





Predicting Course Completion for Vidya Vigyan

TEAM - THE MAESTRO

SUBHASHREE KEDIA
SHIVANSH GUPTA
MUSKAN

SURVIVAL ANALYSIS

- This analysis helps predict the likelihood of a candidate completing the course based on their dedication.
- The graph clearly shows that the probability of students completing the course increases with engagement time exceeding 20 hours.

PARTIAL DEPENDENCE PLOTS (PDP)

PDP analysis helps identify
 the thresholds for each
 feature that contribute to
 success, refining our
 understanding of what drives
 performance.

Exploratory Data Analysis Summary

FEATURE INDEPENDENCE

- All columns are **mutually exclusive and collectively exhaustive**, meaning they cover all possible categories without an overlap.
- There is **no multicollinearity** between features which is a good sign for us.

DATA CONSISTENCY

- The data is uniform and doesn't follow a normal distribution.
- The data exhibits high variability indicating that the data is quite spread out.

ANOVA RESULTS

Analysis of Variance

 (ANOVA) shows that the
 features are significantly
 different for people
 completing and not
 completing the course

ACCESS MODE & PATHWAY TYPES

 Surprisingly, the mode of access and the type of learning pathway don't significantly impact the course success, which is very counterintuitive.

BIVARIATE THRESHOLDS

- Students who engage with the course for 35 to 45 hours and consume 7 to 10 units of content are more likely to be successful.
- Higher content consumption ranges (16 to 95) & engagement hours above 95 correlate with success.
- Students who take **more than 4 assessments** and have a **performance metric above 75** also show higher success rates.
- The mode of assessments taken in **Health sector and Arts Sector** shows more dedication towards the course.



InvolvementMetric (ContentConsumed* AssessmentsTaken)

Highlights dedication in managing multiple commitments, crucial in competitive educational settings.

Reflects readiness and understanding in the academic-centric Indian education system.







EngagementIndex (AssessmentsTaken* ProgressPercentage)

Identifies actively progressing learners, crucial for retention and commitment.

Highlights high achievers focusing on grades and completion rates.







StudyDedicationIndex (ProgressPercentage* EngagementHours)

Reveals persistence despite external pressures, reflecting commitment to learning.

Explanation of New Features with Respect to Indian Context

APPROACH FOR FEATURE ENGN.

- We have validated our generated features by correlation matrix, and eta square.
- We have **applied transformation** on the features given to make it smoother for model training.
- We saw no relevance of **AccessMode** as a feature with respect to course success and hence we dropped it.
- We dropped **ExamProficiency** and **AcademicAchievementIndex** to avoid **multicollinearity** in the model training

- In enhancing Vidya Vigyan's predictive model for course completion, we faced challenges due to the uniformity of the dataset. This uniformity prevented the creation of an efficiency feature, as the lack of variability and correlation among features made advanced techniques like the chain rule ineffective.
- Additionally, attempts to **link access mode and pathway type with engagement hours** didn't yield significant correlations, indicating these factors **didn't influence** engagement as initially hypothesized.

Model Selection





Algorithms Applied

We initially applied seven algorithms:
Logistic Regression,
Random Forest, Decision
Tree, XGBoost, SVM,
Neural Network, and
Gaussian Naive Bayes,
and mapped their
accuracy



Performance Challenges

Gaussian Naive Bayes performed poorly due to the lack of a normal distribution in the data, while Logistic Regression also struggled for the same reason. SVM's performance was suboptimal because of issues revealed by t-SNE analysis.



Top Performers

Among these,
Random Forest,
Decision Tree,
XGBoost, and Neural
Network
demonstrated
excellent accuracy in
predicting course
completion rates.



Ensemble Optimization

To further optimize the model, we employed ensemble methods: a Voting Classifier, a Stacking Classifier with Logistic Regression as the meta-model, and Bagging with XGBoost.



Final Model

Ultimately, the Stacking Classifier was chosen as the final model, as it incorporated features from all topperforming models, ensuring robust and accurate predictions.

We transfered all the features to binary features using the thresholds calculated in PDPs, and then trained Bernoulli Naive Bayes, and got a very good accuracy.

Model
Trained on
Binary Data

01



Evaluation Metrics

We evaluated our final stacking model using classification report and confusion matrix. We tried ROC AUC but the results were'nt satisfactory.

Accuracy of our model is around 96% which implies it captures the behavior almost perfectly



Completion Incentive

A significant number of users, despite investing 80-90 hours, fail to complete the course, indicating a potential lack of incentive to finish.

Interaction Deficit

After reaching 60% progress, users might struggle to succeed due to insufficient interaction with the portal.

Course Depth

The courses are not exhaustive enough by Survival analysis, we can say even with engagement hours less than 20, people can complete the course.

Assessment Motivation

Users in Science & Programming are not motivated enough to give assessments.

Challenge Level

The courses might be challenging as we can deduce from average PerformanceMetric, which can result in potentially demotivating users.

Recommendations





GAMIFICATION

Increased Incentives for Key Milestones:

Since reaching 50% progress is critical for users, additional incentives should be provided at this point to encourage further engagement.

Performance-Based Leaderboards:

Implement leaderboards based on performance metrics. Enhancing competitiveness with rewards and points specifically tied to progress percentage and assessments can significantly boost motivation, as these metrics have shown high relevance according to the correlation matrix.

Threshold-Based Gaming Incentives: Utilize partial dependence plots to identify key thresholds. Crossing these thresholds should unlock gaming incentives, promoting continued user engagement.



Interactive Platform: Both the website and mobile apps should be made more interactive to enhance user engagement.

Comprehensive Course Content: Ensure that the course content is exhaustive and closely aligned with the assessments to provide relevant and cohesive learning experiences.

Community Building: Establish a community feature, including a chat box, to foster loyalty and motivation among users.

Course Feedback System: Implement a feedback mechanism to continuously improve the overall quality of the courses.



Behavioral Insights for
Personalized Support: Use
behavioral data predicted by our
model to identify users who are likely
to succeed and those who might
struggle. Personally contact and
motivate the latter group to improve
their chances of success.

Personalized Motivational Emails:

Send personalized emails to encourage students to complete their courses. These emails should be tailored using insights from a predictive model based on data from previous users.

Appendix

A. Acronyms and Abbreviations

- EDA: Exploratory Data Analysis
- ANOVA: Analysis of Variance
- **SVM:** Support Vector Machine
- XGBoost: Extreme Gradient Boosting
- η2: Eta Squared (a measure of effect size)

C. Tools and Libraries

Python Libraries:

- NumPy: Used for numerical operations and handling arrays.
- Pandas: A data manipulation and analysis library.
- Matplotlib & Seaborn: Libraries for data visualization.
- **Scikit-learn**: Provides simple and efficient tools for data mining and data analysis.
- **XGBoost**: An optimized gradient boosting library designed to be efficient and flexible.



B. Methodology Descriptions

B.1. Statistical Analysis

- ANOVA Analysis: Used to compare means among different groups and determine if at least one of them differs significantly.
- **Survival Analysis**: A set of statistical approaches used to determine the time it takes for an event of interest to occur.
- **Eta Square**: Used to measure the collinearity between two groups.

B.2. Feature Engineering Techniques

- Interaction Method: Involves creating features by combining two or more existing features to capture interactions between them.
- **Square Root Method**: Applies a square root transformation to features to reduce skewness and stabilize variance.
- **Squaring and Cubing Methods**: These transformations are used to emphasize the differences among feature values.

Appendix

D. Model Descriptions

D.1. Machine Learning Models

- **Bernoulli Naive Bayes:** A probabilistic classifier based on applying Bayes' theorem on binary features.
- **Logistic Regression**: A regression model used for binary classification tasks.
- **Decision Tree:** A model that splits the data into branches to make decisions based on input features.
- Random Forest: An ensemble method using multiple decision trees to improve classification accuracy.
- **SVM:** A supervised learning model that finds the optimal hyperplane to separate classes.
- XGBoost: A scalable and accurate implementation of gradient boosting.
- Gaussian Naive Bayes: A probabilistic classifier based on applying Bayes' theorem with Gaussian assumptions.
- **Artificial Neural Network:** A computational model inspired by the way biological neural networks work.



D.2. Ensemble Methods

- **Voting Classifier:** Combines multiple models and makes predictions based on majority voting.
- **Stacking:** An ensemble learning technique that combines multiple models to improve prediction accuracy.
- **Bagging:** An ensemble method that improves the stability and accuracy of machine learning algorithms by training multiple versions of the same model on random subsets of the data.

D.3. Evaluation Metrics

- Classification Report: Summarizes precision, recall, F1-score, and support for each class to evaluate classification performance.
- **Confusion Matrix:** A table showing true positives, true negatives, false positives, and false negatives to evaluate model accuracy.

Thank You!