Q1 The material/content was useful for revision purposes

Answered: 5   Skipped: 0


	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	20.00% 1	40.00% 2	40.00% 2	5	4.20

#	Comments	Date
1	Would like it if it was in a more flashcard sort of format. I think the words on my screen were a little too big.	2/16/2016 1:02 PM
2	The content was really good as a quick refresher	2/3/2016 4:05 PM
3	The images with numbers as labels were good to use in a flashcard-style format, testing yourself on what you know already.	2/3/2016 3:11 PM

Q2 The material/content was presented in an easily readable way

Answered: 5   Skipped: 0

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	0.00% 0	20.00% 1	0.00% 0	60.00% 3	20.00% 1	5	3.80

#	Comments	Date
1	It was useful to have 1 page with just the image and the next with the labels added in	2/3/2016 4:05 PM
2	Could maybe colour-code the labels with the colour on the diagram, in some layers with multiple lables it was difficult to see exactly where it was pointing to/what it was referring to.	2/3/2016 3:11 PM

Q3 The material/content was organized in an easily navigable way

Answered: 5   Skipped: 0

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	**0.00%** 0	**20.00%** 1	**0.00%** 0	**60.00%** 3	**20.00%** 1	5	3.80

#	Comments	Date
1	I'm not sure if it was because of my phone but the app kept jamming when i tried to open the app. Also would like the contents to be sorted based on the different courses we have.	2/16/2016 1:02 PM
2	Liked the idea of layers and adding information on, initially took some time to figure out how to navigate but was then very easy. Instead of having T for the button for more information, something more descriptive or with a clear link may be better e.g. Info or Details	2/3/2016 3:11 PM

Q4 The material/content was partitioned into sensibly sized chunks

Answered: 5   Skipped: 0

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	40.00% 2	0.00% 0	60.00% 3	5	4.20

#	Comments	Date
1	I could only see the pages on the hyaline cartilage.	2/16/2016 1:02 PM
2	Some sections had far too many labels for the image so you couldn't really see what was happening underneath, it may be easier to chop up these sections into more layers	2/3/2016 3:11 PM

## Q5 The accuracy of the material/content was sufficient

Answered: 5   Skipped: 0

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	80.00% 4	20.00% 1	5	4.20

#	Comments	Date
1	Some of the diagrams could have done with directional terms on the first layer to get your bearings	2/3/2016 3:11 PM

Q6 The quality of the diagrams (accuracy aside) was sufficient

Answered: 5   Skipped: 0

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	**0.00%** 0	**0.00%** 0	**20.00%** 1	**80.00%** 4	**0.00%** 0	5	3.80

#	Comments	Date
1	Would love it if we could get the diagrams we are using in class or some 3D models and live specimens.	2/16/2016 1:02 PM
2	Drawings clearly represented the structures and enjoyed the use of colour.	2/3/2016 3:11 PM

Q7 The quality of labeling of the diagrams (accuracy aside) was sufficient

Answered: 5   Skipped: 0

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	0.00% 0	40.00% 2	20.00% 1	40.00% 2	0.00% 0	5	3.00

#	Comments	Date
1		2/15/2016 6:14 PM
2	Images with a lot of lables had a few of them overlapping so you couldn't fully see them. I also think it's best to either have them all written out or all as numbers for each image, rather than a mix of both.	2/3/2016 3:11 PM

Q8 The app was aesthetically pleasing

Answered: 5   Skipped: 0

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	**0.00%** 0	**40.00%** 2	**40.00%** 2	**0.00%** 0	**20.00%** 1	5	3.00

#	Comments	Date
1	Not the cleanest looking app, but works. Some of the diagrams would have worked better landscape but flipping my phone to the side brings the buttons too and is unusable.	2/3/2016 3:11 PM

Q9 The app was intuitive (sensibly organized; easy to learn; actions performed did not have surprising outcomes)

Answered: 5   Skipped: 0

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	20.00% 1	40.00% 2	40.00% 2	5	4.20

#	Comments	Date
1	Preferred the diagrams with multiple layers, e.g. one for bone, one for ligaments etc.	2/3/2016 3:11 PM

## Q10 The app was easy to use (once familiar)

Answered: 5   Skipped: 0

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	80.00% 4	20.00% 1	5	4.20

#	Comments	Date
	There are no responses.	

Q11 The app was pleasant to use

Answered: 5   Skipped: 0

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	**0.00%** 0	**20.00%** 1	**20.00%** 1	**40.00%** 2	**20.00%** 1	5	3.60

#	Comments	Date
1	Would like more colour on the startup pages.	2/16/2016 1:02 PM

Q12 The app did not punish user error; the app made it easy to recover from user error (e.g. pressing the wrong button did not cause anything disastrous to happen)

Answered: 5   Skipped: 0

|	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	20.00% 1	40.00% 2	40.00% 2	5	4.20

#	Comments	Date
	There are no responses.	

Q13 The app should have been more dynamic/customizable

Answered: 5   Skipped: 0

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	0.00% 0	20.00% 1	20.00% 1	40.00% 2	20.00% 1	5	3.60

#	Comments	Date
1	Doesn't need to be customizable, could just make it easier for the user to test themselves with the labels perhaps.	2/3/2016 3:11 PM

Q14 The performance of the app was sufficient (it did not feel slow or sluggish during use; it did not freeze)

Answered: 5   Skipped: 0

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	0.00% 0	20.00% 1	0.00% 0	60.00% 3	20.00% 1	5	3.80

#	Comments (If there were any major problems, please state them here)	Date
1	It was a little slow to open the first diagram but was fine after that	2/3/2016 4:05 PM

Q15 Are there any features that could be added that would improve the app?

Answered: 4   Skipped: 1

| #	Responses	Date
1	Sub headings for different sections. Quiz at end of each section.	2/25/2016 8:17 AM
2	If we could have mcqs as a way of revision that would be great.	2/16/2016 1:02 PM
3	Being able to view different sections side by side could be beneficial eg/ to compare the types of joints	2/3/2016 4:05 PM
4	More layers, clearer labels (as in easier to see what each label was pointing at), a simple home screen may be nice too.	2/3/2016 3:11 PM

Q16 Were there any problems (aside from any previously mentioned) experienced when using the app?

Answered: 1   Skipped: 4

#	Responses	Date
1	N/A	2/3/2016 4:05 PM

Q17 I would use an app of this kind (not necessarily this app, but one with a similar function and design)

Answered: 5   Skipped: 0

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	20.00% 1	40.00% 2	40.00% 2	5	4.20

#	Comments	Date
1	I make my own flashcards, but I like the idea of this app.	2/3/2016 3:11 PM

Q18 I would recommend an app of this kind (not necessarily this app, but one with a similar function and design) to a fellow student

Answered: 5   Skipped: 0

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	60.00% 3	40.00% 2	5	4.40

#	Comments	Date
	There are no responses.	

Q19 Please state your programme and year of study

Answered: 5   Skipped: 0

#	Responses	Date
1	Qualified vet. Grad 3 years ago.	2/25/2016 8:17 AM
2	1st year. BVMS	2/16/2016 1:02 PM
3	Veterinary Medicine. 1st year	2/15/2016 6:14 PM
4	4th year of the BVM&S 5 year programme	2/3/2016 4:05 PM
5	Veterinary Medicine 2nd Year	2/3/2016 3:11 PM