**Ref No: {{ref\_no}} Dt: {{tec\_date}}**

Sub: **{{subject}}– Committee Report.**

**घोषणा** : यह मूल्यांकन रिपोर्ट सामान्यत: निविदा दस्तावेज तथा पोसोको की  “वर्क्‍स एंड प्रोक्युरमेंट पॉलिसी” के विभिन्न  प्रावधानों के अनुसार बनाई गई है ।  इस बात की भी पुष्टि की जाती है कि, निविदा समिति के किसी भी सदस्य का इस निविदा प्रक्रिया में भाग ले रही एजेंसियों / ठेकेदारों के साथ वैयक्‍तिक हित शामिल नहीं है ।  आगे यह भी पुष्टि की जाती है कि मूल्यांकन मानदंड / प्रक्रिया, निविदा दस्तावेजों के अनुसार थे, तथा निविदाओं का मूल्यांकन करते समय, इनका कड़ाई से पालन किया गया है और मूल्यांकन की पूरी प्रक्रिया पारदर्शी तरीके से की गई है ।

The Competent Authority has approved the LTE Note Ref No: {{ref\_no}} dated {{proposal\_approved\_dt}} for {{subject}} and approved cost estimate is Rs. {{estCost}}/- (Rupees {{estCostWords}} Only) {{gstIncl}}. As per approval of competent authority, LTE was sent to {{no\_of\_vendors}} parties with bid opening on {{first\_bod\_date}} @ 16:00 Hrs.

The Competent Authority had constituted Bid Opening/ Tender evaluation Committee consisting of following Executives.

**Bid Opening Committee:**

1) Sri {{indent\_bod\_mem.name}}, {{indent\_bod\_mem.desg}} ({{indent\_dept}})

2) Sri. {{cnm\_bod\_mem.name}}, {{cnm\_bod\_mem.desg}} (C&M)

3) Sri. {{fna\_bod\_mem.name}}, {{fna\_bod\_mem.desg}} (F&A)

**Tender Evaluation Committee**:

1) Sri {{indent\_tec\_mem.name}}, {{indent\_tec\_mem.desg}} ({{indent\_dept}})

2) Sri. {{cnm\_tec\_mem.name}}, {{cnm\_tec\_mem.desg}} (C&M)

3) Sri. {{fna\_tec\_mem.name}}, {{fna\_tec\_mem.desg}} (F&A)

{% if corrigenda\_b%}

After issuing NIT , following Corrigenda are issued:-

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Corrigendum Title** | **Issued On** | **Description** | **Reason** |
| {%tr for corrigendum in corrigenda%} | | | |
| {{corrigendum.title}} | {{corrigendum.issued\_dt}} | {{corrigendum.description}} | {{corrigendum.reason}} |
| {%tr endfor%} | | | |

{% endif %}

As on Bid opening Last date & Time {{no\_par\_ven}}({{no\_par\_ven\_words}}) Nos bids were received. The Bids were opened on {{bod\_date}} @16:00 Hrs by the Bid opening committee. Following parties submitted bids on or before bid submission date & time:

{% for vendor in submitted\_vendors %}

1. M/s {{vendor.name}}

{% endfor %}

Tender evaluation committee evaluated the Bids. Following are the status of the Bids received.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| SlNo Sl.No. | Name of the party | Quoted amount including GST in Rupees | Status of Bid | Remarks |
| {%tr for vendor in submitted\_vendors%} | | | | |
| {{loop.index0+1}} | M/s {{vendor.name}} | Rs.{{vendor.quoteamnt}}/- | {{vendor.status}} | {{vendor.remarks}} |
| {%tr endfor%} | | | | |

Detailed Comparative statement is enclosed as Annexure – I

It can be seen from the above statement that M/s {{l1\_vendor}} who has quoted Rs.{{l1\_amount}}/- (Inclusive of GST) is the L1 party. The rate offered by the party is {{l1\_est\_percent}} % {{l1\_est\_diff}} than the approved cost estimate of Rs {{estCost}}/- ({{gstIncl}}).

In view of the above, the committee proposes to place Letter of Award on **M/s {{l1\_vendor}}** for {{subject}}as per BOQ, General Terms & Conditions {{special\_cond\_stmt}}with total contract value of **Rs.{{** **l1\_amount }}/- (One {{l1\_amount\_words}} Only)** {{l1\_gstIncl}}.

Submitted for the kind approval of competent Authority.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| {{indent\_tec\_mem.name}} | {{cnm\_tec\_mem.name}} | {{fna\_tec\_mem.name}} |
| {{indent\_tec\_mem.desg}}  ({{indent\_dept}}) | {{cnm\_bod\_mem.desg}}(C&M) | {{fna\_tec\_mem.desg}}(F&A) |

**Sr GM (C&M) :**

**GM (SL-2) :**

**DGM (F&A) :**

**Executive Director, SRLDC:**