# model

## April 14, 2020

# 1 Extended Network Model

## **1.0.1** States

 $S_s, S, E, I_n, I_a, I_s, I_d, R_d, R_u, D_d, D_u$ 

### 1.0.2 Transitions

 $S \rightarrow S_s$ 

 $S \rightarrow E$ 

 $S_s \to S$ 

 $S_s \rightarrow E$ 

 $E \rightarrow I_n$ 

 $E \rightarrow I_a$ 

 $I_n \rightarrow R_u$ 

 $I_a \rightarrow I_s$ 

 $I_s \rightarrow R_u$ 

 $I_s \rightarrow D_u$ 

 $I_s \rightarrow I_d$ 

 $I_d \rightarrow R_d$ 

 $I_d \rightarrow D_d$ 

 $I_a \rightarrow I_d$ 

 $E \rightarrow I_d$ 

## 1.0.3 Seirsplus propensity

$$P[X_i = S \to E] = \left\lceil p \frac{\beta I}{N} + (1 - p) \frac{\beta \sum_{j \in C_{G(i)}} \delta_{X_j = I}}{|C_{G(i)}|} \right\rceil \delta_{\{X_t = S\}}$$

where I is a number of infected, N total number of living individuals,  $\beta$  rate of transmission (may be individual for each node),  $\delta_{X_j=I}$  is 1 if  $X_j=I$ , 0 otherwise,  $X_i$  state of individual i,  $C_{G(i)}$  is a set of close contacts (nodes j, that edge (i,j) is in the given graph G).

### 1.0.4 Extended propensity

We use the same formula for  $P[X_i = S - > E]$  with these modifications:

- I is the total number of I-states, i.e. sum of  $I_n$ ,  $I_a$ ,  $I_s$ ,  $I_d$
- $\delta_{X=I} = 1$  if and only if  $X \in \{I_n, I_a, I_s, I_d\}$
- edges has weights
- $|C_{G(i)}|$  is not the number of edges from i, but the sum of their weights (!there is only one edge between (i,j) in G)
- $\sum_{j \in C_{G(i)}} \delta_{X_j=I}$  is replaced by  $\sum_{j \in C_{G(i)}} w_{(i,j)} \delta_{X_j=I}$

#### 1.0.5 Constraction G from G\_multi

Graph  $G_{multi}$  may contain more edges between i, j. Each edge has type t and subtype s and weight  $w_{i,j,t,s}$  (intensity).

Graph G has max one edge (i, j) between nodes i, j. Edge as weight  $w_{i,j}$ .

```
w_{i,j,t} = \sum_{s} w_{i,j,t,s} (sum over intensities of sublayers)
```

Weight  $w_{i,j} = 1 - \prod_t (1 - w_{i,j,t})$  (weights on layers t are taking as probabilities of contact on that layer and are the final weight is probably of contact on any layer) !!!! this is probably the problem, that this is not correct

#### 1.0.6 SEIRS + testing

in fact, we use the variant with testing, where  $I_d$  states has their own probs, TODO rewrite formulas

```
propensities[("S", "S_s")] = model.false_symptoms_rate*(model.X == "S")

# "S" -> "E"
numI = model.current_state_count(
    "I_n") + model.current_state_count("I_a") + model.current_state_count("I_s")

S_to_E_koef = (
    model.p * (
        model.beta * numI +
        model.q * model.beta_D * model.current_state_count("I_d")
    ) / model.current_N()
    +
    (1 - model.p) * np.divide(
```

```
model.beta * numContacts_I +
       model.beta_D * numContacts_Id, model.degree, out=np.zeros_like(model.degree), where=
    )
)
propensities[("S", "E")] = S_to_E_koef * (model.X == "S")
propensities[("S_s", "S")
             ] = model.false_symptoms_recovery_rate*(model.X == "S_s")
# becoming exposed does not depend on unrelated symptoms
propensities[("S_s", "E")] = S_to_E_koef * (model.X == "S_s")
exposed = model.X == "E"
propensities[("E", "I_n")] = model.asymptomatic_rate * \
    model.sigma * exposed
propensities[("E", "I_a")] = (
    1-model.asymptomatic_rate) * model.sigma * exposed
propensities[("I_n", "R_u")] = model.gamma * (model.X == "I_n")
asymptomatic = model.X == "I_a"
propensities[("I_a", "I_s")
             ] = model.symptoms_manifest_rate * asymptomatic
symptomatic = model.X == "I_s"
propensities[("I_s", "R_u")] = model.gamma * symptomatic
propensities[("I_s", "D_u")] = model.mu_I * symptomatic
detected = model.X == "I_d"
propensities[("I_d", "R_d")] = model.gamma_D * detected
propensities[("I_d", "D_d")] = model.mu_D * detected
# testing TODO
propensities[("I_a", "I_d")] = (
    model.theta_Ia + model.phi_Ia * numContacts_Id) * model.psi_Ia * asymptomatic
propensities[("I_s", "I_d")] = (
    model.theta_Is + model.phi_Is * numContacts_Id) * model.psi_Is * symptomatic
propensities[("E", "I_d")] = (
    model.theta_E + model.phi_E * numContacts_Id) * model.psi_E * exposed
```