

# Solutions to Sheet 10

## Exercise 1

Let  $k$  be a field and let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a  $k$ -algebra homomorphism with  $B$  a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra. Let  $\mathfrak{m} \subset B$  be a maximal ideal. Show that  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}) \subset A$  is a maximal ideal.

**Solution.** Write  $B = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$ . If  $\mathfrak{m} \subset B$  is maximal, then  $B/\mathfrak{m} \cong K$ , where  $K/k$  is a finite field extension by Hilbert's Nullstellensatz. We have the morphism

$$A/f^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow B/\mathfrak{m} = K,$$

which is readily seen to be injective. Hence  $A/f^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$  is isomorphic to some sub- $k$ -algebra of a finite field extension of  $k$ . But now it is a finite  $k$ -algebra, in particular a field itself. This shows that  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$  is maximal.

## Exercise 2

Let  $n \geq 0$  and  $Z \subset k^n$  be an algebraic subset. Show that  $I(Z)$  is a prime ideal if and only if  $Z = Z_1 \cap Z_2$  with  $Z_1, Z_2$  algebraic implies  $Z = Z_1$  or  $Z = Z_2$ .

**Solution.** A space satisfying the latter condition is called *irreducible*. I think all we know about  $V(-)$  and  $I(-)$  is

- Hilbert's Nullstellensatz:  $I(V(J)) = \sqrt{J}$  and  $V(I(Z)) = Z$ .
- $I(-)$  and  $V(-)$  are inclusion-reversing.
- $V(J_1 \cap J_2) = V(J_1 J_2) = V(J_1) \cup V(J_2)$  and  $V(J_1 + J_2) = V(J_1) \cap V(J_2)$
- $I(Z_1 \cap Z_2) = I(Z_1) + I(Z_2)$  and  $I(Z_1 \cup Z_2) = I(Z_1) \cap I(Z_2)$ .
- The Zariski-Topology: This is the coarsest topology with sets of the form  $V(I)$  closed.

If  $Z$  is irreducible and  $f_1 f_2 \in I(Z)$ , we have  $V(f_1 f_2) \supset Z$  find  $(V(f_1) \cap Z) \cup (V(f_2) \cap Z) = Z$ , hence  $V(f_1) \supset Z$  or  $V(f_2) \supset Z$ , which shows  $f_1 \in I(Z)$  or  $f_2 \in I(Z)$ . Hence  $I(Z)$  is prime.

On the contrary, if  $I(Z)$  is prime and  $Z = Z_1 \cup Z_2$ , we find  $I(Z) = I(Z_1 \cup Z_2) = I(Z_1)I(Z_2)$ . Wlog, This implies  $I(Z_1) = I(Z)$ , hence  $Z = V(I(Z)) = V(I(Z_1)) = Z_1$ .

## Exercise 3

A ring is called *Jacobson* if each prime ideal is the intersection of all maximal ideals containing it.

1. Show that a ring  $A$  is Jacobson if and only if for all primes  $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$  and  $a \notin \mathfrak{p}$  there exists a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} \subset A$  such that  $a \notin \mathfrak{m}$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{m}$ .

2. Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be an injective, integral morphism and assume that  $B$  is Jacobson. Show that  $A$  is Jacobson. Deduce from the lecture that for each field  $k$  and  $n \geq 0$  the ring  $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  is Jacobson.

**Solution.**

1. There is not much to do. If  $A$  is Jacobson, then every prime ideal is the intersection containing it, hence for every  $a \notin \mathfrak{p}$  there is some  $\mathfrak{m} \supset \mathfrak{p}$  with  $a \notin \mathfrak{m}$ . The other direction is also readily verified.

2. First of all, note that if  $\mathfrak{m} \subset B$  is maximal,  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}) \subset A$  is maximal as well. This follows directly from the going-up property of integral extension.

Also by going-up (or more generally, lying over) we find some  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(B)$  with  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{p}$ . As  $B$  is Jacobson we have  $\mathfrak{q} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \supset \mathfrak{q}} \mathfrak{m}$ , so that we obtain

$$\mathfrak{p} = f^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \supset \mathfrak{q}} f^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}) = \bigcap_{f^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}) \supset \mathfrak{p}} f^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}).$$

*Alternative proof.* We can also use part 1. Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$ ,  $a \in A$  be any elements. By the lying-over property for integral extensions we find some prime  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(B)$  with  $\mathfrak{q} \cap A = \mathfrak{p}$ . Now there is some maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Spec}(B)$  with  $\mathfrak{q} \subset \mathfrak{m}$  and  $a \notin \mathfrak{m}$ . But now let  $\mathfrak{m}' = A \cap \mathfrak{m}$ . This is a maximal ideal containing  $\mathfrak{p}$ , not containing  $a$ . We are done with part 1.

#### Exercise 4

Let  $A$  be a local ring and  $M$  a finitely presented, flat  $A$ -module. Show that  $M$  is free. *Hint:* Let  $\mathfrak{m} \subset A$  be the maximal ideal. Use prev sheet to construct a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow A^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  with  $K$  finitely generated and  $(A/\mathfrak{m})^n \rightarrow M/\mathfrak{m}M$  an isomorphism. Now use flatness of  $M$  and the snake lemma to check that  $0 \rightarrow K/\mathfrak{m}K \rightarrow (A/\mathfrak{m})^n \rightarrow M/\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow 0$  is again short exact.

**Solution.** We follow the hint. Write  $k = A/\mathfrak{m}$ . Note that we can choose  $n$  as the  $k$ -dimension of  $M/\mathfrak{m}$ : The dimension is finite by finite-generatedness of  $M$  and right-exactness of tensoring with  $A/\mathfrak{m} = k$ . By Nakayama's Lemma, any choice of generators of  $M/\mathfrak{m}$  lifts to generators of  $M$ . Hence we can construct a surjective morphism of  $A$ -modules  $A^n \rightarrow M$  which is an isomorphism up to tensoring with  $k$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{m}A \hookrightarrow A$ , so after tensoring with  $M$  we find  $\mathfrak{m}M \hookrightarrow M$ . Also, tensoring the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow A^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

with  $\mathfrak{m}$  yields the exact sequence

$$\mathfrak{m}K \rightarrow (\mathfrak{m}A)^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}M \rightarrow 0.$$

All information up to now is encoded in the following diagram with exact rows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathfrak{m}K & \longrightarrow & (\mathfrak{m}A)^n & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{m}M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & A^n & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & (A/\mathfrak{m})^n & \longrightarrow & M/\mathfrak{m}M \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

The snake lemma on the top two rows yields a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K/\mathfrak{m}K \rightarrow (A/\mathfrak{m})^n \rightarrow M/\mathfrak{m}M \rightarrow 0,$$

and we obtain  $K/\mathfrak{m}K = 0$ , i.e.  $K = \mathfrak{m}K$ . But  $K$  is finitely generated (as  $M$  is finitely presented), and this implies  $K = 0$  by Nakayama.

There is a better way to think about the homological algebra here. We know already that tensoring is right-exact, but in general not left-exact. As it turns out, the failure of left-exactness can be captured by certain *higher derived* tensor products, also known as Tor-functors. The idea is simple, albeit unintuitive if you have never encountered cohomology groups: Given a short exact sequence of  $A$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$$

and another  $A$ -module  $N$ , there should be certain functors  $\mathrm{Tor}_A^i(N, -)$  which capture the failure of left-exactness in that they fit into a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \mathrm{Tor}_A^2(N, M'') \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_A^1(N, M') \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_A^1(N, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_A^1(N, M'') \\ \rightarrow N \otimes_A M' \rightarrow N \otimes_A M \rightarrow N \otimes_A M'' \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

One can show that  $\mathrm{Tor}_A^i$  is symmetric, i.e.,  $\mathrm{Tor}_A^i(M, N) = \mathrm{Tor}_A^i(N, M)$ . Using Tor, one finds that  $M$  being flat is the same as  $\mathrm{Tor}_A^i(M, N) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$ . This should make sense: If we have any exact sequence ending in  $N$ , then tensoring with  $M$  shouldn't make this not-exact, so  $\mathrm{Tor}_A^1(M, N) = 0$ . Knowing this, we see that any sequence ending in  $M$  is universally exact, i.e., still exact if we tensor it with any other  $A$ -module  $N$ . In particular, exactness of the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow A^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

implies exactness of the sequence

$$\mathrm{Tor}_A^1(M, A/\mathfrak{m}) = 0 \rightarrow K/\mathfrak{m}K \rightarrow (A/\mathfrak{m})^n \rightarrow M/\mathfrak{m}M \rightarrow 0.$$