I. SYSTEM OF EQUATIONS

M policy:
$$\dot{r} = -\phi_0 [(r - \bar{r}) - \phi_1 (\dot{p} - \bar{\pi}) - \phi_2 \dot{c}] + \varepsilon_m$$
 (1)

$$IS^*: -\frac{\dot{\lambda}}{\lambda} = a - \frac{\dot{a}}{a} - \dot{p} - \gamma - \theta + \xi_r$$
 (2)

term struct.* :
$$r = a - \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$$
 (3)

Phillips curve*:
$$\ddot{p} = \beta \left[(\dot{p} - \bar{\pi}) - \delta(c - \bar{c}) \right] - \xi_{pc}$$
 (4)

Govt. Budg. Cnstr.:
$$\dot{b} = (a - \frac{\dot{a}}{a} - \dot{p} - \gamma)b - \tau$$
 (5)

Fiscal policy:
$$\dot{\tau} = \omega e^c \dot{c} + \varepsilon_{\tau}$$
 (6)

$$LM^*: \qquad \lambda = e^{-\sigma c - \frac{\psi}{2}(1-\sigma)\dot{c}^2} \left(1 + \psi \left((1-\sigma)\dot{c}^2 - [\theta + \psi \ddot{c}\dot{c}(1-\sigma)]\dot{c} + \ddot{c} \right) \right) \tag{7}$$

IS shock:
$$\dot{\xi}_r = -\rho_r \xi_r + \varepsilon_r$$
 (8)

PC shock:
$$\dot{\xi}_{pc} = -\rho_{pc}\xi_{pc} + \varepsilon_{pc}$$
 (9)

Steady state: $\bar{\lambda}=e^{-\sigma\bar{c}}$, $\bar{b}=\bar{\tau}/\theta$, $\dot{p}=\bar{\pi}$, $\bar{r}=\bar{a}=\gamma+\theta+\bar{\pi}$.

Parameters: \bar{c} , $\bar{\tau}$, $\bar{\pi}$.

II. PRIORS

See Table 1. I set the priors identically across subsamples (full sample: 1954Q4 - 2005Q1, first half: 1954Q4 - 1979Q2, second half: 1983Q1 - 2005Q1) except for the priors of the shock variances.

II.1. **Policy inertia and interest rate mean-reversion parameter.** The policy inertia parameter ϕ_0 has a distribution similar to a logit-transformed beta distribution. Its

pdf is

$$f(x;\alpha,\beta,m,s) = \frac{p^{-q}q^{-p}}{B(p,q)} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\alpha}e^{\alpha(\frac{x-m}{s})} + \frac{1}{\beta}e^{-\beta(\frac{x-m}{s})}} I_{-\infty < x < \infty}, \tag{10}$$

where $p = \beta/(\alpha + \beta)$, q = 1 - p for α , $\beta > 0$ and B(p,q) is Beta function. m and s are a location parameter and a scale parameter, respectively.

This distribution has a right-skewed distribution when $\alpha < \beta$. Let *Y* is a random variable which has a Beta(p,q) distribution. Then, *X* can be obtained as

$$X = \frac{1}{\alpha + \beta} \left(\log \frac{\alpha}{\beta} + \log \frac{Y}{1 - Y} \right). \tag{11}$$

There is a numerical problem in generating X in this way. When $\alpha \ll \beta$, Y is often numerically 1 or very close to 1 and it blows up X to infinity. But with $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 5$, m = 0, and s = 1, such chance is below .5%. We ignore this problem.

- II.2. **Shock variances.** Reflecting the result of the literature, I set priors for the shock variances (MP, IS, PC) so that they are slightly larger in the first half and smaller in the second half. For the full sample, I set in the middle of them. For the shock variance for primary surpluses, I set the same prior across the subsamples.
- II.3. **Parameters of gamma and inverse gamma distributions.** The first parameter and the second parameter of the gamma distribution are the shape and the rate (1/scale) parameter of the corresponding functions *dgamma* and *rgamma* of *R*. The first and the second of the inverse gamma distribution are the shape parameter and the rate parameter, which is the scale parameter of the gamma distribution functions *dgamma* and *rgamma*. Therefore the reciprocal of the rate parameter of the inverse gamma distribution is the rate parameter of the gamma distribution.

III. PENALTY ON PARAMETERS IMPLYING NON-EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS

We use *csminwel*, the numerical optimization routine, to find the posterior density mode of the model. To improve the efficiency of numerical optimization, we

adjust div of gensysct and impose a penalty on parameter values implying non-existence. Suppose that for given parameter values gensysct returns eu=(0,1), i.e. non-existence of solution. If there is one more unstable root than required for existence, then we adjust div so that the smallest unstable root, say r(>0), becomes stable: $div=r+\varepsilon$ with a small positive number ε and we calculate the existence solution. But we add a penalty $A\times r^2$ to the log likelihood of the solution. Sometimes we have two identical unstable roots than required. In this case, we adjust div in the same manner and compute a penalty as $2A\times r^2$. We don't deal with the case where we have three more unstable roots or more and we have two more, but different from each other, unstable roots. We set their log likelihoods as $-\infty$. Note that this penalty is so that the optimization routine moves smoothly around the boundary of existence. A on a penalty is sufficiently large and the routine does not find a local maximum beyond the boundary.

IV. MEASUREMENT ERROR ON THE REAL VALUE OF DEBT

We include b, the real value of debt with measurement errors. That is, the observation of the debt, \tilde{b} , follows

$$\tilde{b}_t = b_t + \xi_{b,t} \tag{12}$$

where the measurement error is an AR(1) process: $\xi_{b,t} = \xi_{b,t-1} + \varepsilon_t$. This measurement error equation is added in the observation equation of the state space representation of the model. The measurement equation of the model, the linearized solution, includes only b.

V. MISCELLANEOUS

TABLE 1. Prior distributions of the parameters: discussed before

parameter	distribution	mean	median	std.
ϕ_0			0.2	
ϕ_1	N(1,1)	1		1
ϕ_2	$N(.5, 1.5^2)$.5		1.5
γ	$N(.005,.005^2)$.005		.005
ρ	Gamma(1.5,30)	.05		.0408
σ	Gamma(3,1)	3		1.7321
β	Gamma(1,20)	.05		.05
δ	Gamma(1,1)	1		1
ω	Beta(1,19)	.05		.0476
ψ	Gamma(1,1)	1		1
$\bar{\pi}$	$N(.02,.04^2)$.02		.04
\bar{c}	$N(c_{t=0},.1^2)$	7.634		.1
$ar{ au}$	$N(.02 \times b_{t=0}, \cdot^2)$	16.6191		16.6191
$ ho_r$	Gamma(1.5, 1.5)	2.25		1.8371
$ ho_{pc}$	Gamma(1.5, 1.5)	2.25		1.8371
σ_m^2	IG(1,.001)			
$\sigma_{ au}^2$	IG(1,.005)			
σ_r^2	IG(1,.001)			
σ_{pc}^2	IG(1,.001)			

parameter	distribution	mean	mode	std.
ϕ_0	see the text			
ϕ_1	N(1,1)	1		1
ϕ_2	$N(.5, 1.5^2)$.5		1.5
γ	$N(.01,.005^2)$.01		.005
ρ	Gamma(1.5, 30)	.05		.0408
σ	Gamma(3,1)	3		1.7321
β	Gamma(3, 20)	.15	.1	.087
δ	Gamma(4,2)	2	1.5	1
ω	Beta(1,1)	.5		
ψ	Gamma(1,1)	1		1
$\bar{\pi}$	$N(.01,.005^2)$.01		.005
$\bar{\mathcal{C}}$	$N(c_{t=0},.1^2)$	$c_{t=0}$.1
$ar{ au}$	$N(.02 \times b_{t=0}/100, (\cdot/100)^2)$			
$ ho_r$	Gamma(1.5, 1.5)	2.25		1.8371
$ ho_{pc}$	Gamma(1.5, 1.5)	2.25		1.8371
$ ho_b$	Beta(8, 2)	.8		.12

TABLE 3. (NEW) Prior distributions of the variances

parameter	Full sample	First Half	Second Half
σ_m^2	IG(2,5e+5)	IG(2, 1e+5)	IG(2, 1e + 6)
$\sigma_{ au}^2$	IG(2, 1e+2)	IG(2, 1e+2)	IG(2, 1e + 2)
σ_r^2	IG(2,5e+5)	IG(2, 1e+5)	IG(2, 1e + 6)
σ_{pc}^2	IG(2,5e+5)	IG(2, 1e+5)	IG(2, 1e + 6)
σ_b^2	IG(2,5e-3)	IG(2,5e-3)	IG(2, 5e - 3)