

Assignment Three

Hung, Michael; UCID 10099049

October 27, 2014

1(E). PRINCIPLE OF STRUCTURAL INDUCTION FOR DATA TYPE POLY

To prove that $P(p)$ holds for all finite polynomials Poly p , we must prove the following:

- Base Case: $P(\text{Constant } c) \wedge P(\text{Variable } v)$
- Induction Step: $P(p_1) \wedge P(p_2) \rightarrow P(\text{Add } p_1 \ p_2) \wedge P(\text{Mult } p_1 \ p_2) \wedge P(\text{Exp } p_1 \ p_2)$

2. PROOF OF $\text{size } t_r < 2^{\text{depth } t_r}$ BY STRUCTURAL INDUCTION

Given:

$\text{depth} :: \text{Tree } a \rightarrow \text{Integer}$

$\text{depth NilT} = 0$

(depth.1)

$\text{depth (Node } n \ t_1 \ t_2) = 1 + \max(\text{depth } t_1) (\text{depth } t_2)$

(depth.2)

$\text{size NilT} = 0$

(size.1)

$\text{size (Node } x \ t_1 \ t_2) = 1 + \text{size } t_1 + \text{size } t_2$

(size.2)

Prove for all finite $n\text{Trees}$, t_r , $\text{size } t_r < 2^{\text{depth } t_r}$

Proof. $\text{size } t_r < 2^{\text{depth } t_r}$

Base Case $P(NilT)$:

$$sizeNilT < 2^{depthNilT}$$

$$0 < 2^{depth NilT}$$

(by size.1)

$$0 < 2^0$$

(by depth.1)

$$0 < 1$$

Inductive Hypothesis $P(t_1) \wedge P(t_2)$:

$$size t_1 < 2^{depth t_1}$$

(hyp.1)

$$size t_2 < 2^{depth t_2}$$

(hyp.2)

Assume Inductive Hypothesis and Prove Inductive Step $P(Node n t_1 t_2)$:

$$size (Node n t_1 t_2) < 2^{depth(Node n t_1 t_2)}$$

LHS - $size (Node n t_1 t_2)$:

$$size (Node x t_1 t_2) = 1 + size t_1 + size t_2$$

(by size.2)

$$1 + size t_1 + size t_2 < 1 + 2^{depth t_1} + size t_2$$

(by hyp.1)

$$1 + size t_1 + size t_2 < 1 + 2^{depth t_1} + 2^{depth t_2}$$

(by hyp.2)

RHS - $2^{depth (Node n t_1 t_2)}$:

$$depth (Node n t_1 t_2) = 1 + \max (depth t_1) (depth t_2)$$

(by depth.2)

$$2^{1 + \max (depth t_1) (depth t_2)} = 2 \times 2^{\max (depth t_1) (depth t_2)}$$

If $\max (depth t_1) (depth t_2) = (depth t_1)$:

$$1 + size t_1 + size t_2 < 1 + 2^{depth t_1} + 2^{depth t_2} \leq 2 * 2^{depth t_1}$$

Since $depth t_1 > depth t_2$, $depth t_1 - 1 \geq depth t_2$.

$$\text{So } 1 + size t_1 + size t_2 < 1 + 2^{depth t_1} + 2^{depth t_2} \leq 1 + 2^{depth t_1} + 2^{depth t_1 - 1} \leq 2 * 2^{depth t_1}$$

To prove this inequality, we need to prove that $1 + 2^n + 2^{n-1} \leq 2 * 2^n$ is indeed true.

$$\text{Proof. } 1 + 2^n + 2^{n-1} \leq 2 * 2^n$$

Base $n = 1$:

$$1 + 2^1 + 2^0 \leq 2 * 2^1 \quad 4 \leq 4$$

Assume this is true for $1 \leq n \leq k$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Prove $n = k + 1$

$$1 + 2^{k+1} + 2^k \leq 2 * 2^{k+1}$$

$$1 + 3 * 2^k \leq 4 * 2^k$$

□

So since $1 + \text{size } t_1 + \text{size } t_2 < 1 + 2^{\text{depth } t_1} + 2^{\text{depth } t_1 - 1} \leq 2 * 2^{\text{depth } t_1}$, $1 + \text{size } t_1 + \text{size } t_2 < 2^{1 + \text{depth } t_1}$

The proof proceeds much the same way for if $\max(\text{depth } t_1, \text{depth } t_2) = (\text{depth } t_2)$.

WLOG, the proof is complete. □