

PEER REVIEW OF PCB DISCOVERY HAT

1. Adherence TO Mr. Pead's basic requirements

Unacceptable 1	Ok attempt 2	Reasonable 3	Good 4	Excellent 5
			√	
Comment: No 18650 connector. No test points in the power module or sensing module and no 0-ohm resistors to allow for bypassing. Everything else is present.				

2. Adherence TO Mr. Pead's debugger requirements

Unacceptable 1	Ok attempt 2	Reasonable 3	Good 4	Excellent 5
				√
Comment:				

3. Schematic

Unacceptable 1	Ok attempt 2	Reasonable 3	Good 4	Excellent 5
				√
Comment: The schematics are neat and easy to follow with good annotations.				

4. PCB Layout

Unacceptable 1	Ok attempt 2	Reasonable 3	Good 4	Excellent 5
			√	
Comment: Traces seem to be too thin eg R8-IC1 otherwise the layout of the board is good.				

5. Silk Screen

Unacceptable 1	Ok attempt 2	Reasonable 3	Good 4	Excellent 5
	√			
Comment: Components are labeled but there is no version number, design name, or module names; difficult to see what is what.				

GROUP 08 REVIEW:

EEE3088F Week 6

Murray Inglis – INGMUR002

Tinashe Timba – TMBTIN004

Ankush Chohan – CHHANK001

6. Low voltage protection circuit

Unacceptable 1	Ok attempt 2	Reasonable 3	Good 4	Excellent 5
				√
Comment:				

7. Physical board design

Unacceptable 1	Ok attempt 2	Reasonable 3	Good 4	Excellent 5
				√
Comment:				

8. Test points and recovery approaches

Unacceptable 1	Ok attempt 2	Reasonable 3	Good 4	Excellent 5
		√		
Comment: Not enough test points. More test points could be added to the digital sensors SCL , and SDA line to test for data transfer; more test points in the power system for bypassing in case module does not work and to check if voltages are correct .				