Radar Method for Measuring Precipitation

@article{ochoa2019radar,

author = {Ochoa-Rodriguez, S. and Wang, L.-P. and Willems, P. and Onof, C.},

title = {A Review of Radar‑Rain Gauge Data Merging Methods and Their Potential for Urban Hydrological Applications},

journal = {Water Resources Research},

year = {2019},

volume = {55},

number = {8},

pages = {6356–6391},

doi = {10.1029/2018WR023332}

}

@article{wilson1979radar,

author = {Wilson, J. W. and Brandes, E. A.},

title = {Radar Measurement of Rainfall—A Summary},

journal = {Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society},

year = {1979},

volume = {60},

number = {9},

pages = {1048-1060}

}

@incollection{nanding2019precipitation,

author = {Nanding, Nergui and Rico-Ramirez, Miguel Angel},

title = {Precipitation Measurement with Weather Radars},

booktitle = {ICT for Smart Water Systems: Measurements and Data Science},

year = {2019},

pages = {235-258},

publisher = {Springer Nature},

doi = {10.1007/698_2019_404}

}

Radar Method for Measuring Precipitation

How Does the Method Work?

The radar method for measuring precipitation involves the emission of microwave signals into the atmosphere. These signals interact with precipitation particles (e.g., raindrops, hail, or snowflakes), and the backscattered signals are received by the radar system. The strength of the backscattered signal, also called reflectivity, is used to estimate precipitation intensity (ochoa2019radar; nanding2019precipitation).

Key components of the method include:

1. **Reflectivity Factor Calculation**: The radar calculates the reflectivity factor (Z), which is determined by the sixth power of particle diameters in the sampled volume. This factor reflects the density and size distribution of precipitation particles (nanding2019precipitation).

2. **Rainfall Rate Estimation**: The relationship between radar reflectivity (Z) and rainfall rate (R) is often expressed through empirical formulas, such as Z = 200R^1.6, derived from studies on drop-size distribution. This formula allows the radar to estimate rainfall rates from observed reflectivity values (wilson1979radar).

3. **Correction Techniques**: To improve accuracy, the method integrates calibration adjustments using ground-based rain gauges. These calibrations address errors arising from particle size variations, vertical air motions, and signal attenuation (ochoa2019radar).

4. **Data Processing**: Radar scans the atmosphere at low elevation angles, collecting data at regular intervals of range and angle. Reflectivity measurements are converted into

rainfall rates, which accumulate over time to produce rainfall estimates (nanding2019precipitation).

This approach provides real-time monitoring of precipitation across large areas, making it a vital tool for forecasting river flows and flash floods (wilson1979radar).

How Is Performance Measured?

The performance of radar-based precipitation measurements is evaluated using several criteria:

1. **Accuracy and Bias Correction**: Conversion of radar reflectivity (Z) to rainfall rate (R) is optimized through calibration with rain gauge data to address biases (ochoa2019radar).

2. **Error Analysis**: Performance evaluation includes identifying and addressing calibration errors, inaccuracies in radar reflectivity measurement, and discrepancies between spatial and temporal sampling of radar and rain gauges (nanding2019precipitation).

3. **Validation Against Ground Truth**: Rain gauge measurements are used as the benchmark, with radar estimates assessed through metrics like Mean Absolute Error (MAE), correlation coefficients, and Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) (ochoa2019radar).

4. **Resolution Testing**: The method's performance is analyzed at varying spatial and temporal resolutions, with finer resolutions being crucial for applications like urban hydrology (wilson1979radar).

What Is Its Performance?

1. **Accuracy**: When properly calibrated with rain gauges, radar systems achieve rainfall estimates with average errors of 10–30%. Without calibration, errors may exceed this range due to spatial variability and radar limitations (nanding2019precipitation).

2. **Challenges**: Radars face challenges from non-meteorological echoes, signal attenuation, and vertical variations in reflectivity, all of which can introduce significant biases (ochoa2019radar).

3. **Applications**: Despite these challenges, radar excels in providing spatially distributed, real-time precipitation data over large regions, which is critical for flood forecasting, meteorology, and hydrology (wilson1979radar).

In summary, the performance of radar-based rainfall measurement heavily relies on calibration, error correction, and the integration of rain gauge data (nanding2019precipitation).

What Limitations Does It Have?

1. **Calibration Challenges**: Inaccurate calibration can lead to significant errors in radar rainfall estimates (ochoa2019radar).

2. **Variability in Reflectivity-Rainfall Relationship**: The relationship between radar reflectivity and rainfall rate varies with factors like drop size distribution, rainfall type, and intensity, making accurate estimation challenging (wilson1979radar).

3. **Non-Meteorological Echoes**: Ground clutter, anomalous propagation, and interference from non-precipitation sources can distort radar measurements (nanding2019precipitation).

4. **Signal Attenuation**: Strong rainfall or atmospheric conditions can weaken radar signals, especially at shorter wavelengths such as X-band (ochoa2019radar).

5. **Vertical Profile Variations**: Radar measures precipitation at higher altitudes, which may differ from surface-level precipitation due to evaporation, advection, or freezing (nanding2019precipitation).

6. **Spatial and Temporal Resolution Mismatch**: Discrepancies between radar's areal coverage and the point measurements of rain gauges can lead to errors, particularly in urban hydrology applications that demand high-resolution data (ochoa2019radar).

Summary of Limitations

The primary limitations of radar-based precipitation measurement stem from calibration difficulties, inaccuracies in the reflectivity-rainfall relationship, and physical factors such as signal attenuation, vertical variability, and spatial-temporal mismatches. These limitations significantly affect accuracy, especially at fine resolutions (wilson1979radar; nanding2019precipitation; ochoa2019radar).

REFERENCE:

1. Ochoa-Rodriguez, S., Wang, L.-P., Willems, P., & Onof, C. (2019). A review of radar-rain gauge data merging methods and their potential for urban hydrological applications. *Water Resources Research, 55*(8), 6356–6391. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018WR023332
2. Wilson, J. W., & Brandes, E. A. (1979). Radar measurement of rainfall—A summary. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 60*(9), 1048-1060.
3. Nanding, N., & Rico-Ramirez, M. A. (2019). Precipitation measurement with weather radars. In *ICT for Smart Water Systems: Measurements and Data Science* (pp. 235-258). Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/698_2019_404