

Title	Author	Year	Dataset name and URL	Total Data	Categories	Model	Accuracy of the Model (%)	Research Questions	Pros and Cons	Citation
Automating cancer diagnosis using advanced deep learning techniques for multi-cancer image classification	Kumar et. al	2024	SIPaKMeD dataset https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/obulisainaren/multi-cancer	4049	5	NASNetMobile, DenseNet201, VGG19, ResNet152V2	99.26, 99.46, 99.79, 99.80		Pros:demonstrates the significant potential of AI-based deep learning Cons:Limited Cancer Types,data size etc	
Cervical cancer diagnosis using convolution neural network: feature learning and transfer learning approaches		2023	Herlev dataset https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/yuvrajsinhaachowdhury/herlev-dataset	917	7	ResNet, GoogleNet	92.03, 96.01		Pros:enhancing the accuracy and efficiency of cancer detection and classification Cons:Lack of generalization	
Development and validation of artificial intelligence-based analysis software to support screening system of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia		2024	Tele cervicography Images	400	4	CerviCAR E AI	97.5			

Cervical Cancer Detection: A Comprehensive Evaluation of CNN Models, Vision Transformer Approaches		2025	SkipMed dataset https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/andrewmvd/sipak-med-cervical-cell-dataset	4049	5	ViT-Cerv, HViT-Cerv	94%, 97-99%		<p>Pros: Vision Transformers demonstrate superior feature extraction and robustness, achieving near-perfect accuracy when combined with CNN fusion strategies.</p> <p>Cons: High computational cost, small dataset overfitting, poor generalization, low interpretability, complex architecture, class imbalance, and limited real-world validation.</p>	
Automated Cervical Cancer Detection Using Image Processing and Deep Learning Model	Devi. S et al	2025	Cervical Cell Image Dataset	4049	5		96.03 %	<p>How can deep learning models improve accuracy and consistency in cervical cancer cell classification compared to manual evaluation?</p> <p>Can CNNs</p>	<p>Pros: High classification accuracy (96.03%); Reduces human error and diagnostic workload; Provides consistent, automated analysis.</p> <p>Cons: Requires large labeled datasets; May</p>	5

								reduce pathologists' workload in routine screening?	lack interpretability ; Potential domain shift issues in real-world clinical settings.	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--	--

References:

1.Kumar, Y., Shrivastav, S., Garg, K. *et al.* Automating cancer diagnosis using advanced deep learning techniques for multi-cancer image classification. *Sci Rep* **14**, 25006 (2024).
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-75876-2>

2. Kalbhor, M.M., Shinde, S.V. Cervical cancer diagnosis using convolution neural network: feature learning and transfer learning approaches. *Soft Comput* **28**, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-023-08969-1> (2023)

3. Ouh, YT., Kim, T.J., Ju, W. *et al.* Development and validation of artificial intelligence-based analysis software to support screening system of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia. *Sci Rep* **14**, 1957 (2024).
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-51880-4>

4. H. M. Emara, W. El-Shafai, N. F. Soliman, A. D. Algarni, R. Alkanhel and F. E. Abd El-Samie, "Cervical Cancer Detection: A Comprehensive Evaluation of CNN Models, Vision Transformer Approaches, and Fusion Strategies," in *IEEE Access*, vol. 13, pp. 112835-112859, (2025),
<https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3473741>

5. Devi. S, Vijayakumar. A, “Automated Cervical Cancer Detection Using Image Processing and Deep Learning Model”, in *IEEE Xplore*, <https://doi.org/10.1109/NMITCON65824.2025.11187685>