

1. This problem may be solved using MATLAB or Python; the functions/commands stated below are for MATLAB implementations.

You are to implement a simple curve fitting problem using 1D regression. In this problem you are to **code the assigned portions of the regressions yourself**; using a package's regression or curve-fit function will not suffice.

- (a) Model the curve to be fit,  $\hat{f}(x)$ , as a  $d^{\text{th}}$  order polynomial. Write down the mean-squared error objective function for curve fitting, in terms of  $x_i, y_i$ , and  $w_m$ , in which  $i$  is the data point index ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ), and  $m$  is the weight index ( $m = 0, 1, \dots, d$ ).
- (b) Write the objective function in matrix form in terms of  $\underline{\Phi}, \underline{y}$ , and  $\underline{w}$ . ( $\underline{\Phi}$  is the basis-set expansion version of  $\underline{X}$ ; the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row is  $\underline{\phi}^T(x_i)$ .)
- (c) Download the provided data from the dropbox and plot only the points of `x_train` vs. `y_train` (use the command `scatter(x, y)`).
- (d) Let the hypothesis set be polynomials in  $x$  of degree  $[1, 2, 3, 7, 10]$ . Find the curve parameters (using only data from `x_train` and `y_train`) for each of these polynomial degrees, using pseudo-inverse. (You can use commands `hold on` and `plot(x, y)` to visualize how well the curve fits to the training data, but this is not mandatory.) Show the computed weight vectors  $\underline{w}_1, \underline{w}_2, \underline{w}_3, \underline{w}_7, \underline{w}_{10}$  where  $\underline{w}_d$  denotes the weight vector for the  $d^{\text{th}}$  order polynomial.

**Hint:** to set this up as a pseudo-inverse problem, use the basis function expansion of part (b) above.

- (e) Compute the mean squared error (MSE) on the training set for each one, i.e.,

$$MSE_d = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left[ y_i - \underline{w}_d^T \underline{\phi}(x_i) \right]^2.$$

Plot error vs. polynomial degree. Which polynomial degree seems to be the best model based on the training sample MSE only?

- (f) Using the same weights ( $\underline{w}_1, \underline{w}_2, \underline{w}_3, \underline{w}_7, \underline{w}_{10}$ ), compute the MSE for the test samples, i.e., using `x_test` and `y_test`. Plot error vs. polynomial degree again. Which polynomial degree seems to be the best model based on the test sample MSE only?
- (g) Now, let's fix the polynomial degree to 7. Solve using ridge regression with penalty term  $\lambda = [10^{-5}, 10^{-3}, 10^{-1}, 1, 10]$ . Show the computed weights.
- (h) Compute train and test MSE of the fit from part (g) and plot both vs  $\log(\lambda)$ . What are your conclusions?

2. Murphy Exercise 7.4. **Hint:** Start from Murphy Eq. (7.8), and assume  $\hat{w}$  is given.

*Problems 4-5 below involve reading and related short exercises, for upcoming lectures.*

3. *Bayesian concept learning.* Read Murphy 3.1, 3.2 up to first paragraph of 3.2.4, inclusive. The rest of 3.2 is optional.

**Key concepts (to focus on during reading):**

- What learning is
- Hypothesis space
- Version space
- Strong sampling assumption
- Likelihood
- Prior
- Posterior
- Posterior predictive distribution
- How these combine to give a prediction probability

- (a) For the numbers game, take as the hypothesis set  $\mathcal{H}$  :

$$\mathcal{H} = \{h_{\text{odd}}, h_{\text{even}}, h_2, h_{p_2}, h_5, h_{p_5}, h_7, h_{p_7}\}$$

in which

$h_{\text{odd}}$  = all odd numbers

$h_{\text{even}}$  = all even numbers

$h_2$  = all numbers ending in 2

$h_{p_2}$  = all powers of 2 (excluding  $2^0$ )

$h_5$  = all numbers ending in 5

$h_{p_5}$  = all powers of 5 (excluding  $5^0$ )

$h_7$  = all numbers ending in 7

$h_{p_7}$  = all powers of 7 (excluding  $7^0$ )

such that all hypotheses are limited to numbers between 1 and 100 (inclusive).

Suppose the training data is  $\mathcal{D} = \{5, 25\}$ . What is the version space?

- (b) Also for the numbers game, let the training data  $\mathcal{D} = \{16\}$ . Suppose the hypothesis space  $\mathcal{H} = \{h_{p_2}, h_{p_4}\}$ , in which:

$$h_{p_2} = \{2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64\}$$

$$h_{P4} = \{4, 16, 64\}$$

Assume priors are  $p(h_2) = 0.6$ ,  $p(h_4) = 0.4$ , and use the strong sampling assumption.

- (i) Calculate the likelihood and the posterior for  $h_2$ .
- (ii) Calculate the likelihood and the posterior for  $h_4$ .
- (iii) Which posterior is larger?

4. *Bayesian linear regression.* Read Murphy 7.6.0, 7.6.1, 7.6.2.

To get an overview of the algebra from Eq. (7.54) to Eq. (7.55), show that  $p(\underline{w} | \underline{X}, \underline{y}, \sigma^2)$  can be written in terms of  $p(\underline{y} | \underline{X}, \underline{w}, \sigma^2)$  and a prior term. Label the posterior, likelihood, and prior terms. **Do not** assume Gaussian densities in this problem.