

Math HW7

Matthew Vanaman

05-11-2019

7.7, 7.10

7.1a

Answer: $f(a, b, c, d, e, f) = a \times b \times c \times d \times e \times f$

7.3a

Answer: 5

Work:

Plug in 3 and 1:

```
(3^2 - 3 * 1 + (6 * 1)^2) / (3 - 3 * 1)
```

```
[1] Inf
```

So that doesn't work. Need to find some way to cancel out the denominator.

$$x^2 - xy + 6y^2,$$

Set y equal to 1:

$$\begin{aligned} &= x^2 - (x \cdot 1) + (6 \cdot 1)^2, \\ &= x^2 - x + 6, \\ &= (x - 3)(x + 2). \end{aligned}$$

Plug y back in:

$$= (x - 3y)(x + 2y).$$

Check work:

```
from __future__ import division
from sympy import *
x, y = symbols('x y')
print(expand((x - 3*y) * (x + 2*y)))
```

```
x**2 - x*y - 6*y**2
```

plug back into original expression:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{(x - 3y)(x + 2y)}{x - 3y}, \\ &= x + 2y. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging in x and y values:

[1] 5

7.6 (sketch its level sets as well)**(a)**

Answer: $\nabla f(xy) = \begin{bmatrix} y - 2x + 2 \\ x - 2y + 1 \end{bmatrix}.$

Work:

Gradient = first partial derivative. Need to find one for x and one for y, and construct a matrix where row 1 is the first partial derivative with respect to x and row 2 is the first partial derivative with respect to y. With respect to x:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(-x^2 + xy - y^2 + 2x + y), \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(-x^2) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(x) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(y) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(y^2) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(2) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(y), \\ & \quad y - 2x + 2. \end{aligned}$$

With respect to y:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(-x^2 + xy - y^2 + 2x + y), \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(-x^2) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(x) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(y) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(y^2) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(2) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(y), \\ & \quad = x - 2y + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the gradient is:

$$\nabla f(xy) = \begin{bmatrix} y - 2x + 2 \\ x - 2y + 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(b)

Answer: critical point = $(\frac{5}{3}, \frac{4}{3})$.

Work:

start by solving for x:

$$\begin{aligned} -2x + y + 2 &= 0, \\ y &= 2x - 2. \end{aligned}$$

Plug into the bottom element:

$$\begin{aligned} x - 2y + 1 &= 0, \\ x - 2(2x - 2) + 1 &= 0, \\ x - 4x - 3 &= 0, \\ 3x &= 5, \end{aligned}$$

$$x = \frac{5}{3}.$$

Back to the top element:

$$y - 2x + 2 = 0,$$

$$-y = 2x + 2,$$

$$-y = -2\left(\frac{5}{3}\right) + 2,$$

$$y = \frac{4}{3}$$

(c)

Answer: $\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}.$

Work:

The gradient is:

$$\nabla f(xy) = \begin{bmatrix} y - 2x + 2 \\ x - 2y + 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hessian:

$$\begin{aligned} H(f(x, y)) &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(y - 2x + 2) & \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(y - 2x + 2) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(x - 2y + 1) & \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(x - 2y + 1) \end{bmatrix}, \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

(d)

Answer: At $(\frac{5}{3}, \frac{4}{3})$, the matrix is negative-definite, and at a local maximum.

Work:

$$f_{xx}\left(\frac{5}{3}, \frac{4}{3}\right) \cdot f_{yy}\left(\frac{5}{3}, \frac{4}{3}\right) - f_{xy}\left(\frac{5}{3}, \frac{4}{3}\right)^2 > 0,$$

$$-2(-2) - 1^2 > 0,$$

$$3.$$

We therefore know the matrix is either a positive-definite or negative-definite matrix.

$$f_{xx}\left(\frac{5}{3}, \frac{4}{3}\right) + f_{yy}\left(\frac{5}{3}, \frac{4}{3}\right),$$

$$= -2 + (-2),$$

$$= -4.$$

At $(\frac{5}{3}, \frac{4}{3})$, the matrix is negative-definite, and at a local maximum.

7.7

$$300x + 500y = 100,000$$

how much x, y to maximize profits constraint = 100,000

First, find gradient of the function (partial derivative with respect to x, then y). With respect to x:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(150x^{1/3}y^{2/3}), \\ &= 150y^{2/3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(x^{1/3}), \\ &= 150y^{2/3} \frac{1}{3}(x^{-2/3}), \\ &= 150y^{2/3} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{x^{2/3}}, \\ &= \frac{150y^{2/3}}{3x^{2/3}}, \\ &= \frac{50y^{2/3}}{x^{2/3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Partial respect to y is identical steps except isolating the y instead:

$$\frac{100x^{1/3}}{y^{1/3}}.$$

Which makes the gradient:

$$\nabla f(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{50y^{2/3}}{x^{2/3}} \\ \frac{100x^{1/3}}{y^{1/3}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Step 2 is to find the gradient of the constraint function $300x + 500y = 100,000$. Partial with respect to x:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(300x + 500y),$$

treating y as constant, derivative of constant (y) = 0:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(300x), \\ &= 300 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(x), \\ &= 300. \end{aligned}$$

Partial with respect to y = 500. Therefore,

$$\nabla g(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} 300 \\ 500 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Step 3, set each $\nabla f(x, y) = \lambda \nabla g(x, y)$, and Step 4 is to add the constraint to the system:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{50y^{2/3}}{x^{2/3}} &= 300\lambda \\ \frac{100x^{1/3}}{y^{1/3}} &= 500\lambda \\ 300x + 500y &= 100,000 \end{aligned}$$

If you can make the first two equal to lambda, they will be equal to each other:

$$\frac{50y^{2/3}}{x^{2/3}} = 300\lambda,$$

$$\frac{50y^{2/3}}{300x^{2/3}} = \lambda,$$

$$\frac{y^{2/3}}{6x^{2/3}} = \lambda.$$

$$\frac{100x^{1/3}}{y^{1/3}} = 500\lambda,$$

$$\frac{100x^{1/3}}{500y^{1/3}} = \lambda,$$

$$\frac{x^{1/3}}{5y^{1/3}} = \lambda.$$

Now it's a lot easier to solve for x and y:

$$\frac{y^{2/3}}{6x^{2/3}} = \frac{x^{1/3}}{5y^{1/3}},$$

$$y^{2/3} = \frac{x^{1/3}}{5y^{1/3}}6x^{2/3},$$

$$5y^{1/3}(y^{2/3}) = x^{1/3}(6x^{2/3}),$$

$$5y = 6x,$$

$$\frac{5}{6}y = x.$$

$$300\left(\frac{5}{6}y\right) + 500y = 100,000,$$

$$\frac{1500y}{6} + 500y = 100,000,$$

$$250y + 500y = 100,000,$$

$$750y = 100,000,$$

$$y = 133.33.$$

Solving for x:

$$\frac{5}{6}y = x,$$

$$\frac{5}{6}133.33 = x,$$

$$111.11 = x.$$

Step 6 is to compare f at the critical points. But plugging in 0s does not make equations equal to zero because we can't divide by zero (e.g. plugging zero into $y^{1/3}$ would equal zero). But you can try different points to see how the outcomes of those equations compare to the current one. The current one:

$$150 * 111.11^{(1/3)} * 133.33^{(2/3)}$$

[1] 18820.34

Plug in a higher x value (x = 120) and solve for y:

`solveset(Eq(300 * 120 + 500*y, 100000), y)`

```
{128}
```

Plug in (120, 128) to production function:

```
150 * 120^(1/3) * 128^(2/3)
```

```
[1] 18791.36
```

We lose money. What if you decrease x? Make $x = 100$ and solve for y:

```
solveset(Eq(300 * 100 + 500*y, 100000), y)
```

```
{140}
```

```
150 * 100^(1/3) * 140^(2/3)
```

```
[1] 18771.97
```

Because decreasing x and increasing y (and vice versa) decreases the output of the function, we can be confident that (111.11, 133.33) maximizes the function.