

# The conductive cooling of planetesimals with temperature-dependent properties: tabulated results

M. Murphy Quinlan<sup>1</sup>, A. M. Walker<sup>1,2</sup>, C. J. Davies<sup>1</sup>, J. E. Mound<sup>1</sup>, J. Harvey<sup>1</sup>, and T. Müller<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

<sup>2</sup>Department of Earth Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

<sup>3</sup>Geoscience Center, Georg-August-University Göttingen,  
Göttingen, Germany

January 14, 2021

Table S1: *Input parameter variation*

Varied parameter	Value	Core starts Myr	Core ends Myr	Duration Myr	Esquel depth km	Imilac depth km
$r_p$	600 km	1022	1201	179	31	22
$r_p$	150 km	61	86	25	52	51
$r_c$	200 km	95	157	62	27	25
$r_c$	50 km	199	240	41	93	69
$d_{\text{reg}}$	20 km	245	326	81	44	30
$d_{\text{reg}}$	0 km	159	230	70	64	57
$k_m$	4 W m <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup>	132	185	53	77	67
$k_m$	1.5 W m <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup>	330	400	70	42	36
$c_m$	2000 J kg <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup>	293	383	90	37	32
$c_m$	600 J kg <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup>	148	215	67	71	65
$\rho_m$	3560 kg m <sup>-3</sup>	177	249	71	62	55
$\rho_m$	2500 kg m <sup>-3</sup>	149	216	67	71	64
$c_c$	850 J kg <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup>	172	242	71	64	57
$c_c$	780 J kg <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup>	166	237	71	65	58
$\rho_c$	7800 kg m <sup>-3</sup>	172	242	71	64	57
$\rho_c$	7011 kg m <sup>-3</sup>	164	229	65	65	58
$T_{\text{init}}$	1820 K	213	283	70	57	51
$T_{\text{init}}$	1450 K	138	210	72	70	62
$T_{\text{surf}}$	300 K	176	250	74	58	52
$T_{\text{surf}}$	150 K	164	228	65	75	67
$l_c$	$2.56 \times 10^5$ J K <sup>-1</sup> kg <sup>-1</sup>	172	239	67	64	57
$T_L$	1213 K	168	238	70	64	57

*Note:* Model results with maximised and minimised constant values for parameters.  
References for parameter choices given in Table 1 in the main text.

Table S2: *Sensitivity test of constant model*

Varied parameter	Value	Core starts Myr	Core ends Myr	Duration Myr	Esquel depth km	Imilac depth km
$r_p +10\%$	275 km	210	296	86	64	56
$r_p -10\%$	225 km	146	204	58	66	58
$r_c +10\%$	138 km	167	241	74	58	53
$r_c -10\%$	113 km	185	252	67	70	61
$d_{\text{reg}} +1 \text{ km}^a$	9 km	172	242	71	64	57
$d_{\text{reg}} -1 \text{ km}^a$	7 km	165	236	70	64	57
$k_m +10\%$	$3.3 \text{ W m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$	157	221	64	68	60
$k_m -10\%$	$2.7 \text{ W m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$	189	268	78	61	54
$C_m +10\%^b$	$901 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$	180	252	72	61	54
$C_m -10\%^b$	$737 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$	163	232	69	67	60
$\rho_m +10\%^b$	$3675 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$	180	252	72	61	54
$\rho_m -10\%^b$	$3007 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$	163	232	69	67	60
$C_c +10\%^c$	$935 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$	179	248	70	63	57
$C_c -10\%^c$	$765 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$	164	236	71	65	58
$\rho_c +10\%^c$	$8580 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$	179	248	70	63	57
$\rho_c -10\%^c$	$7020 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$	164	236	71	65	58
$T_{\text{init}} +10\%$	1760 K	202	272	70	59	53
$T_{\text{init}} -10\%$	1440 K	135	208	72	70	63
$T_{\text{surf}} +10\%$	275 K	174	246	72	61	55
$T_{\text{surf}} -10\%$	225 K	169	238	69	67	60
$l_c +10\%$	$2.97 \times 10^5 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ kg}^{-1}$	172	249	77	64	57
$l_c -10\%$	$2.43 \times 10^5 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ kg}^{-1}$	172	236	64	64	57
$T_L +10\%$	1320 K	137	202	65	64	57
$T_L -10\%$	1080 K	209	288	79	64	57

*Note:* Model results with parameters varied to  $\pm 10\%$  of the default value. References for parameter choices given in Table X in the main text. <sup>a</sup>Regolith thickness increased or decreased by 1 km as 10 % (0.8 km) is smaller than  $\delta r$ . <sup>b</sup>Increasing or decreasing  $C_m$  or  $\rho_m$  by 10 % in effect results in a change in  $\rho c$  by 10 %. <sup>c</sup> As for <sup>b</sup> with core properties.