HW 4.2

Rob Navarro

May 3, 2015

2. Use strong induction to show that all dominoes fall in an infinite arrangement of dominoes if you know that the first three dominoes fall, and that when a domino falls, the domino three farther down in the arrangement also falls.

P(n): the dominoes at the n, n + 1, and n + 2 position fall.

Base Case: We know that P(1) is true since we are told the first three dominoes fall. Inductive Case: We can assume that P(1), P(2), and P(3) are true based on what we are told. We are also told that the domino three farther down falls so if P(1) is true we know that P(4) is also true. To prove that P(m+1) is true we need to look back at P(m-2), so if m > 3 we know that n = 2, n = 1, and n position fall. It is then safe to say that n = 1, and n = 1, and n = 1 will all fall for all possible integer n = 1 in an infinite set of dominoes. We

Let P(n) be the statement that a postage of n cents can be formed using just 4-cent stamps and 7-cent stamps. The parts of the exercise outline a strong induction proof that P(n) is true for n ? 18.

a) Show statements P(18), P(19), P(20), P(21) are true, completing the basis step of the proof.

P(18): 2 7-cent stamps and 1 4-cent stamp.

P(19): 1 7-cent stamp and 3 4-cent stamps.

P(20): 5 4-cent stamps.

P(21): 3 7-cent stamps.

b) What is the inductive hypothesis of the proof?

have proven P(n) is true for all positive integers.

P(m): We can form any postage with 4-cent and 7-cent stamps when $m \geq 18$.

c) What do you need to prove in the inductive step?

If P(m) is true then we need to show that P(m + 1) can be formed with just 4 and 7 cent stamps. d) Complete the inductive step for m? 21. We already know that P(m - 3) is true based on the work done in step a. Based on this fact we know that P(m + 1) can be created by adding an additional 4-cent stamp to P(m - 3). Thus we have proven that P(m + 1) is true.

- e) Explain why these steps show that this statement is true whenever n? 18. We have proven both the base case and inductive case, so by strong induction we know that the statement is true for every integer n greater than or equal to 18.
- 12. Use strong induction to show that every positive integer n can be written as a sum of distinct powers of two, that is, as a sum of a subset of the integers $2^0 = 1, 2^1 = 2, 2^2 = 4$, and so on.

P(n): the positive integer n can be written as a sum of distinct powers of two. Base Case: $P(1): 1=2^0$ and $P(2): 2=2^1$

Inductive Case: Assume that P(j) whenever $j \leq k$

Even: When k+1 is even we also know that $\frac{k+1}{2}$ is an integer, and that $\frac{k+1}{2} \leq k$. We know that P(j) is true when $j \leq k$ and since $\frac{k+1}{2} \leq k$ we can assume that $\frac{k+1}{2}$ can be represented as a sum of distinct powers of two. So if k+1 is an even number P(k+1) is true.

Odd: If k + 1 is odd then k must be even. The only power of 2 that is odd is 2^0 , and since k is even it can't have 2^0 as part of the summation. We then know that $k + 1 = k + 2^0$, which is a sum of distinct powers of two.

We have proven that P(k + 1) can be represented as a sum of distinct powers of two, which shows that the hypothesis was true. By strong induction P(n) is true for all positive integers $n \ge 3$.

30. Find out the flaw with the following "proof" that " $a^n = 1$ " for all nonnegative integers n, whenever a is nonzero real number.

The flaw in this proof is that we can't assume that $a^1 = 1$. In the inductive proof steps, it involves a^k and a^{k-1} , which is incorrect.