Solutions to Sheet 9.

Problem 1&2

Want to estimate

$$S_2(x) := \sum_{n < x, \Omega(n) = 2} 1.$$

Write it as

$$S_2(x) = \sum_{p \le \sqrt{x}} \sum_{p \le q \le x/p} 1 = \sum_{p \le \sqrt{x}} (\pi(x/p) - \pi(p)) + O(\sqrt{x}).$$

Use PNT, get

$$S_2(x) = \sum_{p \le \sqrt{x}} \int_p^{x/p} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\log t} + O(x \mathrm{e}^{-c\sqrt{\log x}}).$$

for a constant c > 0 (not the same as in the PNT). Concept-wise we are done here, as all is left to do is to do partial summation with g(t) = Li(x/t) - Li(t) as smooth weight, and a_n the indicator function on primes. Estimating the rest is a bit tedious, but straight-forward:

We have $g(\sqrt{x}) = 0$ and $-g'(t) = \frac{1}{\log t} + \frac{x}{t^2 \log(x/t)}$. We obtain

$$S_2(x) = \sum_{p \le \sqrt{x}} g(p) = \int_2^{\sqrt{x}} \frac{\pi(t)}{\log t} + \frac{\pi(t)x}{t^2 \log(x/t)} dt.$$

The integral over $\pi(t)/\log t$ can be dealt with quite quickly. We have $\pi(t) \ll \frac{t}{\log t}$, hence

$$\int_2^{\sqrt{x}} \frac{\pi(t)}{\log t} \, \mathrm{d}t \ll \int_2^{\sqrt{x}} \frac{t}{(\log t)^2} \, \mathrm{d}t \ll \left(\int_2^{x^{1/4}} + \int_{x^{1/4}}^{\sqrt{x}} \right) \frac{t}{(\log t)^2} \, \mathrm{d}t \ll x^{1/2} + \frac{x}{(\log x)^2}.$$

We are left with

$$S_2(x) = \int_2^{\sqrt{x}} \frac{\pi(t)x \, dt}{t^2 \log(x/t)} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^2}\right) = \int_2^{\sqrt{x}} \frac{x((\log t)^{-1} + O((\log t)^{-2}))}{t \log(x/t)} \, dt + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^2}\right),$$

where we applied the PNT again, this time with error term $O(x/(\log x)^2)$. The integral over the O-term is also easily handled. We have $\log(x/t) \gg \log g$, and hence find that the contribution is bounded by

$$\frac{x}{\log x} \int_2^{\sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{t(\log t)^2} \, \mathrm{d}t \ll \frac{x}{\log x}.$$

We are left with

$$S_2(x) = x \int_2^{\sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{t(\log t)(\log \frac{x}{t})} dt + O(\frac{x}{\log x}).$$

We can use the geometric series to show that

$$\frac{1}{\log \frac{x}{t}} = \frac{1}{\log x(1 - \frac{\log x}{\log t})} = \frac{1}{\log x} \left(1 + O(\frac{\log t}{\log x}) \right) = \frac{1}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{\log t}{(\log x)^2}\right).$$

Hence we obtian

$$S_2(x) = \frac{x}{\log x} \int_2^{\sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{t \log t} dt + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^2} \int_2^{\sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{t}\right) + O\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right) = \frac{x}{\log x} \int_2^{\sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{t \log t} dt + O\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right).$$

This integral is exactly given by

$$\int_{2}^{\sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{t \log t} dt = \log \log \sqrt{x} - \log \log 2,$$

which leaves us with

$$S_2(x) = \frac{x \log \log x}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right),$$

as desired.

Problem 3

This is a consequence of Merten's theorem, which states that for x > 1,

$$\sum_{p \le x} \frac{1}{p} = \log \log x + C + O((\log x)^{-1})$$

for some constant C.

Note that

$$\frac{\varphi(n)}{n} = \prod_{p|n} (1 - p^{-1}),$$

so we really want to show that the RHS is $\gg (\log \log n)^{-1}$. The product over the prime divisors of n is hard to get a hold on. It would be much easier if we could somehow relate this to products of the form $\prod_{n \le x} (1-p^{-1})$, as these products can be bounded with Merten's formula:

$$\begin{split} \prod_{p \le x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) &= \exp\left(\sum_{p \le x} \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \right) = \exp\left(-\sum_{p \le x} \frac{1}{p} - \sum_{p \le x} \sum_{k \ge 2} \frac{1}{kp^k} \right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\log\log x - C + O((\log x)^{-1}) - \sum_{p} \sum_{k \ge 2} \frac{1}{kp^k} + O\left(\sum_{p > x} \sum_{k \ge 2} \frac{1}{p^k} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-C'}}{\log x} \exp\left(O\left(\frac{1}{\log x} \right) \right) = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-C'}}{\log x} (1 + O((\log x)^{-1}) \gg \frac{1}{\log x}. \end{split}$$

(This also was on sheet 0). In particular, if we choose $x = \log n$, we obtain

$$\prod_{p \le \log n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \gg (\log \log n)^{-1}.$$

This is nice, because the prime divisors $p \mid n$ with $p \ge \log n$ don't contribute anything:

$$\prod_{p|n,\ p<\log n} \left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) \geq \left(1-\frac{1}{\log n}\right)^{\omega(n)} \geq \left(1-\frac{1}{\log n}\right)^{2\log n} \gg 1.$$

(Here we used $\omega(n) \leq \log_2(n) \leq 2 \log n$ and that one formula for e). Hence we can conclude

$$\frac{\varphi(n)}{n} \ge \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log n}\right)^{\omega(n)} \prod_{p < \log n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \gg \frac{1}{\log \log n}.$$

Notes after correcting. I just realized that the long calculation can be replaced by a reference to (5.9).

Problem 4

Okay, let c > 0 and let q and q' be two exceptional moduli with zeroes characters χ , χ' and real zeroes β , β' satisfying the condition of the exercise. Let's compare the assumptions with the statement of (5.12).

- (A) We have $1 \frac{c}{\log q} < \beta$, and similar for q'.
- (5.12) There is some small d>0 (independent of q and q') such that we have $\min(\beta,\beta')\leq 1-\frac{d}{\log(qq')}$.

If we assume q < q', we certainly obtain

$$1 - \frac{c}{\log q} < 1 - \frac{d}{\log(qq')}, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \frac{d}{c} < \frac{\log(qq')}{\log q}, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad q' > q^{d/c - 1}.$$

Thus, any c < d/3 does the job.

This shows that there are $O(\log \log n)$ exceptional moduli up to n.

Aside: There is nothing special about the 2 in the exponent, if we choose c small enough we can get arbtirarily large exponents. But gives stronger conditions on what it means to be exceptional.