


#1

**COMPLETE****Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)**Started:** Wednesday, February 03, 2016 2:55:02 PM**Last Modified:** Wednesday, February 03, 2016 3:10:32 PM**Time Spent:** 00:15:29

PAGE 1

Q1: The material/content was useful for revision purposes

(no label)

Agree

Comments

The images with numbers as labels were good to use in a flashcard-style format, testing yourself on what you know already.

Q2: The material/content was presented in an easily readable way

(no label)

Disagree

Comments

Could maybe colour-code the labels with the colour on the diagram, in some layers with multiple labels it was difficult to see exactly where it was pointing to/what it was referring to.

Q3: The material/content was organized in an easily navigable way

(no label)

Agree

Comments

Liked the idea of layers and adding information on, initially took some time to figure out how to navigate but was then very easy. Instead of having T for the button for more information, something more descriptive or with a clear link may be better e.g. Info or Details

Q4: The material/content was partitioned into sensibly sized chunks

(no label)

Neither agree nor disagree

Comments

Some sections had far too many labels for the image so you couldn't really see what was happening underneath, it may be easier to chop up these sections into more layers

Q5: The accuracy of the material/content was sufficient

(no label)

Agree

Comments

Some of the diagrams could have done with directional terms on the first layer to get your bearings

Q6: The quality of the diagrams (accuracy aside) was sufficient

(no label)

Agree

Comments

Drawings clearly represented the structures and enjoyed the use of colour.

Q7: The quality of labeling of the diagrams (accuracy aside) was sufficient

(no label)

Disagree

Comments

Images with a lot of labels had a few of them overlapping so you couldn't fully see them. I also think it's best to either have them all written out or all as numbers for each image, rather than a mix of both.

Q8: The app was aesthetically pleasing

(no label)

Neither agree nor disagree

Comments

Not the cleanest looking app, but works. Some of the diagrams would have worked better landscape but flipping my phone to the side brings the buttons too and is unusable.

Q9: The app was intuitive (sensibly organized; easy to learn; actions performed did not have surprising outcomes)

(no label)

Agree

Comments

Preferred the diagrams with multiple layers, e.g. one for bone, one for ligaments etc.

Q10: The app was easy to use (once familiar)

(no label)

Agree

Q11: The app was pleasant to use

(no label)

Agree

Q12: The app did not punish user error; the app made it easy to recover from user error (e.g. pressing the wrong button did not cause anything disastrous to happen)

(no label)

Agree

Q13: The app should have been more dynamic/customizable

(no label)

Neither agree nor disagree

Comments

Doesn't need to be customizable, could just make it easier for the user to test themselves with the labels perhaps.

Q14: The performance of the app was sufficient (it did not feel slow or sluggish during use; it did not freeze)

(no label)

Agree

Q15: Are there any features that could be added that would improve the app?

More layers, clearer labels (as in easier to see what each label was pointing at), a simple home screen may be nice too.

Q16: Were there any problems (aside from any previously mentioned) experienced when using the app?

Respondent skipped this question

Q17: I would use an app of this kind (not necessarily this app, but one with a similar function and design)

(no label)


Neither agree nor disagree

Comments

I make my own flashcards, but I like the idea of this app.

Q18: I would recommend an app of this kind (not necessarily this app, but one with a similar function and design) to a fellow student	
(no label)	Agree
<hr/>	
Q19: Please state your programme and year of study	Veterinary Medicine 2nd Year
<hr/>	

#2

**COMPLETE****Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)**Started:** Wednesday, February 03, 2016 3:52:45 PM**Last Modified:** Wednesday, February 03, 2016 4:05:09 PM**Time Spent:** 00:12:23

PAGE 1

Q1: The material/content was useful for revision purposes

(no label)

Strongly agree

Comments

The content was really good as a quick refresher

Q2: The material/content was presented in an easily readable way

(no label)

Strongly agree

Comments

It was useful to have 1 page with just the image and the next with the labels added in

Q3: The material/content was organized in an easily navigable way

(no label)

Strongly agree

Q4: The material/content was partitioned into sensibly sized chunks

(no label)

Strongly agree

Q5: The accuracy of the material/content was sufficient

(no label)

Agree

Q6: The quality of the diagrams (accuracy aside) was sufficient

(no label)

Agree

Q7: The quality of labeling of the diagrams (accuracy aside) was sufficient

(no label)

Agree

Q8: The app was aesthetically pleasing

(no label)

Strongly agree

Q9: The app was intuitive (sensibly organized; easy to learn; actions performed did not have surprising outcomes)

(no label)

Strongly agree

Q10: The app was easy to use (once familiar)

(no label)

Strongly agree

Q11: The app was pleasant to use

(no label)

Strongly agree

Q12: The app did not punish user error; the app made it easy to recover from user error (e.g. pressing the wrong button did not cause anything disastrous to happen)

(no label)

Strongly agree

Q13: The app should have been more dynamic/customizable

(no label)

Disagree

Q14: The performance of the app was sufficient (it did not feel slow or sluggish during use; it did not freeze)

(no label)

Agree

Comments (If there were any major problems, please state them here)

It was a little slow to open the first diagram but was fine after that

Q15: Are there any features that could be added that would improve the app?

Being able to view different sections side by side could be beneficial eg/ to compare the types of joints

Q16: Were there any problems (aside from any previously mentioned) experienced when using the app?

N/A

Q17: I would use an app of this kind (not necessarily this app, but one with a similar function and design)

(no label)

Strongly agree

Q18: I would recommend an app of this kind (not necessarily this app, but one with a similar function and design) to a fellow student


(no label)

Strongly agree

Q19: Please state your programme and year of study

4th year of the BVM&S 5 year programme

#3

**COMPLETE****Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)**Started:** Monday, February 15, 2016 6:11:09 PM**Last Modified:** Monday, February 15, 2016 6:14:24 PM**Time Spent:** 00:03:15

PAGE 1

Q1: The material/content was useful for revision purposes

(no label)

Strongly agree

Q2: The material/content was presented in an easily readable way

(no label)

Agree

Q3: The material/content was organized in an easily navigable way

(no label)

Agree

Q4: The material/content was partitioned into sensibly sized chunks

(no label)

Strongly agree

Q5: The accuracy of the material/content was sufficient

(no label)

Strongly agree

Q6: The quality of the diagrams (accuracy aside) was sufficient

(no label)

Agree

Q7: The quality of labeling of the diagrams (accuracy aside) was sufficient

(no label)

Disagree

Comments

Q8: The app was aesthetically pleasing

(no label)

Disagree

Q9: The app was intuitive (sensibly organized; easy to learn; actions performed did not have surprising outcomes)

(no label)

Strongly agree

Q10: The app was easy to use (once familiar)

(no label)

Agree

Q11: The app was pleasant to use

(no label)

Disagree

Q12: The app did not punish user error; the app made it easy to recover from user error (e.g. pressing the wrong button did not cause anything disastrous to happen)

(no label)

Agree

Q13: The app should have been more dynamic/customizable

(no label)

Strongly agree

Q14: The performance of the app was sufficient (it did not feel slow or sluggish during use; it did not freeze)

(no label)

Strongly agree

Q15: Are there any features that could be added that would improve the app?

Respondent skipped this question

Q16: Were there any problems (aside from any previously mentioned) experienced when using the app?

Respondent skipped this question

Q17: I would use an app of this kind (not necessarily this app, but one with a similar function and design)

(no label)

Strongly agree

Q18: I would recommend an app of this kind (not necessarily this app, but one with a similar function and design) to a fellow student

(no label)

Agree

Q19: Please state your programme and year of study

Veterinary Medicine. 1st year

#4

**COMPLETE****Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)**Started:** Tuesday, February 16, 2016 12:57:14 PM**Last Modified:** Tuesday, February 16, 2016 1:02:29 PM**Time Spent:** 00:05:14

PAGE 1

Q1: The material/content was useful for revision purposes

(no label)

Neither agree nor disagree

Comments

Would like it if it was in a more flashcard sort of format. I think the words on my screen were a little too big.

Q2: The material/content was presented in an easily readable way

(no label)

Agree

Q3: The material/content was organized in an easily navigable way

(no label)

Disagree

Comments

I'm not sure if it was because of my phone but the app kept jamming when i tried to open the app. Also would like the contents to be sorted based on the different courses we have.

Q4: The material/content was partitioned into sensibly sized chunks

(no label)

Neither agree nor disagree

Comments

I could only see the pages on the hyaline cartilage.

Q5: The accuracy of the material/content was sufficient

(no label)

Agree

Q6: The quality of the diagrams (accuracy aside) was sufficient

(no label)

Neither agree nor disagree

Comments

Would love it if we could get the diagrams we are using in class or some 3D models and live specimens.

Q7: The quality of labeling of the diagrams (accuracy aside) was sufficient

(no label)

Agree

Q8: The app was aesthetically pleasing

(no label)

Neither agree nor disagree

Q9: The app was intuitive (sensibly organized; easy to learn; actions performed did not have surprising outcomes)

(no label)

Neither agree nor disagree

Q10: The app was easy to use (once familiar)

(no label)

Agree

Q11: The app was pleasant to use

(no label)

Neither agree nor disagree

Comments

Would like more colour on the startup pages.

Q12: The app did not punish user error; the app made it easy to recover from user error (e.g. pressing the wrong button did not cause anything disastrous to happen)

(no label)

Strongly agree

Q13: The app should have been more dynamic/customizable

(no label)

Agree

Q14: The performance of the app was sufficient (it did not feel slow or sluggish during use; it did not freeze)

(no label)

Disagree

Q15: Are there any features that could be added that would improve the app?

If we could have mcqs as a way of revision that would be great.

Q16: Were there any problems (aside from any previously mentioned) experienced when using the app?*Respondent skipped this question***Q17: I would use an app of this kind (not necessarily this app, but one with a similar function and design)**

(no label)

Agree

Q18: I would recommend an app of this kind (not necessarily this app, but one with a similar function and design) to a fellow student


(no label)

Strongly agree

Q19: Please state your programme and year of study

1st year. BVMS

#5

**COMPLETE****Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)**Started:** Thursday, February 25, 2016 8:13:35 AM**Last Modified:** Thursday, February 25, 2016 8:17:13 AM**Time Spent:** 00:03:38

PAGE 1

Q1: The material/content was useful for revision purposes

(no label)

Agree

Q2: The material/content was presented in an easily readable way

(no label)

Agree

Q3: The material/content was organized in an easily navigable way

(no label)

Agree

Q4: The material/content was partitioned into sensibly sized chunks

(no label)

Strongly agree

Q5: The accuracy of the material/content was sufficient

(no label)

Agree

Q6: The quality of the diagrams (accuracy aside) was sufficient

(no label)

Agree

Q7: The quality of labeling of the diagrams (accuracy aside) was sufficient

(no label)

Neither agree nor disagree

Q8: The app was aesthetically pleasing

(no label)

Disagree

Q9: The app was intuitive (sensibly organized; easy to learn; actions performed did not have surprising outcomes)

(no label)

Agree

Q10: The app was easy to use (once familiar)

(no label)

Agree

Q11: The app was pleasant to use

(no label)

Agree

Q12: The app did not punish user error; the app made it easy to recover from user error (e.g. pressing the wrong button did not cause anything disastrous to happen)

(no label)

Neither agree nor disagree

Q13: The app should have been more dynamic/customizable

(no label)

Agree

Q14: The performance of the app was sufficient (it did not feel slow or sluggish during use; it did not freeze)

(no label)

Agree

Q15: Are there any features that could be added that would improve the app?

Sub headings for different sections. Quiz at end of each section.

Q16: Were there any problems (aside from any previously mentioned) experienced when using the app?

Respondent skipped this question

Q17: I would use an app of this kind (not necessarily this app, but one with a similar function and design)

(no label)

Agree

Q18: I would recommend an app of this kind (not necessarily this app, but one with a similar function and design) to a fellow student

(no label)

Agree

Q19: Please state your programme and year of study

Qualified vet. Grad 3 years ago.
