2017 spring, PL project 3 (OurScheme Project 3)
Due: 6/25, 2017(Sunday) before midnight
=======================================
For this project, you need to extend EvalSExp(), so that it is capable of evaluating user-defined functions.
In order to do so, you must first extend your implementation of DEFINE, so that the user can define a function before he/she calls such a function.
You also need to allow the creation and use of "local definitions" via the use of the 'let' construct.
The use of "nameless functions" (via the use 'lambda') should also be allowed.
In other words, the main focus of Proj. 3 consists of three special "forms": 'let', 'lambda', and 'define'.
In addition, you must also handle error cases (see Part II below).
Part I - Basic requirement
在正式介紹 Project 3 之前,我們必須先釐清一個概念:
誰是 function? 誰不是 function? 如果不是 function,那是什麼?
There are ten reserve words in OurScheme. Below is a list of these reserve words: // according to our textbook, a 'reserve word' is a // word that is reserved for the system to use
quote
or begin if cond

define lambda set! let

'let', 'lambda' and 'define' are three of the above mentioned reserve words. They are not functions. Whenever a reserve word appears, the system should check the syntax of the related code fragment.

Though S-expressions starting with any one of the above ten reserve words are actually "forms" and not functions, some of them may nevertheless return values. For this reason, we will also refer to these "forms" as "functional forms".

本學期的 OurScheme project 不會作類似以下要求(但將來的 OurScheme project 會)

※ let

The syntax of 'let' is the following:

```
( let ( ... ) ....... )
```

where

(a) '...' is a sequence of S-expressions, with each S-expression being of the form

```
(SYMBOL S-expression)
```

(b) '.....' is a non-empty (!!!) sequence of S-expressions.

In words, 'let' has at least two parameters.

Its first argument is a list of zero or more pairs, where each pair

```
must be of the form: (SYMBOL S-expression)
The working of 'let' is as follows:
* The '...' part defines local symbols with bindings.
   e.g.,
   if '( ... )' is
      ((x5)
        (y'(123))
   then
      two local symbols 'x' and 'y' are defined
      AND
      'x' is bound to the atom 5, while 'y' is bound to the list (1 2 3).
* The '........ are normal S-expressions. These S-expressions
   are such that
   (i) The "LET-defined" local variables (i.e., 'x' and 'y') can appear
        in these S-expressions, and the system knows what their bindings
        are.
   (ii) The evaluated result of the last S-expression in '........'
          is taken to be the evaluated result of the entire LET expression.
Example:
> (clean-environment)
environment cleaned
> ( let ( (x 3) (y '(1 2 3))
          (cons 1 '(4 5))
                             ; this will be evaluated; but no use
          (cons x (cdr y))
                                ; the value of this one is the value of LET
  )
(3
```

```
2
  3
)
> x
ERROR (unbound symbol): x
If there is anything syntactically wrong with the syntax of 'let',
the system should print : ERROR (let format)
Example:
> (let (car '(1 2 3)) ; first argument of 'let' should be a list of pairs
                             ; moreover, there ought to be a second argument
  )
ERROR (let format)
> (let ((x 3 4)) 5
                      ; first argument of LET should be a list of
                             ; pairs; '(x 3 4)' is not an acceptable pair
  )
ERROR (let format)
> (let ((x 3))
        )
         5
  )
5
> (let ( ( (car '(x y z)) ; first argument of LET should be a list of pairs
              3
           )
                                ; Furthermore, the first element of each
        )
                                ; pair must be a symbol
         5
  )
ERROR (let format)
> (let ()
                        ; There should be at least one S-expression following
                            ; the first argument
  )
ERROR (let format)
```

```
> (let () 5
  5
  > (let ( ( car '(x y z))
                5
             )
           )
     )
  ERROR (let format)
  > (let ( ( x (cons 5) ); the problem is not in LET-format
           (+xx)
     )
  ERROR (incorrect number of arguments): cons
  > (let ( ( x (cons 5) )
           )
     )
  ERROR (let format)
  > (let ((x (1 2 3))) 5) ; LET-format OK
  ERROR (attempt to apply non-function): 1
  > (let ((x (1 2 3))
           )
     )
  ERROR (let format)
 lambda
   The syntax of 'lambda' is:
      (lambda (zero-or-more-symbols) one-or-more-S-expressions)
```

A lambda expression defines a (nameless) function. The evaluation of this lambda expression returns the function it defines.

A lambda expression has two or more parameters.

The first argument is a list of zero-or-more-symbols (these symbols

are the arguments of the function being defined by the lambda expression).

The one-or-more-S-expressions constitute the function's body.

```
Example:
> (clean-enviornment)
environment cleaned
> (lambda)
ERROR (lambda format)
> (lambda x)
ERROR (lambda format)
> (lambda x y z)
ERROR (lambda format)
> ( lambda (x) y z
                        ; the evaluation of a lambda expression
                             ; produces an internal representation of a
                             ; function
  )
#rocedure lambda>
> ( lambda (x) )
ERROR (lambda format)
> ( lambda () y ); this function just returns the binding of 'y'
#rocedure lambda>
> ( lambda (5) y )
ERROR (lambda format)
> ( lambda () 5 )
#rocedure lambda>
> ( lambda () () )
#rocedure lambda>
> ( lambda () )
ERROR (lambda format)
```

```
> ( lambda () (+ c 5)
   #rocedure lambda>
   > ( ( lambda () (+ c 5) ; first, the internal representation of a function
                                  ; is produced; this internal representation
                                  ; is "the evaluated result of the first argument"
                                  ; once the binding of the first argument (of
                                  ; the top-level list) is obtained and found
                                  ; to be a function, that function is applied;
      )
   ERROR (unbound symbol): c
   > ( ( lambda () (+ 5 5) (+ 5 6)
   11
   > ( ( lambda () (+ 5 5) (+ c 6)
        8
      )
   ERROR (incorrect number of arguments): lambda expression
 define
   The syntax of 'define' is:
      ( define SYMBOL S-expression )
   OR
      ( define ( SYMBOL zero-or-more-symbols ) one-or-more-S-expressions )
   Moreover, a DEFINE-expression must appear at the top-level (i.e., it
   cannot be an "inner" expression).
```

The first define-expression defines the binding of a symbol. We have seen how this define-expression can be used in the previous projects. With a proper use of the lambda-expressions, we can also define functions using the first define-expression.

The second define-expression is only used for defining functions.

```
Example:
> (clean-environment)
environment cleaned
> ( define a 2 )
a defined
> ( define f ( lambda (x) (+ x x c) ; the binding of 'f' is defined
                )
                                            ; to be the internal representation
                                            ; of a function
  )
f defined
> f
#rocedure lambda>
> (f 1 2 3)
ERROR (incorrect number of arguments): lambda
> (f a)
ERROR (unbound symbol): c
> (f b)
ERROR (unbound symbol): b
> ( define c 10 )
c defined
> (f a)
14
> ( define ( g x ) (h x x) )
g defined
> g
#rocedure g>
> (g 3)
ERROR (unbound symbol): h
```

```
> ( define ( k x ) (h z z) )
k defined
> (k w)
ERROR (unbound symbol): w
> (k c)
ERROR (unbound symbol): h
> (define (h x y) (+ x 20 a))
h defined
> (g c)
32
> ( define (h x y) )
ERROR (define format)
> ( define x 10 20 )
ERROR (define format)
> ( define x 300 ) ; 'x' is a "global"
x defined
> (g c)
                       ; global x != parameter x
32
> (define cadr (lambda (x) (car (cdr x))))
cadr defined
> cadr
#rocedure lambda>
> (cadr '(1 2 3 4))
2
> (define (cadr x) ( (lambda (x) (car (cdr x)))
                          Χ
                        )
  )
cadr defined
```

```
> (cadr '(1 2 3 4))
  2
  > cadr
  #rocedure lambda>
  > cons
  #rocedure cons>
      Part II - Error handling (the "no return value" error)
現在介紹 Proj. 3 (與 Proj. 4)的一個重要 run-time error: no return-value.
說來話長,請耐心看完。
1. total function vs. partial function
我們所熟悉的 functions 都是 total functions,亦即:
 只要所有的 parameters 皆為此 function 可接受的 parameters
 (如 Factorial 的一百零一個參數是個大於或等於 0 的整數)、
 此 function 就(保證、或曰應該)會 return 一個值。
 舉例而言,C/Java 的 non-void functions 就是(理論上應)如此。
但 functions 事實上還有 partial functions,亦即:
 雖然所有的 parameters 皆為此 function 可接受的 parameters,
 但此 function 未見得保證 return 一個值。
 (換言之,雖然所有的 parameters 皆為此 function 可接受的 parameters,
  但有可能此 function 並不 return 一個值。
 )
 例:
   (define (F x) (cond ((> x 5) x))); So, (F 3) has no return value
也請注意:以上(與以下)有關「function」的說明也適用於「functional form」.
```

- 2. In OurScheme, we are dealing with partial functions and not total functions.
- 3. 有時,it is acceptable that a function call does not return a value.

例:

```
(begin (F 3) 5);假設 the function F 已定義於上;雖然(F 3) does not return a value, but it is OK.;因為(F 3)是否 return a value 並不重要
```

(begin (begin (F 3)) 5);中間的 begin 並未 return a value. It is OK too.

4. 那...

Under what circumstances is it an error not to return a value???

茲將這些 circumstances 條列於下:

(a) When a function is called, all its actual parameters must evaluate to a binding.

說明:此處的重點是「when a function ia called」

例:

```
(cond ((> 5 3) 15)

(#t (cons (F 3) (F 3)))

)

的執行不會有 error,因為(cons (F 3) (F 3))不會被呼叫。

但

(cons (F 3) 5)

的執行就有 error 了,因為 cons 有被呼叫、而(F 3)無 return value。
```

(b) When an IF or COND is evaluated and a test-condition of this IF or COND gets evaluated, the evaluation of this test condition must result in a binding.

說明:IF 也就罷了(IF 只有一個 test condition,也一定會要 evaluate 這個 test condition (除非 IF 本身沒有被 evaluate))

COND 可能有好幾個 test conditions,在 evaluate 這個 COND 的過程之中,並非所有的 test conditions 都會被 evaluate,例子:

所以重點是「When IF/COND is evaluated and a test-condition of this IF/COND gets evaluated」,只有在此時、the evaluation of 此test condition 才一定必須要有 return value。

(c) When an AND or OR is evaluated and a condition of this AND or OR gets evaluated, the evaluation of this condition must result in a binding.

```
說明: We are talking about

( and <condition-1> <condition-2> ... <condition-N>)

or

( or <condition-1> <condition-2> ... <condition-N>)

有 error 或無 error 的道理與(b)類似
```

(d) When DEFINE or SET! or LET is evaluated, the "to be assigned" must evaluate to a binding.

```
說明: We are talking about

( define <symbol> HERE )

or

( set! <symbol> HERE ); set!將於 Proj. 4 出現
```

When this DEFINE or SET! or LET is evaluated, what appears at HERE must evaluate to a binding.

Otherwise, there is no way we can initialize the corresponding symbol.

(e) When a function or functional form is evaluated at the top level, it must evaluate to a binding.

說明:當 OurScheme 的使用者於 the prompt level(亦即當 system print '>'之後)輸入一個 S-expression 叫 system 去 evaluate 時,
the user expects to see a return value (which is what the system prints as a response of user input).
因此,如果此時 the evaluation of the (user-input) S-expression does not result in a binding,the system should print >>ERROR (no return value):...<

- 5. The error message to show when a return value is required but no values returned
 - (a) If a function is called and some of its actual parameters does not evaluate to a binding, then the first occurrence of such cases should lead to the following error message (and the control goes back to the top level):

(b) If an IF or COND is evaluated and the evaluation of a test condition does not result in a binding, then the following error message should be printed (and the control goes back to the top level):

```
>>ERROR (unbound test-condition) : <code of the test-condition><<
```

(c) If an AND or OR is evaluated and a condition of this AND or OR does not evaluate to a binding, then the following error message should be printed (and the control goes back to the top level):

```
>>ERROR (unbound condition) : <code of the condition><<
```

(d) If DEFINE or SET! or LET is evaluated and the "to be assigned" does not evaluate to a binding, then the following error message should be printed (and the control goes back to the top level):

```
>>ERROR (no return value) : <code of the "to be assigned"><<
```

(e) If a function or functional form is evaluated at the top level and it does not evaluate to a binding, then the following error message should be printed (and the control goes back to the top level):

```
>>ERROR (no return value) : <code entered at the top level><<
```

(f) If an S-expression of the form >>((\circ \circ \circ) ...)<< is evaluated and the evaluation of >>(\circ \circ \circ)<< does not result in a binding (i.e.,

)

error message should be printed (and the control goes back to the top level):
>>ERROR (no return value) : <pretty (="" form="" of="" print="" 。。。))=""></pretty>
Note, however, that there are two exceptions to (e): DEFINE and CLEAN-ENVIRONMENT. When DEFINE or CLEAN-ENVIRONMENT is evaluated, it does not return any binding.
Q: How did the interaction below happen?
> (define a 5) a defined
> (clean-environment) environment cleaned
A: It is the system primitive reponsible for handling'(define a 5)' (or '(clean-environment)') that prints >>a defined<< (or >>environment cleaned<<) (note that there is also a line-enter).
In other words, the main evaluation loop does not print any feedback message for DEFINE and CLEAN-ENVIRONMENT, unless there are errors.
Q: What is the return value of 'exit'? A: It does not matter what (a call to) 'exit' returns, because the system will not have the chance to print what 'exit' returns.
Please consult HowToWriteOurScheme.doc to see "when to print what" when there may be multiple errors in the being evaluated code.
Part III - Two extra functions for coping with the printing of information for DEFINE and CLEAN-ENVIRONMENT

the evaluation of >>($~\circ~\circ~\circ~$)<< results in a null pointer), then the following

There are two more functions you need to implement:

```
* (verbose nil) vs. (verbose #t); #t can be replaced by any S-expression
                                       ; that evaluates to NOT NIL
* (verbose?)
例:
                    ; the "verbose" mode controls whether the system will
                    ; print something when the being evaluated S-expression
                    ; is DEFINE or CLEAN-ENVIRONMENT
  > (verbose?)
                ; Is the verbose mode ON?
  #t
  > (define a 5)
  a defined
  > (clean-environment)
  environment cleaned
  > (verbose nil); let us turn off the verbose mode
  nil
  > (verbose?)
  nil
  > (define a 5)
  > (clean-environment)
  > (verbose 5); let us turn the verbose mode back on
  #t
  > (verbose?)
  #t
  > (define a 5)
  a defined
  > (clean-environment)
  environment cleaned
```

The reason for having 'verbose' (and 'verbose?') will become clearer in Proj. 4, when 'eval' comes into play.

Part IV - Proj. 3 題目的設計

新的(2017)「Proj. 3」的題目安排如下

(1)~(5)無 error,第五題的隱藏數據是前四題的隱藏數據的"加總"

(6)~(10)有 error,第十題的隱藏數據是前四題的隱藏數據的"加總"

除了「from simple to complex」之外,

有關 cond, if, lambda, and, or, let 的測試數據的安排,是依照以下原則

- (1) define + lambda (用 para.做為(initialized)"local para") basic incl.: COND IF BEGIN AND OR
- (2) define + lambda (用 para.做為(initialized)"local para") complex COND IF BEGIN AND OR (nested calls)
 - (3) (2) + functional composition // functions 呼叫 functions
 - (4) (3) + let (local vs. global)
 - (5) (4) + nested locals vs. globals + (1)~(4)集大成
 - (6) (1) + error tests
 - (7)(2) + error tests
 - (8)(3) + error tests
 - (9) (4) + error tests
 - (10) (5) + error tests + (6)~(9)集大成
 - (11) (5) + Proj. 2 集大成 test(s) (no error cases)

(12) (10) + Proj. 2 集大成 tests(s) (error cases)