	Gender Gap in		Marital Status		Children in HH	
	Employ-ment	Median Earnings	% Women Married	% Mothers Unmarried	<Poverty Line	Single-Headed
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)

I. Outcomes for Non-Hispanic Whites

Overall Trade Shock

	(1)		(2)		(3)		(4)		(5)		(6)	
Δ Import Penetration	-0.48	~	-781	*	-1.24	**	0.52	*	0.59	**	0.40	**
	(0.27)		(366)		(0.36)		(0.26)		(0.21)		(0.11)	

Male Industry vs Female Industry Shock

Δ Import Penetration	-3.09	**	-3,975	**	-4.13	**	3.26	**	1.35	*	1.17	**
× (Male Ind Share)	(0.86)		(824)		(0.70)		(0.64)		(0.60)		(0.28)	
Δ Import Penetration	2.50	**	2,855	**	2.06	**	-2.61	**	-0.29		-0.49	~
× (Female Emp	(0.68)		(830)		(0.54)		(0.85)		(0.57)		(0.30)	
Mean Outcome Var	-3.06		-2,446		-7.11		5.44		1.65		1.28	
Level in 1990	14.60		15,734		56.73		16.95		17.99		11.92	

II. Outcomes for Full Population

Overall Trade Shock

Δ Import Penetration	-0.65	*	-445	*	-0.95	**	0.52	~	0.61	*	0.30	**
	(0.26)		(191)		(0.30)		(0.31)		(0.26)		(0.11)	

Male Industry vs Female Industry Shock

Δ Import Penetration	-3.13	**	-2,945	**	-3.57	**	3.28	**	2.13	**	1.43	**
× (Male Ind Share)	(0.78)		(593)		(0.62)		(0.73)		(0.70)		(0.32)	
Δ Import Penetration	2.17	**	2,400	**	2.03	**	-2.62	**	-1.12		-0.98	*
× (Female Emp	(0.65)		(630)		(0.55)		(0.85)		(0.82)		(0.42)	
Mean Outcome Var	-2.74		-2,126		-6.92		6.56		1.65		1.79	
Level in 1990	14.64		13,376		53.05		23.98		17.99		16.82	

Notes: N=1444 (722 CZ x 2 time periods). Panel II reproduces results from Tables 1 and 3. All regressions include the full set of control variables from Table 1. All models are weighted by the product of period length and CZ population share, and standard errors are clustered on state. ~ $p \leq 0.10$, * $p \leq 0.05$, ** $p \leq 0.01$.