

# CS6230: Parallel Programming & HPC

Fall 2023

Prof. P. (Saday) Sadayappan

## *GEMM*: General Matrix Multiplication

## 1. CPU - Openmp Results

### 1.1 CADE Lab Performance

Test Case 1:  $N_i = 8,192$ ,  $N_j = 8,192$ ,  $N_k = 16$   
Test Case 2:  $N_i = 4096$ ,  $N_j = 4096$ ,  $N_k = 64$   
Test Case 3:  $N_i = 2048$ ,  $N_j = 2048$ ,  $N_k = 256$   
Test Case 4:  $N_i = 1024$ ,  $N_j = 1024$ ,  $N_k = 1024$   
Test Case 5:  $N_i = 256$ ,  $N_j = 256$ ,  $N_k = 16,384$   
Test Case 6:  $N_i = 64$ ,  $N_j = 64$ ,  $N_k = 262,144$   
Test Case 7:  $N_i = 16$ ,  $N_j = 16$ ,  $N_k = 4,194,304$   
Test Case 8:  $N_i = 8,991$ ,  $N_j = 8,991$ ,  $N_k = 37$   
Test Case 9:  $N_i = 2,997$ ,  $N_j = 2,997$ ,  $N_k = 11$   
Test Case 10:  $N_i = 999$ ,  $N_j = 999$ ,  $N_k = 999$   
Test Case 11:  $N_i = 333$ ,  $N_j = 333$ ,  $N_k = 8,991$   
Test Case 12:  $N_i = 111$ ,  $N_j = 111$ ,  $N_k = 80,919$   
Test Case 13:  $N_i = 37$ ,  $N_j = 37$ ,  $N_k = 728,271$

### 1.2 Lonepeak Performance - TODO Awaiting JOB Results

Test Case 1:  $N_i = 8,192$ ,  $N_j = 8,192$ ,  $N_k = 16$   
Test Case 2:  $N_i = 4096$ ,  $N_j = 4096$ ,  $N_k = 64$   
Test Case 3:  $N_i = 2048$ ,  $N_j = 2048$ ,  $N_k = 256$   
Test Case 4:  $N_i = 1024$ ,  $N_j = 1024$ ,  $N_k = 1024$   
Test Case 5:  $N_i = 256$ ,  $N_j = 256$ ,  $N_k = 16,384$   
Test Case 6:  $N_i = 64$ ,  $N_j = 64$ ,  $N_k = 262,144$   
Test Case 7:  $N_i = 16$ ,  $N_j = 16$ ,  $N_k = 4,194,304$   
Test Case 8:  $N_i = 8,991$ ,  $N_j = 8,991$ ,  $N_k = 37$   
Test Case 9:  $N_i = 2,997$ ,  $N_j = 2,997$ ,  $N_k = 11$   
Test Case 10:  $N_i = 999$ ,  $N_j = 999$ ,  $N_k = 999$   
Test Case 11:  $N_i = 333$ ,  $N_j = 333$ ,  $N_k = 8,991$   
Test Case 12:  $N_i = 111$ ,  $N_j = 111$ ,  $N_k = 80,919$   
Test Case 13:  $N_i = 37$ ,  $N_j = 37$ ,  $N_k = 728,271$

## 2. GPU - CUDA Results

Test Case 1:  $N_i = 8,192$ ,  $N_j = 8,192$ ,  $N_k = 16$   
Test Case 2:  $N_i = 4096$ ,  $N_j = 4096$ ,  $N_k = 64$   
Test Case 3:  $N_i = 2048$ ,  $N_j = 2048$ ,  $N_k = 256$   
Test Case 4:  $N_i = 1024$ ,  $N_j = 1024$ ,  $N_k = 1024$   
Test Case 5:  $N_i = 256$ ,  $N_j = 256$ ,  $N_k = 16,384$   
Test Case 6:  $N_i = 64$ ,  $N_j = 64$ ,  $N_k = 262,144$   
Test Case 7:  $N_i = 16$ ,  $N_j = 16$ ,  $N_k = 4,194,304$   
Test Case 8:  $N_i = 8,991$ ,  $N_j = 8,991$ ,  $N_k = 37$   
Test Case 9:  $N_i = 2,997$ ,  $N_j = 2,997$ ,  $N_k = 11$   
Test Case 10:  $N_i = 999$ ,  $N_j = 999$ ,  $N_k = 999$   
Test Case 11:  $N_i = 333$ ,  $N_j = 333$ ,  $N_k = 8,991$   
Test Case 12:  $N_i = 111$ ,  $N_j = 111$ ,  $N_k = 80,919$   
Test Case 13:  $N_i = 37$ ,  $N_j = 37$ ,  $N_k = 728,271$

### 1.2 Lonepeak Performance - TODO Awaiting on JOB Results

[Test Case 1:  \$N\_i = 8,192\$ ,  \$N\_j = 8,192\$ ,  \$N\_k = 16\$](#)   
[Test Case 2:  \$N\_i = 4096\$ ,  \$N\_j = 4096\$ ,  \$N\_k = 64\$](#)   
[Test Case 3:  \$N\_i = 2048\$ ,  \$N\_j = 2048\$ ,  \$N\_k = 256\$](#)   
[Test Case 4:  \$N\_i = 1024\$ ,  \$N\_j = 1024\$ ,  \$N\_k = 1024\$](#)   
[Test Case 5:  \$N\_i = 256\$ ,  \$N\_j = 256\$ ,  \$N\_k = 16,384\$](#)   
[Test Case 6:  \$N\_i = 64\$ ,  \$N\_j = 64\$ ,  \$N\_k = 262,144\$](#)   
[Test Case 7:  \$N\_i = 16\$ ,  \$N\_j = 16\$ ,  \$N\_k = 4,194,304\$](#)   
[Test Case 8:  \$N\_i = 8,991\$ ,  \$N\_j = 8,991\$ ,  \$N\_k = 37\$](#)   
[Test Case 9:  \$N\_i = 2,997\$ ,  \$N\_j = 2,997\$ ,  \$N\_k = 11\$](#)   
[Test Case 10:  \$N\_i = 999\$ ,  \$N\_j = 999\$ ,  \$N\_k = 999\$](#)   
[Test Case 11:  \$N\_i = 333\$ ,  \$N\_j = 333\$ ,  \$N\_k = 8,991\$](#)   
[Test Case 12:  \$N\_i = 111\$ ,  \$N\_j = 111\$ ,  \$N\_k = 80,919\$](#)   
[Test Case 13:  \$N\_i = 37\$ ,  \$N\_j = 37\$ ,  \$N\_k = 728,271\$](#)

#### [Appendix](#)

- [1. OpenMP CADE Lab Output](#)
- [2. OpenMP CADE Lonepeak Output Pending](#)
- [3. CUDA CADE Lab Output](#)
- [4. CUDA CADE Lonepeak Output Pending](#)

# 1. CPU - Openmp Results

## 1.1 CADE Lab Performance

Test Case 1:  $N_i = 8,192$ ,  $N_j = 8,192$ ,  $N_k = 16$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	115.82
At x B	115.25
A x Bt	116.50
At x Bt	115.55

Test Case 2:  $N_i = 4096$ ,  $N_j = 4096$ ,  $N_k = 64$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	151.04
At x B	149.09
A x Bt	151.06
At x Bt	148.55

Test Case 3:  $N_i = 2048$ ,  $N_j = 2048$ ,  $N_k = 256$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	156.02
At x B	153.43
A x Bt	153.58
At x Bt	149.81

Test Case 4:  $N_i = 1024$ ,  $N_j = 1024$ ,  $N_k = 1024$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	151.71
At x B	139.84
A x Bt	140.23
At x Bt	128.75

Test Case 5:  $N_i = 256$ ,  $N_j = 256$ ,  $N_k = 16,384$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	116.80
At x B	94.12
A x Bt	99.68
At x Bt	83.24

Test Case 6:  $N_i = 64$ ,  $N_j = 64$ ,  $N_k = 262,144$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	75.42
At x B	44.55
A x Bt	53.60
At x Bt	38.24

Test Case 7:  $N_i = 16$ ,  $N_j = 16$ ,  $N_k = 4,194,304$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	27.61
At x B	16.79
A x Bt	20.25
At x Bt	15.54

Test Case 8:  $N_i = 8,991$ ,  $N_j = 8,991$ ,  $N_k = 37$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	154.00
At x B	153.67
A x Bt	154.66
At x Bt	153.26

Test Case 9:  $N_i = 2,997$ ,  $N_j = 2,997$ ,  $N_k = 11$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	162.81
At x B	162.82
A x Bt	160.02
At x Bt	156.99

Test Case 10:  $N_i = 999$ ,  $N_j = 999$ ,  $N_k = 999$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	149.65
At x B	138.33
A x Bt	138.35
At x Bt	130.56

Test Case 11:  $N_i = 333$ ,  $N_j = 333$ ,  $N_k = 8,991$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	127.90
At x B	108.62
A x Bt	110.52
At x Bt	93.98

Test Case 12:  $N_i = 111$ ,  $N_j = 111$ ,  $N_k = 80,919$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	89.64
At x B	54.52
A x Bt	74.65
At x Bt	47.69

Test Case 13:  $N_i = 37$ ,  $N_j = 37$ ,  $N_k = 728,271$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	70.45
At x B	40.67
A x Bt	46.03
At x Bt	45.77

## 1.2 Lonepeak Performance - TODO Awaiting JOB Results

Test Case 1:  $N_i = 8,192$ ,  $N_j = 8,192$ ,  $N_k = 16$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	
At x B	
A x Bt	
At x Bt	

Test Case 2:  $N_i = 4096$ ,  $N_j = 4096$ ,  $N_k = 64$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	
At x B	
A x Bt	
At x Bt	

Test Case 3:  $N_i = 2048$ ,  $N_j = 2048$ ,  $N_k = 256$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	
At x B	
A x Bt	
At x Bt	



Test Case 4:  $N_i = 1024$ ,  $N_j = 1024$ ,  $N_k = 1024$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	
At x B	
A x Bt	
At x Bt	

Test Case 5:  $N_i = 256$ ,  $N_j = 256$ ,  $N_k = 16,384$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	
At x B	
A x Bt	
At x Bt	

Test Case 6:  $N_i = 64$ ,  $N_j = 64$ ,  $N_k = 262,144$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	
At x B	
A x Bt	
At x Bt	

Test Case 7:  $N_i = 16$ ,  $N_j = 16$ ,  $N_k = 4,194,304$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	
At x B	
A x Bt	
At x Bt	

Test Case 8:  $N_i = 8,991$ ,  $N_j = 8,991$ ,  $N_k = 37$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	
At x B	
A x Bt	
At x Bt	

Test Case 9:  $N_i = 2,997$ ,  $N_j = 2,997$ ,  $N_k = 11$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	
At x B	
A x Bt	
At x Bt	

Test Case 10:  $N_i = 999$ ,  $N_j = 999$ ,  $N_k = 999$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	
At x B	
A x Bt	
At x Bt	

Test Case 11:  $N_i = 333$ ,  $N_j = 333$ ,  $N_k = 8,991$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	
At x B	
A x Bt	
At x Bt	

Test Case 12:  $N_i = 111$ ,  $N_j = 111$ ,  $N_k = 80,919$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	
At x B	
A x Bt	
At x Bt	

Test Case 13:  $N_i = 37$ ,  $N_j = 37$ ,  $N_k = 728,271$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	
At x B	
A x Bt	
At x Bt	

## 2. GPU - CUDA Results

Test Case 1:  $N_i = 8,192$ ,  $N_j = 8,192$ ,  $N_k = 16$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	798.48
At x B	801.63
A x Bt	846.51
At x Bt	840.31

Test Case 2:  $N_i = 4096$ ,  $N_j = 4096$ ,  $N_k = 64$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	1750.96
At x B	1863.15
A x Bt	1788.23
At x Bt	1672.41

Test Case 3:  $N_i = 2048$ ,  $N_j = 2048$ ,  $N_k = 256$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	1858.82
At x B	1918.11
A x Bt	1892.31
At x Bt	1718.58

Test Case 4:  $N_i = 1024$ ,  $N_j = 1024$ ,  $N_k = 1024$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	1882.17
At x B	1939.95
A x Bt	1931.91
At x Bt	1715.02

Test Case 5:  $N_i = 256$ ,  $N_j = 256$ ,  $N_k = 16,384$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	940.20
At x B	940.20
A x Bt	940.20
At x Bt	857.96

Test Case 6:  $N_i = 64$ ,  $N_j = 64$ ,  $N_k = 262,144$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	278.30
At x B	209.01
A x Bt	226.27
At x Bt	170.28

Test Case 7:  $N_i = 16$ ,  $N_j = 16$ ,  $N_k = 4,194,304$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	31.39
At x B	25.71
A x Bt	26.23
At x Bt	20.62

Test Case 8:  $N_i = 8,991$ ,  $N_j = 8,991$ ,  $N_k = 37$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	366.17
At x B	371.78
A x Bt	384.09
At x Bt	384.01

Test Case 9:  $N_i = 2,997$ ,  $N_j = 2,997$ ,  $N_k = 11$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	508.05
At x B	513.08
A x Bt	512.21
At x Bt	514.79

Test Case 10:  $N_i = 999$ ,  $N_j = 999$ ,  $N_k = 999$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	495.00
At x B	502.98
A x Bt	494.56
At x Bt	510.39

Test Case 11:  $N_i = 333$ ,  $N_j = 333$ ,  $N_k = 8,991$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	466.09
At x B	398.64
A x Bt	385.36
At x Bt	391.51

Test Case 12:  $N_i = 111$ ,  $N_j = 111$ ,  $N_k = 80,919$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	301.18
At x B	303.00
A x Bt	312.28
At x Bt	331.36

Test Case 13:  $N_i = 37$ ,  $N_j = 37$ ,  $N_k = 728,271$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	137.60
At x B	127.91
A x Bt	127.35
At x Bt	112.12

## 1.2 Lonepeak Performance - TODO Awaiting on JOB Results

Test Case 1:  $N_i = 8,192$ ,  $N_j = 8,192$ ,  $N_k = 16$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	
At x B	
A x Bt	
At x Bt	

Test Case 2:  $N_i = 4096$ ,  $N_j = 4096$ ,  $N_k = 64$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	
At x B	
A x Bt	
At x Bt	

Test Case 3:  $N_i = 2048$ ,  $N_j = 2048$ ,  $N_k = 256$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	
At x B	
A x Bt	
At x Bt	

Test Case 4:  $N_i = 1024$ ,  $N_j = 1024$ ,  $N_k = 1024$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	
At x B	
A x Bt	
At x Bt	



Test Case 5:  $N_i = 256$ ,  $N_j = 256$ ,  $N_k = 16,384$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	
At x B	
A x Bt	
At x Bt	

Test Case 6:  $N_i = 64$ ,  $N_j = 64$ ,  $N_k = 262,144$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	
At x B	
A x Bt	
At x Bt	

Test Case 7:  $N_i = 16$ ,  $N_j = 16$ ,  $N_k = 4,194,304$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	
At x B	
A x Bt	
At x Bt	

Test Case 8:  $N_i = 8,991$ ,  $N_j = 8,991$ ,  $N_k = 37$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	
At x B	
A x Bt	
At x Bt	

Test Case 9:  $N_i = 2,997$ ,  $N_j = 2,997$ ,  $N_k = 11$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	
At x B	
A x Bt	
At x Bt	

Test Case 10:  $N_i = 999$ ,  $N_j = 999$ ,  $N_k = 999$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	
At x B	
A x Bt	
At x Bt	

Test Case 11:  $N_i = 333$ ,  $N_j = 333$ ,  $N_k = 8,991$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	
At x B	
A x Bt	
At x Bt	

Test Case 12:  $N_i = 111$ ,  $N_j = 111$ ,  $N_k = 80,919$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	
At x B	
A x Bt	
At x Bt	

Test Case 13:  $N_i = 37$ ,  $N_j = 37$ ,  $N_k = 728,271$

Variants	Performance (GFLOPs)
A x B	
At x B	
A x Bt	
At x Bt	

# Appendix

## 1. OpenMP CADE Lab Output

---

Matrix dimension Ni: 8192, Nj 8192, Nk: 16  
Max Threads (from omp\_get\_max\_threads) = 16

A x B Reference sequential performance for AB (in GFLOPS) Min: 3.33; Max: 3.41  
Performance of parallel version for AB (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 16.77 92.04 115.82 || 5.03 27.62 34.76  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 16.74 91.14 114.46 || 4.91 26.72 33.55

At x B Reference sequential performance for ATB (in GFLOPS) Min: 2.47; Max: 2.48  
Performance of parallel version for ATB (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 16.48 92.06 115.25 || 6.68 37.30 46.69  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 15.77 89.89 113.99 || 6.37 36.30 46.03

A x Bt Reference sequential performance for ABT (in GFLOPS) Min: 5.90; Max: 5.90  
Performance of parallel version for ABT (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 16.91 95.06 116.50 || 2.87 16.12 19.75  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 16.74 89.83 114.80 || 2.84 15.22 19.45

At x Bt Reference sequential performance for ATBT (in GFLOPS) Min: 3.49; Max: 3.51  
Performance of parallel version for ATBT (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 16.89 84.65 115.55 || 4.84 24.25 33.10  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 16.49 83.66 108.54 || 4.70 23.81 30.90

---

Matrix dimension Ni: 4096, Nj 4096, Nk: 64  
Max Threads (from omp\_get\_max\_threads) = 16

A x B Reference sequential performance for AB (in GFLOPS) Min: 1.79; Max: 1.80  
Performance of parallel version for AB (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 18.04 106.60 151.04 || 10.06 59.47 84.26  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 18.03 105.51 149.60 || 10.03 58.71 83.25

At x B Reference sequential performance for ATB (in GFLOPS) Min: 1.31; Max: 1.35  
Performance of parallel version for ATB (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 17.99 105.46 149.09 || 13.72 80.43 113.70  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 17.96 105.23 146.43 || 13.26 77.67 108.09

A x Bt Reference sequential performance for ABT (in GFLOPS) Min: 3.95; Max: 3.95  
Performance of parallel version for ABT (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 18.01 106.16 150.06 || 4.56 26.87 37.97  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 17.87 105.14 146.90 || 4.52 26.59 37.15

At x Bt Reference sequential performance for ATBT (in GFLOPS) Min: 1.83; Max: 1.83  
Performance of parallel version for ATBT (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 18.00 105.68 148.55 || 9.84 57.80 81.24  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 17.77 104.40 147.13 || 9.71 57.05 80.40

---

Matrix dimension Ni: 2048, Nj 2048, Nk: 256  
Max Threads (from omp\_get\_max\_threads) = 16

A x B Reference sequential performance for AB (in GFLOPS) Min: 1.57; Max: 1.64  
Performance of parallel version for AB (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 18.83 107.41 156.02 || 12.03 68.62 99.67  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 18.68 105.76 154.45 || 11.41 64.57 94.30

At x B Reference sequential performance for ATB (in GFLOPS) Min: 0.85; Max: 0.92  
Performance of parallel version for ATB (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 19.21 106.33 153.43 || 22.70 125.65 181.31  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 18.80 105.02 149.74 || 20.38 113.83 162.29

A x Bt Reference sequential performance for ABT (in GFLOPS) Min: 2.80; Max: 2.85  
Performance of parallel version for ABT (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 19.26 106.39 153.58 || 6.89 38.03 54.91  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 19.17 105.88 147.04 || 6.73 37.14 51.58

At x Bt Reference sequential performance for ATBT (in GFLOPS) Min: 1.60; Max: 1.60  
Performance of parallel version for ATBT (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 19.04 104.71 149.81 || 11.90 65.42 93.60  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 17.54 103.11 147.76 || 10.95 64.36 92.23

---

Matrix dimension Ni: 1024, Nj 1024, Nk: 1024  
Max Threads (from omp\_get\_max\_threads) = 16

A x B Reference sequential performance for AB (in GFLOPS) Min: 1.60; Max: 1.61  
Performance of parallel version for AB (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 19.57 101.41 151.71 || 12.19 63.20 94.55  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 19.32 100.08 146.54 || 12.02 62.24 91.13

At x B Reference sequential performance for ATB (in GFLOPS) Min: 0.88; Max: 0.89  
Performance of parallel version for ATB (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 19.34 99.00 139.84 || 21.94 112.32 158.65  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 18.93 97.72 134.73 || 21.35 110.18 151.92

A x Bt Reference sequential performance for ABT (in GFLOPS) Min: 2.46; Max: 2.47  
Performance of parallel version for ABT (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 19.18 99.08 140.23 || 7.79 40.22 56.92  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 19.03 96.27 131.52 || 7.71 39.01 53.30

At x Bt Reference sequential performance for ATBT (in GFLOPS) Min: 1.46; Max: 1.57  
Performance of parallel version for ATBT (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 18.97 94.12 128.75 || 13.01 64.57 88.33  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 18.80 92.35 123.61 || 11.99 58.91 78.85

---

Matrix dimension Ni: 256, Nj 256, Nk: 16384  
Max Threads (from omp\_get\_max\_threads) = 16

A x B Reference sequential performance for AB (in GFLOPS) Min: 0.87; Max: 0.87  
Performance of parallel version for AB (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 13.63 86.21 116.80 || 15.70 99.29 134.53  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 13.37 83.48 115.48 || 15.37 95.99 132.78

At x B Reference sequential performance for ATB (in GFLOPS) Min: 0.37; Max: 0.38  
Performance of parallel version for ATB (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 12.99 75.36 94.12 || 34.88 202.32 252.70  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 12.89 66.79 93.27 || 33.58 173.95 242.88

A x Bt Reference sequential performance for ABT (in GFLOPS) Min: 2.32; Max: 2.34  
Performance of parallel version for ABT (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 13.39 78.17 99.68 || 5.77 33.67 42.93  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 12.44 77.31 99.04 || 5.33 33.11 42.41

At x Bt Reference sequential performance for ATBT (in GFLOPS) Min: 1.01; Max: 1.04  
Performance of parallel version for ATBT (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 12.94 68.39 83.24 || 12.80 67.66 82.35  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 12.42 50.45 82.40 || 11.95 48.54 79.29

---

Matrix dimension Ni: 64, Nj 64, Nk: 262144  
Max Threads (from omp\_get\_max\_threads) = 16

A x B Reference sequential performance for AB (in GFLOPS) Min: 0.40; Max: 0.41  
Performance of parallel version for AB (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 7.67 44.99 75.42 || 19.16 112.35 188.34  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 7.67 44.45 74.96 || 18.63 108.05 182.20

At x B Reference sequential performance for ATB (in GFLOPS) Min: 0.16; Max: 0.16  
Performance of parallel version for ATB (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 6.69 34.49 44.55 || 42.39 218.35 282.05  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 6.67 33.99 44.07 || 41.90 213.61 276.94

A x Bt Reference sequential performance for ABT (in GFLOPS) Min: 2.26; Max: 2.27  
Performance of parallel version for ABT (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 6.87 36.01 53.60 || 3.04 15.95 23.73  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 6.81 35.72 53.15 || 3.00 15.71 23.38

At x Bt Reference sequential performance for ATBT (in GFLOPS) Min: 0.43; Max: 0.43  
Performance of parallel version for ATBT (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 6.24 29.03 38.24 || 14.64 68.11 89.73  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 6.23 28.58 35.34 || 14.51 66.56 82.29

---

Matrix dimension Ni: 16, Nj 16, Nk: 4194304  
Max Threads (from omp\_get\_max\_threads) = 16

A x B Reference sequential performance for AB (in GFLOPS) Min: 0.70; Max: 0.72  
Performance of parallel version for AB (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 8.60 28.46 27.61 || 12.28 40.64 39.41  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 8.58 23.65 24.63 || 11.91 32.83 34.20

At x B Reference sequential performance for ATB (in GFLOPS) Min: 0.32; Max: 0.32  
Performance of parallel version for ATB (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 6.64 16.74 16.79 || 20.78 52.43 52.59  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 6.62 15.70 16.09 || 20.69 49.06 50.26

A x Bt Reference sequential performance for ABT (in GFLOPS) Min: 2.18; Max: 2.19  
Performance of parallel version for ABT (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 6.27 15.53 20.25 || 2.87 7.11 9.27  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 6.18 13.32 17.13 || 2.83 6.09 7.83

At x Bt Reference sequential performance for ATBT (in GFLOPS) Min: 0.71; Max: 0.72  
Performance of parallel version for ATBT (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 4.60 14.49 15.54 || 6.49 20.45 21.92  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 4.59 13.35 13.62 || 6.37 18.53 18.89

---

Matrix dimension Ni: 8991, Nj 8991, Nk: 37  
Max Threads (from omp\_get\_max\_threads) = 16

A x B Reference sequential performance for AB (in GFLOPS) Min: 3.65; Max: 3.68  
Performance of parallel version for AB (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 18.08 113.19 154.00 || 4.95 30.98 42.15  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 17.94 112.69 153.58 || 4.87 30.60 41.70

At x B Reference sequential performance for ATB (in GFLOPS) Min: 3.28; Max: 3.30  
Performance of parallel version for ATB (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 18.27 113.06 153.67 || 5.56 34.43 46.79  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 18.24 112.23 153.04 || 5.53 34.03 46.41

A x Bt Reference sequential performance for ABT (in GFLOPS) Min: 4.79; Max: 4.80  
Performance of parallel version for ABT (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 18.23 113.06 154.66 || 3.80 23.59 32.27  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 18.20 112.64 152.22 || 3.79 23.47 31.72

At x Bt Reference sequential performance for ATBT (in GFLOPS) Min: 3.57; Max: 3.68  
Performance of parallel version for ATBT (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 17.97 112.62 153.26 || 5.04 31.59 42.99  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 17.88 111.89 151.78 || 4.86 30.42 41.27

---

Matrix dimension Ni: 2997, Nj 2997, Nk: 111  
Max Threads (from omp\_get\_max\_threads) = 16

A x B Reference sequential performance for AB (in GFLOPS) Min: 2.71; Max: 2.72  
Performance of parallel version for AB (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 18.72 118.48 162.81 || 6.90 43.66 60.00  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 18.25 114.56 78.41 || 6.71 42.11 28.82

At x B Reference sequential performance for ATB (in GFLOPS) Min: 2.49; Max: 2.54  
Performance of parallel version for ATB (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 18.70 118.43 162.82 || 7.50 47.51 65.32  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 18.43 106.61 156.45 || 7.26 42.00 61.63

A x Bt Reference sequential performance for ABT (in GFLOPS) Min: 3.68; Max: 3.70  
Performance of parallel version for ABT (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 18.70 118.27 161.71 || 5.09 32.18 44.00  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 18.49 116.90 160.36 || 5.00 31.59 43.34

At x Bt Reference sequential performance for ATBT (in GFLOPS) Min: 2.92; Max: 2.93  
Performance of parallel version for ATBT (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 18.54 117.23 160.02 || 6.35 40.13 54.77  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 18.29 114.75 156.99 || 6.24 39.12 53.52

---

Matrix dimension Ni: 999, Nj 999, Nk: 999  
Max Threads (from omp\_get\_max\_threads) = 16

A x B Reference sequential performance for AB (in GFLOPS) Min: 2.31; Max: 2.32  
Performance of parallel version for AB (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 18.88 113.40 149.65 || 8.17 49.09 64.78  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 18.86 111.59 146.67 || 8.14 48.16 63.30

At x B Reference sequential performance for ATB (in GFLOPS) Min: 1.42; Max: 1.42  
Performance of parallel version for ATB (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 18.57 110.53 138.33 || 13.10 77.98 97.59

Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 18.48 98.13 135.31 || 13.02 69.15 95.35

A x Bt Reference sequential performance for ABT (in GFLOPS) Min: 2.44; Max: 2.44  
Performance of parallel version for ABT (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 18.57 110.59 138.35 || 7.61 45.31 56.69  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 18.49 109.95 136.47 || 7.57 45.01 55.87

At x Bt Reference sequential performance for ATBT (in GFLOPS) Min: 2.31; Max: 2.32  
Performance of parallel version for ATBT (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 18.29 107.25 130.56 || 7.92 46.44 56.53  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 17.99 105.78 124.50 || 7.74 45.50 53.56

---

Matrix dimension Ni: 333, Nj 333, Nk: 8991  
Max Threads (from omp\_get\_max\_threads) = 16

A x B Reference sequential performance for AB (in GFLOPS) Min: 1.47; Max: 1.51  
Performance of parallel version for AB (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 17.39 90.10 127.90 || 11.83 61.28 86.99  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 17.37 88.90 124.20 || 11.47 58.70 82.00

At x B Reference sequential performance for ATB (in GFLOPS) Min: 0.78; Max: 0.79  
Performance of parallel version for ATB (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 16.58 81.56 108.62 || 21.30 104.81 139.59  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 16.50 80.56 104.45 || 21.01 102.57 132.97

A x Bt Reference sequential performance for ABT (in GFLOPS) Min: 2.30; Max: 2.35  
Performance of parallel version for ABT (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 16.84 83.56 110.52 || 7.33 36.40 48.14  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 16.31 81.70 106.11 || 6.94 34.75 45.13

At x Bt Reference sequential performance for ATBT (in GFLOPS) Min: 1.44; Max: 1.44  
Performance of parallel version for ATBT (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 16.08 76.57 93.98 || 11.16 53.14 65.22  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 15.83 75.42 88.41 || 10.96 52.21 61.20

---

Matrix dimension Ni: 111, Nj 111, Nk: 80919  
Max Threads (from omp\_get\_max\_threads) = 16

A x B Reference sequential performance for AB (in GFLOPS) Min: 1.04; Max: 1.04  
Performance of parallel version for AB (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 8.13 50.81 89.64 || 7.82 48.87 86.21  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 7.99 50.53 88.94 || 7.66 48.47 85.32

At x B Reference sequential performance for ATB (in GFLOPS) Min: 0.55; Max: 0.55  
Performance of parallel version for ATB (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 6.90 34.63 54.52 || 12.53 62.90 99.02  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 6.87 34.45 52.60 || 12.39 62.16 94.90

A x Bt Reference sequential performance for ABT (in GFLOPS) Min: 2.28; Max: 2.28  
Performance of parallel version for ABT (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 7.86 46.22 74.65 || 3.45 20.30 32.79  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 7.83 45.64 74.01 || 3.43 20.03 32.48

At x Bt Reference sequential performance for ATBT (in GFLOPS) Min: 0.99; Max: 1.05  
Performance of parallel version for ATBT (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 7.02 32.41 47.69 || 7.06 32.58 47.94  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 6.79 32.17 46.39 || 6.49 30.75 44.34



---

Matrix dimension Ni: 37, Nj 37, Nk: 728271  
Max Threads (from omp\_get\_max\_threads) = 16

A x B Reference sequential performance for AB (in GFLOPS) Min: 0.43; Max: 0.44  
Performance of parallel version for AB (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 7.77 41.92 70.45 || 17.95 96.82 162.74  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 7.74 38.62 66.22 || 17.69 88.27 151.34

At x B Reference sequential performance for ATB (in GFLOPS) Min: 0.20; Max: 0.20  
Performance of parallel version for ATB (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 5.03 25.86 40.67 || 25.12 129.24 203.26  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 4.92 25.71 36.71 || 24.34 127.31 181.74

A x Bt Reference sequential performance for ABT (in GFLOPS) Min: 2.25; Max: 2.29  
Performance of parallel version for ABT (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 7.28 33.68 46.03 || 3.23 14.95 20.43  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 7.19 33.29 45.77 || 3.14 14.52 19.96

At x Bt Reference sequential performance for ATBT (in GFLOPS) Min: 0.45; Max: 0.46  
Performance of parallel version for ATBT (in GFLOPS) 1/7/15 using threads  
Best Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 4.93 22.43 30.92 || 11.01 50.11 69.08  
Worst Performance (GFLOPS || Speedup): 4.91 22.35 30.77 || 10.68 48.59 66.90

## 2. OpenMP CADE Lonepeak Output Pending

## 3. CUDA CADE Lab Output

---

Matrix dimension Ni: 8192, Nj 8192, Nk: 16

Trial 0: AB GFLOPS: 335.41  
Trial 1: AB GFLOPS: 798.12  
Trial 2: AB GFLOPS: 798.48

Trial 0: ATB GFLOPS: 769.13  
Trial 1: ATB GFLOPS: 791.14  
Trial 2: ATB GFLOPS: 801.63

Trial 0: ABT GFLOPS: 819.16  
Trial 1: ABT GFLOPS: 845.40  
Trial 2: ABT GFLOPS: 846.51

Trial 0: ATBT GFLOPS: 813.59  
Trial 1: ATBT GFLOPS: 840.82  
Trial 2: ATBT GFLOPS: 840.31

---

Matrix dimension Ni: 4096, Nj 4096, Nk: 64

Trial 0: AB GFLOPS: 809.19  
Trial 1: AB GFLOPS: 1767.98  
Trial 2: AB GFLOPS: 1750.96

Trial 0: ATB GFLOPS: 1740.78  
Trial 1: ATB GFLOPS: 1857.79  
Trial 2: ATB GFLOPS: 1863.15

Trial 0: ABT GFLOPS: 1682.52  
Trial 1: ABT GFLOPS: 1782.96  
Trial 2: ABT GFLOPS: 1788.23

Trial 0: ATBT GFLOPS: 1529.30  
Trial 1: ATBT GFLOPS: 1677.93  
Trial 2: ATBT GFLOPS: 1672.41

---

Matrix dimension Ni: 2048, Nj 2048, Nk: 256

Trial 0: AB GFLOPS: 953.21  
Trial 1: AB GFLOPS: 1867.82  
Trial 2: AB GFLOPS: 1858.82

Trial 0: ATB GFLOPS: 1782.77  
Trial 1: ATB GFLOPS: 1921.84  
Trial 2: ATB GFLOPS: 1918.11

Trial 0: ABT GFLOPS: 1721.93  
Trial 1: ABT GFLOPS: 1888.32  
Trial 2: ABT GFLOPS: 1892.31

Trial 0: ATBT GFLOPS: 1554.63  
Trial 1: ATBT GFLOPS: 1719.11  
Trial 2: ATBT GFLOPS: 1718.58

---

Matrix dimension Ni: 1024, Nj 1024, Nk: 1024

Trial 0: AB GFLOPS: 648.77  
Trial 1: AB GFLOPS: 1799.07  
Trial 2: AB GFLOPS: 1882.17

Trial 0: ATB GFLOPS: 1748.40  
Trial 1: ATB GFLOPS: 1934.75  
Trial 2: ATB GFLOPS: 1939.95

Trial 0: ABT GFLOPS: 1742.59  
Trial 1: ABT GFLOPS: 1840.16  
Trial 2: ABT GFLOPS: 1931.91

Trial 0: ATBT GFLOPS: 1619.70  
Trial 1: ATBT GFLOPS: 1714.72  
Trial 2: ATBT GFLOPS: 1715.02

---

Matrix dimension Ni: 256, Nj 256, Nk: 16384

Trial 0: AB GFLOPS: 475.47

Trial 1: AB GFLOPS: 949.09

Trial 2: AB GFLOPS: 940.20

Trial 0: ATB GFLOPS: 909.70

Trial 1: ATB GFLOPS: 953.25

Trial 2: ATB GFLOPS: 950.71

Trial 0: ABT GFLOPS: 889.94

Trial 1: ABT GFLOPS: 930.92

Trial 2: ABT GFLOPS: 931.33

Trial 0: ATBT GFLOPS: 830.41

Trial 1: ATBT GFLOPS: 858.51

Trial 2: ATBT GFLOPS: 857.96

---

Matrix dimension Ni: 64, Nj 64, Nk: 262144

Trial 0: AB GFLOPS: 231.10

Trial 1: AB GFLOPS: 278.73

Trial 2: AB GFLOPS: 278.30

Trial 0: ATB GFLOPS: 206.43

Trial 1: ATB GFLOPS: 208.99

Trial 2: ATB GFLOPS: 209.01

Trial 0: ABT GFLOPS: 226.52

Trial 1: ABT GFLOPS: 226.00

Trial 2: ABT GFLOPS: 226.27

Trial 0: ATBT GFLOPS: 166.59

Trial 1: ATBT GFLOPS: 167.86

Trial 2: ATBT GFLOPS: 170.28

---

Matrix dimension Ni: 16, Nj 16, Nk: 4194304

Trial 0: AB GFLOPS: 28.63

Trial 1: AB GFLOPS: 30.29

Trial 2: AB GFLOPS: 31.39

Trial 0: ATB GFLOPS: 25.68

Trial 1: ATB GFLOPS: 25.72

Trial 2: ATB GFLOPS: 25.71

Trial 0: ABT GFLOPS: 26.21

Trial 1: ABT GFLOPS: 26.23

Trial 2: ABT GFLOPS: 26.23

Trial 0: ATBT GFLOPS: 20.60

Trial 1: ATBT GFLOPS: 20.62

Trial 2: ATBT GFLOPS: 20.62

---

Matrix dimension Ni: 8991, Nj 8991, Nk: 37

Trial 0: AB GFLOPS: 331.36

Trial 1: AB GFLOPS: 366.10

Trial 2: AB GFLOPS: 366.17

Trial 0: ATB GFLOPS: 369.31  
Trial 1: ATB GFLOPS: 371.80  
Trial 2: ATB GFLOPS: 371.78

Trial 0: ABT GFLOPS: 382.02  
Trial 1: ABT GFLOPS: 383.86  
Trial 2: ABT GFLOPS: 384.09

Trial 0: ATBT GFLOPS: 382.29  
Trial 1: ATBT GFLOPS: 384.00  
Trial 2: ATBT GFLOPS: 384.01

---

Matrix dimension Ni: 2997, Nj 2997, Nk: 111

Trial 0: AB GFLOPS: 321.33  
Trial 1: AB GFLOPS: 507.61  
Trial 2: AB GFLOPS: 508.05

Trial 0: ATB GFLOPS: 501.84  
Trial 1: ATB GFLOPS: 513.10  
Trial 2: ATB GFLOPS: 513.08

Trial 0: ABT GFLOPS: 502.10  
Trial 1: ABT GFLOPS: 512.01  
Trial 2: ABT GFLOPS: 512.21

Trial 0: ATBT GFLOPS: 505.61  
Trial 1: ATBT GFLOPS: 514.85  
Trial 2: ATBT GFLOPS: 514.79

---

Matrix dimension Ni: 999, Nj 999, Nk: 999

Trial 0: AB GFLOPS: 258.24  
Trial 1: AB GFLOPS: 496.28  
Trial 2: AB GFLOPS: 495.00

Trial 0: ATB GFLOPS: 493.68  
Trial 1: ATB GFLOPS: 503.05  
Trial 2: ATB GFLOPS: 502.98

Trial 0: ABT GFLOPS: 487.18  
Trial 1: ABT GFLOPS: 494.74  
Trial 2: ABT GFLOPS: 494.56

Trial 0: ATBT GFLOPS: 500.80  
Trial 1: ATBT GFLOPS: 508.72  
Trial 2: ATBT GFLOPS: 510.39

---

Matrix dimension Ni: 333, Nj 333, Nk: 8991

Trial 0: AB GFLOPS: 52.10  
Trial 1: AB GFLOPS: 472.88  
Trial 2: AB GFLOPS: 466.09

Trial 0: ATB GFLOPS: 391.54

Trial 1: ATB GFLOPS: 384.30  
Trial 2: ATB GFLOPS: 398.64

Trial 0: ABT GFLOPS: 386.31  
Trial 1: ABT GFLOPS: 392.61  
Trial 2: ABT GFLOPS: 385.36

Trial 0: ATBT GFLOPS: 386.17  
Trial 1: ATBT GFLOPS: 391.95  
Trial 2: ATBT GFLOPS: 391.51

---

Matrix dimension Ni: 111, Nj 111, Nk: 80919

Trial 0: AB GFLOPS: 240.45  
Trial 1: AB GFLOPS: 298.68  
Trial 2: AB GFLOPS: 301.18

Trial 0: ATB GFLOPS: 309.02  
Trial 1: ATB GFLOPS: 311.93  
Trial 2: ATB GFLOPS: 303.00

Trial 0: ABT GFLOPS: 307.72  
Trial 1: ABT GFLOPS: 312.63  
Trial 2: ABT GFLOPS: 312.28

Trial 0: ATBT GFLOPS: 327.60  
Trial 1: ATBT GFLOPS: 331.70  
Trial 2: ATBT GFLOPS: 331.36

---

Matrix dimension Ni: 37, Nj 37, Nk: 728271

Trial 0: AB GFLOPS: 78.85  
Trial 1: AB GFLOPS: 137.74  
Trial 2: AB GFLOPS: 137.60

Trial 0: ATB GFLOPS: 127.02  
Trial 1: ATB GFLOPS: 128.66  
Trial 2: ATB GFLOPS: 127.91

Trial 0: ABT GFLOPS: 126.59  
Trial 1: ABT GFLOPS: 126.68  
Trial 2: ABT GFLOPS: 127.35

Trial 0: ATBT GFLOPS: 111.51  
Trial 1: ATBT GFLOPS: 112.73  
Trial 2: ATBT GFLOPS: 112.12

## 4. CUDA CADE Lonepeak Output Pending