

Carry-over effects of larval microclimate on the  
transmission potential of a mosquito-borne pathogen  
Supplemental Tables

Michelle V. Evans	Justine C. Shaiu	Nicole Solano
Melinda A. Brindley	John M. Drake	Courtney C. Murdock

Season	Land Class	No. tested	No. infected (%)	No. disseminated (%)	No. infectious (%)
<i>Summer</i>					
	Rural	56	22 (39)	19 (48)	6 (15)
	Suburban	57	32 (56)	26 (81)	10 (31)
	Urban	51	10 (20)	10 (100)	7 (70)
<i>Fall</i>					
	Rural	50	32 (64)	30 (94)	3 (9)
	Suburban	43	28 (65)	25 (89)	3 (11)
	Urban	51	10 (20)	10 (100)	7 (70)

Table 1: Supplemental Table 1. The efficiency rates of infection (mosquitoes with dengue positive bodies), dissemination (infected mosquitoes with dengue positive heads) and infectiousness (infected mosquitoes with dengue positive saliva) across season and land class. Raw numbers of positive samples are shown with percentages in parentheses.

	<b>Min. Temp.</b>	<b>Mean Temp.</b>	<b>Max. Temp.</b>	<b>DTR</b>	<b>Min. RH</b>	<b>Mean RH</b>	<b>DHR</b>
Land Class ( $\chi^2_2$ )	12.40**	16.16***	3.71	8.23*	9.93**	22.91***	0.85
Season ( $\chi^2_1$ )	1809.77.77***	1320.55***	362.39***	549.30***	838.43.93***	745.35***	755.49***
Land Class x Season ( $\chi^2_2$ )	6.6*	3.21	1.13	11.79**	3.77	11.12**	28.57***

Table 2: Supplemental Table 2. Chi-square values (subscripts represent degrees of freedom) resulting from linear mixed models analyzing effect of land class and season on microclimate variables. Superscripts represent significance as calculated by Wald Chi-square tests with Holm-Bonferroni corrections (\* $p < 0.5$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\* $p < 0.001$ ).