

# 32-State Adaptive Trading Model

## Technical Documentation & Parameter Reference

Version 1.0 | January 10, 2026

**Model Overview:** A systematic cryptocurrency trading strategy combining 32-state trend detection with risk parity portfolio allocation and dynamic risk management. The model uses expanding-window validation to prevent look-ahead bias, delivering statistically significant risk-adjusted returns.

### Key Results (Bootstrap Validated):

- Annual Return: +66% (95% CI: +33% to +112%)
- Sharpe Ratio: 1.99 (95% CI: 1.25 to 2.70)
- Maximum Drawdown: -18.7% (95% CI: -37% to -16%)
- Calmar Ratio: 3.53 (95% CI: 1.09 to 6.11)

## Table of Contents

1. Model Architecture Overview	3
2. Phase 1: Data Loading & Preparation	4
3. Phase 2: Signal Generation (32-State System)	5
4. Phase 3: Hit Rate Calculation (Expanding Window)	7
5. Phase 4: Position Sizing	8
6. Phase 5: Portfolio Allocation	9
7. Phase 6: Risk Management	10
8. Phase 7: Trade Execution	11
9. Phase 8: Performance Calculation	12
10. Parameter Reference	13
11. Validation Results	15

# 1. Model Architecture Overview

The 32-State Adaptive Trading Model is a systematic quantitative strategy designed for cryptocurrency markets. It combines trend-following signals with mean-reversion insights, using a state-based approach to capture market regimes and adapt position sizing accordingly.

## Processing Pipeline

The model processes data through eight sequential phases:

- Phase 1: Data Loading** → Load OHLCV data, resample to multiple timeframes
- Phase 2: Signal Generation** → Generate 32-state signals from price and MA data
- Phase 3: Hit Rate Calculation** → Calculate predictive hit rates using expanding window
- Phase 4: Position Sizing** → Determine position (INVEST/AVOID/SKIP) per state
- Phase 5: Portfolio Allocation** → Allocate capital across assets (Risk Parity)
- Phase 6: Risk Management** → Apply volatility scaling and drawdown protection
- Phase 7: Trade Execution** → Execute trades with smoothing and cost accounting
- Phase 8: Performance Calculation** → Calculate returns, Sharpe, drawdown metrics

## The 32-State System

The core innovation is a 32-state classification system that combines two signal types:

Component	States	Description
Price States	8	Price vs MA on 3 timeframes ( $2^3 = 8$ )
MA Alignment	4	MA72 vs MA24, MA168 vs MA24 ( $2^2 = 4$ )
Total	32	$8 \times 4 = 32$ unique market states

## 2. Phase 1: Data Loading & Preparation

### Purpose

Load historical OHLCV (Open, High, Low, Close, Volume) data from the database and prepare it for analysis by resampling to multiple timeframes. The model operates on 24-hour candles but requires 72-hour and 168-hour data for longer-term trend signals.

### Process Flow

**Step 1:** Connect to TimescaleDB database containing historical price data

**Step 2:** Load 1-hour OHLCV data for each trading pair

**Step 3:** Resample to 24h, 72h, and 168h timeframes using OHLCV aggregation

**Step 4:** Calculate daily returns for each asset

**Step 5:** Align all data to a common date index

### Resampling Logic

OHLCV resampling follows standard aggregation rules: Open = first value, High = maximum, Low = minimum, Close = last value, Volume = sum. This preserves price extremes and trading activity while reducing noise from intraday fluctuations.

### Parameters

Parameter	Value	Optimized?	Description
DEPLOY_PAIRS	6 pairs	No	XLM, ZEC, ETC, ETH, XMR, ADA
Base Timeframe	1 hour	No	Raw data granularity
Trading Timeframe	24 hours	No	Primary decision timeframe
Medium Timeframe	72 hours	No	Medium-term trend
Long Timeframe	168 hours	No	Long-term trend (1 week)

### 3. Phase 2: Signal Generation (32-State System)

#### Purpose

Generate the 32-state classification that forms the core of the trading strategy. Each state represents a unique combination of price position (relative to moving averages) and momentum alignment (relative positions of the moving averages themselves).

#### Component 1: Price States (8 States)

The price state is determined by comparing the current price to three moving averages. Each comparison yields a binary result (U = Up/Above, D = Down/Below), creating  $2^3 = 8$  possible combinations.

State	Price vs MA24	Price vs MA72	Price vs MA168	Interpretation
U/U/U	Above	Above	Above	Strong uptrend
U/U/D	Above	Above	Below	Recent breakout
U/D/U	Above	Below	Above	Mixed/Choppy
U/D/D	Above	Below	Below	Early recovery
D/U/U	Below	Above	Above	Pullback in uptrend
D/U/D	Below	Above	Below	Mixed/Choppy
D/D/U	Below	Below	Above	Late downtrend
D/D/D	Below	Below	Below	Strong downtrend

#### Component 2: MA Alignment (4 States)

The MA alignment captures momentum by examining the relative positions of the moving averages themselves. This indicates whether recent price action has been bullish (short MA leading) or bearish (short MA lagging).

Alignment	MA72 > MA24	MA168 > MA24	Interpretation
BULLISH	No	No	MA24 on top — short-term leading, upward momentum
BEARISH	Yes	Yes	MA24 on bottom — short-term lagging, downward momentum
MIXED-1	No	Yes	MA24 > MA72 but MA24 < MA168 — transitioning
MIXED-2	Yes	No	MA24 < MA72 but MA24 > MA168 — transitioning

#### Hysteresis Buffer

To prevent whipsawing (rapid signal changes when price oscillates near a moving average), the model uses a hysteresis buffer. A state change requires price to cross the MA by a certain percentage, with different thresholds for entry and exit.

**Entry Buffer (2%):** Price must cross MA by 2% to trigger a new state

**Exit Buffer (0.5%):** Price must cross back by 0.5% to exit the state

This asymmetric buffer reduces false signals while remaining responsive to genuine trend changes.

## Signal Shift (Look-Ahead Prevention)

All signals are shifted by one period before use. This ensures that trading decisions are made using only information that was available at the time — the signal generated at the close of day T is used to make decisions at the open of day T+1.

## Parameters

Parameter	Value	Optimized?	Description
MA_PERIOD_24H	24	Yes	MA period for 24h timeframe
MA_PERIOD_72H	8	Yes	MA period for 72h timeframe (8 × 72h ≈ 24 days)
MA_PERIOD_168H	2	Yes	MA period for 168h timeframe (2 × 168h ≈ 14 days)
ENTRY_BUFFER	0.02 (2%)	Yes	Hysteresis entry threshold
EXIT_BUFFER	0.005 (0.5%)	Yes	Hysteresis exit threshold

## 4. Phase 3: Hit Rate Calculation (Expanding Window)

### Purpose

Calculate the predictive accuracy (hit rate) of each state. The hit rate represents the probability that the next day's return will be positive when the market is in a given state. States with hit rates above 50% are potential investment opportunities.

### Critical: Expanding Window Validation

To prevent look-ahead bias — the cardinal sin of backtesting — hit rates are calculated using an **expanding window**. At any point in time, the model only uses data that was actually available at that moment. This ensures realistic, achievable results.

#### How it works:

- On day 365: Calculate hit rates using days 1-364
- On day 500: Calculate hit rates using days 1-499
- On day 1000: Calculate hit rates using days 1-999

Hit rates are recalculated every 30 days to balance accuracy with computational efficiency.

### Minimum Training Period

The model requires 365 days of historical data before making any trades. This ensures sufficient samples across all 32 states for reliable hit rate estimation. Trading only begins after this initial training period.

### Sample Sufficiency

Each state requires a minimum number of observations before its hit rate is considered reliable. States with insufficient samples are assigned a neutral 50% hit rate and flagged as "SKIP" — the model neither invests nor avoids these states.

### Key Finding: Mean Reversion Signal

The most powerful signal discovered is **D/D/D + BEARISH alignment** with a 70% hit rate. This represents maximum pessimism: price below all MAs and MAs in death cross formation. Counterintuitively, this state has the highest probability of positive next-day returns — a classic mean reversion pattern where oversold conditions lead to bounces.

### Parameters

Parameter	Value	Optimized?	Description
MIN_TRAINING_DAYS	365	No	Days before trading starts
HIT_RATE_RECALC_DAYS	30	No	Recalculation frequency
MIN_SAMPLES_PER_PERM	20	No	Minimum samples per state

HIT_RATE_THRESHOLD	0.50	No	Threshold for INVEST signal
--------------------	------	----	-----------------------------



## 5. Phase 4: Position Sizing

### Purpose

Convert hit rates into position sizes. Each state is classified as INVEST, AVOID, or SKIP based on its hit rate and sample sufficiency. This determines whether capital should be allocated to an asset in a given market state.

### Position Classification

Classification	Condition	Position Size	Description
INVEST	Hit Rate > 50% AND sufficient samples	100%	Full allocation
AVOID	Hit Rate $\leq$ 50% AND sufficient samples	0%	No allocation
SKIP	Insufficient samples	50%	Neutral allocation

### Intermediate Positions (Optional)

An optional configuration allows AVOID states to use a 25% position instead of 0%. This maintains some market exposure during unfavorable states while still reducing risk. Testing showed this option does not improve performance and adds complexity.

### Signal Persistence (Optional)

Signal persistence requires a signal to remain stable for N consecutive days before acting. This reduces whipsawing but was found to hurt performance with the 32-state system — the expanded state space already provides sufficient signal stability.

### Parameters

Parameter	Value	Optimized?	Description
HIT_RATE_THRESHOLD	0.50	No	Threshold for INVEST
use_intermediate_positions	False	Yes	Use 25% for AVOID (disabled)
signal_persistence_days	1	Yes	Signal must hold N days (1 = disabled)

## 6. Phase 5: Portfolio Allocation

### Purpose

Allocate capital across the six trading pairs. The portfolio allocation determines the base weight for each asset before state-based position sizing is applied. The goal is to balance risk contribution across assets rather than equal dollar allocation.

### Risk Parity (Selected Method)

Risk Parity allocates capital inversely proportional to each asset's volatility. Higher volatility assets receive smaller allocations, ensuring each asset contributes roughly equal risk to the portfolio.

**Formula:**  $\text{weight}_i = (1 / \sigma_i) / \sum (1 / \sigma_j)$

where  $\sigma_i$  is the annualized volatility of asset  $i$ , calculated from trailing returns.

### Minimum Variance (Alternative)

Minimum Variance optimization finds the portfolio weights that minimize total portfolio variance, accounting for correlations between assets. It uses quadratic optimization with Ledoit-Wolf shrinkage for covariance matrix stability.

**Testing showed Risk Parity outperformed Minimum Variance:**

- Risk Parity: +66.0% annual, 1.99 Sharpe
- Minimum Variance: +58.8% annual, 1.81 Sharpe

The simpler Risk Parity approach works better with the high-quality 32-state signals.

### Rebalancing

Portfolio weights are recalculated periodically based on trailing returns. More frequent rebalancing captures changing volatility dynamics but increases transaction costs. Monthly rebalancing (30 days) balances these concerns.

### Parameters

Parameter	Value	Optimized?	Description
portfolio_method	risk_parity	Yes	Allocation method
COV_LOOKBACK	60 days	No	Trailing window for covariance
rebalance_days	30	Yes	Rebalancing frequency
shrinkage (MV only)	0.1	No	Ledoit-Wolf shrinkage intensity
min_weight (MV only)	0.05	No	Minimum weight per asset
max_weight (MV only)	0.40	No	Maximum weight per asset

## 7. Phase 6: Risk Management

### Purpose

Apply dynamic risk controls that adjust overall portfolio exposure based on market conditions. This provides a second layer of protection beyond state-based position sizing, reducing exposure during volatile or drawdown periods.

### Volatility Scaling

The model targets a specific level of portfolio volatility. When realized volatility exceeds the target, exposure is reduced; when volatility is low, exposure can increase (up to 100%). This maintains consistent risk across different market regimes.

**Formula:**  $\text{vol\_scalar} = \text{TARGET\_VOL} / \text{realized\_vol}$

where `realized_vol` is calculated from trailing `VOL_LOOKBACK` days of portfolio returns, annualized. The scalar is clamped between `MIN_EXPOSURE_FLOOR` and `MAX_LEVERAGE`.

### Drawdown Protection

When the portfolio enters a drawdown, exposure is progressively reduced. This limits losses during extended downturns and preserves capital for recovery. The reduction is linear between the start threshold and maximum reduction point.

#### Mechanism:

- Drawdown  $\geq$  -20%: Full exposure (100%)
- Drawdown = -35%: 70% exposure
- Drawdown  $\leq$  -50%: Minimum exposure (40%)

The final exposure is the minimum of the volatility scalar and drawdown scalar.

### Parameters

Parameter	Value	Optimized?	Description
TARGET_VOL	0.40 (40%)	No	Target annualized volatility
VOL_LOOKBACK	30 days	No	Lookback for realized vol
DD_START_REDUCE	-0.20 (-20%)	No	Drawdown to start reducing
DD_MIN_EXPOSURE	-0.50 (-50%)	No	Drawdown for minimum exposure
MIN_EXPOSURE_FLOOR	0.40 (40%)	No	Minimum allowed exposure
MAX_LEVERAGE	1.0 (100%)	No	Maximum exposure (no leverage)

## 8. Phase 7: Trade Execution

### Purpose

Execute trades to move from current positions to target positions, accounting for transaction costs and applying position smoothing to reduce turnover.

### Position Smoothing

Rather than moving instantly from current to target position, changes can be limited to a maximum percentage per day. This reduces transaction costs and avoids sudden large moves. Testing showed gradual smoothing (25%/day) performs identically to instant execution with the 32-state system.

### Trade Bands

Small position changes below a threshold are ignored to avoid excessive trading. Only changes exceeding the minimum position change are executed.

### Transaction Costs

Each trade incurs costs from exchange fees and slippage. These are deducted from the portfolio at trade execution. Realistic cost modeling is essential for accurate backtesting.

#### Cost Structure:

- Exchange fee: 0.10% (Kraken maker/taker average)
- Slippage estimate: 0.05%
- Total per trade: 0.15%
- Minimum trade size: \$100 (to avoid tiny inefficient trades)

### Parameters

Parameter	Value	Optimized?	Description
max_position_change_per_day	0.25 (25%)	Yes	Position smoothing rate
min_position_change	0.01 (1%)	Yes	Minimum change to trade
TRADING_FEE	0.0010 (0.10%)	No	Exchange fee per trade
SLIPPAGE	0.0005 (0.05%)	No	Estimated slippage
Minimum trade	\$100	No	Minimum trade value

# 9. Phase 8: Performance Calculation

## Purpose

Calculate comprehensive performance metrics from the equity curve generated by the backtest. These metrics quantify return, risk, and risk-adjusted performance.

## Key Metrics

Metric	Formula	Interpretation
Total Return	$(\text{Final} - \text{Initial}) / \text{Initial}$	Overall profit/loss
Annual Return	$(1 + \text{Total})^{(1/\text{years})} - 1$	Compound annual growth
Sharpe Ratio	$\text{Mean Return} / \text{Std Dev} \times \sqrt{365}$	Risk-adjusted return (>1 is good)
Max Drawdown	$\text{Max}((\text{Peak} - \text{Current}) / \text{Peak})$	Worst peak-to-trough decline
Calmar Ratio	$\text{Annual Return} /  \text{Max Drawdown} $	Return per unit drawdown
Win Rate	$\text{Positive Days} / \text{Total Days}$	Percentage of winning days
Profit Factor	$\text{Gross Profit} / \text{Gross Loss}$	Profit per unit of loss

## Bootstrap Validation

Point estimates alone can be misleading. Bootstrap validation establishes confidence intervals by resampling the equity curve returns 1,000 times using block bootstrap (preserving autocorrelation). This answers: "How confident are we in these results?"

### Bootstrap Parameters:

- Samples: 1,000
- Block Size: 20 days (preserves volatility clustering)
- Confidence Level: 95%

## 10. Complete Parameter Reference

This section provides a complete reference of all model parameters, their current values, whether they have been optimized, and guidance for adjustment.

### Signal Generation Parameters

Parameter	Current	Opt?	Range	Notes
MA_PERIOD_24H	24	Yes	12-48	Tested 12, 24, 36. 24 optimal.
MA_PERIOD_72H	8	Yes	4-16	Effective period = $8 \times 72h = 576h$
MA_PERIOD_168H	2	Yes	1-4	Effective period = $2 \times 168h = 336h$
ENTRY_BUFFER	0.02	Yes	0.01-0.05	Higher = fewer signals, more reliable
EXIT_BUFFER	0.005	Yes	0.002-0.02	Lower = stickier states

### Hit Rate & Position Parameters

Parameter	Current	Opt?	Range	Notes
MIN_TRAINING_DAYS	365	No	180-730	More = reliable but less trading time
HIT_RATE_RECALC_DAYS	30	No	7-90	More frequent = adaptive but costly
MIN_SAMPLES_PER_PERM	20	No	10-50	Higher = more reliable states
HIT_RATE_THRESHOLD	0.50	No	0.48-0.55	Higher = fewer but stronger signals
signal_persistence_days	1	Yes	1-5	1 = disabled. Higher hurt performance.
use_intermediate_positions	False	Yes	T/F	False performed better

### Portfolio & Risk Parameters

Parameter	Current	Opt?	Range	Notes
portfolio_method	risk_parity	Yes	RP/MV	RP outperformed MV by +7pp annual
COV_LOOKBACK	60	No	30-120	Volatility estimation window
rebalance_days	30	Yes	7-90	30 balances responsiveness and costs
TARGET_VOL	0.40	No	0.20-0.60	Higher = more aggressive
VOL_LOOKBACK	30	No	14-60	Realized vol calculation window
DD_START_REDUCE	-0.20	No	-0.10 to -0.30	When to start cutting exposure
DD_MIN_EXPOSURE	-0.50	No	-0.30 to -0.60	Maximum drawdown tolerance
MIN_EXPOSURE_FLOOR	0.40	No	0.20-0.60	Never go below this exposure
MAX_LEVERAGE	1.0	No	1.0-2.0	1.0 = no leverage (conservative)

## Execution Parameters

Parameter	Current	Opt?	Range	Notes
max_position_change_per_day	0.25	Yes	0.10-1.0	1.0 = instant, 0.25 = gradual
min_position_change	0.01	Yes	0.01-0.10	Higher = fewer trades
TRADING_FEE	0.0010	No	-	Kraken fee structure
SLIPPAGE	0.0005	No	-	Conservative estimate

## 11. Validation Results

### Backtest Performance (No Look-Ahead Bias)

The following results use expanding-window hit rates, ensuring no future information influences trading decisions. These are realistic, achievable results.

Configuration	Annual	Sharpe	Max DD	Calmar	Trades
32STATE_ORIGINAL_RP	+66.0%	1.99	-18.7%	3.53	2,258
32STATE_GRADUAL_RP	+66.0%	1.99	-18.7%	3.53	2,259
32STATE_ORIGINAL_MV	+58.8%	1.81	-19.0%	3.10	2,263
32STATE_GRADUAL_MV	+58.4%	1.81	-18.8%	3.10	2,289

### Bootstrap Confidence Intervals (95%)

Metric	Point Estimate	CI Lower	CI Upper	Interpretation
Annual Return	+66.1%	+33.3%	+111.7%	Statistically positive
Sharpe Ratio	1.99	1.25	2.70	Significantly > 1.0
Max Drawdown	-18.7%	-36.8%	-16.2%	Worst case ~37%
Calmar Ratio	3.53	1.09	6.11	Significantly > 1.0
Win Rate	43.7%	40.0%	47.5%	Consistent
Profit Factor	1.47	1.27	1.68	Significantly > 1.0

### Statistical Significance

#### Confirmed (95% confidence):

- ✓ Sharpe Ratio > 0 (lower CI = 1.25)
- ✓ Sharpe Ratio > 1.0 (lower CI = 1.25) — institutional quality
- ✓ Annual Return > 0 (lower CI = +33.3%)
- ✓ Calmar Ratio > 1.0 (lower CI = 1.09)

#### Not confirmed:

- ✗ Sharpe Ratio > 1.5 (lower CI = 1.25 < 1.5)

### Conclusion

The 32-State Adaptive Trading Model demonstrates statistically significant, risk-adjusted returns. With 95% confidence, annual returns exceed +33% and the Sharpe ratio exceeds 1.0 (institutional quality). The model



passes all standard validation tests and is suitable for live deployment with appropriate position sizing and ongoing monitoring.