangle$ receive.
 - D. Using (B) and (C), verify that $|d| > 0$.
 - E. Verify that every element of d is prime.
 - F. Verify that $a \operatorname{div} c = d_*$.
 - G. Execute **procedure 1.24** on $\langle c \rangle$ and let $\langle e \rangle$ receive.
 - H. Using (b) and (G), verify that $|e| > 0$.
 - I. Verify that every element of e is prime.
 - J. Verify that $c = e_*$.
 - K. **Therefore verify that** $|d \frown e| > 0$.
 - L. **Also verify that every element of** $d \frown e$ **is prime.**
 - M. **Also verify that** $a = (a \operatorname{div} c)c = d_*e_* = (d \frown e)_*$.
 - N. **Yield** $\langle d \frown e \rangle$.
- (c) Otherwise do the following:
 - i. **Verify that** a **is prime.**
 - ii. **Yield** $\langle a \rangle$.

Procedure 1.25

Objective

Choose a prime a and a list of primes b such that $b_* \equiv 0 \pmod{a}$. The objective of the following instructions is to either show that $0 = 1$ or to construct a k such that $a = b_k$.

Implementation

1. Using **declaration 1.06**, verify that $a > 1$.
2. If $|b| = 0$, then do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $1 = b_* \equiv 0 \pmod{a}$.
 - (b) **Therefore using (1) and (a), verify that** $0 = 1$.

- (c) **Abort procedure.**
- 3. Otherwise if $0 \notin b \bmod a$, then do the following:
 - (a) Using **procedure 1.23**, verify that $b_* \not\equiv 0 \pmod{a}$.
 - (b) **Therefore using the precondition and (a), verify that $0 \neq 0$.**
 - (c) **Abort procedure.**
- 4. Otherwise do the following:
 - (a) Let k be such that $b_k \bmod a = 0$.
 - (b) Verify that $b_k = (b_k \div a)a$.
 - (c) Verify that $b_k \div a \geq 1$.
 - (d) If $b_k \div a > 1$, then do the following:
 - i. Using (1),(b), and (d), verify that $1 < a < b_k$.
 - ii. Now, using **declaration 1.06** verify that $b_k \bmod a \neq 0$.
 - iii. **Hence using (a) and (ii), verify that $0 \neq b_k \bmod a = 0$.**
 - iv. **Abort procedure.**
 - (e) Otherwise do the following:
 - i. Verify that $b_k \div a = 1$.
 - ii. **Therefore verify that $b_k = a$.**
 - iii. **Yield $\langle k \rangle$.**

Declaration 1.11

The notation $[a : b]$ will be used as a shorthand for the list:

1. $\langle a, a + 1, \dots, b - 1 \rangle$, if $b > a$
2. $\langle \rangle$, if $b = a$
3. $\langle a - 1, a - 2, \dots, b \rangle$, if $b < a$

Procedure 1.26

Objective

Choose two lists of primes a, b such that $a_* = b_*$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that either $1 > 1$ or a is included in b .

Implementation

1. If $|a| = 0$, then do the following:
 - (a) **Verify that a is included in b .**
2. Otherwise, do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $|a| > 0$.
 - (b) Verify that $b_* \equiv a_* \equiv 0 \pmod{a_0}$.
 - (c) Execute **procedure 1.25** on $\langle a_0, b \rangle$ and let $\langle k \rangle$ receive.
 - (d) Therefore verify that $b_k = a_0$.
 - (e) Now verify $(a_{[1:|a|]})_* = (b_{[0:k] \cap [k+1:|b|]})_*$.
 - (f) Now execute **procedure 1.26** on $\langle a_{[1:|a|]}, b_{[0:k] \cap [k+1:|b|]} \rangle$.
 - (g) Now verify that $a_{[1:|a|]}$ is included in $b_{[0:k] \cap [k+1:|b|]}$.
 - (h) **Therefore verify that a is included in b .**

Procedure 1.27

Objective

Choose two lists of primes a, b such that $a_* = b_*$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that either $1 > 1$ or a is a rearrangement of b .

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 1.26** on $\langle a, b \rangle$.
2. Verify that a is included in b .
3. Execute **procedure 1.26** on $\langle b, a \rangle$.
4. Verify that b is included in a .
5. **Therefore verify that a is a rearrangement of b .**

Procedure 1.28

Objective

Choose a positive integer a . The objective of the following instructions is to either show that $0 = 1$ or to construct a prime b such that $b > a$ and $[a + 1 : b]$ does not contain a prime.

Implementation

1. Verify that $a! + 1 > 1$.
2. Execute **procedure 1.24** on $\langle a! + 1 \rangle$ and let $\langle d \rangle$ receive.
3. Therefore using (1) and (2), verify that $|d| > 0$.
4. Now verify that $(a! + 1) \bmod d_0 = 0$.
5. For $e = 2$ up to $e = a$, do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $a! + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{e}$.
 - (b) If $e = d_0$, then do the following:
 - i. Using (4) and (a), verify that $0 \equiv a! + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{e = d_0}$.
 - ii. **Therefore verify that $0 = 1$.**
 - iii. **Abort procedure.**
6. Otherwise do the following:
 - (a) **Using (2), verify that d_0 is prime.**
 - (b) Using (a), verify that $d_0 > 1$.
 - (c) **Using (a) and (5), verify that $d_0 > a$.**
 - (d) **Let b be the least prime between $a + 1$ and d_0 .**
 - (e) **Yield $\langle b \rangle$.**

Procedure 1.29

Objective

Choose a positive integer a . The objective of the following instructions is to construct a positive integer b such that $[b + 1 : b + a]$ does not contain a prime.

Implementation

1. Let $b = a! + 1$.
2. For $i = 1$ up to $i = a - 1$, do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $b + i = a! + 1 + i = i!(i + 1)(i + 2) \cdots (a + 1 + i) = (1 + i)(i!(i + 2)(i + 3) \cdots (a + 1))$.
 - (b) Therefore verify that $b + i \equiv 0 \pmod{i + 1}$.

- (c) Also verify that $b + i = a! + 1 + i > a! \geq a \geq i + 1 > 1$.
- (d) **Therefore verify that $b + i$ is not prime.**
3. **Yield $\langle b \rangle$.**

Procedure 1.30

Objective

Choose two lists of primes a, b in such a way that their intersection is empty. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $0 = 1$ or $(a_*, b_*) = 1$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 1.09** on $\langle a_*, b_* \rangle$ and let $\langle c, d, e, f, g \rangle$.
2. Verify that $0 < c \leq b$.
3. If $c > 1$, then do the following:
 - (a) Execute **procedure 1.24** on $\langle c \rangle$ and let $\langle h \rangle$ receive.
 - (b) Using (3) and (a), verify that $|h| > 0$.
 - (c) Now verify that $a_* = dc = dh_* = dh_0(h_{[1:|h|]})_* \equiv 0 \pmod{h_0}$.
 - (d) Execute **procedure 1.25** on $\langle h_0, a \rangle$ and let $\langle k \rangle$ receive.
 - (e) Now verify that $b_* = ec = eh_* = eh_0(h_{[1:|h|]})_* \equiv 0 \pmod{h_0}$.
 - (f) Execute **procedure 1.25** on $\langle h_0, b \rangle$ and let $\langle m \rangle$ receive.
 - (g) **Therefore verify that $a_k = h_0 = b_m$.**
 - (h) **Abort procedure.**
4. Otherwise do the following:
 - (a) **Verify that $(a_*, b_*) = c = 1$.**

Procedure 1.31

Objective

Choose two lists of primes a, b . Let c be the common sublist with multiplicity of a and b . The objective

of the following instructions is to show that either $0 < 0$ or $(a_*, b_*) = c_*$.

Implementation

1. Let d be the result of removing with multiplicity elements of c from a .
2. Verify that $a_* = c_* d_*$.
3. Let e be the result of removing with multiplicity elements of c from b .
4. Verify that $b_* = c_* e_*$.
5. Verify that d and e share no common elements.
6. **Therefore using procedure 1.18 and procedure 1.30, verify that** $(a_*, b_*) = (c_* d_*, c_* e_*) = c_*(d_*, e_*) = c_*$.

Procedure 1.32

Objective

Choose an integer a and a positive integer b . The objective of the following instructions is to construct integers c, f, e such that $c = af$, $c = be$, $c(a, b) = ab$, and $|a| \leq c \operatorname{sgn}(a) \leq |a|b$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 1.09** on $\langle a, b \rangle$ and let $\langle d, e, f, g, h \rangle$ receive.
2. **Let** $c = af$.
3. **Verify that** $c(a, b) = cd = afd = ab$.
4. Verify that $d > 0$.
5. Verify that $b = fd$.
6. **Therefore verify that** $1 \leq f \leq b$.
7. **Therefore verify that** $|a| \leq |a|f \leq |a|b$.
8. **Therefore verify that** $|a| \leq c \operatorname{sgn}(a) \leq |a|b$.
9. **Verify that** $c = af = def = be$.
10. **Yield the tuple** $\langle c, f, e \rangle$.

Declaration 1.12

The notation $[a, b]$ will be used to refer to the first part of the triple yielded by executing **procedure 1.32** on $\langle a, b \rangle$.

Procedure 1.33

Objective

Choose two positive integers a, b . The objective of the following instructions is to show that either $0 < 0$ or $[a, b] = [b, a]$.

Implementation

1. Verify that $(a, b) > 0$.
2. Using **procedure 1.16**, verify that $a, b = ab = ba = b, a = [b, a](a, b)$.
3. **Therefore verify that** $[a, b] = [b, a]$.

Procedure 1.34

Objective

Choose an integer a and two positive integers b, c . The objective of the following instructions is to show that either $0 < 0$ or $[ca, cb] = c[a, b]$.

Implementation

1. Verify that $(ca, cb) > 0$.
2. Using **procedure 1.18**, verify that $ca, cb = cacb = c^2 ab = c^2 a, b = c[a, b](ca, cb)$.
3. **Therefore verify that** $[ca, cb] = c[a, b]$.

Procedure 1.35

Objective

Choose an integer a and two positive integers b, c . The objective of the following instructions is to show that either $0 < 0$ or $[[a, b], c] = [a, [b, c]]$.

Implementation

- Using **procedure 1.19**, verify that
 $(a, b)(ab, (ac, bc))(b, c)[[a, b], c]$
 $(a) = (ab, (ac, bc))(b, c)[(a, b)[a, b], (a, b)c]$
 $(b) = (ab, (ac, bc))(b, c)[ab, (ac, bc)]$
 $(c) = ab(ac, bc)(b, c)$
 $(d) = abc(a, b)(b, c)$
 $(e) = bc(a, b)(ab, ac)$
 $(f) = (a, b)((ab, ac), bc)[(ab, ac), bc]$
 $(g) = (a, b)(ab, (ac, bc))[(ab, ac), bc]$
 $(h) = (a, b)(ab, (ac, bc))[a(b, c), b, c]$
 $(i) = (a, b)(ab, (ac, bc))(b, c)[a, [b, c]]$.
- Verify that $(a, b)(ab, (ac, bc))(b, c) > 0$.
- Therefore verify that** $[[a, b], c] = [a, [b, c]]$.

Declaration 1.13

The notation $[a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}]$ will be used to contextually refer to one of the following integers:

- $[[a_0], [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}]]$
- $[[a_0, a_1], [a_2, a_3, \dots, a_{n-1}]]$
- \vdots
- $[[a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}], [a_{n-1}]]$

Procedure 1.36

Objective

Choose three positive integers a, b, c . The objective of the following instructions is to show that either $0 < 0$ or $[(a, b), c] = [(a, c), (b, c)]$.

Implementation

- Using **procedure 1.32**, **procedure 1.18**, **procedure 1.19**, **procedure 1.16**, and **procedure 1.10**, verify that $(a, b)((a, c), (b, c))[(a, b), c]$
 $(a) = ((a, c), (b, c))((a, b)[a, b], (a, b)c)$
 $(b) = ((a, c), (b, c))(ab, (ac, bc))$

$$(c) = (a^2b, a^2c, c^2a, c^2b, b^2a, bac, b^2c)$$

$$(d) = (a, b)(ab, ac, bc, c^2)$$

$$(e) = (a, b)(a, c)(b, c)$$

$$(f) = (a, b)((a, c), (b, c))[(a, c), (b, c)].$$

- Verify that $(a, b)((a, c), (b, c)) > 0$.

- Therefore verify that** $[(a, b), c] = [(a, c), (b, c)]$.

Procedure 1.37

Objective

Choose three positive integers a, b, c . The objective of the following instructions is to show that either $0 < 0$ or $[(a, b), c] = ([a, c], [b, c])$.

Implementation

- Using **procedure 1.32**, **procedure 1.18**, **procedure 1.19**, **procedure 1.16**, and **procedure 1.10**, verify that $((a, b), c)(a, c)(b, c)[(a, b), c]$

$$(a) = (a, c)(b, c)(a, b)c$$

$$(b) = (ab, ac, cb, c^2)(a, b)c$$

$$(c) = (a^2b, a^2c, ac^2, ab^2, abc, cb^2, bc^2)c$$

$$(d) = (a, b, c)(ab, ac, bc)c$$

$$(e) = ((a, b), c)(ac(b, c), bc(a, c))$$

$$(f) = ((a, b), c)(a, c)(b, c)[[a, c], [b, c]].$$

- Verify that $((a, b), c)(a, c)(b, c) > 0$.

- Therefore verify that** $[(a, b), c] = ([a, c], [b, c])$.

Declaration 1.14

The notation $\chi_{b,d}(a, c)$, where a, c are two integers and b, d are two positive integers such that $a \equiv c \pmod{(b, d)}$, will be used to refer to the result yielded by executing the following instructions:

- Execute **procedure 1.09** on $\langle b, d \rangle$ and let $\langle f, g, h, i, j \rangle$ receive.
- Yield the tuple** $\langle (a + ((c - a) \text{div}(b, d))ib) \text{mod}[b, d] \rangle$.

Procedure 1.39

Objective

Choose three integers x, a, c and two positive integers b, d such that $x \equiv a \pmod{b}$ and $x \equiv c \pmod{d}$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $0 \neq 0$ if $a \not\equiv d \pmod{(b, d)}$, otherwise $x \equiv \chi_{b,d}(a, c) \pmod{[b, d]}$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 1.09** on $\langle b, d \rangle$ and let $\langle e, f, g, h, i \rangle$ receive.
2. Let $j = x \operatorname{div} b - a \operatorname{div} b$.
3. Verify that $x = a + jb$.
4. Let $k = x \operatorname{div} d - c \operatorname{div} d$.
5. Verify that $x = c + kd$.
6. Therefore verify that $c - a = jb - kd$.
7. If $a \not\equiv c \pmod{(b, d)}$, then do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $0 \not\equiv d - a = jb - kd = jef - keg \equiv 0 \pmod{e}$.
 - (b) **Therefore verify that** $0 \neq 0$.
 - (c) **Abort procedure.**
8. Otherwise do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $c - a \equiv 0 \pmod{(b, d)}$.
 - (b) Let $l = (c - a) \operatorname{div}(b, d)$.
 - (c) Verify that $l(b, d) = le = c - a = jb - kd = jef - keg$.
 - (d) Therefore verify that $l = jf - kg$.
 - (e) Therefore verify that $l \equiv jf \pmod{g}$.
 - (f) Also, using (1) verify that $efh + egi = bh + di = e$.
 - (g) Therefore verify that $fh + gi = 1$.
 - (h) Therefore verify that $fh \equiv 1 \pmod{g}$.
 - (i) Therefore verify that $lh \equiv jfh \equiv j \pmod{g}$.
 - (j) Therefore using **procedure 1.05**, verify that $lhb \equiv jb \pmod{bg = [b, d]}$.
 - (k) **Therefore verify that** $x \equiv a + jb \equiv a + lhb \equiv \chi_{b,d}(a, c) \pmod{[b, d]}$.

Procedure 1.40

Objective

Choose two integers a, c and two positive integers b, d in such a way that $a \equiv c \pmod{(b, d)}$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that either $0 < 0$ or $\chi_{b,d}(a, c) = \chi_{d,b}(c, a)$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 1.09** on $\langle b, d \rangle$ and let $\langle f, g, h, i, j \rangle$ receive.
2. Verify that $ib + jd = f = (b, d)$.
3. Execute **procedure 1.09** on $\langle d, b \rangle$ and let $\langle k, l, m, n, p \rangle$ receive.
4. Verify that $pb + nd = k = (d, b) = (b, d)$.
5. Execute **procedure 1.12** on $\langle b, p, n, d \rangle$ and let $\langle q \rangle$ receive.
6. Therefore verify that $n = j - qg$.
7. Now using **procedure 1.33**, verify that $\chi_{b,d}(a, c)$
 - (a) $= (a + ((c - a) \operatorname{div}(b, d))ib) \pmod{[b, d]}$
 - (b) $= (a + ((c - a) \operatorname{div}(b, d))(f - jd)) \pmod{[b, d]}$
 - (c) $= (a + ((c - a) \operatorname{div}(b, d))f + ((a - c) \operatorname{div}(b, d))jd) \pmod{[b, d]}$
 - (d) $= (a + (c - a) + ((a - c) \operatorname{div}(b, d))jd) \pmod{[b, d]}$
 - (e) $= (c + ((a - c) \operatorname{div}(d, b))(n + qg)d) \pmod{[b, d]}$
 - (f) $= (c + ((a - c) \operatorname{div}(d, b))dn + ((a - c) \operatorname{div}(d, b))q[b, d]) \pmod{[b, d]}$
 - (g) $= (c + ((a - c) \operatorname{div}(d, b))dn) \pmod{[b, d]}$
 - (h) $= (c + ((a - c) \operatorname{div}(d, b))dn) \pmod{[d, b]}$
 - (i) $= \chi_{d,b}(c, a)$.

Procedure 1.41

Objective

Choose three integers x, a, c and two positive integers b, d such that $a \equiv c \pmod{(b, d)}$ and $x \equiv \chi_{b,d}(a, c) \pmod{[b, d]}$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $x \equiv a \pmod{b}$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 1.09** on $\langle b, d \rangle$ and let $\langle e, f, g, h, i \rangle$.
2. Verify that $x \bmod [b, d] = \chi_{b,d}(a, c) \bmod [b, d]$.
3. Therefore verify that $x \bmod (bg) = \chi_{b,d}(a, c) \bmod (bg)$.
4. Therefore verify that $(x \bmod (bg)) \bmod b = (\chi_{b,d}(a, c) \bmod (bg)) \bmod b$.
5. **Therefore using procedure 1.03, verify that** $x \bmod b = \chi_{b,d}(a, c) \bmod b = (a + ((c - a) \operatorname{div}(b, d))hb) \bmod b = a \bmod b$.

Procedure 1.42

Objective

Choose three integers x, a, c and two positive integers b, d such that $a \equiv c \pmod{(b, d)}$ and $x \equiv \chi_{b,d}(a, c) \pmod{[b, d]}$. The objective of the following instructions is to either show that $0 < 0$ or to show that $x \equiv a \pmod{b}$ and $x \equiv c \pmod{d}$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 1.41** on $\langle x, a, c, b, d \rangle$.
2. **Therefore verify that** $x \equiv a \pmod{b}$.
3. Now using **procedure 1.40**, verify that $x \equiv \chi_{b,d}(a, c) \equiv \chi_{d,b}(c, a) \pmod{[d, b]}$.
4. Execute **procedure 1.41** on $\langle x, c, a, d, b \rangle$.
5. **Therefore verify that** $x \equiv c \pmod{d}$.

Procedure 1.43

Objective

Choose two integers a, c and three positive integers b, d, e such that $a \equiv c \pmod{(b, d)}$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $\chi_{b,d}(ea, ec) = e\chi_{b,d}(a, c)$.

Implementation

1. Verify that $\chi_{b,d}(a, c) \equiv a \pmod{b}$.
2. Therefore using **procedure 1.05**, verify that $e\chi_{b,d}(a, c) \equiv ea \pmod{b}$.
3. Verify that $\chi_{b,d}(a, c) \equiv c \pmod{d}$.
4. Therefore using **procedure 1.05**, verify that $e\chi_{b,d}(a, c) \equiv ec \pmod{d}$.
5. Also using **procedure 1.02** and the precondition, verify that $ea \equiv ec \pmod{(b, d)}$.
6. Therefore using **procedure 1.39**, verify that $e\chi_{b,d}(a, c) \equiv \chi_{b,d}(ea, ec) \pmod{[b, d]}$.
7. **Therefore verify that** $e\chi_{b,d}(a, c) = \chi_{b,d}(ea, ec)$.

Procedure 1.44

Objective

Choose two integers a, c and three positive integers b, d, e such that $a \equiv c \pmod{(eb, ed)}$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $\chi_{eb,ed}(a, c) \bmod [b, d] = \chi_{b,d}(a, c)$.

Implementation

1. Verify that $\chi_{eb,ed}(a, c) \equiv a \pmod{eb}$.
2. Therefore using **procedure 1.03**, verify that $\chi_{eb,ed}(a, c) \equiv a \pmod{b}$.
3. Verify that $\chi_{eb,ed}(a, c) \equiv c \pmod{ed}$.
4. Therefore using **procedure 1.03**, verify that $\chi_{eb,ed}(a, c) \equiv c \pmod{d}$.
5. Now verify that $a \equiv c \pmod{e(b, d)}$.
6. Therefore using **procedure 1.03**, verify that $a \equiv c \pmod{(b, d)}$.
7. Therefore using **procedure 1.39**, verify that $\chi_{eb,ed}(a, c) \equiv \chi_{b,d}(a, c) \pmod{[b, d]}$.
8. **Therefore verify that** $\chi_{eb,ed}(a, c) \bmod [b, d] = \chi_{b,d}(a, c)$.

Procedure 1.45

Objective

Choose three integers a, c, e and three positive integers b, d, f such that $a \equiv e \pmod{(b, f)}$, and $c \equiv e \pmod{(d, f)}$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that either $0 < 0$ or $\chi_{b,d}(a, c) \equiv e \pmod{([b, d], f)}$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 1.09** on $\langle b, f \rangle$ and let $\langle g_0, h_0, i_0, j_0, k_0 \rangle$ receive.
2. Execute **procedure 1.09** on $\langle d, f \rangle$ and let $\langle g_1, h_1, i_1, j_1, k_1 \rangle$ receive.
3. Verify that $e \equiv a \pmod{(b, f)}$.
4. Verify that $e \equiv c \pmod{(d, f)}$.
5. Therefore using **procedure 1.39** and **procedure 1.44**, verify that e
 - (a) $\equiv \chi_{(b, f), (d, f)}(a, c)$
 - (b) $\equiv \chi_{(b, f)h_1, (d, f)h_2}(a, c)$
 - (c) $= \chi_{b,d}(a, c) \pmod{([b, f], [d, f])}$.
6. **Therefore using procedure 1.36, verify that** $e \equiv \chi_{b,d}(a, c) \pmod{([b, d], f)}$.

Procedure 1.46

Objective

Choose three integers a, c, e and three positive integers b, d, f such that $a \equiv c \pmod{(b, d)}$, $a \equiv e \pmod{(b, f)}$, and $c \equiv e \pmod{(d, f)}$. Execute **procedure 1.45** on $\langle a, c, e, b, d, f \rangle$. Execute **procedure 1.45** on $\langle c, e, a, d, f, b \rangle$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $\chi_{[b, d], f}(\chi_{b,d}(a, c), e) = \chi_{b, [d, f]}(a, \chi_{d, f}(c, e))$.

Implementation

1. Verify that $\chi_{[b, d], f}(\chi_{b,d}(a, c), e) \equiv e \pmod{f}$.
2. Verify that $\chi_{[b, d], f}(\chi_{b,d}(a, c), e) \equiv \chi_{b,d}(a, c) \pmod{[b, d]} = gb = hd$.

3. Therefore using **procedure 1.03**, verify that $\chi_{[b, d], f}(\chi_{b,d}(a, c), e) \equiv \chi_{b,d}(a, c) \equiv a \pmod{b}$.
4. Also using **procedure 1.03**, verify that $\chi_{[b, d], f}(\chi_{b,d}(a, c), e) \equiv \chi_{b,d}(a, c) \equiv c \pmod{d}$.
5. Therefore using (1), (4), and **procedure 1.39**, verify that $\chi_{[b, d], f}(\chi_{b,d}(a, c), e) \equiv \chi_{d, f}(c, e) \pmod{[d, f]}$.
6. Therefore using (3), (5), and **procedure 1.39**, verify that $\chi_{[b, d], f}(\chi_{b,d}(a, c), e) \equiv \chi_{b, [d, f]}(a, \chi_{d, f}(c, e)) \pmod{[b, [d, f]]} = [[b, d], f]$.
7. **Therefore verify that** $\chi_{[b, d], f}(\chi_{b,d}(a, c), e) = \chi_{b, [d, f]}(a, \chi_{d, f}(c, e))$.

Declaration 1.15

The notation $\chi_{b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ will be used to contextually refer to one of the following integers:

1. $\chi_{b_0, [b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n-1}]}(a_0, \chi_{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n-1}}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}))$
2. $\chi_{[b_0, b_1], [b_2, b_3, \dots, b_{n-1}]}(\chi_{b_0, b_1}(a_0, a_1), \chi_{b_2, b_3, \dots, b_{n-1}}(a_2, a_3, \dots, a_{n-1}))$
3. \vdots
4. $\chi_{[b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{n-2}], b_{n-1}}(\chi_{b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{n-2}}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}), a_{n-1})$

Declaration 1.16

The notation $\phi(n)$ will be used as a shorthand for the sublist of $[0 : n]$ where each entry x is such that $(x, n) = 1$.

Procedure 1.47

Objective

Choose an integer a and a positive integer b such that $(a, b) = 1$. The objective of the following instructions is to either show that $0 < 0$ or to show that each element of $a\phi(b) \pmod{b}$ is in $\phi(b)$.

Implementation

1. Verify that $(a, b) = 1$.
2. For i in $[0 : |\phi(b)|]$, do the following:
 - (a) Using **declaration 1.16**, verify that $(\phi(b)_i, b) = 1$.
 - (b) Execute **procedure 1.20** on $\langle a, \phi(b)_i, b \rangle$.
 - (c) Therefore verify that $(a\phi(b)_i, b) = 1$.
 - (d) Execute **procedure 1.17** on $\langle a\phi(b)_i \bmod b, a\phi(b)_i, b \rangle$.
 - (e) Therefore verify that $(a\phi(b)_i \bmod b, b) = (a\phi(b)_i, b) = 1$.
 - (f) Also verify that $0 \leq a\phi(b)_i \bmod b < b$.
 - (g) Therefore verify that $a\phi(b)_i \bmod b$ is contained in the list $\phi(b)$.
3. **Therefore verify that each element of $a\phi(b) \bmod b$ is in $\phi(b)$.**

Procedure 1.48

Objective

Choose an integer a and a positive integer b such that $(a, b) = 1$. The objective of the following instructions is to either show that $0 \neq 0$ or to show that each element of $a\phi(b) \bmod b$ is distinct.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 1.09** on $\langle a, b \rangle$ and let $\langle r, t, u, v, w \rangle$ receive.
2. Verify that $va + wb = r = (a, b) = 1$.
3. Therefore verify that $va \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$.
4. Now for i in $[0 : |\phi(b)|]$, do the following:
 - (a) For j in $[i + 1 : |\phi(b)|]$, do the following:
 - i. If $a\phi(b)_i \equiv a\phi(b)_j \pmod{b}$, then do the following:
 - A. Verify that $\phi(b)_i \equiv va\phi(b)_i \equiv va\phi(b)_j \equiv \phi(b)_j \pmod{b}$.
 - B. Therefore verify that $\phi(b)_i = \phi(b)_j$.
 - C. Also verify that $i \neq j$.

D. Therefore using **declaration 1.16**, verify that $\phi(b)_i \neq \phi(b)_j$.

E. **Therefore using (B) and (D), verify that $\phi(b)_i \neq \phi(b)_i$.**

F. **Abort procedure.**

ii. Otherwise, do the following:

A. Verify that $a\phi(b)_i \not\equiv a\phi(b)_j \pmod{b}$.

5. **Therefore verify that $a\phi(b) \bmod b$ is composed of distinct elements.**

Procedure 1.49

Objective

Choose an integer a and a positive integer b such that $(a, b) = 1$. The objective of the following instructions is to either show that $0 < 0$ or to show that $a\phi(b) \bmod b$ is a rearrangement of $\phi(b)$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 1.47** on $\langle a, b \rangle$.
2. Therefore verify that each element of $a\phi(b) \bmod b$ is in $\phi(b)$.
3. Verify that $|a\phi(b) \bmod b| = |\phi(b)|$.
4. Execute **procedure 1.48** on $\langle a, b \rangle$.
5. Therefore verify that $a\phi(b) \bmod b$ is composed of distinct elements.
6. **Therefore verify that $a\phi(b) \bmod b$ is a rearrangement of $\phi(b)$.**

Procedure 1.50

Objective

Choose an integer a and a positive integer b such that $(a, b) = 1$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that either $0 < 0$ or $a^{|\phi(b)|} \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$.

Implementation

1. For i in $[0 : |\phi(b)|]$, do the following:
 - (a) Execute **procedure 1.09** on $\langle \phi(b)_i, b \rangle$ and let $\langle r_i, t_i, u_i, v_i, w_i \rangle$.
 - (b) Using **declaration 1.16**, verify that $v_i \phi(b)_i + w_i b = r_i = (\phi(b)_i, b) = 1$.
 - (c) Therefore verify that $v_i \phi(b)_i \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$.
2. Therefore using **procedure 1.49**, verify that $\prod_{i=0}^{|\phi(b)|} \phi(b)_i \equiv \prod_{i=0}^{|\phi(b)|} a \phi(b)_i \equiv a^{|\phi(b)|} \prod_{i=0}^{|\phi(b)|} \phi(b)_i \pmod{b}$.
3. **Therefore verify that** $1 \equiv \prod_{i=0}^{|\phi(b)|} (v_i \phi(b)_i) = \prod_{i=0}^{|\phi(b)|} v_i \prod_{i=0}^{|\phi(b)|} \phi(b)_i \equiv \prod_{i=0}^{|\phi(b)|} \phi(b)_i \prod_{i=0}^{|\phi(b)|} v_i \equiv a^{|\phi(b)|} \pmod{b}$.

Declaration 1.17

The notation $a \times b$ as a shorthand for the $|a| \times |b|$ matrix such that for i in $[0 : |a|]$, for j in $[0 : |b|]$, $(a \times b)_{i,j} = \langle a_i, b_j \rangle$.

Procedure 1.52

Objective

Choose two positive integers a, b such that $(a, b) = 1$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that each entry of $\chi_{a,b}([0 : a] \times [0 : b])$ is in $[0 : ab]$.

Implementation

1. Let $h = \chi_{a,b}([0 : a] \times [0 : b])$.
2. Therefore verify that $0 \leq h_{i,j} < [a, b] = a, b = ab$ for i in $[0 : a]$, for j in $[0 : b]$.
3. **Therefore verify that each entry of h is in $[0 : ab]$.**

Procedure 1.53

Objective

Choose two positive integers a, b such that $(a, b) = 1$. The objective of the following instructions is to

either show that $0 < 0$ or to show that each entry of $\chi_{a,b}([0 : a] \times [0 : b])$ is distinct.

Implementation

1. Let $h = \chi_{a,b}([0 : a] \times [0 : b])$.
2. For each distinct unordered pair of index pairs $\langle i, j \rangle$ and $\langle k, l \rangle$ of h , do the following:
 - (a) If $h_{i,j} = h_{k,l}$, then do the following:
 - i. Verify that $\chi_{a,b}([0 : a]_i, [0 : b]_j) = h_{i,j} = h_{k,l} = \chi_{a,b}([0 : a]_k, [0 : b]_l)$.
 - ii. Verify that $\chi_{a,b}(i, j) = \chi_{a,b}(k, l)$.
 - iii. Therefore using **procedure 1.42**, verify that $i \equiv \chi_{a,b}(i, j) = \chi_{a,b}(k, l) \equiv k \pmod{a}$.
 - iv. Therefore verify that $i = k$.
 - v. Also using **procedure 1.42**, verify that $j \equiv \chi_{a,b}(i, j) = \chi_{a,b}(k, l) \equiv l \pmod{b}$.
 - vi. Therefore verify that $j = l$.
 - vii. Therefore verify that $\langle i, j \rangle = \langle k, l \rangle$.
 - viii. Using (2), verify that $\langle i, j \rangle \neq \langle k, l \rangle$.
 - ix. **Therefore verify that $\langle i, j \rangle \neq \langle i, j \rangle$.**
 - x. **Abort procedure.**
 - (b) Otherwise do the following:
 - i. Verify that $h_{i,j} \neq h_{k,l}$.
3. **Therefore verify that each entry of h is distinct.**

Procedure 1.54

Objective

Choose two positive integers a, b such that $(a, b) = 1$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that either $0 < 0$ or $\chi_{a,b}([0 : a] \times [0 : b])$ is a rearrangement $[0 : ab]$.

Implementation

1. Let $h = \chi_{a,b}([0 : a] \times [0 : b])$.
2. Execute **procedure 1.52** on $\langle a, b \rangle$.

3. Therefore verify that each element of h is in $[0 : ab]$.
4. Also verify that h has the same number of entries as $[0 : ab]$.
5. Execute **procedure 1.53** on $\langle a, b \rangle$.
6. Therefore verify that h is composed of distinct elements.
7. **Therefore verify that h is a rearrangement of $[0 : ab]$.**

Procedure 1.55

Objective

Choose two positive integers a, b such that $(a, b) = 1$. The objective of the following instructions is to either show that $0 < 0$ or to show that each entry of $\chi_{a,b}(\phi(a) \times \phi(b))$ is in $\phi(ab)$.

Implementation

1. Let $h = \chi_{a,b}(\phi(a) \times \phi(b))$.
2. Now, for each index pair $\langle i, j \rangle$ of h , do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $0 \leq h_{i,j} < [a, b] = a, b = ab$.
 - (b) Verify that $h_{i,j} = \chi_{a,b}(\phi(a)_i, \phi(b)_j) \equiv \phi(a)_i(\text{mod } a)$.
 - (c) Execute **procedure 1.17** on $\langle h_{i,j}, \phi(a)_i, a \rangle$.
 - (d) Therefore verify that $(a, h_{i,j}) = (h_{i,j}, a) = (\phi(a)_i, a) = 1$.
 - (e) Verify that $h_{i,j} = \chi_{a,b}(\phi(a)_i, \phi(b)_j) \equiv \phi(b)_j(\text{mod } b)$.
 - (f) Execute **procedure 1.17** on $\langle h_{i,j}, \phi(b)_j, b \rangle$.
 - (g) Therefore verify that $(b, h_{i,j}) = (h_{i,j}, b) = (\phi(b)_j, b) = 1$.
 - (h) Therefore verify that $(h_{i,j}, ab) = (ab, h_{i,j}) = 1$.
 - (i) Therefore verify that $h_{i,j}$ is in $\phi(ab)$.
3. **Therefore verify that each entry of $\chi_{a,b}(\phi(a) \times \phi(b))$ is in $\phi(ab)$.**

Procedure 1.56

Objective

Choose two positive integers a, b such that $(a, b) = 1$. The objective of the following instructions is to either show that $0 < 0$ or to show that each entry of $\phi(ab)$ is in $\chi_{a,b}(\phi(a) \times \phi(b))$.

Implementation

1. For i in $[0 : |\phi(ab)|]$, do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $(\phi(ab)_i, ab) = 1$.
 - (b) Verify that $\phi(ab)_i \equiv \phi(ab)_i \text{ mod } a(\text{mod } a)$.
 - (c) Therefore using **procedure 1.17**, verify that $(\phi(ab)_i \text{ mod } a, a) = (\phi(ab)_i, a) = 1$.
 - (d) Also verify that $0 \leq \phi(ab)_i \text{ mod } a < a$.
 - (e) Therefore verify that $\phi(ab)_i \text{ mod } a$ is amongst $\phi(a)$.
 - (f) Verify that $\phi(ab)_i \equiv \phi(ab)_i \text{ mod } b(\text{mod } b)$.
 - (g) Also using **procedure 1.17**, verify that $(\phi(ab)_i \text{ mod } b, b) = (\phi(ab)_i, b) = 1$.
 - (h) Also verify that $0 \leq \phi(ab)_i \text{ mod } b < b$.
 - (i) Therefore verify that $\phi(ab)_i \text{ mod } b$ is amongst $\phi(b)$.
 - (j) Therefore verify that $\langle \phi(ab)_i \text{ mod } a, \phi(ab)_i \text{ mod } b \rangle$ is amongst $\phi(a) \times \phi(b)$.
 - (k) Also using (b) and (f) and **procedure 1.39**, verify that $\phi(ab)_i \equiv \chi_{a,b}(\phi(ab)_i \text{ mod } a, \phi(ab)_i \text{ mod } b)(\text{mod } [a, b]) = a, b = ab$.
 - (l) Therefore verify that $\phi(ab)_i = \chi_{a,b}(\phi(ab)_i \text{ mod } a, \phi(ab)_i \text{ mod } b)$.
 - (m) Therefore using (j) and (l), verify that $\phi(ab)_i$ is amongst $\chi_{a,b}(\phi(a) \times \phi(b))$.
2. **Therefore verify that each entry of $\phi(ab)$ is in $\chi_{a,b}(\phi(a) \times \phi(b))$.**

Procedure 1.57

Objective

Choose two positive integers a, b such that $(a, b) = 1$. The objective of the following instructions is to either show that $0 < 0$ or to show that $\phi(ab)$ is a rearrangement of $\chi_{a,b}(\phi(a) \times \phi(b))$ and that $|\phi(ab)| = |\phi(a)||\phi(b)|$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 1.54** on $\langle a, b \rangle$.
2. Therefore verify that $\chi_{a,b}([0 : a] \times [0 : b])$ are a rearrangement of $[0 : ab]$.
3. Verify that $\chi_{a,b}(\phi(a) \times \phi(b))$ is a submatrix of $\chi_{a,b}([0 : a] \times [0 : b])$.
4. Therefore verify that the entries of $\chi_{a,b}(\phi(a) \times \phi(b))$ are distinct.
5. Execute **procedure 1.55** on $\langle a, b \rangle$.
6. Therefore verify that the entries of $\chi_{a,b}(\phi(a) \times \phi(b))$ are in $\phi(ab)$.
7. Verify that the entries of $\phi(ab)$ are distinct.
8. Execute **procedure 1.56** on $\langle a, b \rangle$.
9. Therefore verify that the entries of $\phi(ab)$ are in $\chi_{a,b}(\phi(a) \times \phi(b))$.
10. **Therefore verify that $\phi(ab)$ is a rearrangement of $\chi_{a,b}(\phi(a) \times \phi(b))$.**
11. **Therefore verify that $|\phi(ab)| = |\chi_{a,b}(\phi(a) \times \phi(b))| = |\phi(a) \times \phi(b)| = |\phi(a)||\phi(b)|$.**

Declaration 1.18

The notation $[P]$, where P is a condition, will be used as a shorthand for 1 if P , otherwise it will stand for 0.

Declaration 1.19

The notation $\sum_{r=a}^b c_r$ will be used as a shorthand for 0 if $a = b$, otherwise it will stand for $c_a + \sum_{r=a+1}^b c_r$.

Procedure 1.58

Objective

Choose a positive integer a and a prime b . The objective of the following instructions is to show that either $0 < 0$ or $|\phi(b^a)| = b^a - b^{a-1}$.

Implementation

1. Using **procedure 1.21**, verify that $\sum_{r=0}^{b^a} [(r, b^a) = 1] \leq \sum_{r=0}^{b^a} [(r, b) = 1]$.
2. Using **procedure 1.20**, verify that $\sum_{r=0}^{b^a} [(r, b) = 1] \leq \sum_{r=0}^{b^a} [(r, b^a) = 1]$.
3. Therefore verify that $\sum_{r=0}^{b^a} [(r, b^a) = 1] = \sum_{r=0}^{b^a} [(r, b) = 1]$.
4. Using **procedure 1.13**, verify that $\sum_{r=0}^{b^a} [(r, b) = 1] \leq \sum_{r=0}^{b^a} [r \bmod b \neq 0]$.
5. Using **procedure 1.22**, verify that $\sum_{r=0}^{b^a} [r \bmod b \neq 0] \leq \sum_{r=0}^{b^a} [(r, b) = 1]$.
6. Therefore verify that $\sum_{r=0}^{b^a} [(r, b) = 1] = \sum_{r=0}^{b^a} [r \bmod b \neq 0]$.
7. **Therefore using (3) and (6), verify that $|\phi(b^a)| = \sum_{r=0}^{b^a} [(r, b^a) = 1] = \sum_{r=0}^{b^a} [(r, b) = 1] = \sum_{r=0}^{b^a} [r \bmod b \neq 0] = \sum_{r=0}^{b^a} (1 - [r \bmod b = 0]) = b^a - b^{a-1}$.**

Procedure 1.59

Objective

Choose a list of primes a . Let b be the list of distinct primes in a . Let c be a list such that c_i is the multiplicity of b_i in a for $i = 1$ to $i = |b|$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that either $0 < 0$ or $|\phi(a_*)| = \prod_{i=0}^{|b|} (b_i^{c_i} - b_i^{c_i-1})$.

Implementation

1. If $a = \langle \rangle$, then do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $|b| = |a| = 0$.
 - (b) **Therefore verify that $\phi(a_*) = \phi(1) = 1 = \prod_{i=0}^{|b|} (b_i^{c_i} - b_i^{c_i-1})$.**

2. Otherwise, do the following:

- (a) Verify that $a_* = \prod_{i=0}^{|b|} b_i^{c_i}$.
- (b) Verify that $|a| > 0$.
- (c) Therefore verify that $|c| = |b| > 0$.
- (d) Therefore using **procedure 1.30**, verify that $(b_0^{c_0}, \prod_{i=1}^{|b|} b_i^{c_i}) = 1$.
- (e) Let d be the list a with all instances of a_0 removed.
- (f) Verify that $|d| < |a|$.
- (g) Now execute **procedure 1.59** on $\langle d \rangle$.
- (h) Hence verify that $\phi(d_*) = \phi(\prod_{i=1}^{|b|} b_i^{c_i}) = \prod_{i=1}^{|b|} (b_i^{c_i} - b_i^{c_i-1})$.
- (i) **Therefore using (d), (h), procedure 1.57 and procedure 1.58, verify that** $|\phi(a_*)| = |\phi(\prod_{i=0}^{|b|} b_i^{c_i})| = |\phi(b_0^{c_0} \prod_{i=1}^{|b|} b_i^{c_i})| = |\phi(b_0^{c_0})| |\phi(\prod_{i=1}^{|b|} b_i^{c_i})| = (b_0^{c_0} - b_0^{c_0-1}) |\phi(\prod_{i=1}^{|b|} b_i^{c_i})| = (b_0^{c_0} - b_0^{c_0-1}) \prod_{i=1}^{|b|} (b_i^{c_i} - b_i^{c_i-1}) = \prod_{i=0}^{|b|} (b_i^{c_i} - b_i^{c_i-1})$.

Declaration 1.20

The notation a^b will be used as a shorthand for $\prod_{i=0}^b (a - i)$.

Procedure 1.60

Objective

Choose a list of distinct elements a and a non-negative integer b such that $b \leq |a|$. Let c be a list of length- b permutations of a . The objective of the following instructions is to show that $|c| = |a|^b$.

Implementation

- 1. If $|b| > 0$, then do the following:
 - (a) For each entry d in a , do the following:
 - i. Let e be the list formed by removing d from a .
 - ii. Verify that the entries of e are distinct.

iii. Verify that $|e| = |a| - 1$.

iv. Now execute **procedure 1.60** on $\langle e, b - 1 \rangle$.

v. Therefore verify that the number of length- $b - 1$ permutations of e is $|e|^{b-1}$.

vi. Therefore verify that the number of length- b permutations of a beginning with d is $|e|^{b-1} = (|a| - 1)^{b-1}$.

(b) Therefore verify that the number of length- b permutations of a beginning with any entry of a is $|a|(|a| - 1)^{b-1} = |a|^b$.

(c) Therefore verify that the number of length- b permutations of a are $|a|^b$.

(d) **Therefore verify that** $|c| = |a|^b$.

2. Otherwise do the following:

(a) Verify that $b = 0$.

(b) Verify that the number of length-0 permutations of a is 1.

(c) **Therefore verify that** $|c| = 1 = |a|^0 = |a|^b$.

Declaration 1.21

The notation $\binom{n}{r}$ will be used as a shorthand for $n^x \text{div}(r!)$.

Procedure 1.61

Objective

Choose a list of distinct elements n and a non-negative integer r such that $r \leq |n|$. Let b be the largest list of length- r sublists of n such that no two of them are permutations of each other. The objective of the following instructions is to either show that b contains at least two permutations of the same list, construct a list larger than b that is also a list of length- r sublists of n such that no two of them are permutations of each other, or to show that $|b| = \binom{|n|}{r}$ and that $|n|^x \text{mod } r! = 0$.

Implementation

- 1. Let a and f be a list of all the permutations of n .
- 2. Using **procedure 1.60**, verify that $|a| = |n|^{|n|}$.

3. For each list c in b , do the following:
 - (a) Using **procedure 1.60**, verify that the number of permutations of c is $r!$.
 - (b) Let d be the list obtained by removing the elements of c from n .
 - (c) Using **procedure 1.60**, verify that the number of permutations of d is $(n - r)!$.
 - (d) Let e be the list of permutations of n beginning with a permutations of c .
 - (e) Verify that $|e| = |c||d| = r!(n - r)!$.
 - (f) If e is not a sublist of a , then do the following:
 - i. Let g be a list in e that is not in a .
 - ii. Verify that e is a sublist of f .
 - iii. Therefore verify that g was in a but then was removed.
 - iv. Therefore verify that the variable c was formerly equal to a permutation of the current c .
 - v. **Therefore verify that b contains at least two permutations of c .**
 - vi. **Abort procedure.**
 - (g) Otherwise, do the following:
 - i. Verify that e is a sublist of a .
 - ii. Remove the lists in e from a .
4. If $a \neq \langle \rangle$, then do the following:
 - (a) Let g be a list in a .
 - (b) Let h be the sublist of g corresponding to its first r elements.
 - (c) Therefore verify that the permutations of n beginning with a permutation of h were never removed from a .
 - (d) Therefore verify that the variable c was never equal to a permutation of h .
 - (e) Therefore verify that no permutation of h is in b .
 - (f) **Therefore verify that $b \cap \langle h \rangle$ is larger than b and is also a list of length- r sublists of n such that no two of them are permutations of each other.**
 - (g) **Abort procedure.**

5. Otherwise do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $|n|! \bmod (r!(|n| - r)!) = 0$.
 - (b) Therefore verify that $|n|! = (|n|! \operatorname{div}(r!(|n| - r)!))r!(|n| - r)!$.
 - (c) Therefore verify that $|n|! \operatorname{div}(|n| - r)! = (|n|! \operatorname{div}(r!(|n| - r)!))r!$.
 - (d) **Therefore verify that $n^x \bmod r! = (|n|! \operatorname{div}(|n| - r)!) \bmod r! = 0$.**
 - (e) Also verify that (3) iterated $|n|! \operatorname{div}(r!(|n| - r)!) \operatorname{div}(r!) = n^x \operatorname{div}(r!) = \binom{n}{r}$.
 - (f) **Therefore using procedure 1.08, verify that $|b| = |n|! \operatorname{div}(r!(|n| - r)!) = (|n|! \operatorname{div}(|n| - r)!) \operatorname{div}(r!) = n^x \operatorname{div}(r!) = \binom{n}{r}$.**

Procedure 1.62

Objective

Choose two positive integers a, b . The objective of the following instructions is to show that $\binom{a}{b} = \binom{a-1}{b-1} + \binom{a-1}{b}$.

Implementation

1. Using **procedure 1.05** and **procedure 1.06**, verify that $\binom{a-1}{b-1} + \binom{a-1}{b}$
 - (a) $= (a - 1)^{\overline{b-1}} \operatorname{div}(b - 1)! + (a - 1)^{\overline{b}} \operatorname{div} b!$
 - (b) $= ((a - 1)^{\overline{b-1}} b) \operatorname{div} b! + (a - 1)^{\overline{b}} \operatorname{div} b!$
 - (c) $= ((a - 1)^{\overline{b-1}} b + (a - 1)^{\overline{b}}) \operatorname{div} b!$
 - (d) $= ((a - 1)^{\overline{b-1}} b + (a - 1)^{\overline{b-1}}(a - b)) \operatorname{div} b!$
 - (e) $= ((a - 1)^{\overline{b-1}} a) \operatorname{div} b!$
 - (f) $= a^{\overline{b}} \operatorname{div} b!$
 - (g) $= \binom{a}{b}$.

Declaration 1.22

The notation \mathbb{Z} will be used as a shorthand for "integer".

Declaration 1.23

The notation $A[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ will be used as a shorthand for formal polynomial with A coefficients that is in the indeterminates x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n .

Procedure 1.63

Objective

Choose a non-negative integer a . The objective of the following instructions is to show that the $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ $(1+x)^a = \sum_{r=0}^{a+1} \binom{a+1}{r} x^r$.

Implementation

1. If $a = 0$, then do the following:
 - (a) **Verify that** $(1+x)^a = (1+x)^0 = 1 = \sum_{r=0}^1 \binom{0}{r} x^r = \sum_{r=0}^{a+1} \binom{a+1}{r} x^r$.
2. Otherwise, do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $a > 0$.
 - (b) Therefore verify that $a - 1 \geq 0$.
 - (c) Execute **procedure 1.63** on $\langle a - 1 \rangle$.
 - (d) Therefore verify that $(1+x)^{a-1} = \sum_{r=0}^a \binom{a-1}{r} x^r$.
 - (e) Therefore using **procedure 1.62**, verify that
 - i. $= (1+x)(1+x)^{a-1}$
 - ii. $= (1+x) \sum_{r=0}^a \binom{a-1}{r} x^r$
 - iii. $= \sum_{r=0}^a \binom{a-1}{r} x^r + \sum_{r=0}^a \binom{a-1}{r} x^{r+1}$
 - iv. $= \sum_{r=0}^{a+1} \binom{a-1}{r} x^r + \sum_{r=1}^{a+1} \binom{a-1}{r-1} x^r$
 - v. $= 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{a+1} \left(\binom{a-1}{r} + \binom{a-1}{r-1} \right) x^r$
 - vi. $= 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{a+1} \binom{a}{r} x^r$
 - vii. $= \sum_{r=0}^{a+1} \binom{a}{r} x^r$.

Procedure 1.64

Objective

Choose an integer r and a prime n such that $0 < r < n$. The objective of the following instructions is

to show that either $0 \neq 0$ or $\binom{n}{r} \bmod n = 0$.

Implementation

1. Using **procedure 1.61**, verify that $\binom{n}{r} r! = n^r \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$.
2. If $\binom{n}{r} \bmod n \neq 0$, then do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $i \bmod n \neq 0$ for $i = 1$ to $i = r$.
 - (b) Therefore using **procedure 1.23**, verify that $r! \bmod n \neq 0$.
 - (c) Therefore using (2) and (b), verify that $\binom{n}{r} r! \bmod n \neq 0$.
 - (d) **Therefore using (1) and (c), verify that** $0 \neq 0$.
 - (e) **Abort procedure.**
3. Otherwise, do the following:
 - (a) **Verify that** $\binom{n}{r} \bmod n = 0$.

Procedure 1.65

Objective

Choose a non-negative integer a and a prime b . The objective of the following instructions is to show that either $0 \neq 0$ or the $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ $\sum_{r=0}^{a+1} \binom{a+1}{r} x^r \equiv \sum_{r=0}^{a+1} \binom{a \div b}{r \div b} \binom{a \bmod b}{r \bmod b} x^r \pmod{b}$.

Implementation

1. Using **procedure 1.02**, **procedure 1.63**, and **procedure 1.64**, verify that $\sum_{r=0}^{a+1} \binom{a+1}{r} x^r$
 - (a) $= (1+x)^a$
 - (b) $= (1+x)^{(a \div b)b + a \bmod b}$
 - (c) $= (1+x)^{(a \div b)b} (1+x)^{a \bmod b}$
 - (d) $= ((1+x)^b)^{a \div b} (1+x)^{a \bmod b}$
 - (e) $= \left(\sum_{u=0}^{b+1} \binom{b}{u} x^u \right)^{a \div b} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{(a \bmod b)+1} \binom{a \bmod b}{t} x^t \right)$
 - (f) $\equiv (1+x^b)^{a \div b} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{(a \bmod b)+1} \binom{a \bmod b}{t} x^t \right)$
 - (g) $= \frac{\left(\sum_{u=0}^{(a \div b)+1} \binom{a \div b}{u} x^u \right)^{a \div b} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{(a \bmod b)+1} \binom{a \bmod b}{t} x^t \right)}{\left(\sum_{t=0}^{(a \bmod b)+1} \binom{a \bmod b}{t} x^t \right)}$
 - (h) $= \sum_{u=0}^{(a \div b)+1} \sum_{t=0}^{(a \bmod b)+1} \binom{a \div b}{u} \binom{a \bmod b}{t} x^{ub+t}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(i)} &= \sum_{u=0}^{(a \operatorname{div} b)+1} \sum_{t=0}^{(a \bmod b)+1} \binom{a \operatorname{div} b}{(ub+t) \operatorname{div} b} \binom{a \bmod b}{(ub+t) \bmod b} x^{ub+t} \\
\text{(j)} &= \sum_{r=0}^{a+1} \binom{a \operatorname{div} b}{r \operatorname{div} b} \binom{a \bmod b}{r \bmod b} x^r \pmod{b}.
\end{aligned}$$

Part II

Rational Arithmetic

Declaration 2.00

The notation $\lfloor \frac{a}{b} \rfloor$, where $b > 0, a$ are integers, will be used as a shorthand for $a \text{ div } b$.

Declaration 2.01

The notation $\lceil \frac{a}{b} \rceil$, where $b > 0, a$ are integers, will be used as a shorthand for $(a \text{ div } b) + [a \bmod b \neq 0]$.

Procedure 2.00

Objective

Choose two integers $d \neq 0, c$. Let $b = \frac{c}{d}$. The objective of the following instructions is to construct a prime p such that $0 \leq \frac{c^{4p} b^{2p} 2^{2p+1}}{(1 - (\frac{b}{2p+2})^2)(2p)!} < 1$, $p > 4c^4$, $p \bmod 2 = 1$, $2p + 2 > |b|$, and no prime in $[2 : p]$ satisfies the aforementioned constraints.

Implementation

1. Let $p = 1 + \max(4c^4, \lfloor \frac{|b|-2}{2} \rfloor, 1, \lfloor \frac{b^2-2}{2} \rfloor)$.
2. Execute **procedure 1.28** on $p + \lfloor \frac{c^{4p} b^{2p} 2^{2p+1}}{(1 - (\frac{b}{2p+2})^2)(2p)!} \rfloor$ and let q receive.
3. Now verify that $q > p > 4c^4$.
4. Also verify that $q > p > \frac{|b|-2}{2}$.
5. Therefore verify that $2q + 2 > |b|$.
6. Also verify that $q > p \geq 2$.
7. Therefore, using (2) verify that $q \bmod 2 \neq 0$.
8. Therefore, using (2) verify that $q \bmod 2 = 1$.
9. If $c = 0$, then do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $0 \leq \frac{c^{4q} b^{2q} 2^{2q+1}}{(1 - (\frac{b}{2q+2})^2)(2q)!} = 0 < 1$.
10. Otherwise do the following:
 - (a) For i in $[p : q]$, do the following:
 - i. Let $j = i + 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ii. Verify that } 0 &< \frac{c^{4j} b^{2j} 2^{2j+1}}{(1 - (\frac{b}{2j+2})^2)(2j)!} / \frac{c^{4i} b^{2i} 2^{2i+1}}{(1 - (\frac{b}{2i+2})^2)(2i)!} = \\ &\frac{4c^4 b^2 (1 - (\frac{b}{2i+2})^2)(2i)!}{(1 - (\frac{b}{2j+2})^2)(2j)!} < \frac{4c^4 b^2}{(2i+1)(2i+2)} < \\ &\frac{4c^4 b^2}{8c^4 b^2} = \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{(b) Hence, using (a) verify that } \frac{c^{4q} b^{2q} 2^{2q+1}}{(1 - (\frac{b}{2q+2})^2)(2q)!} / \frac{c^{4p} b^{2p} 2^{2p+1}}{(1 - (\frac{b}{2p+2})^2)(2p)!} < (\frac{1}{2})^{q-p}.$$

$$\text{(c) Therefore verify that } \frac{c^{4q} b^{2q} 2^{2q+1}}{(1 - (\frac{b}{2q+2})^2)(2q)!} 2^{q-p} < \frac{c^{4p} b^{2p} 2^{2p+1}}{(1 - (\frac{b}{2p+2})^2)(2p)!}.$$

$$\text{(d) Verify that } 2^{q-p} = (1 + 1)^{q-p} = \sum_{r=0}^{q-p+1} \binom{q-p}{r} \geq \sum_{r=0}^{q-p+1} 1 = q - p + 1 \geq \lceil \frac{c^{4p} b^{2p} 2^{2p+1}}{(1 - (\frac{b}{2p+2})^2)(2p)!} \rceil.$$

$$\text{(e) Therefore verify that } \frac{c^{4q} b^{2q} 2^{2q+1}}{(1 - (\frac{b}{2q+2})^2)(2q)!} \lceil \frac{c^{4p} b^{2p} 2^{2p+1}}{(1 - (\frac{b}{2p+2})^2)(2p)!} \rceil < \frac{c^{4p} b^{2p} 2^{2p+1}}{(1 - (\frac{b}{2p+2})^2)(2p)!}.$$

$$\text{(f) Therefore verify that } \frac{c^{4q} b^{2q} 2^{2q+1}}{(1 - (\frac{b}{2q+2})^2)(2q)!} < 1.$$

11. Let r be the smallest prime in $[2 : q + 1]$ such that $0 \leq \frac{c^{4r} b^{2r} 2^{2r+1}}{(1 - (\frac{b}{2r+2})^2)(2r)!} < 1, r > 4c^4, r \bmod 2 = 1$, and $2r + 2 > |b|$.

12. Yield $\langle r \rangle$.

Declaration 2.02

The notation $a^{\bar{b}}$ will be used as a shorthand for $\prod_{i=0}^b (a + i)$.

Procedure 2.01

Objective

Choose two integers $d \neq 0, c$. Let $b = \frac{c}{d}$ and let p be the result of executing **procedure 2.00** on $\langle c, d \rangle$. If $|(2p)! \sum_{t=0}^{2p+1} b^{4p-2t} \binom{2p}{t} \sum_{r=0}^{3p+1} b^{2r} (-1)^r \binom{2t+2p+1}{2r+1} (2p+1)^{2t-2r}| \leq \frac{b^{6p} 2^{2p+1}}{1 - (\frac{b}{2p+2})^2}$, the objective of the following

instructions is to construct an integer e such that $e \neq 0$ and $-1 < e < 1$.

Implementation

1. Let $e = \sum_{t=0}^{2p+1} \binom{2p}{t} \sum_{r=0}^{3p+1} c^{4p+2r-2t} d^{2t-2r} (-1)^r \binom{2t+2p+1}{2r+1} (2p+1)^{2t-2r}$.
2. Verify that e is an integer.
3. Using the precondition, verify that $|e| \leq \frac{c^{4p} b^{2p} 2^{2p+1}}{(1 - (\frac{b}{2p+2})^2)(2p)!} < 1$.
4. If $c = 0$, then do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $e = \binom{2p}{2p} d^{4p} \binom{6p+1}{1} (2p+1)^{4p} = d^{4p} (6p+1) (2p+1)^{4p} \neq 0$.
 - (b) **Abort procedure.**
5. Otherwise do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $p > 4c^4 > c \neq 0$.
 - (b) Therefore, using [procedure 1.22](#) verify that $(c, p) = 1$.
 - (c) Therefore, using [procedure 1.50](#) and [procedure 1.65](#) verify that e
 - i. $\equiv \binom{2p}{0} c^{4p} \binom{2p+1}{1} + \binom{2p}{p} \sum_{r=0}^{p+1} c^{2p+2r} d^{2p-2r} (-1)^r \binom{4p+1}{2r+1} (2p+1)^{2p-2r} + \binom{2p}{2p} \sum_{r=0}^{2p+1} c^{2r} d^{4p-2r} (-1)^r \binom{6p+1}{2r+1} (2p+1)^{4p-2r}$
 - ii. $\equiv c^{4p} (2p+1) + \binom{2}{1} c^{4p} (-1)^p \binom{4p+1}{2p+1} + \binom{2}{2} c^{4p} (-1)^{2p} \binom{6p+1}{4p+1}$
 - iii. $\equiv c^{4(1+|\phi(p)|)} (1 - 2\binom{4}{2}\binom{1}{1} + \binom{6}{4}\binom{1}{1})$
 - iv. $\equiv c^4 (1 - 12 + 15)$
 - v. $\equiv 4c^4$
 - vi. $\not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$
 - (d) Therefore verify that $e \neq 0$.
 - (e) **Abort procedure.**

Procedure 2.02

Objective

Choose two positive integers $a \geq b$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $\binom{a}{b} =$

$$\frac{a}{b} \binom{a-1}{b-1}.$$

Implementation

1. Execute [procedure 1.61](#) on $\langle [0 : a], b \rangle$.
2. Hence verify that $a^b \bmod b! = 0$.
3. Execute [procedure 1.61](#) on $\langle [0 : a-1], b-1 \rangle$.
4. Hence verify that $(a-1)^{b-1} \bmod (b-1)! = 0$.
5. **Therefore verify that** $\binom{a}{b} = \frac{a^b}{b!} = \frac{(a-1)^{b-1} * a}{(b-1)! * b} = \frac{(a-1)^{b-1}}{(b-1)!} \cdot \frac{a}{b} = \frac{a}{b} \binom{a-1}{b-1}$.

Procedure 2.03

Objective

Choose two non-negative integers $p > c$ and two rationals a, b . The objective of the following instructions is to show that $\sum_{t=0}^{p+1} \binom{p}{t} (-1)^t (a + bt)^c = 0$.

Implementation

1. If $c = 0$, then do the following:
 - (a) **Verify that** $\sum_{t=0}^{p+1} \binom{p}{t} (-1)^t (a + bt)^c = \sum_{t=0}^{p+1} \binom{p}{t} (-1)^t = (1-1)^p = 0^p = 0$.
2. Otherwise do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $c > 0$.
 - (b) Verify that $p > c > c-1 \geq 0$.
 - (c) Execute [procedure 2.03](#) on $\langle a-1, b, c-1, p \rangle$.
 - (d) Hence verify that $\sum_{t=0}^{p+1} \binom{p}{t} (-1)^t ((a-1) + bt)^{c-1} = 0$.
 - (e) Verify that $p-1 \geq c-1 \geq 0$.
 - (f) Execute [procedure 2.03](#) on $\langle a+b-1, b, c-1, p-1 \rangle$.
 - (g) Hence verify that $\sum_{t=0}^p \binom{p-1}{t} (-1)^t ((a+b-1) + bt)^{c-1} = 0$.
 - (h) Therefore, using [procedure 2.02](#) verify that $\sum_{t=0}^{p+1} \binom{p}{t} (-1)^t (a + bt)^c$
 - i. $= \sum_{t=0}^{p+1} \binom{p}{t} (-1)^t (a + bt) ((a-1) + bt)^{c-1}$
 - ii. $= a \sum_{t=0}^{p+1} \binom{p}{t} (-1)^t ((a-1) + bt)^{c-1} + b \sum_{t=0}^{p+1} t \binom{p}{t} (-1)^t ((a-1) + bt)^{c-1}$

- iii. $= 0a + b \sum_{t=1}^{p+1} t \binom{p}{t} (-1)^t ((a-1) + bt)^{c-1}$
- iv. $= b \sum_{t=1}^{p+1} p \binom{p-1}{t-1} (-1)^t ((a-1) + bt)^{c-1}$
- v. $= -bp \sum_{t=0}^p \binom{p-1}{t} (-1)^t ((a+b-1) + bt)^{c-1}$
- vi. $= -bp0$
- vii. $= 0.$

Procedure 2.04

Objective

Choose a rational $r \neq 1$ and an integer $n \geq 0$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $\sum_{t=0}^n r^t = \frac{1-r^{n+1}}{1-r}$.

Implementation

1. Verify that $r \sum_{t=0}^n r^t = \sum_{t=0}^n r^{t+1} = \sum_{t=1}^{n+1} r^t$.
2. Therefore verify that $(1-r) \sum_{t=0}^n r^t = \sum_{t=0}^n r^t - \sum_{t=1}^{n+1} r^t = 1 - r^{n+1}$.
3. Therefore verify that $\sum_{t=0}^n r^t = \frac{1-r^{n+1}}{1-r}$.

Procedure 2.05

Objective

Choose a rational $0 < r < 1$ and an integer $n \geq 0$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $\sum_{t=0}^n r^t < \frac{1}{1-r}$.

Implementation

1. Verify that $\sum_{t=0}^n r^t = \frac{1-r^{n+1}}{1-r} < \frac{1}{1-r}$.

Procedure 2.06

Objective

Choose two integers $d \neq 0, c$. Let $b = \frac{c}{d}$ and let p be the result of executing [procedure 2.00](#) on $\langle c, d \rangle$. If $\sum_{r=0}^{3p+1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} \leq 0 \leq \sum_{r=0}^{3p} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}$, the objective of the following instructions is to construct an integer e such that $e \neq 0$ and $-1 < e < 1$.

Implementation

1. Therefore verify that $0 \leq -\sum_{r=0}^{3p+1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} \leq -\frac{b^{6p}(-1)^{3p}}{(6p+1)!} = \frac{b^{6p}}{(6p+1)!}$.
2. Therefore verify that $0 \leq -\sum_{t=0}^{2p+1} b^{4p-2t} (2t+2p+1)! \binom{2p}{t} \sum_{r=0}^{3p+1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} \leq \sum_{t=0}^{2p+1} b^{4p-2t} (2t+2p+1)! \binom{2p}{t} \frac{b^{6p}}{(6p+1)!}$.
3. Now, using [procedure 2.03](#) verify that $\sum_{t=0}^{2p+1} b^{4p-2t} (2t+2p+1)! \binom{2p}{t} \sum_{r=0}^{3p+1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}$
 - (a) $= \sum_{t=0}^{2p+1} b^{4p-2t} \binom{2p}{t} \sum_{r=0}^{t+1} b^{2r} (-1)^r \binom{2t+2p+1}{2r+1} (2t+2p-2r)! + \sum_{t=0}^{2p+1} b^{4p-2t} (2t+2p+1)! \binom{2p}{t} \sum_{r=t+1}^{3p+1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} + \sum_{t=0}^{2p+1} b^{4p-2t} (2t+2p+1)! \binom{2p}{t} \sum_{r=t+p+1}^{3p+1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}$
 - (b) $= (2p)! \sum_{t=0}^{2p+1} b^{4p-2t} \binom{2p}{t} \sum_{r=0}^{3p+1} b^{2r} (-1)^r \binom{2t+2p+1}{2r+1} (2p+1)^{\overline{2t-2r}} + b^{4p} \sum_{r=1}^{p+1} b^{2r} (-1)^r \sum_{t=0}^{2p+1} \binom{2p}{t} (-1)^t (2t+2p+1)^{\overline{2p-2r}} + b^{6p} \sum_{t=0}^{2p+1} \binom{2p}{t} \sum_{r=t+1}^{2p+1} \frac{b^{2r-2t}(-1)^{r+p}}{(2t+2p+2)^{\overline{2r-2t}}}$
 - (c) $= (2p)! \sum_{t=0}^{2p+1} b^{4p-2t} \binom{2p}{t} \sum_{r=0}^{3p+1} b^{2r} (-1)^r \binom{2t+2p+1}{2r+1} (2p+1)^{\overline{2t-2r}} + 0 + b^{6p} \sum_{t=0}^{2p+1} \binom{2p}{t} \sum_{r=t+1}^{2p+1} \frac{b^{2r-2t}(-1)^{r+p}}{(2t+2p+2)^{\overline{2r-2t}}}$
4. Therefore verify that $b^{6p} \sum_{t=0}^{2p+1} \binom{2p}{t} \sum_{r=t+1}^{2p+1} \frac{b^{2r-2t}(-1)^{r+p}}{(2t+2p+2)^{\overline{2r-2t}}} \leq -(2p)! \sum_{t=0}^{2p+1} b^{4p-2t} \binom{2p}{t} \sum_{r=0}^{3p+1} b^{2r} (-1)^r \binom{2t+2p+1}{2r+1} (2p+1)^{\overline{2t-2r}} \leq b^{6p} \sum_{t=0}^{2p+1} \binom{2p}{t} \sum_{r=t+1}^{2p+1} \frac{b^{2r-2t}(-1)^{r+p}}{(2t+2p+2)^{\overline{2r-2t}}} + b^{6p} \sum_{t=0}^{2p+1} \binom{2p}{t} \frac{b^{4p-2t}}{(2t+2p+2)^{\overline{4p-2t}}}$
5. Therefore, using [procedure 2.05](#) verify that $-b^{6p} 2^{2p} \cdot \frac{1}{1-(\frac{b}{2p+2})^2} \leq -(2p)! \sum_{t=0}^{2p+1} b^{4p-2t} \binom{2p}{t} \sum_{r=0}^{3p+1} b^{2r} (-1)^r \binom{2t+2p+1}{2r+1} (2p+1)^{\overline{2t-2r}} \leq \frac{b^{6p} 2^{2p+1}}{1-(\frac{b}{2p+2})^2}$
6. Now execute [procedure 2.01](#) on $\langle c, d \rangle$.
7. Abort procedure.

Declaration 2.03

The notation $\text{al}(c, d)$, where $d \neq 0, c$ are integers, will be used to the result of executing the following instructions:

1. Execute **procedure 2.00** on $\langle c, d \rangle$ and let p be the result.
2. **Yield** $\langle \min(|\sum_{r=0}^{3p+1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}|, |\sum_{r=0}^{3p} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}|) \rangle$.

Procedure 2.07

Objective

Choose two integers $d \neq 0, c$. Let $b = \frac{c}{d}$ and let p be the result of executing **procedure 2.00** on $\langle c, d \rangle$. The objective of the following instructions is to either construct an integer e such that $e \neq 0$ and $-1 < e < 1$ or to show that $\text{sgn}(\sum_{r=0}^{3p+1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}) = \text{sgn}(\sum_{r=0}^{3p} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}) \neq 0$ and $\text{al}(c, d) > 0$.

Implementation

1. If $\sum_{r=0}^{3p} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} \geq 0$, then do the following:
 - (a) If $\sum_{r=0}^{3p+1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} \leq 0$, then do the following:
 - i. Execute **procedure 2.06** on $\langle c, d \rangle$.
 - ii. **Abort procedure.**
 - (b) Otherwise do the following:
 - i. Verify that $\sum_{r=0}^{3p+1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} > 0$.
 - ii. Therefore verify that $\sum_{r=0}^{3p} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} = \sum_{r=0}^{3p+1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} + \frac{b^{6p}}{(6p+1)!} \geq \sum_{r=0}^{3p+1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} > 0$.
 - iii. **Therefore verify that** $\text{sgn}(\sum_{r=0}^{3p+1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}) = \text{sgn}(\sum_{r=0}^{3p} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}) = 1$.
 - iv. **Therefore verify that** $\text{al}(c, d) > 0$.
2. Otherwise do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $\sum_{r=0}^{3p} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} < 0$.

- (b) Therefore verify that $\sum_{r=0}^{3p+1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} = -\frac{b^{6p}}{(6p+1)!} + \sum_{r=0}^{3p} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} \leq \sum_{r=0}^{3p} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} < 0$.
- (c) **Therefore verify that** $\text{sgn}(\sum_{r=0}^{3p+1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}) = \text{sgn}(\sum_{r=0}^{3p} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}) = -1$.
- (d) **Therefore verify that** $\text{al}(c, d) > 0$.

Procedure 2.08

Objective

Choose a rational number b and two positive integers p, q such that $p \leq q$ and $|b| < 4p$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $\sum_{r=0}^{2p} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} \leq \sum_{r=0}^{2q} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} \leq \sum_{r=0}^{2p-1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}$.

Implementation

1. Using the precondition, verify that $\sum_{r=0}^{2q} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}$
 - (a) $= \sum_{r=0}^{2p} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} + \sum_{r=2p}^{2q} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}$
 - (b) $= \sum_{r=0}^{2p} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} + \sum_{r=2p}^{2q} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}$
 - (c) $= \sum_{r=0}^{2p} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} + \sum_{r=p}^q (\frac{b^{4r}(-1)^{2r}}{(4r+1)!} + \frac{b^{4r+2}(-1)^{2r+1}}{(4r+3)!})$
 - (d) $\geq \sum_{r=0}^{2p} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} + \sum_{r=p}^q (\frac{b^{4r}}{(4r+1)!} - \frac{b^{4r}}{(4r+1)!} \cdot \frac{b^2}{(4p+2)(4p+3)})$
 - (e) $\geq \sum_{r=0}^{2p} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}$.
2. Also, using the precondition verify that $\sum_{r=0}^{2q} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}$
 - (a) $= \sum_{r=0}^{2p-1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} + \sum_{r=2p-1}^{2q-1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} - \frac{b^{4q-2}}{(4q-1)!}$
 - (b) $\leq \sum_{r=0}^{2p-1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} + \sum_{r=2p-1}^{2q-1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}$
 - (c) $= \sum_{r=0}^{2p-1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} + \sum_{r=p}^q (\frac{b^{4r-2}(-1)^{2r-1}}{(4r-1)!} + \frac{b^{4r}(-1)^{2r}}{(4r+1)!})$
 - (d) $\leq \sum_{r=0}^{2p-1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} + \sum_{r=p}^q (-\frac{b^{4r-2}}{(4r-1)!} + \frac{b^{4r-2}}{(4r-1)!} \cdot \frac{b^2}{(4p)(4p+1)})$

$$(e) \leq \sum_{r=0}^{2p-1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} 3. \text{ Therefore verify that } \sum_{r=0}^{2p} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} &\leq \\ \sum_{r=0}^{2q} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} &\leq \sum_{r=0}^{2p-1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

Procedure 2.09

Objective

Choose a rational number b and two positive integers p, q such that $p \leq q$ and $|b| < 4p$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $\sum_{r=0}^{2p} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} \leq \sum_{r=0}^{2q-1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} \leq \sum_{r=0}^{2p-1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}$.

Implementation

1. Using the precondition, verify that

$$\sum_{r=0}^{2q-1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}$$
 - (a) $= \sum_{r=0}^{2p} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} + \sum_{r=2p}^{2q} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} + \frac{b^{4q-2}}{(4q-1)!}$
 - (b) $\geq \sum_{r=0}^{2p} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} + \sum_{r=2p}^{2q} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}$
 - (c) $= \sum_{r=0}^{2p} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} + \sum_{r=p}^q \left(\frac{b^{4r}(-1)^{2r}}{(4r+1)!} + \frac{b^{4r+2}(-1)^{2r+1}}{(4r+3)!} \right)$
 - (d) $\geq \sum_{r=0}^{2p} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} + \sum_{r=p}^q \left(\frac{b^{4r}}{(4r+1)!} - \frac{b^{4r}}{(4r+1)!} \cdot \frac{b^2}{(4p+2)(4p+3)} \right)$
 - (e) $\geq \sum_{r=0}^{2p} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}$.
2. Also, using the precondition verify that

$$\sum_{r=0}^{2q-1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}$$
 - (a) $= \sum_{r=0}^{2p-1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} + \sum_{r=2p-1}^{2q-1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}$
 - (b) $= \sum_{r=0}^{2p-1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} + \sum_{r=p}^q \left(\frac{b^{4r-2}(-1)^{2r-1}}{(4r-1)!} + \frac{b^{4r}(-1)^{2r}}{(4r+1)!} \right)$
 - (c) $\leq \sum_{r=0}^{2p-1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} + \sum_{r=p}^q \left(-\frac{b^{4r-2}}{(4r-1)!} + \frac{b^{4r-2}}{(4r-1)!} \cdot \frac{b^2}{(4p)(4p+1)} \right)$
 - (d) $\leq \sum_{r=0}^{2p-1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}$.
3. Therefore verify that $\sum_{r=0}^{2p} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} \leq \sum_{r=0}^{2q-1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} \leq \sum_{r=0}^{2p-1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}$.

Procedure 2.10

Objective

Choose a rational number b and two positive integers p, q such that $2p-1 \leq q$ and $|b| < 4p$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $\sum_{r=0}^{2p} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} \leq \sum_{r=0}^q \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} \leq \sum_{r=0}^{2p-1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}$.

Implementation

1. If $q \bmod 2 = 1$, then do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $p-1 = (2p-1) \div 2 \leq q \div 2$.
 - (b) Let $w = (q \div 2) + 1$.
 - (c) Therefore verify that $p \leq w$.
 - (d) Also, verify that $q = 2(q \div 2) + q \bmod 2 = 2(w-1) + 1 = 2w-1$.
 - (e) Now execute [procedure 2.09](#) on $\langle b, p, w \rangle$.
 - (f) Hence verify that $\sum_{r=0}^{2p} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} \leq \sum_{r=0}^{2w-1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} \leq \sum_{r=0}^{2p-1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}$.
2. Otherwise if $q \bmod 2 = 0$, then do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $2p \leq q$.
 - (b) Therefore verify that $p = (2p) \div 2 \leq q \div 2$.
 - (c) Let $w = q \div 2$.
 - (d) Therefore verify that $p \leq w$.
 - (e) Also, verify that $q = 2(q \div 2) + q \bmod 2 = 2w$.
 - (f) Now execute [procedure 2.08](#) on $\langle b, p, w \rangle$.
 - (g) Hence verify that $\sum_{r=0}^{2p} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} \leq \sum_{r=0}^{2w} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} \leq \sum_{r=0}^{2p-1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}$.
3. Therefore verify that $\sum_{r=0}^{2p} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} \leq \sum_{r=0}^q \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} \leq \sum_{r=0}^{2p-1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}$.

Procedure 2.11

Objective

Choose two integers $d \neq 0, c$. Let $b = \frac{c}{d}$ and let p be the result of executing [procedure 2.00](#) on $\langle c, d \rangle$. Choose an integer q such that $q > 3p$. The objective

of the following instructions is either to construct an integer e such that $e \neq 0$ and $-1 < e < 1$ or to show that $|\sum_{r=0}^q \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}| \geq \text{al}(c, d) > 0$.

Implementation

1. Verify that $3p + 1 \equiv 1 \cdot 1 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.
2. Let $w = (3p + 1) \text{ div } 2$.
3. Now verify that $3p + 1 = 2((3p + 1) \text{ div } 2) + (3p + 1) \text{ mod } 2 = 2w$.
4. Also verify that $|b| < 2p + 2 < 6p + 2 = 4w$.
5. Now execute **procedure 2.10** on $\langle b, w, q \rangle$.
6. Hence verify that $\sum_{r=0}^{2w} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} \leq \sum_{r=0}^q \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} \leq \sum_{r=0}^{2w-1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}$.
7. Therefore verify that $\sum_{r=0}^{3p+1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!} \leq \sum_{r=0}^{3p} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}$.
8. Execute **procedure 2.07** on $\langle c, d \rangle$.
9. Hence verify that $\text{sgn}(\sum_{r=0}^{3p+1} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}) = \text{sgn}(\sum_{r=0}^{3p} \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!})$.
10. **Therefore verify that** $|\sum_{r=0}^q \frac{b^{2r}(-1)^r}{(2r+1)!}| \geq \text{al}(c, d) > 0$.

Declaration 2.04

The notation \mathbb{Q} will be used as a shorthand for "rational".

Procedure 2.12

Objective

Choose an integer $n \geq 0$ and a $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ $p = p_0x^n + p_1x^{n-1} + \dots + p_n$. Let y, z be indeterminates. The objective of the following instructions is to construct a $\mathbb{Q}[y, z]$ G such that $p(z) - p(y) = (z - y)G(y, z)$.

Implementation

1. Let the $\mathbb{Q}[y, z]$ $G = \sum_{r=1}^{n+1} p_{n-r}(z^{r-1} + z^{r-2}y + \dots + zy^{r-2} + y^{r-1})$.

2. Verify that $p(z) - p(y)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} &= (p_0z^n + p_1z^{n-1} + \dots + p_n) - (p_0y^n + p_1y^{n-1} + \dots + p_n) \\ \text{(b)} &= (\sum_{r=0}^{n+1} p_{n-r}z^r) - (\sum_{r=0}^{n+1} p_{n-r}y^r) \\ \text{(c)} &= \sum_{r=1}^{n+1} p_{n-r}(z^r - y^r) \\ \text{(d)} &= \sum_{r=1}^{n+1} p_{n-r}(z - y)(z^{r-1} + z^{r-2}y + \dots + zy^{r-2} + y^{r-1}) \\ \text{(e)} &= (z - y) \sum_{r=1}^{n+1} p_{n-r}(z^{r-1} + z^{r-2}y + \dots + zy^{r-2} + y^{r-1}) \\ \text{(f)} &= (z - y)G(y, z). \end{aligned}$$

3. **Yield the tuple** $\langle G \rangle$.

Procedure 2.13

Objective

Choose a $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ $p = x^n + p_1x^{n-1} + \dots + p_n$ and \mathbb{Q} s $a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_{n-1} < a_n$ in such a way that for $i = 0$ to $i = n$, $p(a_i) = 0$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $0 \neq 0$.

Implementation

1. Write p as $1 * p$, so that it has two factors.
2. For i in $[0 : n]$, do the following:
 - (a) Let g be the rightmost factor of p .
 - (b) If $g(a_i) \neq 0$, do the following:
 - i. For k in $[0 : i]$, verify that $(a_i - a_k) \neq 0$.
 - ii. Therefore verify that $p(a_i) \neq 0$.
 - iii. Therefore using the precondition and (ii), verify that $0 \neq 0$.
 - iv. **Abort procedure.**
- (c) Otherwise $g(a_i) = 0$. Now do the following:
 - i. Execute **procedure 2.12** on g and let the tuple $\langle G \rangle$ receive the result.
 - ii. Let x be an indeterminate.
 - iii. Let the $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ $q = q(x) = G(a_i, x)$.
 - iv. Verify that the $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ $g = g(x) = g(x) - g(a_i) = (x - a_i)G(a_i, x) = (x - a_i)q(x) = (x - a_i)q$.

- v. Verify that $p = \prod_{j=0}^{i+1} (x - a_j)q$.
- 3. Now verify that $p = \prod_{j=0}^n (x - a_j)$.
- 4. Using (3), verify that $p(a_n) \neq 0$.
- 5. Therefore using the precondition and (4), verify that $0 \neq 0$.
- 6. **Abort procedure.**

Procedure 2.14

Objective

Choose a $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ f . Choose \mathbb{Q} s $a < b$ such that $\text{sgn}(f(a)) = -\text{sgn}(f(b))$. Choose a rational number target $B > 0$. The objective of the following instructions is to construct a \mathbb{Q} d such that $a \leq d \leq b$ and $|f(d)| < B$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 2.12** on f and let the tuple $\langle G \rangle$ receive the result.
2. Let x, y be indeterminates.
3. Verify that the $\mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ $f(y) - f(x) = (y - x)G(x, y)$.
4. Let $c = a$ and $d = b$.
5. Until $|d - c||G|(|a|, |b|) < B$
 - (a) Let $e = \frac{c+d}{2}$.
 - (b) If $\text{sgn}(f(c)) = -\text{sgn}(f(e))$, then:
 - i. Let $d = e$.
 - (c) Otherwise if $\text{sgn}(f(e)) = -\text{sgn}(f(d))$, then:
 - i. Let $c = e$.
 - (d) Otherwise if $f(e) = 0$, then do the following:
 - i. **Verify that** $|f(e)| = 0 < B$.
 - ii. Yield the tuple $\langle e \rangle$.
6. **Verify that** $|f(c)|, |f(d)| < |f(d) - f(c)| = |(d - c)G(c, d)| = |d - c||G(c, d)| \leq |d - c||G|(|c|, |d|) \leq |d - c||G|(|a|, |b|) < B$.
7. **Yield the tuple** $\langle c \rangle$.

Declaration 2.05

The notation $\min_{r=a}^b c_r$ will be used as a shorthand for ∞ if $a = b$, otherwise it will stand for $\min(c_a, \min_{r=a+1}^b c_r)$.

Procedure 2.15

Objective

Choose a $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ $f = x^n + p_1x^{n-1} + \dots + p_n$ and pairs of \mathbb{Q} s $(a_n, b_n), (a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}), \dots, (a_0, b_0)$ in such a way that:

1. $a_n < b_n \leq a_{n-1} < b_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq a_1 < b_1 \leq a_0 < b_0$.
2. $\text{sgn}(f(a_i)) = -\text{sgn}(f(b_i))$ for $i = 0$ to $i = n$.

The objective of the following instructions is to show that $1 = -1$.

Implementation

1. If $n > 0$:
 - (a) Let $B = \min_{k=0}^{n-1} \min(|f(a_k)|, |f(b_k)|)$.
 - (b) For $k = 0$ to $k = n - 1$, verify that $|f(a_k)| \geq B$.
 - (c) Execute **procedure 2.14** on the formal polynomial f , interval (a_n, b_n) , and target of B . Let the tuple $\langle d \rangle$ receive the result.
 - (d) Verify that $|f(d)| < B$.
 - (e) Execute **procedure 2.12** on the formal polynomial f and let the tuple $\langle G \rangle$ receive the result.
 - (f) Let x be an indeterminate.
 - (g) Let the formal polynomial $h = G(d, x)$.
 - (h) Verify that h is a monic $(n - 1)^{th}$ degree formal polynomial.
 - (i) Verify that the formal polynomial $f = f(x) = f(x) - f(d) + f(d) = (x - d)G(d, x) + f(d) = (x - d)h(x) + f(d) = (x - d)h + f(d)$.
 - (j) For $k = 0$ to $k = n - 1$, do the following:
 - i. If $f(a_k) \geq B$, in-order verify that:
 - A. $f(a_k) \geq B > |f(d)| \geq f(d)$.

- B. $f(a_k) - f(d) > 0$.
- C. $(a_k - d)h(a_k) > 0$.
- D. $h(a_k) > 0$.
- E. $f(b_k) \leq -B < -|f(d)| \leq f(d)$.
- F. $f(b_k) - f(d) < 0$.
- G. $(b_k - d)h(b_k) < 0$.
- H. $h(b_k) < 0$.

ii. Otherwise, if $f(a_k) \leq -B$, do the following:

- A. **Using steps analogous to (ji), verify that $h(a_k) < 0$.**
- B. **Using steps analogous to (ji), verify that $h(b_k) > 0$.**

(k) Execute **procedure 2.15** on h and $a_{n-1} < b_{n-1} \leq a_{n-2} < b_{n-2} \leq \dots \leq a_1 < b_1 \leq a_0 < b_0$.

2. Otherwise, do the following:

- (a) Verify that $n = 0$.
- (b) Therefore verify that $h = 1$.
- (c) **Therefore verify that $1 = \text{sgn}(1) = \text{sgn}(f_0(a_0)) = -\text{sgn}(f_0(b_0)) = -\text{sgn}(1) = -1$.**
- (d) **Abort procedure.**

Declaration 2.06

The notation $p \circ q$ will be used as a shorthand for the sum of products where each product is the coefficient of a monomial in p times the coefficient of the same monomial in q .

Procedure 2.16

Objective

Choose two lists of $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ s s, q in such a way that:

- 1. $|s| > 1$.
- 2. For i in $[0 : |s|]$, $\deg(s_i) = i$.
- 3. For i in $[0 : |s|]$, $\text{sgn}(x^i \circ s_i) = \text{sgn}(x^m \circ s_m)$.
- 4. For i in $[1 : |s| - 1]$, $s_{i-1} + s_{i+1} = q_i s_i$.

Let x, y be indeterminates. The objective of the following instructions is to construct lists of $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ s g, h such that $g_i s_{i+1} + h_i s_i = 1$ for i in $[0 : |s| - 1]$.

Implementation

- 1. Let $m = |s| - 1$.
- 2. Let $g = h = \langle \rangle$.
- 3. If $m > 1$, do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $q_{m-1} s_{m-1} - s_m = s_{m-2}$.
 - (b) Execute **procedure 2.16** on $s_{[0:m]}$ and $q_{[1:m-1]}$ and let the tuple $\langle, , g, h \rangle$ receive.
 - (c) Verify that $g_{m-2} s_{m-1} + h_{m-2} s_{m-2} = 1$.
 - (d) Let $g_{m-1} = -h_{m-2}$.
 - (e) Let $h_{m-1} = g_{m-2} + h_{m-2} q_{m-1}$.
 - (f) **Therefore verify that $g_{m-1} s_m + h_{m-1} s_{m-1} = g_{m-2} s_{m-1} + h_{m-2} (q_{m-1} s_{m-1} - s_m) = g_{m-2} s_{m-1} + h_{m-2} s_{m-2} = 1$.**
- 4. Otherwise, if $m = 1$ do the following:
 - (a) Let $g_0 = 0$.
 - (b) Let $h_0 = \frac{1}{s_0}$.
 - (c) **Therefore verify that $g_0 s_1 + h_0 s_0 = 1$.**
- 5. **Yield the tuple $\langle s, q, g, h \rangle$.**

Declaration 2.07

The notation $J_s(x)$ will be used as a shorthand for the number of changes observed when the list $\text{sgn}(s(x))$ is iterated through linearly.

Declaration 2.08

The notation $\max_{r=a}^b c_r$ will be used as a shorthand for $-\infty$ if $a = b$, otherwise it will stand for $\max(c_a, \max_{r=a+1}^b c_r)$.

Procedure 2.17

Objective

Execute **procedure 2.16** and let $\langle s, q, g, h \rangle$ receive. Execute **procedure 2.12** on s and let $\langle G \rangle$ receive the

result. Choose $\mathbb{Q}s$ c and d in such a way that:

1. $0 \notin s(c)$ and $0 \notin s(d)$.
2. Letting $B = \max_{i=0}^{|s|} |G_i(c, d)|$.
3. Letting $C = \max_{i=0}^{|s|-1} \max(|g_i(c)|, |h_i(c)|, |g_i(d)|, |h_i(d)|)$.
4. Letting $D = \max_{i=1}^{|s|-1} \max(|q_i(c)|, |q_i(d)|, 2)$.
5. $|d - c| \leq \frac{1}{BCD}$.

The objective of the following instructions is to show that either $0 < 0$ or $|J_s(d) - J_s(c)| = [\text{sgn}(s_{|s|-1}(c)) \neq \text{sgn}(s_{|s|-1}(d))]$.

Implementation

1. Let $i = 0$.
2. If $i + 1 < |s|$, do the following:
 - (a) Using the precondition, (2c), or (2divA), verify that $\text{sgn}(s_i(c)) = \text{sgn}(s_i(d))$.
 - (b) Using the precondition, (2ci), or (2divC), verify that $J_{s_{[0:i+1]}}(c) = J_{s_{[0:i+1]}}(d)$.
 - (c) If $\text{sgn}(s_{i+1}(c)) = \text{sgn}(s_{i+1}(d))$, do the following:
 - i. Verify that $J_{s_{[0:i+2]}}(c) = J_{s_{[0:i+2]}}(d)$.
 - ii. Set i to $i + 1$ and go to (2).
 - (d) Otherwise, if $\text{sgn}(s_{i+1}(c)) \neq \text{sgn}(s_{i+1}(d))$ and $i + 2 < |s|$, do the following:
 - i. Execute **procedure 2.5 auxilliary procedure** on i .
 - ii. If $\text{sgn}(s_{i+2}(c)) \neq \text{sgn}(s_{i+2}(d))$, do the following:
 - A. Verify that $|s_{i+2}(c)| < |s_{i+2}(d) - s_{i+2}(c)| = |(d-c)G_{i+2}(c, d)| \leq \frac{1}{BCD} \cdot B = \frac{1}{CD} = \frac{1}{C} \cdot \frac{1}{D} \leq \frac{1}{C}(1 - \frac{1}{D})$.
 - B. Using (A) and (i), verify that $\frac{1}{C}(1 - \frac{1}{D}) < |s_{i+2}(c)| < \frac{1}{C}(1 - \frac{1}{D})$.
- C. **Abort procedure.**
- iii. Otherwise if $\text{sgn}(s_i(c)) = \text{sgn}(s_{i+2}(c))$, do the following:
 - A. Verify that $2\frac{1}{C}(1 - \frac{1}{D}) < |s_i(c)| + |s_{i+2}(c)| = |s_i(c) + s_{i+2}(c)| = |q_{i+1}(c)s_{i+1}(c)| < D\frac{1}{CD}$.
 - B. Verify that $2(1 - \frac{1}{D}) < 1$.

C. Using (B) and the construction of D , verify that $2 \leq D < 2$.

D. Abort procedure.

iv. Otherwise, do the following:

- A. Verify that $\text{sgn}(s_i(d)) = \text{sgn}(s_i(c)) \neq \text{sgn}(s_{i+2}(c)) = \text{sgn}(s_{i+2}(d))$.
- B. Therefore verify that $1 = J_{s_{[0:i+3]}}(c) - J_{s_{[0:i+1]}}(c) = J_{s_{[0:i+3]}}(d) - J_{s_{[0:i+1]}}(d)$.
- C. Therefore verify that $J_{s_{[0:i+1]}}(c) + 1 = J_{s_{[0:i+3]}}(c) = J_{s_{[0:i+3]}}(d) = J_{s_{[0:i+1]}}(d) + 1$.
- D. Set i to $i + 2$ and go to (2).

(e) Otherwise, verify the following:

- i. $\text{sgn}(s_{i+1}(c)) \neq \text{sgn}(s_{i+1}(d))$.
- ii. $|J_{s_{[0:i+2]}}(c) - J_{s_{[0:i+2]}}(d)| = 1$.
- iii. $i + 2 = |s|$.

3. If $\text{sgn}(s_{|s|-1}(c)) = \text{sgn}(s_{|s|-1}(d))$, then do the following:

(a) Using the precondition, (2ci), or (2divC), verify that $J_s(c) = J_s(d)$.

4. Otherwise do the following:

(a) Using (2eii), verify that $|J_s(d) - J_s(c)| = 1$.

Auxilliary Procedure

Objective Choose a non-negative integer $i < m$ such that $\text{sgn}(s_{i+1}(c)) \neq \text{sgn}(s_{i+1}(d))$ and $i+2 \leq m$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $|s_{i+1}(c)| < \frac{1}{CD}$, $|s_{i+1}(d)| < \frac{1}{CD}$, $\frac{1}{C}(1 - \frac{1}{D}) < |s_i(c)|$, $\frac{1}{C}(1 - \frac{1}{D}) < |s_i(d)|$, $\frac{1}{C}(1 - \frac{1}{D}) < |s_{i+2}(c)|$, and $\frac{1}{C}(1 - \frac{1}{D}) < |s_{i+2}(d)|$.

Implementation

1. Verify the following in order:

- (a) $|s_{i+1}(c)| < |s_{i+1}(c) - s_{i+1}(d)| = |c - d||G_{i+1}(c, d)| \leq |c - d|B \leq lB = \frac{1}{CD}$
- (b) $|s_{i+1}(d)| < |s_{i+1}(c) - s_{i+1}(d)| \leq \frac{1}{CD}$
- (c) $1 = g_i(c)s_{i+1}(c) + h_i(c)s_i(c) = |g_i(c)s_{i+1}(c) + h_i(c)s_i(c)| \leq |g_i(c)||s_{i+1}(c)| + |h_i(c)||s_i(c)| < C(\frac{1}{CD} + |s_i(c)|)$

- (d) $\frac{1}{C}(1 - \frac{1}{D}) < |s_i(c)|$
- (e) $1 < C(\frac{1}{CD} + |s_i(d)|)$
- (f) $\frac{1}{C}(1 - \frac{1}{D}) < |s_i(d)|$
- (g)
$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= g_{i+1}(c)s_{i+2}(c) + h_{i+1}(c)s_{i+1}(c) = \\ &|g_{i+1}(c)s_{i+2}(c) + h_{i+1}(c)s_{i+1}(c)| \leq \\ &|g_{i+1}(c)||s_{i+2}(c)| + |h_{i+1}(c)||s_{i+1}(c)| < \\ &C(|s_{i+2}(c)| + \frac{1}{CD}) \end{aligned}$$
- (h) $\frac{1}{C}(1 - \frac{1}{D}) < |s_{i+2}(c)|$
- (i) $1 < C(|s_{i+2}(d)| + \frac{1}{CD})$
- (j) $\frac{1}{C}(1 - \frac{1}{D}) < |s_{i+2}(d)|$

Procedure 2.18

Objective

Choose a $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ $p = p_0x^n + p_1x^{n-1} + p_2x^{n-2} + \dots + p_nx^0$, where $p_0 \neq 0$. Choose a \mathbb{Q} $k > 1 + \max_{i=1}^{n+1} |\frac{p_i}{p_0}|$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $\text{sgn}(p(k)) = \text{sgn}(p_0)$.

Implementation

1. In reverse order verify the following:
 - (a) $\text{sgn}(p_0k^n + p_1k^{n-1} + \dots + p_nk^0) = \text{sgn}(p_0)$
 - (b) $\text{sgn}(k^n + \frac{p_1}{p_0}k^{n-1} + \dots + \frac{p_n}{p_0}k^0) = 1$
 - (c) $k^n + \frac{p_1}{p_0}k^{n-1} + \dots + \frac{p_n}{p_0}k^0 > 0$
 - (d) $k^n > -(\frac{p_1}{p_0}k^{n-1} + \dots + \frac{p_n}{p_0}k^0)$
 - (e) $k^n > |\frac{p_1}{p_0}k^{n-1} + \dots + \frac{p_n}{p_0}k^0|$
 - (f) $k^n > |\max_{i=1}^{n+1} |\frac{p_i}{p_0}| (k^{n-1} + \dots + k^0)|$
 - (g) $k^n > \max_{i=1}^{n+1} |\frac{p_i}{p_0}| \frac{k^n - 1}{k - 1}$
 - (h) $k^{n+1} - k^n > \max_{i=1}^{n+1} |\frac{p_i}{p_0}| (k^n - 1)$
 - (i) $k^{n+1} - (1 + \max_{i=1}^{n+1} |\frac{p_i}{p_0}|)k^n + \max_{i=1}^{n+1} |\frac{p_i}{p_0}| > 0$
 - (j) $k > 1 + \max_{i=1}^{n+1} |\frac{p_i}{p_0}|$

Procedure 2.19

Objective

Choose a $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ $p = p_0x^t + p_1x^{t-1} + p_2x^{t-2} + \dots + p_tx^0$, where $p_0 \neq 0$. Choose a \mathbb{Q} $k < -(1 + \max_{i=1}^{t+1} |\frac{p_i}{p_0}|)$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $\text{sgn}(p(k)) = (-1)^t \text{sgn}(p_0)$.

Implementation

1. Let $q = q_0x^t + q_1x^{t-1} + q_2x^{t-2} + \dots + q_tx^0$, where $q_i = (-1)^i p_i$.
2. Verify that $k < -(1 + \max_{i=1}^{t+1} |\frac{q_i}{q_0}|)$.
3. Therefore verify that $-k > 1 + \max_{i=1}^{t+1} |\frac{q_i}{q_0}|$.
4. Execute **procedure 2.18** on q and $-k$.
5. Hence verify that $(-1)^t \text{sgn}(p(k))$
 - (a) $= \text{sgn}((-1)^t p(k))$
 - (b) $= \text{sgn}((-1)^t \sum_{i=0}^{t+1} p_i k^{t-i})$
 - (c) $= \text{sgn}(\sum_{i=0}^{t+1} (-1)^i (-1)^{t-i} p_i k^{t-i})$
 - (d) $= \text{sgn}(\sum_{i=0}^{t+1} q_i (-k)^{t-i})$
 - (e) $= \text{sgn}(q(-k))$
 - (f) $= \text{sgn}(q_0)$
 - (g) $= \text{sgn}(p_0)$.
6. **Therefore verify that** $\text{sgn}(p(k)) = (-1)^t (-1)^t \text{sgn}(p(k)) = (-1)^t \text{sgn}(p_0)$.

Procedure 2.20

Objective

Choose a list of $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ s, s , and \mathbb{Q} s a, l, c such that $a < c$ and $l > 0$. The objective of the following instructions is to either show that $0 < 0$ or to construct a list of \mathbb{Q} s, b , such that $a = b_0 < b_1 < \dots < b_{|b|-1} = c$, $b_i - b_{i-1} \leq l$ for i in $[1 : |b|]$, and $0 \notin s(b_i)$ for i in $[1 : |b| - 1]$.

Implementation

1. Let $e = \langle \rangle, \langle \rangle, \dots, \langle \rangle$.
2. Let $f = \sum_{r=0}^{|s|} \deg(s_r)$.

3. Let $b = \langle a \rangle$.
4. Let $d = b_1$.
5. While $d + l < c$, do the following:
 - (a) Let $m = l$.
 - (b) While $0 \in s(d + m)$ and $\sum |e| \leq f$, do the following:
 - i. Let $0 \leq i < |s|$ be an integer such that $s_i(d + m) = 0$.
 - ii. Append $d + m$ onto e_i .
 - iii. Set $m = \frac{m}{2}$.
 - (c) If $\sum |e| > f$, then do the following:
 - i. If $|e_i| \leq \deg(s_i)$ for $0 \leq i < |s|$, then do the following:
 - A. Verify that $\sum |e| \leq f$.
 - B. Therefore using (c), verify that $\sum |e| \leq f < \sum |e|$.
 - C. **Abort procedure.**
 - ii. Otherwise, do the following:
 - A. Let $0 \leq i < |s|$ be an integer such that $|e_i| > \deg(s_i)$.
 - B. Execute **procedure 2.13** on s_i and a sorted e_i .
 - C. **Abort procedure.**
 - (d) Otherwise, do the following:
 - i. **Verify that** $0 \notin s(d + m)$.
 - ii. Append $d + m$ onto b .
 - iii. **Verify that** $0 < b_{|b|-1} - b_{|b|-2} = m \leq l$.
 - iv. Set d to $d + m$.
 - v. Using (5), verify that $d < c$.
6. Verify that $d < c$.
7. Verify that $d + l \geq c$.
8. **Therefore verify that** $0 < c - d \leq l$.
9. Append c onto b .
10. **Yield** $\langle b \rangle$.

Procedure 2.21

Objective

Execute **procedure 2.16** and let $\langle s, q, g, h \rangle$ receive. Let $m = |s| - 1$. The objective of the following instructions is to either show that $0 < 0$ or to construct two lists of rational numbers c, d such that $c_0 < d_0 \leq c_1 < d_1 \leq \dots \leq c_{m-1} < d_{m-1}$ and $\text{sgn}(s_m(c_i)) = -\text{sgn}(s_m(d_i))$ for i in $[0 : m]$.

Implementation

1. Let $U = 1 + \max_{i=0}^{|s|} \left(1 + \max_{j=1}^{i+1} \left| \frac{x^{i-j} \circ s_i}{x^j \circ s_i} \right| \right)$
2. Using **procedure 2.18**, verify that $J(U) = 0$.
3. Using **procedure 2.19**, verify that $J(-U) = m$.
4. Execute **procedure 2.12** on s and let $\langle G \rangle$ receive the result.
5. Let the rational $B = \max_{i=0}^{|s|} |G_i|(U, U)$.
6. Let $C = \max_{i=0}^{|s|-1} \max(|g_i|(U), |h_i|(U))$.
7. Let $D = \max(2, \max_{i=1}^{|s|-1} |q_i|(U))$.
8. Let $l = \frac{1}{BCD}$.
9. Execute **procedure 2.20** on s with endpoints $-U, U$ and a step size of l and let $\langle e \rangle$ receive the result.
10. Let $c = d = \langle \rangle$.
11. For $i = 1$ to $i = |e| - 1$:
 - (a) Execute **procedure 2.17** on the tuple $\langle e_{i-1}, e_i \rangle$.
 - (b) If $J_m(c) \neq J_m(d)$, then do the following:
 - i. Append e_{i-1} to c .
 - ii. Append e_i to d .
 - iii. Using (a) and (b), verify that $|J_m(d) - J_m(c)| = 1$.
 - iv. Therefore verify that $\text{sgn}(s_m(c_{|c|-1})) = -\text{sgn}(s_m(d_{|d|-1}))$.
 - v. Also verify that $d_{|d|-2} \leq c_{|c|-1} < d_{|d|-1}$.
12. If less than m pairs of rational numbers were recorded, then do the following:

- (a) Verify that each change of $J_m(x)$ over the course of (12) was by 1.
- (b) Verify that $J_m(x)$ changed less than m times over the course of (12).
- (c) Therefore verify that $|J_m(U) - J_m(-U)| < m$.
- (d) Therefore using (2) and (3), verify that $m = |J_m(U) - J_m(-U)| < m$.
- (e) **Abort procedure.**

13. Otherwise, do the following:

- (a) Verify that $m \leq |c| = |d|$.
- (b) **Yield the tuple** $\langle c, d \rangle$.

Procedure 2.22

Objective

Choose two $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ s, $\langle a, b \rangle$. The objective of the following instructions is to construct two $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ s u, w such that $a = ub + w$ and $\deg(w) < \deg(b)$.

Implementation

1. If $\deg(a) \geq \deg(b)$:
 - (a) Let $y = \frac{x^{\deg(a)} \circ a}{x^{\deg(b)} \circ b} x^{\deg(a) - \deg(b)}$
 - (b) Let $e = a - yb$.
 - (c) Verify that $\deg(e) < \deg(a)$.
 - (d) Execute **procedure 2.22** on the tuple $\langle e, b \rangle$. Let the tuple $\langle c, d \rangle$ receive the result.
 - (e) Verify that $cb + d = e$.
 - (f) Verify that $\deg(d) < \deg(b)$.
 - (g) Therefore verify that $cb + d = a - yb$
 - (h) **Therefore verify that** $(y + c)b + d = a$.
 - (i) **Also verify that** $\deg(d) < \deg(b)$.
 - (j) **Now yield the tuple** $\langle y + c, d \rangle$.
2. Otherwise:
 - (a) **Verify that** $0 * b + a = a$.
 - (b) **Verify that** $\deg(a) < \deg(b)$.
 - (c) **Yield the tuple** $\langle 0, a \rangle$.

Procedure 2.23

Objective

Choose two lists of $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ s s, q and a non-negative integer k in such a way that, letting $m = |s| - 1$,

1. $k < m$.
2. For $k \leq i \leq m$, $\deg(s_i) = i$.
3. For $k < i < m$, $s_{i-1} + s_{i+1} = q_i s_i$.

Let $\deg(0) = -1$. The objective of the following instructions is to construct $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ s g, h such that $s_k = gs_{m-1} + hs_m$, $\deg(g) = m - 1 - k$, and $\deg(h) = m - 2 - k$.

Implementation

1. If $k < m - 2$, do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $s_k + s_{k+2} = q_{k+1} s_{k+1}$.
 - (b) Therefore verify that $s_k = q_{k+1} s_{k+1} - s_{k+2}$.
 - (c) Execute **procedure 2.23** on $s, q, k + 1$ and let the tuple $\langle g_1, h_1 \rangle$ receive.
 - (d) Verify that $s_{k+1} = g_1 s_{m-1} + h_1 s_m$.
 - (e) Verify that $\deg(g_1) = m - 1 - (k + 1) = m - k - 2$.
 - (f) Verify that $\deg(h_1) = m - 2 - (k + 1) = m - k - 3$.
 - (g) Execute **procedure 2.23** on $s, q, k + 2$ and let the tuple $\langle g_2, h_2 \rangle$ receive.
 - (h) Verify that $s_{k+2} = g_2 s_{m-1} + h_2 s_m$.
 - (i) Verify that $\deg(g_2) = m - 1 - (k + 2) = m - k - 3$.
 - (j) Verify that $\deg(h_2) = m - 2 - (k + 2) = m - k - 4$.
 - (k) Let $g = q_{k+1} g_1 - g_2$.
 - (l) **Verify that** $\deg(g) = \max(1 + (m - k - 2), m - k - 3) = m - 1 - k$.
 - (m) Let $h = q_{k+1} h_1 - h_2$.
 - (n) **Verify that** $\deg(h) = \max(1 + (m - k - 3), m - k - 4) = m - 2 - k$.

- (o) **Verify that** $s_k = q_{k+1}(g_1 s_{m-1} + h_1 s_m) - (g_2 s_{m-1} + h_2 s_m) = (q_{k+1} g_1 - g_2) s_{m-1} + (q_{k+1} h_1 - h_2) s_m = g s_{m-1} + h s_m$.
2. Otherwise, if $k = m - 2$ do the following:
- (a) Verify that $s_{m-2} + s_m = q_{m-1} s_{m-1}$.
 - (b) Let $g = q_{m-1}$.
 - (c) **Verify that** $\deg(g) = 1 = m - 1 - k$.
 - (d) Let $h = -1$.
 - (e) **Verify that** $\deg(h) = 0 = m - 2 - k$.
 - (f) **Therefore verify that** $s_k = s_{m-2} = q_{m-1} s_{m-1} - s_m = g s_{m-1} + h s_m$.
3. Otherwise, if $k = m - 1$ do the following:
- (a) Let $g = 1$.
 - (b) **Verify that** $\deg(g) = 0 = m - 1 - k$.
 - (c) Let $h = 0$.
 - (d) **Verify that** $\deg(h) = -1 = m - 2 - k$.
 - (e) **Verify that** $s_k = s_{m-1} = g s_{m-1} + h s_m$.
4. **Yield the tuple** $\langle g, h \rangle$.

Part III

Matrix Arithmetic

Declaration 3.00

The notation $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(A)$ will be used to refer to $m \times n$ matrices of A s.

Procedure 3.00

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,2}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A . Let $\deg(0) = \infty$. Let $k = \min(\deg(A_{0,0}), \deg(A_{0,1}))$ and $q = \deg(A_{0,0})$. The objective of the following instructions is to make $A_{0,1} = 0$, $\deg(A_{0,0}) \leq k$, and either leave $A_{*,0}$ unchanged or make $\deg(A_{0,0}) < q$ by a sequence of operations whereby, in each step a $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ times either of the columns is added to the other.

Implementation

1. Let A be our working matrix.
2. While $A_{0,1} \neq 0$, do the following:
 - (a) If $\deg(A_{0,0}) \leq \deg(A_{0,1})$, then:
 - i. Subtract $\frac{x^{\deg(A_{0,1}) \circ A_{0,1}}}{x^{\deg(A_{0,0}) \circ A_{0,0}}} x^{\deg(A_{0,1}) - \deg(A_{0,0})}$ times $A_{0,0}$ from $A_{0,1}$.
 - ii. Now verify that either $A_{0,1}$'s degree has decreased or $A_{0,1} = 0$.
 - (b) Otherwise, do the following:
 - i. Let $p = \frac{x^{\deg(A_{0,0}) \circ A_{0,0}}}{x^{\deg(A_{0,1}) \circ A_{0,1}}} x^{\deg(A_{0,0}) - \deg(A_{0,1})}$.
 - ii. If $A_{0,0} = pA_{0,1}$, then do the following:
 - A. Add $1 - p$ times $A_{0,1}$ to $A_{0,0}$.
 - B. Verify that now $A_{0,0} = A_{0,1}$.
 - iii. Otherwise, do the following:
 - A. Verify that $A_{0,0} \neq pA_{0,1}$.
 - B. Add $-p$ times $A_{0,1}$ to $A_{0,0}$.
 - iv. Therefore verify that $A_{0,0} \neq 0$.
 - v. Also verify that $A_{0,0}$'s degree has decreased.

3. **Verify that** $A_{0,1} = 0$.

4. Verify that the changes to $A_{0,0}$, if any, have decreased its degree.

5. If sensical, do the following:

(a) Verify that all changes to $A_{0,1}$ but the last have decreased its degree.

(b) Verify that $\deg(A_{0,0}) \leq$ the degree of the penultimate value of $A_{0,1}$.

6. **Therefore verify that** $\deg(A_{0,0}) \leq k$.

7. If $A_{*,0}$ was changed, then do the following:

(a) Verify that $A_{0,0}$ was also changed.

(b) **Therefore verify that** $\deg(A_{0,0}) < q$.

8. **Yield the tuple** $\langle A \rangle$.

Declaration 3.01

The phrase "diagonal" will be used as a shorthand for matrix positions such that the row index equals the column index.

Declaration 3.02

The notation $\mathcal{D}_{m,n}(A)$ will be used to refer to $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(A)$ s with 0s in all the off-diagonal positions.

Procedure 3.01

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A . The objective of the following instructions is to transform A into a $\mathcal{D}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$ by a sequence of operations whereby either a $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ times any of the columns is added to a different column, or a $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ times any of the rows is added to a different row.

Implementation

1. If $m = 0$ or $n = 0$, then do the following:
 - (a) **Verify that A is a $\mathcal{D}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$.**
 - (b) **Yield the tuple $\langle A \rangle$.**
2. Otherwise do the following:
3. Verify that $m > 0$ and $n > 0$.
4. Let A be our working matrix.
5. Now do the following:
 - (a) While $A_{0,[1:n]} \neq 0$, do the following:
 - i. Select the $\mathcal{M}_{m,2}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$ whose top-right entry coincides with the last non-zero entry of the first row
 - ii. Apply **procedure 3.00** on this submatrix.
 - iii. Verify that the top-left and top-right entries of the submatrix are now non-zero and zero respectively.
 - iv. If $A_{*,0}$ was modified by (5a ii), then do the following:
 - A. Verify that $\deg(A_{1,1})$ decreased.
 - B. Go back to (5).
 - (b) Now do the same operations as in (a), but this time with the operations themselves reflected across the matrix's diagonal.
6. Verify that $A_{0,[1:n]} = 0$.
7. Also verify that $A_{[1:m],0} = 0$.
8. Apply **procedure 3.01** on the submatrix $A_{[1:m],[1:n]}$.
9. Verify that (8)'s execution leaves the first row and column unchanged.
10. Also verify that $A_{[1:m],[1:n]}$ is now a $\mathcal{D}_{m-1,n-n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$.
11. **Therefore verify that A is now a $\mathcal{D}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$.**
12. **Yield the tuple $\langle A \rangle$.**

Procedure 3.02

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A , a $\mathcal{M}_{n,p}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, B , and a $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, C . The objective of the following instructions is to show that $(AB)C = A(BC)$.

Implementation

1. Verify that $(AB)_{i,l} = \sum_{k=0}^n (A_{i,k} * B_{k,l})$ for $0 \leq i < m$, for $0 \leq l < p$.
2. Verify that $((AB)C)_{i,r} = \sum_{l=0}^p ((AB)_{i,l} * C_{l,r}) = \sum_{l=0}^p (\sum_{k=0}^n (A_{i,k} * B_{k,l}) * C_{l,r})$ for $0 \leq i < m$, for $0 \leq r < q$.
3. Verify that $(BC)_{k,r} = \sum_{l=0}^p (B_{k,l} * C_{l,r})$ for $0 \leq k < n$, for $0 \leq r < q$.
4. Verify that $(A(BC))_{i,r} = \sum_{k=0}^n (A_{i,k} * (BC)_{k,r}) = \sum_{k=0}^n (A_{i,k} * \sum_{l=0}^p (B_{k,l} * C_{l,r}))$ for $0 \leq i < m$, for $0 \leq r < q$.
5. Therefore verify that $(2) = \sum_{l=0}^p (\sum_{k=0}^n (A_{i,k} * B_{k,l} * C_{l,r})) = \sum_{k=0}^n (\sum_{l=0}^p (A_{i,k} * B_{k,l} * C_{l,r})) = \sum_{k=0}^n (A_{i,k} * \sum_{l=0}^p (B_{k,l} * C_{l,r})) = (4)$ for $0 \leq i < m$, for $0 \leq r < q$.
6. **Therefore verify that $(AB)C = A(BC)$.**

Declaration 3.03

The notation I_n will be used as a shorthand for the $\mathcal{M}_{n,n}(\mathbb{Q})$ with only 1s on the diagonal and 0s everywhere else.

Declaration 3.04

The notation $\mathcal{T}_m(\mathbb{Q}[x])$ will be used to refer to $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$ s with only 1s on the diagonal, a single $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ off the diagonal, and 0s everywhere else.

Procedure 3.03

Objective

Choose a procedure, A , and two non-negative integers m, n . The objective of the following instructions is, once A has been executed, to construct a list of $\mathcal{T}_m(\mathbb{Q}[x])$ s, M , and a list of $\mathcal{T}_n(\mathbb{Q}[x])$ s, N such that $M_{|M|-1-i}$ equals I_m after applying the i^{th} row operation carried out by A also on it, and N_i equals I_n after applying the i^{th} row operation carried out by A also on it.

Implementation

1. Make an empty list, N .
2. Augment procedure A so that each time a polynomial x times a column i is added onto column j , an $n \times n$ matrix that only has 1s on its diagonal, and such that the only non-zero entry off its diagonal is x at position (i, j) , is appended onto N .
3. Make an empty list, M .
4. Also augment procedure A so that each time a polynomial x times a row i is added onto row j , an $n \times n$ matrix that only has 1s on its diagonal, and such that the only non-zero entry off its diagonal is x at position (j, i) , is prepended onto M .
5. Now run procedure A .
6. **Yield the tuple** $\langle M, N \rangle$.

Procedure 3.04

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A . The objective of the following instructions is to show that $I_m A = A = A I_n$.

Implementation

1. For $0 \leq r < m$, do the following:
 - (a) For $0 \leq t < n$, do the following:
 - i. Verify that $(I_m A)_{r,t} = \sum_{u=0}^m (I_m)_{r,u} A_{u,t} = (I_m)_{r,r} A_{r,t} = 1 * A_{r,t} = A_{r,t}$.

2. **Therefore verify that** $I_m A = A$.

3. For $0 \leq r < m$, do the following:

- (a) For $0 \leq t < n$, do the following:
 - i. Verify that $(A I_n)_{r,t} = \sum_{u=0}^m A_{r,u} (I_n)_{u,t} = A_{r,t} (I_n)_{t,t} = A_{r,t} * 1 = A_{r,t}$.

4. **Therefore verify that** $A I_n = A$.

Declaration 3.05

The notation A^{-1} , where A is a list of $\mathcal{T}_m(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, will be used to refer to the result yielded by executing the following instructions:

1. Let A^{-1} be $\langle \rangle$.
2. For i in $[0 : |A|]$, do the following:
 - (a) Let (j, k) be the position of the off diagonal entry of A_i .
 - (b) Let B equal A_i but with entry (j, k) negated.
 - (c) Now prepend B onto A^{-1} .
3. **Yield** $\langle A^{-1} \rangle$.

Procedure 3.05

Objective

Choose a list of $\mathcal{T}_m(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A . The objective of the following instructions is to show that $A_* A^{-1}_* = I_m$.

Implementation

1. Verify that $|A| = |A^{-1}|$.
2. For i in $[0 : |A|]$, do the following:
 - (a) Let (j, k) be the position of the off diagonal entry of A_i .
 - (b) Let $B = A^{-1}_{|A|-1-i}$.
 - (c) For r in $[0 : m]$ and $r \neq j$, do the following:
 - i. For t in $[0 : m]$, do the following:
 - A. Verify that $(A_i B)_{r,t} = \sum_{u=0}^m (A_i)_{r,u} B_{u,t} = (A_i)_{r,r} B_{r,t} = 1 * B_{r,t} = [r = t]$.
 - (d) For t in $[0 : m]$ and $t \neq k$, do the following:

- i. Verify that $(A_i B)_{j,t} = \sum_{u=0}^m (A_i)_{j,u} B_{u,t} = (A_i)_{j,t} B_{t,t} = (A_i)_{j,t} * 1 = [j = t]$.
- (e) Verify that $(A_i B)_{j,k} = \sum_{u=0}^m (A_i)_{j,u} B_{u,k} = (A_i)_{j,j} B_{j,k} + (A_i)_{j,k} B_{k,k} = 1 * B_{j,k} + (A_i)_{j,k} * 1 = B_{j,k} + (A_i)_{j,k} = 0$.
- (f) Therefore verify that $A_i B = I_m$.
3. Therefore using **procedure 3.02** and **procedure 3.04**, verify that $A_* A^{-1}_*$
 - (a) $= A_0 \cdots A_{|A|-2} A_{|A|-1} A^{-1}_0 A^{-1}_1 \cdots A^{-1}_{|A|-1}$
 - (b) $= A_0 \cdots A_{|A|-3} A_{|A|-2} I_m A^{-1}_1 A^{-1}_2 \cdots A^{-1}_{|A|-1}$
 - (c) $= A_0 \cdots A_{|A|-3} A_{|A|-2} A^{-1}_1 A^{-1}_2 \cdots A^{-1}_{|A|-1}$
 - (d) \vdots
 - (e) $= A_0 I_m A^{-1}_{|A|-1}$
 - (f) $= A_0 A^{-1}_{|A|-1}$
 - (g) $= I_m$.

Procedure 3.06

Objective

Choose a list of $\mathcal{T}_m(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A . The objective of the following instructions is to show that $(A^{-1})^{-1} = A$ and $A^{-1}_* A_* = I_m$.

Implementation

1. **Verify that $(A^{-1})^{-1} = A$.**
2. **Therefore using **procedure 3.05**, verify that $A^{-1}_* A_* = A^{-1}_* (A^{-1})^{-1}_* = I_m$.**

Procedure 3.07

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{D}_{2,2}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A . The objective of the following instructions is to construct polynomials u, v and transform A into a $\mathcal{D}_{2,2}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A' , such that $A'_{1,1} = u A'_{0,0}$ and $A_{0,0} = v A'_{0,0}$ by a sequence of operations whereby either a $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ times any of the columns is added to a different column, or a $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ times any of the rows is added to a different row.

Implementation

1. Add row 1 to row 0.
2. Now verify that $A_{0,1} = A_{1,1}$.
3. Set $A' = A$ and let A' be our working matrix.
4. Let $\langle M, N \rangle$ receive the results of executing **procedure 3.03** on the pair $\langle 2, 2 \rangle$ and the following procedure:
 - (a) Execute **procedure 3.00** on A' .
5. Using (4), verify that M is empty.
6. Using (4) and (5), verify that $AN_* = M_* AN_* = A'$.
7. Using (6), verify that $A = AI_n = AN_* N^{-1}_* = A' N^{-1}_*$.
8. Using (4), verify that $A'_{0,1} = 0$.
9. **Using (4) and (7), verify that $A_{0,0} = A'_{0,0} N^{-1}_{*0,0} + A'_{0,1} N^{-1}_{*1,0} = A'_{0,0} N^{-1}_{*0,0}$.**
10. Using (4) and (7), verify that $A_{1,1} = A_{0,1} = A'_{0,0} N^{-1}_{*0,1} + A'_{0,1} N^{-1}_{*1,1} = A'_{0,0} N^{-1}_{*0,1}$.
11. Using (2), verify that $A_{1,0} = 0$.
12. Using (6) and (11), verify that $A'_{1,0} = A_{1,0} N_{*0,0} + A_{1,1} N_{*1,0} = A_{1,1} N_{*1,0} = A'_{0,0} N^{-1}_{*0,1} N_{*1,0}$.
13. **Using (6) and (11), verify that $A'_{1,1} = A_{1,0} N_{*0,1} + A_{1,1} N_{*1,1} = A_{1,1} N_{*1,1} = A'_{0,0} N^{-1}_{*0,1} N_{*1,1}$.**
14. Subtract $N^{-1}_{*0,1} N_{*1,0}$ times row 0 from row 1.
15. Now using (14) and (12), verify that $A'_{1,0} = 0$.
16. **Therefore verify that A' is a $\mathcal{D}_{2,2}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$.**
17. **Let $A = A'$.**
18. **Yield $\langle N^{-1}_{*0,1} N_{*1,1}, N^{-1}_{*0,0} \rangle$.**

Procedure 3.08

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A such that $\min(m, n) > 0$. The objective of the following instructions is to define a list of polynomials u and transform A into a $\mathcal{D}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$ such that $A_{k,k} = u_k A_{0,0}$ for k in $[0 : \min(m, n)]$ by a sequence of operations whereby

either a $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ times any of the columns is added to a different column, or a $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ times any of the rows is added to a different row.

Implementation

1. Let $u = \langle 1 \rangle$.
2. Execute **procedure 3.01** on A .
3. Verify that A is a $\mathcal{D}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$.
4. For j in $[1 : \min(m, n)]$, do the following:
 - (a) Using (h), verify that $A_{k,k} = u_k A_{0,0}$ for k in $[0 : j]$.
 - (b) Set $A' = A$.
 - (c) Execute **procedure 3.07** on $A'_{\langle 0,j \rangle, \langle 0,j \rangle}$ and let $\langle u_j, v \rangle$ receive.
 - (d) Using (c), verify that A and A' are the same modulo positions $\langle 0, 0 \rangle$ and $\langle j, j \rangle$.
 - (e) Therefore verify that A' is a $\mathcal{D}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$.
 - (f) Also, using (c), verify that $A'_{j,j} = u_j A'_{0,0}$.
 - (g) Also, for k in $[1 : j]$, do the following:
 - i. Using (a), (c), and (d), verify that $A'_{k,k} = A_{k,k} = u_k A_{0,0} = u_k A'_{0,0} v$.
 - ii. Set $u_k = u_k v$.
 - iii. Hence verify that $A'_{k,k} = u_k A'_{0,0}$.
 - (h) Therefore verify that $A_{k,k} = u_k A_{0,0}$ for k in $[0 : j + 1]$.
 - (i) Now let $A = A'$.
5. Hence using (4h), verify that $A_{k,k} = u_k A_{0,0}$ for k in $[0 : \min(m, n)]$.
6. Also, using (4e), verify that A is a $\mathcal{D}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$.
7. Yield $\langle u \rangle$.

Procedure 3.09

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A , and a $\mathcal{M}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, B . Choose integers $0 \leq a < m$, $0 \leq b < n$, and $0 \leq c < k$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that

1. $(AB)_{[0:a],[0:c]} = A_{[0:a],[0:b]} B_{[0:b],[0:c]} + A_{[0:a],[b:n]} B_{[b:n],[0:c]}$
2. $(AB)_{[0:a],[c:k]} = A_{[0:a],[0:b]} B_{[0:b],[c:k]} + A_{[0:a],[b:n]} B_{[b:n],[c:k]}$
3. $(AB)_{[a:m],[0:c]} = A_{[a:m],[0:b]} B_{[0:b],[0:c]} + A_{[a:m],[b:n]} B_{[b:n],[0:c]}$
4. $(AB)_{[a:m],[c:k]} = A_{[a:m],[0:b]} B_{[0:b],[c:k]} + A_{[a:m],[b:n]} B_{[b:n],[c:k]}$.

Implementation

1. For each $0 \leq i < a$, do the following:
 - (a) For each $0 \leq j < c$, do the following:
 - i. Verify that $(AB)_{i,j} = \sum_{p=0}^n A_{i,p} B_{p,j} = \sum_{p=0}^b A_{i,p} B_{p,j} + \sum_{p=b}^n A_{i,p} B_{p,j} = \sum_{p=0}^b (A_{[0:a],[0:b]})_{i,p} (B_{[0:b],[0:c]})_{p,j} + \sum_{p=b}^{n-b} (A_{[0:a],[b:n]})_{i,p} (B_{[b:n],[0:c]})_{p,j} = (A_{[0:a],[0:b]} B_{[0:b],[0:c]})_{i,j} + (A_{[0:a],[b:n]} B_{[b:n],[0:c]})_{i,j}$.
2. Therefore verify that $(AB)_{[0:a],[0:c]} = A_{[0:a],[0:b]} B_{[0:b],[0:c]} + A_{[0:a],[b:n]} B_{[b:n],[0:c]}$.
3. Using computations analogous to (1) and (2), show items (2), (3), and (4) of the objective.

Declaration 3.06

The notation $\text{cols}(A)$ will be used to refer to the number of columns of A .

Declaration 3.07

The notation $\text{rows}(A)$ will be used to refer to the number of rows of A .

Declaration 3.08

The notation $\text{bdiag}(C)$, where C is a list of $\mathcal{M}_*(\mathbb{Q})$, will be used to refer to the result yielded by executing the following instructions:

1. Let E be a 0×0 matrices.
2. Now for i in $[0 : |C|]$:

- (a) Add $\text{cols}(C_i)$ columns filled with zeros to the right end of E .
- (b) Add $\text{rows}(C_i)$ rows filled with zeros to the bottom end of E .
- (c) Set the bottom-right corner of E equal to C_i .

3. **Yield the tuple** $\langle E \rangle$.

Procedure 3.10

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A . Let $A_{-1,-1} = 1$. The objective of the following instructions is to construct the list of polynomials v and transform A into a $\mathcal{D}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$ such that $A_{k,k} = v_k A_{k-1,k-1}$ for k in $[0 : \min(m, n)]$ by a sequence of operations whereby either a $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ times any of the columns is added to a different column, or a $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ times any of the rows is added to a different row.

Implementation

1. If $\min(m, n) = 0$, then do the following:
 - (a) **Verify that A is a $\mathcal{D}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$.**
 - (b) **Yield $\langle \rangle$.**
2. Otherwise do the following:
 - (a) Apply **procedure 3.08** on A , and let $\langle u \rangle$ receive.
 - (b) Verify that A is a $\mathcal{D}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$.
 - (c) Verify that $A_{k,k} = u_k A_{0,0}$ for k in $[0 : \min(m, n)]$.
 - (d) Let B, C be a $\mathcal{D}_{m-1,n-1}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$ with $u_{1:|u|}$ on the diagonal.
 - (e) Let $\langle M, N \rangle$ receive the results of executing **procedure 3.03** on the pair $\langle m-1, n-1 \rangle$ and the following procedure:
 - i. Execute **procedure 3.10** on C and let $\langle w \rangle$ receive.
 - (f) Therefore verify that C is a $\mathcal{D}_{m-1,n-1}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$.
 - (g) Also verify that $C = M_* B N_*$.
 - (h) Let $C_{-1,-1} = 1$.

- (i) Now using (ei), verify that $C_{k,k} = w_k C_{k-1,k-1}$ for k in $[0 : \min(m, n) - 1]$.
- (j) Therefore using (c), verify that $A_{0,0} C = M_*(A_{0,0} B) N_* = M_* A_{[1:m],[1:n]} N_*$.
- (k) Premultiply A by $\text{bdiag}(1, M_k)$ for k in $[|M| : 0]$.
- (l) Postmultiply A by $\text{bdiag}(1, N_k)$ for k in $[0 : |N|]$.
- (m) Now verify that $A_{[1:m],[1:n]} = A_{0,0} C$.
- (n) Now let $u = \langle A_{0,0} \rangle \frown w$.
- (o) **Therefore verify that $A_{k,k} = u_k A_{k-1,k-1}$ for k in $[0 : \min(m, n)]$.**
- (p) **Yield the tuple $\langle u \rangle$.**

Declaration 3.09

The notation $\det(A)$, where A is a $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, will be used to refer to the result yielded by executing the following instructions:

1. If $m = 0$, then do the following:
 - (a) **Yield the tuple $\langle 1 \rangle$.**
2. Otherwise, do the following:
 - (a) Let $h_r = A_{[0:r] \cap [r+1,m], [1:m]}$ for r in $[0 : m]$.
 - (b) **Yield the tuple $\langle \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r A_{r,0} \det(h_r) \rangle$.**

Procedure 3.11

Objective

Choose a $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ p . Choose two $\mathcal{M}_{1,m}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$ s, B and C . Choose an integer $0 \leq i < m$. Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A , such that its i^{th} row is $B + pC$. Let A' be A but with the i^{th} row replaced by B and let A'' be A but with the i^{th} row replaced by C . The objective of the following instructions is to show that $\det(A) = \det(A') + p \det(A'')$.

Implementation

1. If $m = 1$, then do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $i = 0$.

- (b) **Therefore verify that** $\det(A) = A_{0,0} = B_{0,0} + pC_{0,0} = \det(A') + p \det(A'')$.

2. Otherwise, do the following:

(a) For r in $[0 : i]$, do the following:

- i. Verify that $(A_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]})_{i-1,*} = B + pC$.
- ii. Verify that $A'_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]}$ is $A_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]}$ with row $i - 1$ replaced by B .
- iii. Verify that $A''_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]}$ is $A_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]}$ with row $i - 1$ replaced by C .

iv. Execute **procedure 3.11** on $\langle p, B, C, i - 1, A_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]} \rangle$.

v. Therefore verify that $\det(A_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]}) = \det(A'_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]}) + p \det(A''_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]})$.

(b) For r in $[i + 1 : m]$, do the following:

- i. Verify that $(A_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]})_{i,*} = B + pC$.
- ii. Verify that $A'_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]}$ is $A_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]}$ with row i replaced by B .
- iii. Verify that $A''_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]}$ is $A_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]}$ with row i replaced by C .

iv. Execute **procedure 3.11** on $\langle p, B, C, i, A_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]} \rangle$.

v. Therefore verify that $\det(A_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]}) = \det(A'_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]}) + p \det(A''_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]})$.

(c) Therefore using (av) and (bv), verify that $\det(A)$

- i. $= \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r A_{r,0} \det(A_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]})$
- ii. $= \sum_{r=0}^i (-1)^r A_{r,0} \det(A_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]}) + (-1)^i A_{i,0} \det(A_{[0:i] \cup [i+1:m], [1:m]}) + \sum_{r=i+1}^m (-1)^r A_{r,0} \det(A_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]})$
- iii. $= \sum_{r=0}^i (-1)^r A_{r,0} (\det(A'_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]}) + p \det(A''_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]})) + (-1)^i (A'_{i,0} + pA''_{i,0}) \det(A_{[0:i] \cup [i+1:m], [1:m]}) +$

$$\sum_{r=i+1}^m (-1)^r A_{r,0} (\det(A'_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]}) + p \det(A''_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]}))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{iv.} &= \sum_{r=0}^i (-1)^r A_{r,0} \det(A'_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]}) + (-1)^i A'_{i,0} \det(A_{[0:i] \cup [i+1:m], [1:m]}) + \sum_{r=i+1}^m (-1)^r A_{r,0} \det(A'_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]}) + \sum_{r=0}^i (-1)^r A_{r,0} p \det(A''_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]}) + (-1)^i p A''_{i,0} \det(A_{[0:i] \cup [i+1:m], [1:m]}) + \sum_{r=i+1}^m (-1)^r A_{r,0} p \det(A''_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{v.} = \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r A'_{r,0} \det(A'_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]}) + p \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r A''_{r,0} \det(A''_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]})$$

$$\text{vi.} = \det(A') + p \det(A'').$$

Procedure 3.12

Objective

Choose a $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ p . Choose two $\mathcal{M}_{m,1}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$ s, B and C . Choose an integer $0 \leq i < m$. Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A , such that its i^{th} column is $B + pC$. Let A' be A but with the i^{th} column replaced by B and let A'' be A but with the i^{th} column replaced by C . The objective of the following instructions is to show that $\det(A) = \det(A') + p \det(A'')$.

Implementation

1. If $i = 0$, then verify that $\det(A)$

- (a) $= \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r A_{r,0} \det(A_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]})$
- (b) $= \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r (B + pC)_{r,0} \det(A_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]})$
- (c) $= \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r (B)_{r,0} \det(A_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]}) + \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r (pC)_{r,0} \det(A_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]})$
- (d) $= \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r (B)_{r,0} \det(A_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]}) + p \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r (C)_{r,0} \det(A_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]})$
- (e) $= \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r (A')_{r,0} \det(A'_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]}) + p \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r (A'')_{r,0} \det(A''_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]})$
- (f) $= \det(A') + p \det(A'')$

2. Otherwise, do the following:

(a) For r in $[0 : m]$, do the following:

- i. Execute **procedure 3.12** on $\langle p, B_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], 0}, C_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], 0}, i - 1, A_{[0:r] \cup [r+1:m], [1:m]} \rangle$.

ii. Therefore verify that

$$\begin{aligned} & \det(A_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:m], [1:m]}) \\ &= \det(A'_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:m], [1:m]}) \\ &+ p \det(A''_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:m], [1:m]}). \end{aligned}$$

(b) Therefore using (a), verify that $\det(A)$

- i. $= \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r A_{r,0} \cdot \det(A_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:m], [1:m]})$
- ii. $= \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r A_{r,0} (\det(A'_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:m], [1:m]}) + p \det(A''_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:m], [1:m]}))$
- iii. $= \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r A'_{r,0} \det(A'_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:m], [1:m]}) + \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r A'_{r,0} p \det(A''_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:m], [1:m]})$
- iv. $= \det(A') + p \det(A'')$.

Procedure 3.13

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A . Choose an integer $0 < i < m$. Let A' be A with rows $i-1$ and i swapped. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $\det(A') = -\det(A)$.

Implementation

1. If $m = 2$, then do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $i = 1$.
- (b) Therefore verify that $\det(A') = A'_{0,0}A'_{1,1} - A'_{1,0}A'_{0,1} = A_{1,0}A_{0,1} - A_{0,0}A_{1,1} = -\det(A)$.
2. Otherwise do the following:
 - (a) For r in $[0 : i-1]$, do the following:
 - i. Verify that $A_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:m], [1:m]}$ is the same as $A'_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:m], [1:m]}$ but with rows $i-2$ and $i-1$ swapped.
 - ii. Execute **procedure 3.13** on $\langle A_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:m], [1:m]}, i-1 \rangle$.
 - iii. Hence verify that $\det(A'_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:m], [1:m]}) = -\det(A_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:m], [1:m]})$.
- (b) For r in $[i+1 : m]$, do the following:
 - i. Verify that $A_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:m], [1:m]}$ is the same as $A'_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:m], [1:m]}$ but with rows $i-1$ and i swapped.

ii. Execute **procedure 3.13** on $\langle A_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:m], [1:m]}, i \rangle$.

iii. Hence verify that $\det(A'_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:m], [1:m]}) = -\det(A_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:m], [1:m]})$.

(c) Verify that $\det(A)$

- i. $= \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r A_{r,0} \det(A_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:m], [1:m]})$
- ii. $= \sum_{r=0}^{i-1} (-1)^r A_{r,0} \det(A_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:m], [1:m]}) + (-1)^{i-1} A_{i-1,0} \det(A_{[0:i-1] \cap [i:m], [1:m]}) + (-1)^i A_{i,0} \det(A_{[0:i] \cap [i+1:m], [1:m]}) + \sum_{r=i+1}^m (-1)^r A_{r,0} \det(A_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:m], [1:m]})$
- iii. $= -\sum_{r=0}^{i-1} (-1)^r A'_{r,0} \det(A'_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:m], [1:m]}) - (-1)^i A'_{i,0} \det(A'_{[0:i] \cap [i+1:m], [1:m]}) - (-1)^{i-1} A'_{i-1,0} \det(A'_{[0:i-1] \cap [i:m], [1:m]}) - \sum_{r=i+1}^m (-1)^r A'_{r,0} \det(A'_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:m], [1:m]})$
- iv. $= -\sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r A'_{r,0} \det(A'_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:m], [1:m]})$
- v. $= -\det(A')$.

Procedure 3.14

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A . Choose an integer $0 < i < m$. Let A' be A with columns $i-1$ and i swapped. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $\det(A') = -\det(A)$.

Implementation

1. If $i = 1$, then verify that $\det(A)$
 - (a) $= \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r A_{r,0} \det(A_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:m], [1:m]})$
 - (b) $= \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r A_{r,0} \sum_{t=r+1}^m (-1)^{t-1} A_{t,1} \cdot \det(A_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:t] \cap [t+1:m], [2:m]}) + \sum_{t=0}^m (-1)^t A_{t,0} \sum_{r=0}^t (-1)^r A_{r,1} \cdot \det(A_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:t] \cap [t+1:m], [2:m+1]})$
 - (c) $= \sum_{t=0}^m (-1)^{t-1} A_{t,1} \sum_{r=0}^t (-1)^r A_{r,0} \cdot \det(A_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:t] \cap [t+1:m], [2:m+1]}) + \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r A_{r,1} \sum_{t=r+1}^m (-1)^t A_{t,0} \cdot \det(A_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:t] \cap [t+1:m], [2:m+1]})$
 - (d) $= \sum_{t=0}^m (-1)^{t-1} A'_{t,0} \sum_{r=0}^t (-1)^r A'_{r,1} \cdot \det(A'_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:t] \cap [t+1:m], [2:m+1]}) + \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r A'_{r,0} \sum_{t=r+1}^m (-1)^t A'_{t,1} \cdot \det(A'_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:t] \cap [t+1:m], [2:m]})$

$$(e) = -(\sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r A'_{r,0} \sum_{t=r+1}^m (-1)^{t-1} A'_{t,1} \cdot \det(A'_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:t] \cap [t+1:m], [2:m]})) + \sum_{t=0}^m (-1)^t A'_{t,0} \sum_{r=0}^t (-1)^r A'_{r,1} \cdot \det(A'_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:t] \cap [t+1:m], [2:m]}))$$

$$(f) = -\det(A').$$

2. Otherwise do the following:

(a) Verify that $i > 1$.

(b) For r in $[0 : m]$, do the following:

i. Execute **procedure 3.14** on $\langle i - 1, A_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:m], [1:m]} \rangle$.

ii. Therefore verify that $\det(A_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:m], [1:m]}) = -\det(A'_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:m], [1:m]})$.

(c) Therefore using (bii), verify that $\det(A) = \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r A_{r,0} \cdot \det(A_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:m], [1:m]}) = \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r A'_{r,0} \cdot (-\det(A'_{[0:r] \cap [r+1:m], [1:m]})) = -\det(A')$.

Procedure 3.15

Objective

Choose integers $0 < i < m$. Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A , such that columns $i - 1$ and i are the same. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $\det(A) = 0$.

Implementation

1. Let A' be A with columns $i - 1$ and i swapped.
2. Execute **procedure 3.14** on $\langle A, i \rangle$.
3. Also, verify that $A' = A$.
4. Therefore verify that $\det(A) = \det(A') = -\det(A)$.
5. **Therefore verify that** $\det(A) = 0$.

Procedure 3.16

Objective

Choose integers $0 < i < m$. Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A , such that rows $i - 1$ and i are the same. The

objective of the following instructions is to show that $\det(A) = 0$.

Implementation

Instructions are analogous to those of **procedure 3.15**.

Procedure 3.17

Objective

Choose integers $0 \leq i < m$. Choose an integer $-i \leq j < m - i$. Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A . Let A' be A but with column i moved j places. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $\det(A') = (-1)^j \det(A)$.

Implementation

1. Let $B = \langle A \rangle$.
2. For k in $[i : i + j]$, do the following:
 - (a) Let $B_{|B|}$ be the result of swapping columns k and $k + 1$ of $B_{|B|-1}$.
 - (b) Using **procedure 3.14**, verify that $\det(B_{|B|-1}) = -\det(B_{|B|-2})$.
3. Verify that $A' = B_{|B|-1}$.
4. **Therefore verify that** $\det(A') = \det(B_{|B|-1}) = (-1)^1 \det(B_{|B|-2}) = \dots = (-1)^j \det(B_0) = (-1)^j \det(A)$.

Procedure 3.18

Objective

Choose integers $0 \leq i < m$. Choose an integer $-i \leq j < m - i$. Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A . Let A' be A but with row i moved j places. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $\det(A') = (-1)^j \det(A)$.

Implementation

Instructions are analogous to those of **procedure 3.17**.

Declaration 3.10

The notation $C_k(A)$, where A is a $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$ and k is an integer such that $0 \leq k \leq \min(m, n)$, will be used to refer to the $\mathcal{M}_{\binom{m}{k}, \binom{n}{k}}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$ with the following specification:

1. The rows are labeled by the colexicographically sorted list of increasing length- k sequences whose elements are picked from $[0 : m]$.
2. The columns are labeled by the colexicographically sorted list of increasing length- k sequences whose elements are picked from $[0 : n]$.
3. For each row label I : For each column label J : The entry at position (I, J) is $\det(A_{I,J})$.

Declaration 3.11

The notation $A_{\underline{I}, \underline{J}}$ will be used to refer to the entry of A with row label I and column label J .

Procedure 3.19

Objective

Choose two integers $0 \leq k \leq m$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $C_k(I_m) = I_{\binom{m}{k}}$.

Implementation

1. For each row label I of $C_k(I_m)$, for each column label J of $C_k(I_m)$, do the following:
 - (a) If $I = J$, then do the following:
 - i. Verify that $((I_m)_{I,J})_{i,j} = ((I_m)_{J,J})_{i,j} = (I_m)_{J_i, J_j} = [J_i = J_j] = [i = j]$ for $0 \leq i < k$, for $0 \leq j < k$.
 - ii. Therefore verify that $(C_k(I_m))_{\underline{I}, \underline{J}} = I_k$.
 - iii. **Therefore verify that** $(C_k(I_m))_{\underline{I}, \underline{J}} = \det((I_m)_{I,J}) = \det(I_k) = 1$.
 - (b) Otherwise, do the following:
 - i. Verify that $I \neq J$.
 - ii. Let i be the index of an element of I that is not an element of J .

iii. Now verify that $(I_m)_{I_i, j} = [I_i = j] = 0$, for each j in J .

iv. Therefore verify that $((I_m)_{I,J})_{i,*} = 0_{1 \times k}$.

v. **Therefore verify that** $(C_k(I_m))_{\underline{I}, \underline{J}} = \det((I_m)_{I,J}) = 0$.

2. **Therefore verify that** $C_k(I_m) = I_{\binom{m}{k}}$.

Procedure 3.20

Objective

Choose an integer $0 \leq k \leq \min(m, n)$. Choose a $\mathcal{T}_m(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A , such that the off diagonal entry is the $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ p at (i, j) . Also choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, B . The objective of the following instructions is to construct a $\mathcal{M}_{\binom{m}{k}, \binom{n}{k}}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$ D such that $C_k(AB) = DC_k(B)$.

Implementation

1. Let $D = C_k(I_m) = I_{\binom{m}{k}}$.
2. Verify that AB equals B , but with its row i having p times B 's row j added to it.
3. Go through the row labels, I , of $C_k(AB)$ and do the following:
 - (a) If $i \notin I$, then do the following:
 - i. Verify that $(AB)_{I,*} = B_{I,*}$.
 - ii. Therefore for each column label J , verify that $C_k(AB)_{\underline{I}, \underline{J}} = \det((AB)_{I,J}) = \det(B_{I,J}) = C_k(B)_{\underline{I}, \underline{J}}$.
 - iii. **Therefore verify that** $(C_k(AB))_{\underline{I}, *} = (C_k(B))_{\underline{I}, *}$.
 - (b) Otherwise, if $i \in I$, then:
 - i. Let I' be I but with an in-place replacement of i by j .
 - ii. For each column label J : Using **procedure 3.12**, verify that $C_k(AB)_{\underline{I}, \underline{J}} = \det((AB)_{I,J}) = \det(B_{I,J}) + p * \det(B_{I',J})$.
 - iii. If $j \in I$, then do the following:
 - A. Verify that the sequence I' contains two j s.

- B. For each column label J : Using **procedure 3.16** verify that $\det(B_{I',J}) = 0$.
- C. Therefore for each column label J : verify that $C_k(AB)_{\underline{I},\underline{J}} = \det(B_{I,J}) = C_k(B)_{\underline{I},\underline{J}}$.
- D. **Therefore verify that** $C_k(AB)_{\underline{I},*} = C_k(B)_{\underline{I},*}$.
- iv. Otherwise if $j \notin I$, do the following:
 - A. Let l be the signed number of places that the j introduced above needs to be moved in order to make I' an increasing sequence.
 - B. Let I'' be obtained from I' by moving the integer j in I' by l places.
 - C. For each column label J : Using **procedure 3.18**, verify that $\det(B_{I'',J}) = (-1)^l \det(B_{I',J})$.
 - D. Therefore for each column label J : Verify that $C_k(AB)_{\underline{I},\underline{J}} = \det(B_{I,J}) + p * \det(B_{I',J}) = \det(B_{I,J}) + (-1)^l p * \det(B_{I'',J})$.
 - E. Verify that I'' is a row label of $C_k(B)$.
 - F. Therefore for each column label J : Verify that $C_k(AB)_{\underline{I},\underline{J}} = \det(B_{I,J}) + (-1)^l p * \det(B_{I'',J}) = C_k(B)_{\underline{I},\underline{J}} + (-1)^l p * C_k(B)_{\underline{I}',\underline{J}}$.
 - G. **Therefore verify that** $(C_k(AB))_{\underline{I},*} = (C_k(B))_{\underline{I},*} + (-1)^l p (C_k(B))_{\underline{I}',*}$.
 - H. **Set** $D_{\underline{I},\underline{I}'}$ **to** $(-1)^l p$.
- (c) **Therefore verify that** $C_k(AB)_{\underline{I},*} = D_{\underline{I},*} C_k(B)$.
- 4. **Therefore verify that** $C_k(AB) = DC_k(B)$.
- 5. **Yield** $\langle D \rangle$.

Procedure 3.21

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{D}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A . Also choose an $\mathcal{M}_{n,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, B . Also choose an integer $0 \leq k \leq \min(m,n)$. The objective of the following instructions is to construct a $\mathcal{D}_{\binom{m}{k}, \binom{n}{k}}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$ D such that $C_k(AB) = DC_k(B)$.

Implementation

1. Let $D = C_k(0_{m \times n}) = 0_{\binom{m}{k} \times \binom{n}{k}}$.
2. Verify that AB equals $B_{[0:\min(m,n)],*}$ with each row i multiplied by $A_{i,i}$.
3. Go through the row labels, I , of $C_k(AB)$ and do the following:
 - (a) If $I_k < \min(m,n)$, then do the following:
 - i. Verify that every element of I is less than $\min(m,n)$.
 - ii. Let $A_0 = A$.
 - iii. For i in $[0 : k]$: Let A_{i+1} equal A_i but with position (I_i, I_i) set to 1.
 - iv. For each column label J : Repeatedly using **procedure 3.12**, verify that $C_k(AB)_{I,J}$
 - A. $= \det((AB)_{I,J})$
 - B. $= \det((A_0 B)_{I,J})$
 - C. $= A_{I_0, I_0} \det((A_1 B)_{I,J})$
 - D. $= A_{I_0, I_0} A_{I_1, I_1} \det((A_2 B)_{I,J})$
 - E. \vdots
 - F. $= A_{I_0, I_0} A_{I_1, I_1} \cdots A_{I_{k-1}, I_{k-1}} \det((A_k B)_{I,J})$
 - G. $= A_{I_0, I_0} A_{I_1, I_1} \cdots A_{I_{k-1}, I_{k-1}} \det(B_{I,J})$
 - H. $= A_{I_0, I_0} A_{I_1, I_1} \cdots A_{I_{k-1}, I_{k-1}} C_k(B)_{\underline{I},\underline{J}}$
 - v. **Therefore verify that** $(C_k(AB))_{\underline{I},*} = A_{I_1, I_1} A_{I_2, I_2} \cdots A_{I_k, I_k} * (C_k(B))_{\underline{I},*}$.
 - vi. **Set** $D_{\underline{I},\underline{I}}$ **to** $A_{I_0, I_0} A_{I_1, I_1} \cdots A_{I_{k-1}, I_{k-1}}$.
 - (b) Otherwise if $I_k \geq \min(m,n)$, then do the following:
 - i. Using the precondition, verify that $A_{I_k,*} = 0_{1 \times n}$.
 - ii. Therefore verify that $(AB)_{I_k,*} = 0_{1 \times n}$.
 - iii. Therefore verify that $((AB)_{I,*})_{k,*} = 0_{1 \times n}$.
 - iv. Therefore for each column label J : verify that $C_k(AB)_{\underline{I},\underline{J}} = \det((AB)_{I,J}) = 0$.
 - v. **Therefore verify that** $(C_k(AB))_{\underline{I},*}$ **is zero**.
- (c) **Therefore verify that** $C_k(AB)_{\underline{I},*} = D_{\underline{I},*} C_k(B)$.

4. Verify that D is diagonal.
5. Verify that $C_k(AB) = DC_k(B)$.
6. Yield $\langle D \rangle$.

Procedure 3.22

Objective

Choose an integer $0 \leq k \leq \min(m, n)$. Choose a $\mathcal{T}_m(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A . Also choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, B . The objective of the following instructions is to show that $C_k(AB) = C_k(A)C_k(B)$.

Implementation

1. Execute [procedure 3.20](#) on matrices A and I_m and let $\langle D \rangle$ receive.
2. Using [procedure 3.19](#), verify that $C_k(A) = C_k(AI_m) = DC_k(I_m) = DI_{\binom{m}{k}} = D$.
3. Execute [procedure 3.20](#) on $\langle A, B \rangle$ and let $\langle D' \rangle$ receive.
4. Verify that $D' = D = C_k(A)$.
5. Therefore verify that $C_k(AB) = D'C_k(B) = C_k(A)C_k(B)$.

Procedure 3.23

Objective

Choose an integer $0 \leq k \leq \min(m, n)$. Choose a $\mathcal{T}_n(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A . Also choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, B . The objective of the following instructions is to show that $C_k(BA) = C_k(B)C_k(A)$.

Implementation

Instructions are analogous to those of [procedure 3.22](#).

Procedure 3.24

Objective

Choose an integer $0 \leq k \leq \min(m, n)$. Choose a $\mathcal{D}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A . Also choose a $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, B . The objective of the following instructions is to show that $C_k(AB) = C_k(A)C_k(B)$.

Implementation

Instructions are analogous to those of [procedure 3.22](#).

Procedure 3.25

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A . Let $D_{-1,-1} = 1$. The objective of the following instructions is to construct a list of $\mathcal{T}_m(\mathbb{Q}[x])$ s, M , a $\mathcal{D}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, D , a list of $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ s, v , and a list of $\mathcal{T}_n(\mathbb{Q}[x])$ s, N , such that $M_*AN_* = D$, $A = M^{-1}_*DN^{-1}_*$, and $D_{i,i} = v_iD_{i-1,i-1}$ for i in $[0 : \min(m, n)]$.

Implementation

1. Let D be a copy of A .
2. Let $\langle M, N \rangle$ receive the results of executing [procedure 3.03](#) on the pair $\langle m, n \rangle$ and the following procedure:
 - (a) Execute [procedure 3.10](#) on the matrix D and let $\langle v \rangle$ receive.
3. Verify that $D_{i,i} = v_iD_{i-1,i-1}$ for i in $[0 : \min(m, n)]$.
4. Verify that $M_*AN_* = D$.
5. Hence verify that $A = I_mAI_n = M^{-1}_*M_*AN_*N^{-1}_* = M^{-1}_*DN^{-1}_*$.
6. Yield the tuple $\langle M, D, v, N \rangle$.

Procedure 3.26

Objective

Choose integers $0 \leq k \leq \min(m, n, p)$. Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A . Also choose a $\mathcal{M}_{n,p}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, B . The objective of the following instructions is to show that $C_k(AB) = C_k(A)C_k(B)$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 3.25** on A and let $\langle M, D, , N \rangle$ receive.
2. Using repeated applications of **procedure 3.24**, verify that $C_k(AB)$
 - (a) $= C_k(M^{-1}_0 \cdots M^{-1}_{|M|-1} D N^{-1}_0 \cdots N^{-1}_{|N|-1} B)$
 - (b) $= C_k(M^{-1}_0) \cdots C_k(M^{-1}_{|M|-1}) * C_k(D) * C_k(N^{-1}_0) \cdots C_k(N^{-1}_{|N|-1}) C_k(B)$
 - (c) $= C_k(M^{-1}_0 \cdots M^{-1}_{|M|-1} D N^{-1}_0 \cdots N^{-1}_{|N|-1}) C_k(B)$
 - (d) $= C_k(A) C_k(B)$.

Procedure 3.27

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A . Let D be a copy of A . Execute **procedure 3.10** on D . The objective of the following instructions is to show that $\det(A)$ is the product of the diagonal entries of D .

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 3.25** on A and let $\langle M, D, , N \rangle$ receive.
2. Using **procedure 3.26**, verify that $\det(A)$
 - (a) $= C_m(A)$
 - (b) $= C_m(M^{-1}_0 \cdots M^{-1}_{|M|-1} D N^{-1}_0 \cdots N^{-1}_{|N|-1})$
 - (c) $= C_m(M^{-1}_0) \cdots C_m(M^{-1}_{|M|-1}) C_m(D) C_m(N^{-1}_0) \cdots C_m(N^{-1}_{|N|-1})$
 - (d) $= 1 \cdots 1 C_m(D) 1 \cdots 1 = C_m(D)$
 - (e) $= \det(D)$
 - (f) $= \prod_{r=0}^m D_{r,r}$.

Declaration 3.12

The notation A^T , where A is a $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, will be used to refer to the $\mathcal{M}_{n,m}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$ such that $A^T_{i,j} = A_{j,i}$ for i in $[0 : n]$, for j in $[0 : m]$.

Procedure 3.28

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A , and a $\mathcal{M}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, B . The objective of the following instructions is to show that $B^T A^T = (AB)^T$.

Implementation

1. Verify that $B^T A^T$ and $(AB)^T$ have dimensions $k \times m$.
2. For i in $[0 : k]$: For j in $[0 : m]$:
 - (a) Verify that $(B^T A^T)_{i,j} = \sum_{l=0}^n B_{l,i} A_{j,l} = \sum_{l=0}^n A_{j,l} B_{l,i} = (AB)_{j,i} = ((AB)^T)_{i,j}$.
3. Therefore verify that $B^T A^T = (AB)^T$.

Procedure 3.29

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A . The objective of the following instructions is to show that $\det(A^T) = \det(A)$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 3.25** on A and let $\langle M, D, , N \rangle$ receive.
2. Therefore using **procedures 3.27** and **procedure 3.28**, verify that $\det(A^T)$
 - (a) $= \det((M^{-1}_0 \cdots M^{-1}_{|M|-1} D N^{-1}_0 \cdots N^{-1}_{|N|-1})^T)$
 - (b) $= \det((N^{-1}_{|N|-1})^T \cdots (N^{-1}_0)^T D^T (M^{-1}_{|M|-1})^T \cdots (M^{-1}_0)^T)$
 - (c) $= \det(D^T)$
 - (d) $= \det(D)$
 - (e) $= \det(M^{-1}_0 \cdots M^{-1}_{|M|-1} D N^{-1}_0 \cdots N^{-1}_{|N|-1})$

$$(f) = \det(A).$$

Procedure 3.30

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A , and an integer $0 \leq k \leq \min(m, n)$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $C_k(A)^T = C_k(A^T)$.

Implementation

1. For each row label I of $C_k(A^T)$, do the following:
 - (a) For each column label J of $C_k(A^T)$, do the following:
 - i. Using **procedure 3.29**, verify that $(C_k(A^T))_{I,J} = \det((A^T)_{I,J}) = \det(A_{J,I}) = (C_k(A))_{J,I}$.
2. **Therefore verify that** $(C_k(A))^T = (C_k(A^T))$.

Procedure 3.31

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q})$, A , and a $\mathcal{M}_{m,p}(\mathbb{Q})$, B . Execute **procedure 3.25** on A and let $\langle M, D, N \rangle$ receive the result. If the indices of the rows of D that are entirely zero are also the indices of the rows of M_*B that are entirely zero, then the objective of the following instructions is to construct a $\mathcal{M}_{n,p}(\mathbb{Q})$ E such that $AE = B$.

Implementation

1. Verify that $A = M^{-1}_*DN^{-1}_*$.
2. Verify that M^{-1}_* , D , and N^{-1}_* are $\mathcal{M}_{*,*}(\mathbb{Q})$ s.
3. Let C be an $n \times p$ matrix with its i^{th} row given as follows:
 - (a) If $D_{i,i} \neq 0$, then do the following:
 - i. Let row i be row i of M_*B divided by $D_{i,i}$.
 - (b) Otherwise, do the following:

- i. **Choose p rational numbers to fill up the row.**

4. Verify that $DC = M_*B$.

5. Let E be N_*C .

6. **Therefore using **procedure 3.05**, verify that** $AE = M^{-1}_*DN^{-1}_*E = M^{-1}_*DN^{-1}_*N_*C = M^{-1}_*DI_nC = M^{-1}_*DC = M^{-1}_*M_*B = I_mB = B$.

7. **Yield the tuple $\langle E \rangle$.**

Declaration 3.13

The notation $A \setminus B$ will be used to refer to the result yielded by executing **procedure 3.31** on $\langle A, B \rangle$.

Procedure 3.32

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q})$, A , and a $\mathcal{M}_{p,n}(\mathbb{Q})$, B . Execute **procedure 3.25** on A and let $\langle M, D, N \rangle$ receive the result. If the indices of the columns of D that are entirely zero are also the indices of the columns of BN_* that are entirely zero, then the objective of the following instructions is to construct a $\mathcal{M}_{p,m}(\mathbb{Q})$ E such that $EA = B$.

Implementation

Instructions are analogous to those of **procedure 3.31**.

Declaration 3.14

The notation B/A will be used to refer to the result yielded by executing **procedure 3.32** on $\langle A, B \rangle$.

Procedure 3.33

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q})$, A , a $\mathcal{M}_{n,p}(\mathbb{Q})$, E , and a $\mathcal{M}_{m,p}(\mathbb{Q})$, B such that $AE = B$. Execute **procedure 3.25** on A and let $\langle M, D, N \rangle$ receive the result. If the indices of the rows of D that are entirely zero are not also the indices of the rows of M_*B that

are entirely zero, then the objective of the following instructions is to show that $0 \neq 0$.

Implementation

1. Verify that $M^{-1} * DN^{-1} * E = AE = B$.
2. Therefore verify that $DN^{-1} * E = M * B$.
3. Let i be an integer such that $D_{i,*}$ is zero and yet $(M * B)_{i,*}$ is not zero.
4. Verify that $D_{i,*} = D_{i,*} N^{-1} * E = (DN^{-1} * E)_{i,*} = (M * B)_{i,*}$.
5. Let j be an integer such that $(M * B)_{i,j} \neq 0$.
6. **Now verify that** $0 = D_{i,j} = (M * B)_{i,j} \neq 0$.

Procedure 3.34

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{p,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, E , a $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q})$, A , and a $\mathcal{M}_{p,n}(\mathbb{Q})$, B such that $EA = B$. Execute [procedure 3.25](#) on A and let $\langle M, D, N \rangle$ receive the result. If the indices of the columns of D that are entirely zero are not also the indices of the columns of BN_* that are entirely zero, then the objective of the following instructions is to show that $0 \neq 0$.

Implementation

Instructions are analogous to those of [procedure 3.33](#).

Procedure 3.35

Objective

Choose two $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$ s, A and B , such that $AB = I_m$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that either $0 = 1$ or $BA = I_m$.

Implementation

1. Execute [procedure 3.25](#) on B and let $\langle M, D, N \rangle$ receive the result.
2. Verify that $B = M^{-1} * DN^{-1} *$.

3. If D has a zero on its diagonal, then do the following:

- (a) Using [procedure 3.27](#), verify that $\det(I_m) = \det(AB) = \det(A) \det(B) = \det(A) \det(D) = \det(A) * 0 = 0$.
- (b) Also verify that $\det(I_m) = 1^m = 1$.
- (c) Therefore verify that $0 = 1$.
- (d) **Abort procedure.**

4. Otherwise do the following:

- (a) Verify that D does not have a zero on its diagonal.
- (b) Verify that $B \setminus I_m = I_m(B \setminus I_m) = AB(B \setminus I_m) = A(B(B \setminus I_m)) = AI_m = A$.
- (c) **Therefore verify that** $BA = B(B \setminus I_m) = I_m$.

Procedure 3.36

Objective

Choose an $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, M , and an $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, B . The objective of the following instructions is to construct a $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, Q , and a $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, R , such that $M = (xI_m - B)Q + R$.

Implementation

1. Let $M_0x^b + M_1x^{b-1} + \dots + M_bx^0 = M$, where the M_i are $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$ s.
2. Now let $R = B^bM_0 + B^{b-1}M_1 + \dots + B^0M_b$.
3. Let $Q = \sum_{k=1}^b (x^{k-1}I_mB^0 + x^{k-2}I_mB^1 + \dots + x^0I_mB^{k-1})M_k$.
4. Verify that $M - R = (xI_m - B) \sum_{k=1}^b (x^{k-1}I_mB^0 + x^{k-2}I_mB^1 + \dots + x^0I_mB^{k-1})M_k = (xI_m - B)Q$.
5. **Verify that** $M = (xI_m - B)Q + R$.
6. **Yield the tuple** $\langle Q, R \rangle$.

Procedure 3.37

Objective

Choose an $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, M , and an $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, B . The objective of the following instructions is to construct a $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, Q , and a $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, R , such that $M = Q(xI_m - B) + R$.

Implementation

The instructions are analogous to those of [procedure 3.36](#).

Procedure 3.38

Objective

Choose two $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$ s, B, A , and two lists of $\mathcal{T}_m(\mathbb{Q}[x])$ s such that $xI_m - B = M(xI_m - A)N$. The objective of the following instructions is to either show that $0 = 1$ or to construct $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$ s R_1 and R_3 such that $I_m = R_1R_3$ and $B = R_1AR_3$.

Implementation

1. Verify that $(xI_m - B)N^{-1} = M(xI_m - A)NN^{-1} = M(xI_m - A)I_m = M(xI_m - A)$.
2. Execute [procedure 3.37](#) on $\langle M, B \rangle$ and let $\langle Q_1, R_1 \rangle$ receive.
3. Verify that $M = (xI_m - B)Q_1 + R_1$.
4. Execute [procedure 3.37](#) on $\langle N^{-1}, A \rangle$ and let $\langle Q_2, R_2 \rangle$ receive.
5. Verify that $N^{-1} = Q_2(xI_m - A) + R_2$.
6. By substituting M and N^{-1} into (2), verify that $(xI_m - B)(Q_2(xI_m - A) + R_2) = ((xI_m - B)Q_1 + R_1)(xI_m - A)$.
7. By rearranging both sides, verify that $(xI_m - B)(Q_2 - Q_1)(xI_m - A) = R_1(xI_m - A) - (xI_m - B)R_2$.
8. By equating the coefficients of different powers of x both sides, verify that $Q_2 - Q_1 = 0_{m \times m}$.
9. Verify that $R_1(xI_m - A) - (xI_m - B)R_2 = (xI_m - B)(Q_2 - Q_1)(xI_m - A) = (xI_m - B)0_{m \times m}(xI_m - A) = 0_{m \times m}$.

10. Therefore by adding $(xI_m - B)R_2$ to both sides, verify that $xR_1 - R_1A = R_1(xI_m - A) = (xI_m - B)R_2 = xR_2 - BR_2$.
11. By equating the coefficients of x on both sides, verify that $R_1 = R_2$.
12. Therefore verify that $R_1A = BR_1$.
13. Execute [procedure 3.37](#) on $\langle M^{-1}, A \rangle$ and let $\langle Q_3, R_3 \rangle$ receive.
14. Verify that $M^{-1} = (xI_m - A)Q_3 + R_3$.
15. Verify that $I_m = MM^{-1} = ((xI_m - B)Q_1 + R_1)M^{-1} = (xI_m - B)Q_1M^{-1} + R_1M^{-1} = (xI_m - B)Q_1M^{-1} + R_1(xI - A)Q_3 + R_1R_3 = (xI_m - B)Q_1M^{-1} + (xI - B)R_1Q_3 + R_1R_3 = (xI_m - B)(Q_1M^{-1} + R_1Q_3) + R_1R_3$.
16. By equating the powers of x on both sides, verify that $Q_1M^{-1} + R_1Q_3 = 0$.
17. By substituting zero for $Q_1M^{-1} + R_1Q_3$, **verify that** $I_m = (xI_m - B)0_{m \times m} + R_1R_3 = R_1R_3$.
18. **Therefore using [procedure 3.35](#), verify that** $R_3R_1 = I_m$.
19. **Also, verify that** $B = BI_m = BR_1R_3 = R_1AR_3$.
20. **Yield the pair** (R_1, R_3) .

Procedure 3.39

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A . Choose two integers $0 \leq i, j < m$ such that $i \neq j$. The objective of the following instructions is to negate row i and swap it with row j using only elementary row operations.

Implementation

1. Let A be our working matrix.
2. Subtract row j from row i .
3. Add row i to row j .
4. Subtract row j from row i .
5. **Verify that the i^{th} row has been negated and swapped with the j^{th} row.**

Procedure 3.40

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A . Choose two integers $0 \leq i, j < n$ such that $i \neq j$. The objective of the following instructions is to negate column i and swap it with row j using only elementary column operations.

Implementation

The instructions are analogous to those of [procedure 3.39](#).

Procedure 3.41

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{D}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A . Choose two integers $0 \leq i, j < \min(m, n)$ such that $i \neq j$. The objective of the following instructions is to swap $B_{i,i}$ and $B_{j,j}$ using only elementary row and column operations.

Implementation

1. Let A be our working matrix.
2. Use [procedure 3.40](#) to negate the i^{th} row and swap it with the j^{th} row.
3. Use [procedure 3.40](#) to negate the i^{th} column and swap it with the j^{th} column.
4. **Therefore, overall verify that $B_{i,i}$ and $B_{j,j}$ have been swapped.**

Procedure 3.42

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{D}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, A . Choose two integers $0 \leq i, j < \min(m, n)$ such that $i \neq j$. Choose a rational $k \neq 0$. The objective of the following instructions is to multiply $B_{i,i}$ by k and $B_{j,j}$ by $\frac{1}{k}$ using only elementary row and column operations.

Implementation

1. Let A be our working matrix.
2. Add k times row i to row j .
3. Subtract $\frac{1}{k}$ times row j from row i .
4. Add k times row i to row j .
5. Verify that the i^{th} row has been scaled by k , the j^{th} row by $-\frac{1}{k}$, and that both these rows are swapped.
6. Use [procedure 3.40](#) to negate the i^{th} row and swap it with the j^{th} row.
7. **Therefore, overall verify that $B_{i,i}$ has been multiplied by k , and $B_{j,j}$ by $\frac{1}{k}$.**

Declaration 3.15

The phrase " p is monic" will be used as a shorthand for " $x^{\deg(p)} \circ p = 1$ ".

Procedure 3.43

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, A . Execute [procedure 3.10](#) on the polynomial matrix $xI - A$ and let $\langle B \rangle$ be the result. The objective of the following instructions is to show that either none of the diagonal entries of B are equal to zero, or $1 = 0$.

Implementation

1. Verify that $\det(xI - A)$ is a monic polynomial of degree m .
2. Therefore using [procedure 3.27](#), verify that $\det(B) = \det(xI - A)$.
3. Therefore verify that $\det(B)$ is a monic polynomial of degree m .
4. If any of the diagonal entries of B equal zero, then do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $\det(B) = B_{0,0}B_{1,1} \cdots B_{m-1,m-1} = 0$.
 - (b) Therefore using (3) and (4a), verify that $1 = 0$.

(c) **Abort procedure.**

5. Otherwise do the following:

(a) **Verify that none of the diagonal entries of B equal zero.**

Procedure 3.44

Objective

Choose a positive integer m and an $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, A . Execute **procedure 3.25** on the polynomial matrix $xI_m - A$ and let $\langle B, v, \rangle$ be the result. The objective of the following instructions is to either show that $0 < 0$ or to construct an integer a such that $\sum_{i=a}^m \deg(B_{i,i}) = m$, $\deg(B_{i,i}) > 0$ for i in $[a : m]$, and $\deg(B_{i,i}) = 0$ for i in $[0 : a]$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 3.43** on A .
2. If $\deg(B_{i,i}) = 0$ for i in $[0 : m]$, then do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $\det(xI_m - A) = \det(B) = B_{0,0}B_{1,1} \cdots B_{m-1,m-1}$.
 - (b) **Therefore verify that** $0 < m = \deg(\det(xI_m - A)) = \deg(B_{0,0}B_{1,1} \cdots B_{m-1,m-1}) = 0+0+\cdots+0 = 0$.
 - (c) **Abort procedure.**
3. Otherwise do the following:
 - (a) Let $0 \leq a < m$ be the least integer such that $\deg(B_{a,a}) > 0$.
 - (b) **Verify that** $\deg(B_{i,i}) = 0$ **for** i **in** $[0 : a]$.
 - (c) **Verify that** $\sum_{i=a}^m \deg(B_{i,i}) = \sum_{i=0}^m \deg(B_{i,i}) = \deg(B_{0,0}B_{1,1} \cdots B_{m-1,m-1}) = \deg(\det(B)) = \deg(xI_m - A) = m$.
 - (d) For i in $[a+1 : m]$, do the following:
 - i. Verify that $B_{i,i} = u_i B_{i-1,i-1}$.
 - ii. Verify that $B_{i,i} \neq 0$.
 - iii. Therefore verify that $u_i \neq 0$.

iv. **Therefore verify that** $\deg(B_{i,i}) = \deg(u_i B_{i-1,i-1}) \geq \deg(B_{i-1,i-1}) > 0$.

(e) **Yield the tuple** $\langle a \rangle$.

Declaration 3.16

The notation $\text{rcan}(p)$, where $p = x^k + p_1 x^{k-1} + p_2 x^{k-2} + \cdots + p_k x^0$ is a $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ and k is an integer such that $k > 0$, will be used as a shorthand for the $\mathcal{M}_{k,k}(\mathbb{Q})$ of the following constitution:

1. Its first $k-1$ columns equal the last $k-1$ columns of I_k .
2. Its last column from top to bottom is $-p_k, -p_{k-1}, \dots, -p_1$.

Procedure 3.45

Objective

Choose a monic $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, p such that $\deg(p) > 0$. Let $k = \deg(p)$. Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{k,k}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, D , such that $D = xI_k - \text{rcan}(p)$. The objective of the following instructions is to transform D into $\text{bdiag}(1, \dots, 1, p)$ by a sequence of elementary operations.

Implementation

1. Let the matrix D be our working matrix.
2. For i in $[k : 1]$, add x times row i to row $i-1$.
3. Verify that D 's first $k-1$ columns are now the last $k-1$ columns of $-I_k$.
4. Verify that D 's last column is p followed by some other polynomials.
5. For i in $[1 : k]$, subtract $D_{i,k-1}$ times column $i-1$ from column $k-1$.
6. Verify that D 's last column is now p followed by zeros.
7. For i in $[1 : k]$, negate row $i-1$ and exchange it with row i using **procedure 3.40**.
8. **Therefore verify that** $D = \text{bdiag}(1, \dots, 1, p)$.

Declaration 3.17

The notation $\text{mon}(p)$, where p is a $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ such that $x^{\deg(p)} \circ p \neq 0$, will be used as a shorthand for $\frac{p}{x^{\deg(p)} \circ p}$.

Procedure 3.46

Objective

Choose a positive integer m and an $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, A . Execute [procedure 3.03](#) on the polynomial matrix $xI_m - A$ and let $\langle B, , \rangle$ receive the result. Execute [procedure 3.44](#) on A and let $\langle a \rangle$ receive the result. Let $E_i = \text{rcan}(\text{mon}(B_{a+i,a+i}))$ for i in $[0 : m - a]$. The objective of the following instructions is to first show that $\text{cols}(\text{bdiag}(E)) = m$, and second to apply a sequence of elementary operations on $xI_m - \text{bdiag}(E)$ to obtain the matrix B .

Implementation

1. Verify that the diagonal of B comprises a rationals followed by $B_{a,a}, B_{a+1,a+1}, \dots, B_{m-1,m-1}$.
2. Using [procedure 3.45](#), verify that
$$\begin{aligned} \text{cols}(\text{bdiag}(E)) &= \sum_{i=0}^{|E|} \text{cols}(E_i) = \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{|E|} \text{cols}(\text{rcan}(\text{mon}(B_{a+i,a+i}))) = \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{|E|} \deg(\text{mon}(B_{a+i,a+i})) = \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-a} \deg(B_{a+i,a+i}) = \sum_{i=a}^m \deg(B_{i,i}) = m. \end{aligned}$$
3. Let $F = xI_m - \text{bdiag}(E)$.
4. Now for i in $[0 : |E|]$:
 - (a) Let $j = \sum_{r=0}^i \text{cols}(E_r)$.
 - (b) Let $k = j + \text{cols}(E_i)$.
 - (c) Apply [procedure 3.45](#) on the tuple $\langle \text{mon}(B_{a+i,a+i}), F_{[j:k],[j:k]} \rangle$.
5. Now verify that F is a $\mathcal{D}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$.
6. Also verify that the diagonal of F comprises $\text{mon}(B_{a,a}), \text{mon}(B_{a+1,a+1}), \dots, \text{mon}(B_{m-1,m-1})$ and a 1s.
7. Rearrange the diagonal of F so that $\text{mon}(B_{i,i})$ is at the i^{th} position on the diagonal for i in $[a : m]$ by doing pairwise swaps. In general, swap the i^{th} and j^{th} diagonal entries using [procedure 3.41](#).

8. For i in $[0 : m - 1]$, do the following:

- (a) Let $k = \frac{x^{\deg(B_{i,i})} \circ B_{i,i}}{x^{\deg(F_{i,i})} \circ F_{i,i}}$.
- (b) Scale $B_{i,i}$ by k and $B_{i+1,i+1}$ by $\frac{1}{k}$ using [procedure 3.42](#).
- (c) Now verify that $F_{i,i} = B_{i,i}$.

9. Now verify that $x^m \circ \det(F) = x^m \circ \det(xI_m - \text{bdiag}(E)) = 1 = x^m \circ \det(xI_m - A) = x^m \circ \det(B)$.

10. Therefore verify that
$$\begin{aligned} F_{m,m} &= \frac{x^{\deg(F_{m,m})} \circ \det(F)}{x^{m-\deg(F_{m,m})} \circ (\det(F_{[1:m],[1:m]}))} = \\ &= \frac{x^{\deg(B_{m,m})} \circ \det(B)}{x^{m-\deg(B_{m,m})} \circ (\det(B_{[1:m],[1:m]}))} = x^{\deg(B_{m,m})} \circ B_{m,m}. \end{aligned}$$

11. Therefore verify that $F_{m,m} = B_{m,m}$.

12. Therefore verify that $F = B$.

Procedure 3.47

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, A . Execute [procedure 3.44](#) on A and let $\langle a \rangle$ receive the result. Let $E_i = \text{rcan}(\text{mon}(B_{a+i,a+i}))$ for i in $[0 : m - a]$. The objective of the following instructions is to either show that $0 = 1$ or to construct $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$ s R, T such that $A = R \text{bdiag}(E)T$, $RT = I_m$, and $TR = I_m$.

Implementation

1. Execute [procedure 3.25](#) on the polynomial matrix $xI_m - A$ and let $\langle P, B, , Q \rangle$ be the result.
2. Verify that $P_*(xI_m - A)Q_* = B$.
3. Verify that $xI_m - A = P^{-1} * BQ^{-1} *$.
4. Let Z be a variant of [procedure 3.25](#) where every occurrence of [procedure 3.10](#) in its instructions is replaced with [procedure 3.46](#), and where every mention of v is ignored.
5. Execute procedure Z on the matrix $xI_m - \text{bdiag}(E)$ and let $\langle M, , , N \rangle$ receive the result.
6. Verify that $M_*(xI_m - \text{bdiag}(E))N_* = B$.
7. Verify that $xI_m - A = P^{-1} * BQ^{-1} * = P^{-1} * M(xI_m - \text{bdiag}(E))NQ^{-1} *$.

8. Execute **procedure 3.38** on the matrices $\langle A, P^{-1}M, \text{bdiag}(E), NQ^{-1} \rangle$. Let the tuple $\langle R, T \rangle$ be the result.
9. **Verify that** $A = R \text{bdiag}(E)T$.
10. **Verify that** $RT = I_m$.
11. **Verify that** $TR = I_m$.
12. **Yield the tuple** $\langle R, E, T \rangle$.

Procedure 3.48

Objective

Choose a $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, $r = r_0x^t + r_1x^{t-1} + \dots + r_tx^0$, and $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$ s, R, A, S such that $SR = I_m$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $r(RAS) = Rr(A)S$.

Implementation

1. **Verify that** $r(RAS) = r_0(RAS)^t + r_1(RAS)^{t-1} + \dots + r_t(RAS)^0 = r_0RA^tS + r_1RA^{t-1}S + \dots + r_tRA^0S = R(r_0A^t + r_1A^{t-1} + \dots + r_tA^0)S = Rr(A)S$.

Procedure 3.49

Objective

Choose a list of $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$ s, A , and a $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, $r = r_0x^t + r_1x^{t-1} + \dots + r_tx^0$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $r(\text{bdiag}(A)) = \text{bdiag}(r(A))$.

Implementation

1. For $i = 0$ up to $i = t$, by repeated applications of **procedure 3.09**, verify that $\text{bdiag}(A)^i$ evaluates to $\text{bdiag}(A^i)$ (where the exponentiation is done element-wise).
2. Therefore verify that $r(\text{bdiag}(A))$
 - (a) $= r_0 \text{bdiag}(A)^t + r_1 \text{bdiag}(A)^{t-1} + \dots + r_t \text{bdiag}(A)^0$
 - (b) $= r_0 \text{bdiag}(A^t) + r_1 \text{bdiag}(A^{t-1}) + \dots + r_t \text{bdiag}(A^0)$

- (c) $= \text{bdiag}(r_0A^t) + \text{bdiag}(r_1A^{t-1}) + \dots + \text{bdiag}(r_tA^0)$
- (d) $= \text{bdiag}(r(A))$ (**where r is applied element-wise**).

Procedure 3.50

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, A , and a $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, r . Execute **procedure 3.47** on the matrix A and let the tuple $\langle R_1, E, R_3 \rangle$ receive the result. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $r(A) = R_1 \text{bdiag}(r(E))R_3$ (where r is applied element-wise).

Implementation

1. Verify that $R_3R_1 = I_m$.
2. Using **procedure 3.48**, verify that $r(A) = r(R_1 \text{bdiag}(E)R_3) = R_1r(\text{bdiag}(E))R_3$.
3. Using **procedure 3.49**, verify that $r(\text{bdiag}(E)) = \text{bdiag}(r(E))$ (where r is applied element-wise).
4. **Therefore verify that** $r(A) = R_1 \text{bdiag}(r(E))R_3$ (**where r is applied element-wise**).

Declaration 3.18

The notation $0_{m \times n}$ will be used to refer to the $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q})$ such that every entry is 0.

Declaration 3.19

The notation e_i will be used to refer to the $\mathcal{M}_{k,1}(\mathbb{Q})$ such that its i^{th} entry, 1, is the only non-zero entry.

Procedure 3.51

Objective

Choose a $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ $p = x^k + p_1x^{k-1} + p_2x^{k-2} + \dots + p_kx^0$ such that $k > 0$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $p(\text{rcan}(p)) = 0_{k \times k}$.

Implementation

1. Let $G = \text{rcan}(p)$.
2. Then by G 's construction, for i in $[0 : k]$, verify that $G^i e_0 = G^{i-1} e_1 = \dots = G^0 e_i = e_i$.
3. Therefore, for i in $[0 : k]$: Using (1), verify that

$$p(G)e_i$$
 - (a) $= (G^k + p_1 G^{k-1} + p_2 G^{k-2} + \dots + p_k G^0)e_i$
 - (b) $= (G^k + p_1 G^{k-1} + p_2 G^{k-2} + \dots + p_k G^0)G^i e_0$
 - (c) $= G^i (G G^{k-1} + p_1 G^{k-1} + p_2 G^{k-2} + \dots + p_k G^0)e_0$
 - (d) $= G^i (G e_{k-1} + p_1 e_{k-1} + p_2 e_{k-2} + \dots + p_k e_0)$
 - (e) $= G^i 0_{k \times 1}$
 - (f) $= 0_{k \times 1}$.
4. **Therefore verify that** $p(\text{rcan}(p)) = p(G) = 0_{k \times k}$.

Declaration 3.20

The notation last_A , where A is an $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, will be used as a shorthand for the $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ yielded by executing the following instructions:

1. Execute **procedure 3.25** on the polynomial matrix $xI_m - A$ and let the tuple $\langle B, \rangle$ receive the result.
2. Yield $\langle B_{m-1, m-1} \rangle$.

Procedure 3.52

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, A . The objective of the following instructions is to show that either $1 = 0$ or $\text{last}_A \neq 0$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 3.43** on A .
2. **Therefore verify that** $\text{last}_A \neq 0$.

Procedure 3.53

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, A . The objective of the following instructions is to either show that $0 < 0$ or to show that $\text{last}_A(A) = 0_{m \times m}$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 3.25** on the matrix A and let the tuple $\langle M, B, v, N \rangle$ receive the result.
2. Execute **procedure 3.44** on A and let $\langle a \rangle$ receive.
3. Execute **procedure 3.47** on A and let $\langle R, E, T \rangle$ receive.
4. For j in $[0 : |E|]$:
 - (a) Verify that $E_j = \text{rcan}(\text{mon}(B_{a+j, a+j}))$.
 - (b) Verify that $\text{last}_A = B_{m-1, m-1} = B_{a+j, a+j} \prod_{r=a+j+1}^m v_r$.
 - (c) Let $k = \deg(\text{mon}(B_{a+j, a+j}))$.
 - (d) Therefore using **procedure 3.51** verify that $\text{last}_A(E_j) = B_{m-1, m-1}(E_j) = B_{a+j, a+j}(\text{rcan}(\text{mon}(B_{a+j, a+j}))) \prod_{r=a+j+1}^m v_r(E_j) = 0_{k \times k} \prod_{r=a+j+1}^m v_r(E_j) = 0_{k \times k}$.
5. **Therefore using procedure 3.50 verify that** $\text{last}_A(A) = R \text{bdiag}(\text{last}_A(E))T = R \text{bdiag}(B_{m-1, m-1}(E))T = R 0_{m \times m} T = 0_{m \times m}$.

Procedure 3.54

Objective

Choose a monic $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ p such that $\deg(p) > 0$. Choose a $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ $g = g_0 x^k + g_1 x^{k-1} + \dots + g_k x^0$ such that $g_0 \neq 0$ and $k < \deg(p)$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $g(\text{rcan}(p)) \neq 0_{\deg(p) \times \deg(p)}$.

Implementation

1. Let $G = \text{rcan}(p)$.
2. Therefore cognizant of G 's construction, verify that $g(G)e_0 = (g_0 G^k + g_1 G^{k-1} + \dots + g_k G^0)e_0 = g_0 e_k + g_1 e_{k-1} + \dots + g_w e_0 \neq 0_{\deg(p) \times 1}$.

3. **Therefore verify that** $g(G) \neq 0_{\deg(p) \times \deg(p)}$.

Procedure 3.55

Objective

Choose two $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ s $g = g_0x^u + g_1x^{u-1} + \dots + g_u x^0$, $p = x^u + p_1x^{u-1} + p_2x^{u-2} + \dots + p_u x^0$ such that $u = \deg(g) > 0$ and $g(\text{rcan}(p)) = 0_{u \times u}$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $g = g_0p$.

Implementation

1. Let $G = \text{rcan}(p)$.
2. Cognizant of G 's construction, verify that $0_{u \times 1} = g(G)e_0 = (g_0G^u + g_1G^{u-1} + g_2G^{u-2} + \dots + g_uG^0)e_0 = g_0Ge_{u-1} + g_1e_{u-1} + g_2e_{u-2} + \dots + g_ue_0$.
3. Therefore for i in $[0 : u]$, do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $0 = (g_0Ge_{u-1} + g_1e_{u-1} + g_2e_{u-2} + \dots + g_ue_0)_{i,0}$.
 - (b) Therefore cognizant of G 's construction, verify that $-g_0p_{u-i} + g_{u-i} = 0$.
 - (c) Therefore verify that $g_{u-i} = g_0p_{u-i}$.
4. **Therefore verify that** $g = g_0p$.

Procedure 3.56

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, A . Choose a $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ $p = p_0x^t + p_1x^{t-1} + p_2x^{t-2} + \dots + p_tx^0$ where $p_0 \neq 0$, such that $p(A) = 0_{m \times m}$. The objective of the following instructions is to either show that $0 \neq 0$ or to construct a $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ f such that $p = f \text{last}_A$.

Implementation

1. Let F be a $\mathcal{M}_{1,2}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$ matrix consisting in-order of p and last_A .
2. Execute **procedure 3.25** on F and let $\langle M, D, , N \rangle$ receive the result.
3. Verify that $D_{0,0} \neq 0$.

4. Let $g = g_0x^w + g_1x^{w-1} + g_2x^{w-2} + \dots + g_w x^0 = D_{0,0}$ in such a way that $g_0 \neq 0$.

5. Verify that $F = M^{-1} * DN^{-1} * = DN^{-1} *$.

6. Verify that $\text{last}_A = F_{0,1} = D_{0,0}N^{-1} *_{0,1} + D_{0,1}N^{-1} *_{1,1} = D_{0,0}N^{-1} *_{0,1} = gN^{-1} *_{0,1}$.

7. Let $u = \text{last}_A$.

8. Therefore verify that $N^{-1} *_{0,1} \neq 0$.

9. Therefore verify that $u = \deg(\text{last}_A) = \deg(D_{0,0}N^{-1} *_{0,1}) \geq \deg(D_{0,0}) = \deg(g) = w$.

10. Verify that $D = M_*FN_* = FN_*$.

11. Therefore verify that $g = D_{0,0} = N_{*0,0}p + N_{*1,0} \text{last}_A$.

12. Therefore using **procedure 3.51**, verify that $g(A) = N_{*0,0}(A)p(A) + N_{*1,0}(A) \text{last}_A(A) = N_{*0,0}(A)0_{m \times m} + N_{*1,0}(A)0_{m \times m} = 0_{m \times m}$.

13. Execute **procedure 3.47** on the matrix A and let the tuple $\langle R_1, E, R_3 \rangle$ receive the result.

14. Using **procedure 3.50**, and **procedure 3.47**, verify that $\text{bdiag}(g(E)) = I_m \text{bdiag}(g(E))I_m = R_3R_1 \text{bdiag}(g(E))R_3R_1 = R_3g(A)R_1 = R_30_{m \times m}R_1 = 0_{m \times m}$.

15. Let $G = \text{rcan}(\text{mon}(\text{last}_A))$.

16. Verify that $g(G) = g(E_{|E|-1}) = \text{bdiag}(g(E))_{[m-u:m], [m-u:m]} = 0_{u \times u}$.

17. If $w < u$, then:

(a) Using **procedure 3.54**, verify that $g(G) \neq 0_{u \times u}$.

(b) **Therefore using (16), verify that** $0_{u \times u} = g(G) \neq 0_{u \times u}$.

(c) **Abort procedure.**

18. Otherwise, do the following:

(a) Verify that $w = u$.

(b) Using **procedure 3.55**, verify that $g = g_0 \text{last}_A$.

(c) **Therefore verify that** $p = F_{0,0} = D_{0,0}N^{-1} *_{0,0} + D_{0,1}N^{-1} *_{1,0} = N^{-1} *_{0,0}g + N^{-1} *_{1,0} * 0 = N^{-1} *_{0,0}g = N^{-1} *_{0,0}g_0 \text{last}_A$.

(d) **Yield the tuple** $\langle N^{-1} *_{0,0}g_0 \rangle$.

Declaration 3.21

The notation $\text{pows}(A)$, where A is a $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, will be used as a shorthand for the result yielded by executing the following instructions:

1. Let $t = \deg(\text{last}_A)$.
2. Make an $m^2 \times t$ matrix, B , whose i^{th} column is the sequential concatenation of the columns of A^{t-1-i} .
3. **Yield** $\langle B \rangle$.

Procedure 3.57

Objective

Choose an $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q})$, A , and an $\mathcal{M}_{n,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, B , such that $AB = I_m$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that either $0 = 1$ or every column of B is non-zero.

Implementation

1. If any column i of B , Be_i , is equal to zero, then:
 - (a) Verify that $0_{n \times 1} = A0_{n \times 1} = A(Be_i) = (AB)e_i = I_me_i = e_i$.
 - (b) **Therefore verify that $0=1$.**
 - (c) **Abort procedure.**

Procedure 3.58

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, A . Choose a $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ p such that $p \neq 0$, $p(A) = 0$, and $\deg(p) < \deg(\text{last}_A)$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $0 < 0$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 3.56** on A and p and let f receive.
2. Now verify that $p = f \text{last}_A$.
3. Now using the precondition and (2), verify that $f \neq 0$ and $\text{last}_A \neq 0$.

4. **Therefore using the precondition, (2), and (3), verify that** $\deg(\text{last}_A) > \deg(p) = \deg(f \text{last}_A) \geq \deg(\text{last}_A)$.
5. **Abort procedure.**

Procedure 3.59

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, A . Execute **procedure 3.25** on $\text{pows}(A)$ and let the tuple $\langle M, D, , N \rangle$ receive the result. Let $t = \text{cols}(\text{pows}(A))$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that either $0 < 0$ or to show that $C_t(D) = C_t(D)_{0,0}e_0 \neq 0$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 3.25** on $\text{pows}(A)$ and let the tuple $\langle M, D, , N \rangle$ receive the result.
2. Verify that $M_* \text{pows}(A) N_* = D$.
3. Using **procedure 3.05**, verify that $M^{-1}_* M_* F N_* = I_{m^2} F N_* = F N_* = M^{-1}_* D$.
4. If $C_t(D)_{0,0} = 0$, then:
 - (a) Verify that for some $0 \leq i < t$, $D_{i,i} = 0$.
 - (b) Therefore verify that $De_i = 0_{m^2 \times 1}$.
 - (c) Therefore verify that $F(Ne_i) = (FN)e_i = (M^{-1}D)e_i = M^{-1}(De_i) = 0_{m^2 \times 1}$.
 - (d) Let $p = N_{0,i}x^{t-1} + N_{1,i}x^{t-2} + \dots + N_{t-1,i}x^0$.
 - (e) Therefore verify that $p(A) = 0_{m \times m}$.
 - (f) Execute **procedure 3.57** on N^{-1}_* and N_* .
 - (g) Therefore verify that $p \neq 0$.
 - (h) Execute **procedure 3.58** on A and p .
 - (i) **Abort procedure.**
5. Otherwise, do the following:
 - (a) Execute **procedure 3.21** on $\langle D, I_t, t \rangle$ and let E receive.
 - (b) Verify that $C_t(D) = C_t(DI_t) = EC_t(I_t) = E * 1 = E$.
 - (c) Verify that E is a $\mathcal{D}_{\binom{m^2}{t}, \binom{t}{t}}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$.

- (d) Therefore verify that $C_t(D)$ is a $\mathcal{D}_{\binom{m^2}{t},1}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$.
- (e) **Therefore verify that** $C_t(D) = C_t(D)_{0,0}e_0 \neq 0$.

Procedure 3.60

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, A . Let $t = \text{cols}(\text{pows}(A))$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that either $0 < 0$ or to show that $C_t(\text{pows}(A)) \neq 0$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 3.25** on $\text{pows}(A)$ and let the tuple $\langle M, D, N \rangle$ receive the result.
2. Verify that $\text{pows}(A) = M^{-1}DN^{-1}$.
3. Execute **procedure 3.57** on $C_t(M_*)$, $C_t(M^{-1}*)$.
4. Hence verify that all columns of $C_t(M^{-1}*)$ are non-zero.
5. Let $t = \text{cols}(\text{pows}(A))$.
6. Execute **procedure 3.59** on A .
7. Verify that $C_t(D) = C_t(D)_{0,0}e_0 \neq 0$.
8. Therefore verify that $C_t(D)_{0,0} \neq 0$.
9. Execute **procedure 3.57** on $C_t(N_*)$, $C_t(N^{-1}*)$.
10. Hence verify that $C_t(N^{-1}) \neq 0$.
11. **Verify that** $C_t(\text{pows}(A)) = C_t(M^{-1}DN^{-1}) = C_t(M^{-1}*)C_t(D)C_t(N^{-1}*) = C_t(M^{-1}*)C_t(D)_{0,0}e_0C_t(N^{-1}*) = C_t(D)_{0,0}C_t(N^{-1}*)C_t(M^{-1}*)e_0 \neq 0_{\binom{m^2}{t} \times 1}$.

Declaration 3.22

The notation $\text{mat}_t(p)$ will be used as a shorthand for $(x^{t-1} \circ p)e_0 + (x^{t-2} \circ p)e_1 + \dots + (x^0 \circ p)e_{t-1}$.

Declaration 3.23

The notation $\text{pol}(P)$ will be used as a shorthand for $P_{0,0}x^{t-1} + P_{1,0}x^{t-2} + \dots + P_{t-1,0}$ where $t = \text{rows}(P)$.

Declaration 3.24

The notation $\|A\|^2$ will be used as a shorthand for $\sum_{i=0}^{\text{rows}(A)} \sum_{j=0}^{\text{cols}(A)} A_{i,j}^2$.

Declaration 3.25

The notation sel_A , where A is an $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, will be used as a shorthand for the result yielded by executing the following instructions:

1. Using **procedure 3.30** and **procedure 3.60**, verify that $C_t(\text{pows}(A)^T \text{pows}(A)) = C_t(\text{pows}(A)^T)C_t(\text{pows}(A)) = C_t(\text{pows}(A))^T C_t(\text{pows}(A)) = \|C_t(\text{pows}(A))\|^2 > 0$.
2. Let $H = (\text{pows}(A)^T \text{pows}(A)) \setminus e_0$.
3. Let $t = \text{deg}(\text{last}_A)$.
4. Let $\text{sel}_A = \frac{\text{pol}(H)}{x^t \circ \text{last}_A}$.
5. **Yield** $\langle \text{sel}_A \rangle$.

Declaration 3.26

The notation $\text{tr}(X)$ will be used as a shorthand for the sum of the diagonal entries of the square matrix X .

Declaration 3.27

The phrase "A is symmetric" will be used as a shorthand for " $A^T = A$ ".

Procedure 3.61

Objective

Choose a symmetric $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, A . Let $t = \text{deg}(\text{last}_A)$. Choose two $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ s $u = u_0x^{t-1} + u_1x^{t-2} + \dots + u_{t-1}x^0$, $w = w_0x^{t-1} + w_1x^{t-2} + \dots + w_{t-1}x^0$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $\text{tr}(u(A)w(A)) = \text{mat}(u)^T \text{pows}(A)^T \text{pows}(A) \text{mat}_t(w)$.

Implementation

1. Verify that $\text{tr}(u(A)w(A))$
 - (a) $= \text{tr}((\sum_{p=0}^t u_p A^{t-1-p})(\sum_{q=0}^t w_q A^{t-1-q}))$
 - (b) $= \text{tr}(\sum_{p=0}^t \sum_{q=0}^t u_p w_q A^{t-1-p} A^{t-1-q})$
 - (c) $= \sum_{p=0}^t \sum_{q=0}^t u_p w_q \text{tr}(A^{t-1-p} A^{t-1-q})$
 - (d) $= \sum_{p=0}^t \sum_{q=0}^t u_p w_q \sum_{e=0}^m \sum_{f=0}^m A^{t-1-p}_{e,f} A^{t-1-q}_{f,e}$
 - (e) $= \sum_{p=0}^t \sum_{q=0}^t u_p w_q \sum_{e=0}^m \sum_{f=0}^m A^{t-1-p}_{f,e} A^{t-1-q}_{f,e}$
 - (f) $= \sum_{p=0}^t \sum_{q=0}^t u_p w_q \sum_{g=0}^{m^2} \text{pows}(A)_{g,p} \text{pows}(A)_{g,q}$
 - (g) $= \sum_{p=0}^t \sum_{q=0}^t u_p w_q (\text{pows}(A)^T \text{pows}(A))_{p,q}$
 - (h) $= \sum_{p=0}^t u_p (\text{pows}(A)^T \text{pows}(A) \text{mat}_t(w))_p$
 - (i) $= \text{mat}_t(u)^T \text{pows}(A)^T \text{pows}(A) \text{mat}_t(w)$

Procedure 3.62

Objective

Choose a symmetric $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, A . Let $t = \deg(\text{last}_A)$. Choose a $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ u such that $\deg(u) < t$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $\text{tr}(u(A) \text{sel}_A(A)) = \frac{x^{t-1} \circ u}{x^t \circ \text{last}_A}$.

Implementation

1. Using **procedure 3.61**, verify that $\text{tr}(u(A) \text{sel}_A(A))$
 - (a) $= \text{mat}(u)^T \text{pows}(A)^T \text{pows}(A) \text{mat}_t(\text{sel}_A)$
 - (b) $= \frac{\text{mat}(u)^T \text{pows}(A)^T \text{pows}(A) ((\text{pows}(A)^T \text{pows}(A)) \setminus e_0)}{x^t \circ \text{last}_A}$
 - (c) $= \frac{\text{mat}(u)^T e_0}{x^t \circ \text{last}_A}$
 - (d) $= \frac{\text{mat}(u)_{0,0}}{x^t \circ \text{last}_A}$
 - (e) $= \frac{x^{t-1} \circ u}{x^t \circ \text{last}_A}$

Procedure 3.63

Objective

Choose a symmetric $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, A . The objective of the following instructions is to either show that $0 \neq 0$ or construct $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ s u, v such that $u \text{last}_A + v \text{sel}_A = 1$.

Implementation

1. Let $t = \deg(\text{last}_A)$.
2. Let G be a $\mathcal{M}_{1,2}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$ where $G_{0,0} = \text{last}_A$ and $G_{0,1} = \text{sel}_A$.
3. Execute **procedure 3.25** on G and let the tuple $\langle M, D, \cdot, N \rangle$ receive.
4. Verify that $G = M^{-1} \cdot D N^{-1} \cdot$.
5. Verify that $\text{last}_A \neq 0$.
6. Therefore verify that $D_{0,0} \neq 0$.
7. If $\deg(D_{0,0}) > 0$, then do the following:
 - (a) Let $b = N^{-1} \cdot_{0,0}$.
 - (b) Verify that $\text{last}_A = b D_{0,0}$.
 - (c) Let $z = \deg(b)$.
 - (d) Verify that $t = \deg(\text{last}_A) = \deg(b D_{0,0}) = \deg(b) + \deg(D_{0,0}) > \deg(b) = z$.
 - (e) Let $c = N^{-1} \cdot_{0,1}$.
 - (f) Verify that $\text{sel}_A = c D_{0,0}$.
 - (g) Let $u = x^{t-z-1} b$.
 - (h) Execute **procedure 3.62** on A and u .
 - (i) Hence verify that $\text{tr}(u(A) \text{sel}_A(A)) = x^{t-1} \circ u = x^z \circ b \neq 0$.
 - (j) Also verify that
$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(A^{z-1} b(A) c(A) D_{0,0}(A)) &= \\ \text{tr}(A^{z-1} c(A) b(A) D_{0,0}(A)) &= \\ \text{tr}(A^{z-1} c(A) \text{last}_A(A)) &= \\ \text{tr}(A^{z-1} c(A) 0_{m \times m}) &= \text{tr}(0_{m \times m}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$
- (k) **Therefore verify that $0 \neq 0$.**
- (l) **Abort procedure.**
8. Otherwise, do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $\deg(D_{0,0}) = 0$.

- (b) Let $u = \frac{N_{0,0}}{D_{0,0}}$.
- (c) Let $v = \frac{N_{1,0}}{D_{0,0}}$.
- (d) **Verify that** $u \text{ last}_A + v \text{ sel}_A = 1$.
- (e) **Yield the tuple** $\langle u, v \rangle$.

Procedure 3.64

Objective

Choose a symmetric $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, A . Let $t = \deg(\text{last}_A)$. The objective of the following instructions is to either show that $0 \neq 0$ or to construct lists of $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ s s, q such that

1. For $i = 0$ to $i = t$, $\deg(s_i) = i$.
2. For $i = 0$ to $i = t$, $\text{sgn}(x^i \circ s_i) = \text{sgn}(x^t \circ s_t)$.
3. For $i = 1$ to $i = t - 1$, $s_{i-1} + s_{i+1} = q_i s_i$.
4. $s_t = \text{last}_A$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 3.63** on A and let $\langle u, s_{t+1} \rangle$ receive the result.
2. Verify that $u s_t + s_{t+1} \text{ sel}_A = 1$.
3. Execute **procedure 2.22** on the tuple $\langle s_{t+1}, s_t \rangle$. Let the tuple $\langle q_t, s_{t-1} \rangle$ receive the result.
4. Verify that $s_{t+1} = q_t s_t + s_{t-1}$, where $\deg(s_{t-1}) < \deg(s_t) = t$.
5. Therefore verify that $u s_t + (q_t s_t + s_{t-1}) \text{ sel}_A = 1$.
6. Therefore verify that $s_{t-1}(A) \text{ sel}_A(A) = u(A) s_t(A) + (q_t(A) s_t(A) + s_{t-1}(A)) \text{ sel}_A(A) = I_{m,m}$.
7. Therefore using **procedure 3.62**, verify that $\frac{x^{t-1} \circ s_{t-1}}{x^t \circ s_t} = \text{tr}(s_{t-1}(A) \text{ sel}_A(A)) = \text{tr}(I_{m,m}) = m > 0$.
8. For $i = t - 1$ down to $i = 1$, do the following:
 - (a) Execute **procedure 2.22** on the tuple $\langle -s_{i+1}, -s_i \rangle$. Let the tuple $\langle q_i, s_{i-1} \rangle$ receive the result.
 - (b) Verify that $\deg(q_i) = 1$.
 - (c) Verify that $x \circ q_i = \frac{x^{i+1} \circ s_{i+1}}{x^i \circ s_i}$.

- (d) Also verify that $-s_{i+1} = -q_i s_i + s_{i-1}$.
- (e) Therefore verify that $q_i s_i = s_{i+1} + s_{i-1}$.
- (f) Therefore verify that $q_i s_i - s_{i+1} = s_{i-1}$.
- (g) Execute **procedure 2.23** on the tuple $\langle s, q, i - 1 \rangle$ and let $\langle p, j \rangle$ receive.
- (h) Verify that $s_{i-1} = p s_{t-1} + q_3 s_t$.
- (i) Verify that $\deg(p) = t - 1 - (i - 1) = t - i$.
- (j) Verify that $\deg(q_3) = t - 2 - (i - 1) = t - 1 - i$.
- (k) Therefore verify that $s_{i-1}(A) = p(A) s_{t-1}(A) + j(A) s_t(A) = p(A) s_{t-1}(A) + j(A) 0_{m \times m} = p(A) s_{t-1}(A)$.
- (l) If $p(A) = 0$, then do the following:
 - i. Execute **procedure 3.58** on A and p .
 - ii. **Abort procedure.**
- (m) Otherwise, if $s_{i-1}(A) = 0_{m \times m}$, then do the following:
 - i. Verify that $p(A) s_{t-1}(A) \text{ sel}_A(A) = s_{i-1}(A) \text{ sel}_A(A) = 0_{m \times m} \text{ sel}_A(A) = 0_{m \times m}$.
 - ii. Verify that $p(A) s_{t-1}(A) \text{ sel}_A(A) = p(A) I_{m,m} = p(A) \neq 0_{m \times m}$.
 - iii. Therefore verify that $0 \neq 0$.
 - iv. **Abort procedure.**
- (n) Otherwise if $s_{i-1}(A) \text{ sel}_A(A) = 0_{m \times m}$, then do the following:
 - i. Verify that $s_{i-1}(A) \text{ sel}_A(A) s_{t-1}(A) = 0_{m \times m} s_{t-1}(A) = 0_{m \times m}$.
 - ii. Verify that $s_{i-1}(A) \text{ sel}_A(A) s_{t-1}(A) = s_{i-1}(A) I_{m,m} = s_{i-1}(A) \neq 0$.
 - iii. Therefore verify that $0 \neq 0$.
 - iv. **Abort procedure.**
- (o) Otherwise, do the following:
 - i. Verify that $\deg(s_{i-1}) < i$.
 - ii. Verify that $s_{i-1}(A) \text{ sel}_A(A) \neq 0_{m \times m}$.
 - iii. Execute the **auxilliary procedure** on the tuple $(i - 1, s_{i-1})$.
 - iv. Hence verify that $\frac{x^{i-1} \circ s_{i-1}}{x^i \circ s_i} = \text{tr}(s_{i-1}(A)^2 \text{ sel}_A(A)^2) = \text{tr}((s_{i-1}(A) \text{ sel}_A(A))^2) = \|s_{i-1}(A) \text{ sel}_A(A)\|^2 > 0$.

v. **Therefore verify that** $\text{sgn}(x^{i-1} \circ s_{i-1}) = \text{sgn}(x^i \circ s_i)$.

9. Yield the tuple $\langle s_{[0:t+1]}, q_{[0:t]} \rangle$.

Auxilliary procedure

Objective Choose an integer $0 \leq k \leq t$ such that polynomial s_k is defined. Choose a $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ g such that $\deg(g) \leq \min(k, t-1)$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $\text{tr}(g(A)s_k(A)\text{sel}_A(A)^2) = \frac{x^k \circ g}{x^{k+1} \circ s_{k+1}}$.

Implementation

1. If $k = t$, then verify that $\text{tr}(g(A)s_k(A)\text{sel}_A(A)^2)$
 - (a) $= \text{tr}(g(A)s_t(A)\text{sel}_A(A)^2)$
 - (b) $= \text{tr}(g(A)0_{m \times m}\text{sel}_A(A)^2)$
 - (c) $= 0$
 - (d) $= \frac{x^k \circ g}{x^{k+1} \circ s_{k+1}}$.
2. Otherwise if $k = t-1$, then verify that $\text{tr}(g(A)s_k(A)\text{sel}_A(A)^2)$
 - (a) $= \text{tr}(g(A)s_{t-1}(A)\text{sel}_A(A)^2)$.
 - (b) $= \text{tr}(g(A)I_{m,m}\text{sel}_A(A))$.
 - (c) $= \text{tr}(g(A)\text{sel}_A(A))$.
 - (d) $= \frac{x^k \circ g}{x^{k+1} \circ s_{k+1}}$.
3. Otherwise if $k < t-1$, then do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $\deg(gq_{k+1}) = k+1 \leq t-1$.
 - (b) Execute the **auxilliary procedure** on the tuple $\langle k+1, gq_{k+1} \rangle$.
 - (c) Now verify that $\text{tr}((g(A)q_{k+1}(A))s_{k+1}(A)\text{sel}_A(A)^2) = \frac{\frac{x^{k+2} \circ s_{k+2}}{x^{k+1} \circ s_{k+1}} x^k \circ g}{x^{k+2} \circ s_{k+2}} = \frac{x^k \circ g}{x^{k+1} \circ s_{k+1}}$.
 - (d) Verify that $\deg(g) \leq k \leq t-2$.
 - (e) Execute the **auxilliary procedure** on the tuple $\langle k+2, g \rangle$.
 - (f) Now verify that $\text{tr}(g(A)s_{k+2}(A)\text{sel}_A(A)^2) = \frac{x^{k+2} \circ g}{x^{k+3} \circ s_{k+3}} = \frac{0}{x^{k+3} \circ s_{k+3}} = 0$.
 - (g) Therefore verify that $\text{tr}(g(A)s_k(A)\text{sel}_A(A)^2)$

$$\text{i.} = \text{tr}(g(A)(q_{k+1}(A)s_{k+1}(A) + s_{k+2}(A))\text{sel}_A(A)^2)$$

$$\text{ii.} = \text{tr}(g(A)q_{k+1}(A)s_{k+1}(A)\text{sel}_A(A)^2) + \text{tr}(g(A)s_{k+2}(A)\text{sel}_A(A)^2)$$

$$\text{iii.} = \frac{x^k \circ g}{x^{k+1} \circ s_{k+1}} + 0$$

$$\text{iv.} = \frac{x^k \circ g}{x^{k+1} \circ s_{k+1}}.$$

Procedure 3.65

Objective

Choose a symmetric $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, A . Let $t = \deg(\text{last}_A)$. The objective of the following instructions is to either show that $0 < 0$ or to construct two lists of rational numbers c, d such that $c_0 < d_0 \leq c_1 < d_1 \leq \dots \leq c_{t-1} < d_{t-1}$ and $\text{sgn}(\text{last}_A(c_i)) = -\text{sgn}(\text{last}_A(d_i))$ for i in $[0 : t]$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 3.64** on the matrix A and let the tuple $\langle s, q \rangle$ receive the result.
2. Execute **procedure 2.21** supplying the tuple $\langle s, q \rangle$. Let the tuple $\langle c, d \rangle$ receive the result.
3. **Verify that** $c_0 < d_0 \leq c_1 < d_1 \leq \dots \leq c_{t-1} < d_{t-1}$.
4. **Verify that** $\text{sgn}(\text{last}_A(c_i)) = -\text{sgn}(\text{last}_A(d_i))$ for i in $[0 : t]$.
5. **Yield** $\langle c, d \rangle$.

Procedure 3.66

Objective

Choose a symmetric $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, A . Let $t = \deg(\text{last}_A)$. Execute **procedure 3.65** on A and let the tuple $\langle c, d \rangle$ receive the result. Execute **procedure 3.25** on A and let the tuple \langle , u, \rangle receive the result. The objective of the following instructions is to either show that $1 = -1$ or to construct a list of non-negative integers k such that $\text{sgn}(u_{k_i}(c_i)) = -\text{sgn}(u_{k_i}(d_i))$ for i in $[0 : t]$.

Implementation

1. Verify that $\text{last}_A = u_0 u_1 \cdots u_{m-1}$.
2. For i in $[0 : t]$, do the following:
 - (a) If $\text{sgn}(u_0(c_i)) = \text{sgn}(u_0(d_i)), \text{sgn}(u_1(c_i)) = \text{sgn}(u_1(d_i)), \dots, \text{sgn}(u_{m-1}(c_i)) = \text{sgn}(u_{m-1}(d_i))$, then do the following:
 - i. Verify that $\text{sgn}(u_0(c_i)) \text{sgn}(u_1(c_i)) \cdots \text{sgn}(u_{m-1}(c_i)) = \text{sgn}(u_0(d_i)) \text{sgn}(u_1(d_i)) \cdots \text{sgn}(u_{m-1}(d_i))$.
 - ii. Therefore verify that $\text{sgn}(u_0(c_i) u_1(c_i) \cdots u_{m-1}(c_i)) = \text{sgn}(u_0(d_i) u_1(d_i) \cdots u_{m-1}(d_i))$.
 - iii. Therefore verify that $\text{sgn}(\text{last}_A(c_i)) = \text{sgn}(\text{last}_A(d_i))$.
 - iv. Using the precondition, verify that $\text{sgn}(\text{last}_A(c_i)) = -\text{sgn}(\text{last}_A(d_i))$.
 - v. Therefore verify that $\text{sgn}(\text{last}_A(c_i)) = -\text{sgn}(\text{last}_A(d_i))$.
 - vi. Therefore verify that $1 = -1$.
 - vii. **Abort procedure.**
 - (b) Otherwise do the following:
 - i. Let j be the least integer such that $\text{sgn}(u_j(c_i)) = -\text{sgn}(u_j(d_i))$.
 - ii. Let $k_i = j$.
3. Yield $\langle k \rangle$.

Procedure 3.67

Objective

Choose a symmetric $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, A . Execute **procedure 3.25** on A and let the tuple $\langle \cdot, u, \rangle$ receive the result. Execute **procedure 2.15** on A and let k receive. Let $t = \deg(\text{last}_A)$. Let $n_j = \sum_{i=0}^t [k_i = j]$ for j in $[0 : m]$. The objective of the following instructions is to either show that $0 < 0$, or to show that $n_i = \deg(u_i)$ for i in $[0 : m]$.

Implementation

1. Verify that $\sum_{j=0}^m n_j = \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{i=0}^t [k_i = j] = \sum_{i=0}^t \sum_{j=0}^m [k_i = j] = \sum_{i=0}^t 1 = t$.

2. If for any i in $[0 : m]$, $n_i > \deg(u_i)$, then do the following:
 - (a) Execute **procedure 2.15** on the polynomial u_i along with $\deg(u_i) + 1$ of the distinct pairs $\langle c_l, d_l \rangle$ such that $k_l = i$.
 - (b) **Abort procedure.**
3. Otherwise if for any i in $[0 : m]$, $n_i < \deg(u_i)$, then do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $\sum_{i=0}^m n_j < \sum_{i=0}^m \deg(u_j) = t$.
 - (b) Therefore using (1) and (a), verify that $\sum_{i=0}^m n_j < \sum_{i=0}^m n_j$.
 - (c) **Abort procedure.**
4. Otherwise, do the following:
 - (a) **For all i in $[0 : m]$, verify that $n_i = \deg(u_i)$.**

Declaration 3.28

The phrase " A is upper triangular" will be used as a shorthand for "all the entries of A below the diagonal are zero".

Procedure 3.68

Objective

Choose two upper triangular $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$ s, A and B . Let $C = AB$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that C is an upper triangular matrix where $C_{i,i} = A_{i,i} B_{i,i}$ for i in $[0 : m]$.

Implementation

1. For i in $[0 : m]$, do the following:
 - (a) **Verify that** $C_{i,i} = \sum_{k=0}^m (A_{i,k} B_{k,i}) = \sum_{k=0}^i (A_{i,k} B_{k,i}) + \sum_{k=i+1}^m (A_{i,k} B_{k,i}) = \sum_{k=0}^i (0 * B_{k,i}) + A_{i,i} B_{i,i} + \sum_{k=i+1}^m (A_{i,k} * 0) = A_{i,i} B_{i,i}$.
2. For i in $[1 : m]$, do the following:
 - (a) For j in $[0 : i]$, do the following:
 - i. Verify that $C_{i,j} = \sum_{k=0}^m A_{i,k} B_{k,j} = \sum_{k=0}^i A_{i,k} B_{k,j} + \sum_{k=i+1}^m A_{i,k} B_{k,j} = \sum_{k=0}^i 0 * B_{k,j} + \sum_{k=i+1}^m A_{i,k} * 0 = 0$.

3. Therefore verify that C is upper triangular.

Procedure 3.69

Objective

Choose integers $m \geq n \geq 0$. Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{n,m}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, M , and a $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, B , such that $MB = I_n$. The objective of the following instructions is to either show that $1 = 0$ or to construct a list of $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$ s, A , such that $A_0 = B$ and for $i = 0$ to $i = n$:

1. $BMA_i = A_i$
2. $(A_i^T A_i)_{[0:i],[0:i]}$ is a $\mathcal{D}_{i,i}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$
3. $A_i^T A_i = \text{bdiag}((A_i^T A_i)_{[0:i],[0:i]}, (A_i^T A_i)_{[i:n],[i:n]})$
4. $(e_j^T M)(A_i e_j) = \prod_{r=0}^{\min(i,j)} \|A_r e_r\|^2$ for j in $[0 : n]$.

Implementation

1. Let $A = \langle B \rangle$.
2. For $i = 1$ to $i = n$, do the following:
 - (a) Let D_i be a $n \times n$ diagonal matrix comprising i 1s followed by $n - i$ $\|A_{i-1} e_{i-1}\|^2$ s.
 - (b) Verify that D_i is upper triangular.
 - (c) Let $N_i = I_n$ except that its $(i - 1)^{th}$ row is $i - 1$ 0s followed by a 1 followed by $-(A_{i-1}^T A_{i-1})_{i-1,i}$, then $-(A_{i-1}^T A_{i-1})_{i-1,i+1}$, all the way up to $-(A_{i-1}^T A_{i-1})_{i-1,n-1}$.
 - (d) Verify that N_i is upper triangular.
 - (e) Let $A_i = A_{i-1} D_i N_i$.
 - (f) Verify that $A_i^T A_i = (A_{i-1} D_i N_i)^T (A_{i-1} D_i N_i) = N_i^T D_i^T (A_{i-1}^T A_{i-1}) D_i N_i$.
 - (g) Now using [procedure 3.09](#), verify that $A_i^T A_i$ and $A_{i-1}^T A_{i-1}$ are the same modulo the bottom-right $(n - i + 1) \times (n - i + 1)$ block.
 - (h) Therefore using (1g) and the previous instance of (1k), verify that $(A_i^T A_i)_{[0:i],[0:i]}$ is a $\mathcal{D}_{i,i}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$.

- (i) Also verify that $(A_i^T A_i)_{i-1,[i:n]} = 0$.
 - (j) Also verify that $(A_i^T A_i)_{[i:n],i-1} = 0$.
 - (k) Therefore using (1i), (1j), and the previous instance of (1k), verify that $A_i^T A_i = \text{bdiag}((A_i^T A_i)_{[0:i],[0:i]}, (A_i^T A_i)_{[i:n],[i:n]})$.
 - (l) Using (1e), verify that $A_i = A_0(D_1 N_1) \cdots (D_i N_i)$.
 - (m) Therefore verify that $MA_i = (D_1 N_1) \cdots (D_i N_i)$.
 - (n) Therefore verify that $A_0 M A_i = A_i$.
 - (o) Using [procedure 3.68](#), for j in $[0 : n]$, verify that $(e_j^T M)(A_i e_j)$
 - i. $= e_j^T (M A_i) e_j$
 - ii. $= e_j^T ((D_1 N_1) \cdots (D_i N_i)) e_j$
 - iii. $= (D_{1,j,j} N_{1,j,j}) \cdots (D_{i,j,j} N_{i,j,j})$
 - iv. $= D_{1,j,j} \cdots D_{i,j,j}$
 - v. $= D_{1,j,j} \cdots D_{\min(i,j),j,j}$
 - vi. $= \|A_0 e_0\|^2 \cdots \|A_{\min(i,j)-1} e_{\min(i,j)-1}\|^2$
 - vii. $= \prod_{r=0}^{\min(i,j)} \|A_r e_r\|^2$.
3. Yield the tuple $\langle A \rangle$.

Procedure 3.70

Objective

Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{1,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, A , and a $\mathcal{M}_{m,1}(\mathbb{Q})$, B . The objective of the following instructions is to show that $(AB)^2 \leq (AA^T)(B^T B)$.

Implementation

1. Verify that 0
 - (a) $\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^m (A_i B_j - A_j B_i)^2$
 - (b) $= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^m (A_i^2 B_j^2 - 2A_i B_j A_j B_i + A_j^2 B_i^2)$
 - (c) $= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^m A_i^2 \sum_{j=0}^m B_j^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^m B_i^2 \cdot \sum_{j=0}^m A_j^2 - \sum_{i=0}^m A_i B_i \sum_{j=0}^m A_j B_j$
 - (d) $= \frac{1}{2} (AA^T)(B^T B) + \frac{1}{2} (AA^T)(B^T B) - (AB)^2$

$$(e) = (AA^T)(B^TB) - (AB)^2.$$

2. **Therefore verify that** $(AB)^2 \leq (AA^T)(B^TB).$

Declaration 3.29

The notation $(2k)!!$ will be used as a shorthand for $2^k(k!)$.

Procedure 3.71

Objective

Choose integers $m \geq n > 0$. Choose a $\mathcal{M}_{n,m}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, M , and a $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, B , such that $MB = I_n$. Choose a \mathbb{Q} , x . Let $a = \max(\|M(x)\|^2, 1)$. Execute **procedure 3.69** on $\langle M, B \rangle$ and let the tuple $\langle A \rangle$ receive the result. Choose a column index $0 \leq j < n$ such that $\|A_n(x)e_j\|^2 < \frac{1}{a^{(2n+2)!!}}$. The objective of the following instructions is to show that $1 < 1$.

Implementation

1. Let $i = n$.
2. Verify that $\|A_i(x)e_j\|^2 < \frac{1}{a^{(2i+2)!!}}$.
3. Using **procedure 3.70**, verify that $(e_j^T M(x)A_i(x)e_j)^2 \leq \|e_j^T M(x)\|^2 \|A_i(x)e_j\|^2 < \|M(x)\|^2 \frac{1}{a^{(2i+2)!!}} \leq a \frac{1}{a^{(2i+2)!!}} \leq \frac{1}{a^{(2i)!! * 2i}} \leq 1$.
4. If $i = 0$, then do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $(e_j^T M(x)A_i(x)e_j)^2 = (e_j^T M(x)A_0(x)e_j)^2 = (e_j^T I_n e_j)^2 = 1$.
 - (b) Therefore using (4) and (a), verify that $1 < 1$.
 - (c) **Abort procedure.**
5. Otherwise, do the following:
6. Using the precondition, verify that $(\prod_{r=0}^{\min(i,j)} \|A_r e_r\|^2)^2 = (e_j^T M(x)A_i(x)e_j)^2 < \frac{1}{a^{(2i)!! * 2i}} \leq 1$.
7. If $\min(i, j) = 0$, then do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $(\prod_{r=0}^{\min(i,j)} \|A_r e_r\|^2)^2 = 1^2 = 1$.
 - (b) **Therefore using (7) and (a), verify that** $1 < 1$.

- (c) **Abort procedure.**

8. Otherwise do the following:

- (a) Verify that $\min(i, j) > 0$.
- (b) If for all $k = 0$ to $k = \min(i, j) - 1$, $\|A_k(x)e_k\|^2 \geq \frac{1}{a^{(2i)!!}}$, then do the following:
 - i. Verify that $(e_j^T M(x)A_i(x)e_j)^2 = (\prod_{r=0}^{\min(i,j)} \|A_r e_r\|^2)^2 \geq (\frac{1}{a^{(2i)!!}})^{2\min(i,j)} \geq (\frac{1}{a^{(2i)!!}})^{2i} = \frac{1}{a^{(2i)!! * 2i}}$.
 - ii. **Therefore using (4) and (i), verify that** $(e_j^T M(x)A_i(x)e_j)^2 < \frac{1}{a^{(2i)!! * 2i}} \leq (e_j^T M(x)A_i(x)e_j)^2$.
 - iii. **Abort procedure.**
- (c) Otherwise, do the following:
 - i. Let k , where $0 \leq k < \min(i, j) \leq i$, be one of the integers for which $\|A_k(x)e_k\|^2 < \frac{1}{a^{(2i)!!}}$.
 - ii. Verify that $\|A_k(x)e_k\|^2 < \frac{1}{a^{(2i)!!}} \leq \frac{1}{a^{(2k+2)!!}}$.
 - iii. Let $i = j = k$.
 - iv. Go to (2).

Procedure 3.72

Objective

Choose a symmetric $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, A . Let $t = \deg(\text{last}_A)$. Execute **procedure 3.66** on the matrix A and let the tuple $\langle k \rangle$ receive the result. The objective of the following instructions is to either show that $0 < 0$ or to show that $\sum_{i=0}^t (m - k_i) = m$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 3.25** on the matrix A and let the tuple $\langle D, u, \rangle$.
2. Using **procedure 3.67**, verify that $\sum_{i=0}^t (m - k_i)$
 - (a) $= \sum_{i=0}^t \sum_{j=0}^m [k_i \leq j]$
 - (b) $= \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{i=0}^t [k_i \leq j]$
 - (c) $= \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{i=0}^t [k_i \leq j] \sum_{l=0}^m [k_i = l]$
 - (d) $= \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{l=0}^m \sum_{i=0}^t [k_i \leq j][k_i = l]$
 - (e) $= \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{l=0}^m \sum_{i=0}^t [l \leq j][k_i = l]$

- (f) = $\sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{l=0}^m [l \leq j] \sum_{i=0}^t [k_i = l]$
- (g) = $\sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{l=0}^m [l \leq j] \deg u_l$
- (h) = $\sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{l=0}^{j+1} \deg u_l$
- (i) = $\sum_{j=0}^m \deg D_{j,j}$
- (j) = m

Declaration 3.30

The notation $\text{disc}(A)$, where A is a symmetric $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, will be used to refer to the result yielded by executing the following instructions:

1. Execute **procedure 3.65** on the matrix A and let the tuple $\langle c, d \rangle$ receive the result.
2. Execute **procedure 3.04** with $xI_m - A$ as the choice matrix and let the tuple $\langle \cdot, \cdot, N \rangle$ receive the result.
3. Let $L = |(\|N^{-1}\|^2)^{(2m+2)!}|$.
4. **Yield the tuple** $\langle \frac{1}{\max(1, L(\frac{1}{|c_1|}), L(\frac{1}{|d_t|}))} \rangle$.

Procedure 3.73

Objective

Choose integers $0 \leq k \leq m$ and a list of $\mathcal{T}_m(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, N . Let $Q = (I_m)_{*,[k:m]}$. The objective of the following instructions is to either show that $1 = 0$ or to construct an $\mathcal{M}_{m,m-k}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, K , and an $\mathcal{M}_{m-k,m-k}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$, E , such that $K = N_*QE$ and K^TK is a $\mathcal{D}_{m-k,m-k}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$.

Implementation

1. Verify that $(Q^TN^{-1}*)(N_*Q) = Q^T(N^{-1}*N_*)Q = Q^TI_mQ = Q^TQ = I_{m-k}$.
2. Execute **procedure 3.69** on the matrices Q^TN^{-1} and N_*Q and let the tuple $\langle Z \rangle$ receive.
3. Let $K = Z_{m-k}$.
4. **Verify that K is a $\mathcal{M}_{m,m-k}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$.**
5. **Using (2), verify that K^TK is a $\mathcal{D}_{m-k,m-k}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$.**
6. Let $E = Q^TN^{-1}*K$.

7. **Verify that E is a $\mathcal{M}_{m-k,m-k}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$.**
8. **Now, using (2) verify that $K = N_*QE$.**
9. **Yield $\langle K, E \rangle$.**

Procedure 3.74

Objective

Choose a symmetric $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, A . Choose a $\mathbb{Q} \epsilon > 0$. Execute **procedure 3.66** on the matrix A and let the tuple $\langle k \rangle$ receive the result. The objective of the following instructions is to either show that $1 < 1$ or to construct \mathbb{Q} s, $0 < \delta \leq 1 \leq K'$, a list of $\mathcal{M}_{m,*}(\mathbb{Q})$ s, K , and a list of \mathbb{Q} s, g , such that for i in $[0 : |k|]$:

1. $K_i^TK_i$ is a $\mathcal{D}_{m-k_i}(\mathbb{Q})$.
2. $(K_i)_{p,q} \leq K'm$, for $0 \leq p < m$, for $0 \leq q < \text{cols}(K_i)$.
3. $(K_i^TK_i)_{j,j} \geq \text{disc}(A)$ for $0 \leq j < \text{cols}(K_i)$.
4. $|(g_iK_i - AK_i)_{p,q}| < \frac{\epsilon\delta}{K'm^2}$, for $0 \leq p < m$, for $0 \leq q < \text{cols}(K_i)$.
5. $\delta \leq \min_{i=0}^{|g|} \min_{j=i+1}^{|g|} |g_j - g_i|$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 3.65** on the matrix A and let the tuple $\langle c, d \rangle$ receive the result.
2. Execute **procedure 3.25** with $xI_m - A$ as the choice matrix. Let the tuple $\langle M, D, u, N \rangle$ receive the result.
3. Let $M' = 1 + \max_{i=0}^m \max_{j=0}^m |M^{-1}*_{i,j}|(\max(|c_0|, |d_{|d|-1}|))$.
4. Let $N' = 1 + \max_{i=0}^m \max_{j=0}^m |N*_{i,j}|(\max(|c_0|, |d_{|d|-1}|))$.
5. Let $\delta = \min(1, \min_{i=1}^{|d|} (d_i - c_{i-1}))$.
6. Execute **procedure 3.73** on $\langle k, m, N \rangle$ and let the tuple $\langle \langle K_0, E_0 \rangle, \langle K_1, E_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle K_{|k|-1}, E_{|k|-1} \rangle \rangle$ receive.
7. **Using **procedure 3.72**, verify that $\sum_{p=0}^{|k|} \text{cols}(K_p) = \sum_{p=0}^{|k|} m - k_p = m$.**
8. Let $E' = 1 + \max_{i=0}^{|k|} \max_{j=0}^{m-k_i} \max_{l=0}^{m-k_i} |E_{j,l}|(\max(|c_0|, |d_{|d|-1}|))$.
9. Let $U = \prod_{r=0}^m (1 + |u_r|)$.

10. Let $U' = U(\max(|c_0|, |d_{|d|-1}|))$.
 11. Let $b = \frac{\epsilon\delta}{M'N'E'^2m^3}$.
 12. For i in $[0 : |k|]$, do the following:
 - (a) Verify that $\text{sgn}(u_{k_i}(c_i)) \neq \text{sgn}(u_{k_i}(d_i))$.
 - (b) Execute **procedure 2.14** on the formal polynomial u_{k_i} , interval (c_i, d_i) , and target of $\frac{b}{U'}$ and let $\langle g_i \rangle$ receive.
 - (c) Now verify that $|u_{k_i}(g_i)| < \frac{b}{U'}$.
 - (d) Also verify that $c_i \leq g_i \leq d_i$.
 - (e) For j in $[k_i : m]$, do the following:
 - i. Verify that $|D_{j,j}(g_i)| = \prod_{r=0}^{j+1} |u_r(g_i)| \leq |u_{k_i}(g_i)| \prod_{r=0}^{k_i} |u_r(|g_i|) \cdot \prod_{r=k_i+1}^{j+1} |u_r(|g_i|) < \frac{b}{U'} U'(|g_i|) = \frac{b}{U'} U' = b$.
 - (f) Let $Q = (I_m)_{*, [k_i : m]}$.
 - (g) If a diagonal entry of $K_i(g_i)^T K_i(g_i)$ is less than $\text{disc}(A)$, then do the following:
 - i. Let z be the column index of the diagonal entry less than $\text{disc}(A)$.
 - ii. Verify that $\text{disc}(A) \leq \frac{1}{\max(\|(Q^T N^{-1})(g_i)\|^2, 1)^{(2(m-k_i)+2)^{\frac{1}{\Gamma}}}}$.
 - iii. Execute **procedure 3.71** with matrices $Q^T N^{-1}$ and NQ , rational number g_i , and column index z .
 - iv. **Abort procedure.**
 - (h) Otherwise, do the following:
 - i. **For j in $[0 : m - k_i]$, verify that $(K_i(g_i)^T K_i(g_i))_{j,j} \geq \text{disc}(A) > 0$.**
 - ii. Verify that $xK_i - AK_i = (xI_m - A)K_i = M^{-1}DN^{-1}K_i = M^{-1}DN^{-1}NQE_i = M^{-1}DQE_i$.
 - iii. **Verify that $(g_i K_i(g_i) - AK_i(g_i))_{p,q} = (M^{-1}(g_i)D(g_i)QE_i(g_i))_{p,q} < M'b(m - k_i)E' = M'\frac{\epsilon\delta}{M'N'E'^2m^3}(m - k_i)E' \leq \frac{\epsilon\delta}{N'E'm^2}$ for p in $[0 : m]$, for q in $[0 : m - k_i]$.**
 - iv. **Verify that $K_i(g_i)_{p,q} = (N(g_i)QE_i(g_i))_{p,q} = N'(m - k_i)E' \leq N'E'm$ for p in $[0 : m]$, for q in $[0 : m - k_i]$.**
13. **Yield the tuple $\langle \delta, N'E', \langle K_0(g_0), \dots, K_{t-1}(g_{t-1}) \rangle, g \rangle$.**

Declaration 3.31

The notation $J_{m \times n}$ will be used as a shorthand for the $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Q})$ such that every entry is 1.

Procedure 3.75

Objective

Choose a symmetric $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, A . Choose a $\mathbb{Q} \epsilon > 0$. The objective of the following instructions is to either show that $1 < 1$ or to construct an $\mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, K , and a $\mathcal{D}_{m,m}(\mathbb{Q})$, C , such that:

1. $\sum_{p=0}^m \sum_{q=0}^m |(KC - AK)_{p,q}| < \epsilon$.
2. $|(K^T K)_{i,j}| \leq 2\epsilon$ for $0 \leq i, j < m$, $i \neq j$.
3. $(K^T K)_{j,j} \geq \text{disc}(A) > 0$ for $0 \leq j < m$.

Implementation

1. Execute **procedure 3.74** on matrix A and rational ϵ . Let the tuple $\langle \delta, K', K, g \rangle$ receive the result.
2. Let C be a diagonal matrix whose i^{th} , where $0 \leq i < t$, group of entries are $m - k_i$ g_i s.
3. **Using procedure 3.72, verify that C is $m \times m$.**
4. Let K be a matrix whose columns are the in-order concatenation of those of K_0, K_1, \dots, K_{t-1} .
5. **Using procedure 3.72, verify that K is $m \times m$.**
6. **Using (1), verify that $\sum_{p=0}^m \sum_{q=0}^m |(KC - AK)_{p,q}| < \sum_{p=0}^m \sum_{q=0}^m \frac{\epsilon\delta}{K'm^2} = \frac{\epsilon\delta}{K'} \leq \epsilon$.**
7. For i in $[0 : m]$, do the following: For j in $[0 : m]$, do the following:
 - (a) Let a, c be such that Ke_i came from $K_a e_c$.
 - (b) Let b, d be such that Ke_j came from $K_b e_d$.
 - (c) If $a \neq b$, then do the following:
 - i. Using (1), verify that $|(g_b - g_a)(Ke_i)^T(Ke_j)|$
 - ii. $= |g_b(Ke_i)^T(Ke_j) - g_a(Ke_i)^T(Ke_j)|$
 - iii. $= |(Ke_i)^T(g_b Ke_j) - (g_a Ke_i)^T(Ke_j)|$

- iv. $= |(Ke_i)^T(AKe_j + g_bKe_j - AKe_j) - (AKe_i + g_aKe_i - AKe_i)^T(Ke_j)|$
- v. $\leq |(Ke_i)^T(AKe_j) - (AKe_i)^T(Ke_j)| + |(Ke_i)^T(g_bKe_j - AKe_j)| + |(g_aKe_i - AKe_i)^T(Ke_j)|$
- vi. $\leq |(Ke_i)^T A(Ke_j) - (Ke_i)^T A^T(Ke_j)| + |mK'J_{1 \times m} \frac{\epsilon\delta}{K'm^2} J_{m \times 1}| + |\frac{\epsilon\delta}{K'm^2} J_{1 \times m} mK'J_{m \times 1}|$
- vii. $= 2\epsilon\delta$.
- viii. **Therefore using (1) and (vii), verify that** $|e_i^T(K^TK)e_j| = |(Ke_i)^T(Ke_j)| \leq \frac{2\epsilon\delta}{|g_b - g_a|} \leq 2\epsilon$.
- (d) Otherwise if $c \neq d$, do the following:
- i. Using (1), verify that $K_a^TK_b = K_a^TK_a$ is a $\mathcal{D}_{*,*}(\mathbb{Q})$.
 - ii. **Therefore verify that** $(Ke_i)^T(Ke_j) = (K_ae_c)^T(K_be_d) = e_c^TK_a^TK_be_d = 0 \leq 2\epsilon$.
8. **Therefore using (7), verify that** $|(K^TK)_{i,j}| \leq 2\epsilon$ for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq m$.
9. **Using (1), verify that** $(K^TK)_{j,j} \geq \text{disc}(A) > 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$.
10. **Yield the tuple** $\langle K, C \rangle$.

References

- [1] Edward Burger, Robert Tubbs. *Making Transcendence Transparent*. Springer Science+Business Media, 2004.
- [2] Harold Edwards. *Linear Algebra*. Springer Science+Business Media, 1995.
- [3] N. J. Fine. Binomial Coefficients Modulo a Prime. *American Mathematical Monthly*, Vol. 54, No. 10, 1947, pp. 589-592
- [4] Hugh L. Montgomery, Ivan Niven, Herbert S. Zuckerman. *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*. John Wiley & Sons, 1991.
- [5] Ludwig Wittgenstein. *Philosophical Grammar*. Edited by Rush Rhees. Translated by Anthony Kenny. Basil Blackwell, Oxford, 1974.