



# Data-Driven Decision Support for Aircraft Procurement

Author: Daniel Mwaka

## Overview

This project aims to support the company's data-driven decision to procure relatively low-risk airplane models to operate the fleet in the commercial and private enterprise sectors. It analyzes a dataset compiled by the National Transportation Safety board and retrieved from Kaggle.com. Presented recommendations are deduced from descriptive statistics results and backed-up by interactive visualizations modeled using Tableau Public.

## Business Problem

Venturing into a new industry avails a company of potential growth opportunities. Diversifying a company's portfolio lowers reliance on a single revenue stream (asset diversification) and optimizes resilience against unfavorable business environments/ factors (Luo, 2022). However, venturing into a highly sensitive sector, such as operating airplanes for commercial and private enterprises, necessitates data-driven decisions, strategic implementation, and formative performance evaluation (Altundag & Wynn, 2024). Purchasing airplane models prone to crashing poses a substantial risk to human life, brand image, competitiveness, profitability, and the company's longevity once it ventures into the new industry.

## Data Understanding

The NTSB aviation accident database contains information on crashes and contingency incidents within the U.S., its territories, and across international waters from 1962 to 2023. The dataset was retrieved from Kaggle.com (NTSB, 2023). The dataset is formatted as a .csv file

with 31 columns and 88889 rows. The columns are meticulously organized to capture variables of interest in aircraft accidents and incidents. Extracting, analyzing, and visualizing relevant data from the dataset is vital to shedding insight into the safest, low-risk airplane models for a new entrant to the commercial aviation industry.

However, the dataset is enormous, contains some missing values duplicates, and needs to be customized to meet new entrants' needs aiming to purchase and operate airplanes for commercial and private enterprises. For instance, it contains data for airplane crashes across multiple scenarios (purpose of the flight), in which some cases exceed the target scope of the company. For this project, the target variables are categorized into Dimensions and Measures. The Dimension variables include: Event Date, Investigation Type, Aircraft Damage, Location, Make, Model, Weather Condition, and Purpose of Flight. The Measure variables include: The number of Engines, Total Fatal Injuries, Total Minor Injuries, and Total Uninjured passengers. The insights yielded from analyzing these variables and visualizing their respective relationships are deemed appropriate for deducing recommendations to support data-driven decisions by the company to ensure a fleet that comprises safe, low-risk airplanes.

```
In [58]: #Importing standard packages
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import seaborn as sns

%matplotlib inline
```

```
In [2]: # Loading the dataset and creating the master dataframe
df_master = pd.read_csv("Data/AviationData.csv", encoding='latin1', low
df_master.shape
```

```
Out[2]: (88889, 31)
```

Copying the initially loaded DataFrame to perform ETL processes without modifying df\_master.

```
In [3]: df=df_master.copy()
df.shape
```

```
Out[3]: (88889, 31)
```

In [4]:

df.head()

Out [4]:

|   | Event.Id       | Investigation.Type | Accident.Number | Event.Date | Location        | Country       |    |
|---|----------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|----|
| 0 | 20001218X45444 | Accident           | SEA87LA080      | 1948-10-24 | MOOSE CREEK, ID | United States |    |
| 1 | 20001218X45447 | Accident           | LAX94LA336      | 1962-07-19 | BRIDGEPORT, CA  | United States |    |
| 2 | 20061025X01555 | Accident           | NYC07LA005      | 1974-08-30 | Saltville, VA   | United States | 36 |
| 3 | 20001218X45448 | Accident           | LAX96LA321      | 1977-06-19 | EUREKA, CA      | United States |    |
| 4 | 20041105X01764 | Accident           | CHI79FA064      | 1979-08-02 | Canton, OH      | United States |    |

5 rows × 31 columns

In [5]: df.info()

```
<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 88889 entries, 0 to 88888
Data columns (total 31 columns):
#   Column                                Non-Null Count  Dtype
---  -
0   Event.Id                             88889 non-null  object
1   Investigation.Type                    88889 non-null  object
2   Accident.Number                      88889 non-null  object
3   Event.Date                           88889 non-null  object
4   Location                             88837 non-null  object
5   Country                             88663 non-null  object
6   Latitude                             34382 non-null  object
7   Longitude                            34373 non-null  object
8   Airport.Code                         50249 non-null  object
9   Airport.Name                         52790 non-null  object
10  Injury.Severity                      87889 non-null  object
11  Aircraft.damage                      85695 non-null  object
12  Aircraft.Category                    32287 non-null  object
13  Registration.Number                  87572 non-null  object
14  Make                                88826 non-null  object
15  Model                               88797 non-null  object
16  Amateur.Built                       88787 non-null  object
17  Number.of.Engines                   82805 non-null  float64
18  Engine.Type                         81812 non-null  object
19  FAR.Description                     32023 non-null  object
20  Schedule                            12582 non-null  object
21  Purpose.of.flight                   82697 non-null  object
22  Air.carrier                         16648 non-null  object
23  Total.Fatal.Injuries                 77488 non-null  float64
24  Total.Serious.Injuries               76379 non-null  float64
25  Total.Minor.Injuries                 76956 non-null  float64
26  Total.Uninjured                     82977 non-null  float64
27  Weather.Condition                    84397 non-null  object
28  Broad.phase.of.flight                61724 non-null  object
29  Report.Status                       82508 non-null  object
30  Publication.Date                     75118 non-null  object
dtypes: float64(5), object(26)
memory usage: 21.0+ MB
```

It is evident that the columns from 4th index to the 30th index are missing some data values.

```
In [6]: df.dtypes
```

```
Out[6]: Event.Id                object
Investigation.Type             object
Accident.Number                object
Event.Date                     object
Location                       object
Country                        object
Latitude                       object
Longitude                      object
Airport.Code                   object
Airport.Name                   object
Injury.Severity                object
Aircraft.damage                object
Aircraft.Category              object
Registration.Number            object
Make                           object
Model                          object
Amateur.Built                  object
Number.of.Engines              float64
Engine.Type                     object
FAR.Description                object
Schedule                       object
Purpose.of.flight              object
Air.carrier                     object
Total.Fatal.Injuries           float64
Total.Serious.Injuries         float64
Total.Minor.Injuries           float64
Total.Uninjured                float64
Weather.Condition              object
Broad.phase.of.flight          object
Report.Status                  object
Publication.Date               object
dtype: object
```

The data type for all the variables is either an object or a float. This information reveals the need for data type transformations (to be performed later).

```
In [7]: df.isna().sum()
```

```
Out[7]: Event.Id                                0
Investigation.Type                             0
Accident.Number                               0
Event.Date                                    0
Location                                       52
Country                                       226
Latitude                                     54507
Longitude                                    54516
Airport.Code                                 38640
Airport.Name                                36099
Injury.Severity                             1000
Aircraft.damage                             3194
Aircraft.Category                           56602
Registration.Number                          1317
Make                                          63
Model                                         92
Amateur.Built                               102
Number.of.Engines                           6084
Engine.Type                                 7077
FAR.Description                             56866
Schedule                                    76307
Purpose.of.flight                           6192
Air.carrier                                 72241
Total.Fatal.Injuries                        11401
Total.Serious.Injuries                      12510
Total.Minor.Injuries                        11933
Total.Uninjured                             5912
Weather.Condition                           4492
Broad.phase.of.flight                       27165
Report.Status                               6381
Publication.Date                            13771
dtype: int64
```

## Data Preparation

Digital maturity in leveraging novel data analytics is the key driver for aircraft safety. Manufacturers use these technologies to design safer aircraft (Boyd & Stolzer, 2016). On the other hand, companies operating in the airline sector base their strategic procurement plans on data-supported decisions (Altundag & Wynn, 2024). The progressive scope in which key stakeholders in the airline sector are embracing data analytics is reflected in the cumulative number of aircraft accidents and accidents recorded by the NTSB for each decade. Additionally, structural and material design advancements progressively increase aircraft safety. Thus, the first step to cleaning the data is to convert the `Event.Date` format from an object to the datetime format and slice the DataFrame to capture data for accidents and incidents from 2000 to 2023.

```
In [8]: # Converting the 'Event.Date' column to a datetime dtype
df['Event.Date'] = pd.to_datetime(df['Event.Date'], errors='coerce')
# Incorporating conditionals to select the period between 2000 and 2023
mask_2000_2023 = (df['Event.Date'].dt.year >= 2000) & (df['Event.Date']
# Applying the masks
df = df[mask_2000_2023]
```


As captured in the time-series plot below, the number of aircraft accidents and incidents has been dropping. The selected period is deemed appropriate because analyzing data for aircraft accidents and incidents before 2000 would comprise the reliability of deduced recommendations for real-world application in the 2020s.

```
In [9]: # Setting the 'Event.Date' as the index
df.set_index('Event.Date', inplace=True)

# Resampling the data to count incidents per year (year-end)
yearly_counts = df.resample('Y').size() # Changed 'Y' to 'YE'

# Creating the time series line plot
plt.figure(figsize=(12, 6))
plt.plot(yearly_counts.index, yearly_counts.values, marker='o', linestyle

plt.title('Aircraft Accidents/Incidents Over Time')
plt.xlabel('Year')
plt.ylabel('Number of Accidents/Incidents')
plt.grid(True)
plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()
```



The second step is dropping all the columns deemed inappropriate for this project

```
In [10]: # Dropping columns with data deemed inappropriate per the project's obj
columns_to_drop = ['Event.Id', 'Latitude', 'Longitude', 'Airport.Code',
df.drop(columns = columns_to_drop, inplace=True)
```

```
In [11]: df.shape
```

```
Out[11]: (41214, 14)
```

```
In [12]: df.dtypes
```

```
Out[12]: Investigation.Type      object
Location                        object
Country                        object
Aircraft.damage                 object
Make                           object
Model                           object
Number.of.Engines               float64
Engine.Type                     object
Purpose.of.flight               object
Total.Fatal.Injuries            float64
Total.Serious.Injuries          float64
Total.Minor.Injuries            float64
Total.Uninjured                 float64
Weather.Condition               object
dtype: object
```

Dropping rows for entries with NaNs except for the float data type columns. The missing values for `Number.of.Engines` are also dropped because the number of engines in an aircraft despite being an interger represent an object and the variable is discrete.

```
In [13]: df = df.dropna(subset=['Location'])
df = df.dropna(subset=['Aircraft.damage'])
df = df.dropna(subset=['Make'])
df = df.dropna(subset=['Model'])
df = df.dropna(subset=['Number.of.Engines'])
df = df.dropna(subset=['Engine.Type'])
df = df.dropna(subset=['Purpose.of.flight'])
df = df.dropna(subset=['Weather.Condition'])
```



```
In [14]: df.isna().sum()
```

```
Out[14]: Investigation.Type      0
Location                        0
Country                        8
Aircraft.damage                0
Make                           0
Model                          0
Number.of.Engines              0
Engine.Type                    0
Purpose.of.flight              0
Total.Fatal.Injuries           9213
Total.Serious.Injuries         10005
Total.Minor.Injuries           9283
Total.Uninjured                4517
Weather.Condition              0
dtype: int64
```

The descriptive statistics for the float data type columns (except Number.of.Engines) are computed to determine the best approach to impute missing values.

```
In [15]: # Computing the descriptive statistics for float dtype columns
columns_to_check = ['Total.Fatal.Injuries', 'Total.Serious.Injuries', '
for col in columns_to_check:
    print(f"Descriptive Statistics for {col}:")
    print(df[col].describe())
```

```
Descriptive Statistics for Total.Fatal.Injuries:
count      20912.000000
mean         0.447972
std          1.111269
min           0.000000
25%           0.000000
50%           0.000000
75%           1.000000
max          88.000000
Name: Total.Fatal.Injuries, dtype: float64
Descriptive Statistics for Total.Serious.Injuries:
count      20120.000000
mean         0.320974
std          0.668653
min           0.000000
25%           0.000000
50%           0.000000
75%           0.000000
max           9.000000
Name: Total.Serious.Injuries, dtype: float64
Descriptive Statistics for Total.Minor.Injuries:
count      20842.000000
mean         0.305057
std          0.744264
min           0.000000
25%           0.000000
50%           0.000000
75%           0.000000
max          42.000000
Name: Total.Minor.Injuries, dtype: float64
Descriptive Statistics for Total.Uninjured:
count      25608.000000
mean         1.398899
std          5.919773
min           0.000000
25%           0.000000
50%           1.000000
75%           2.000000
max          386.000000
Name: Total.Uninjured, dtype: float64
```

All four variables exhibit right skewness, meaning most incidents have low injury counts. Since a few incidents have substantially higher counts, the median is more robust to outliers and better represents the typical value in skewed distributions. Thus, imputing missing values with the median for each column is more logical.

```
In [16]: # Imputing missing values with the median
df.loc[:, 'Total.Fatal.Injuries'] = df['Total.Fatal.Injuries'].fillna(0)
df.loc[:, 'Total.Serious.Injuries'] = df['Total.Serious.Injuries'].fillna(0)
df.loc[:, 'Total.Minor.Injuries'] = df['Total.Minor.Injuries'].fillna(0)
df.loc[:, 'Total.Uninjured'] = df['Total.Uninjured'].fillna(df['Total.Uninjured'].max())
```

```
In [17]: df.shape
```

```
Out[17]: (30125, 14)
```

```
In [18]: df.isna().sum()
```

```
Out[18]: Investigation.Type      0
Location                        0
Country                        8
Aircraft.damage                0
Make                           0
Model                          0
Number.of.Engines              0
Engine.Type                    0
Purpose.of.flight              0
Total.Fatal.Injuries           0
Total.Serious.Injuries         0
Total.Minor.Injuries           0
Total.Uninjured                0
Weather.Condition              0
dtype: int64
```

Although the dataset doesn't have NaNs, there could be entries assigned to an unknown variable

Using Lambda functions to drop unknown values for categorical columns

```
In [19]: df['Aircraft.damage'].value_counts()
```

```
Out[19]: Substantial    26006
Destroyed    3733
Minor        380
Unknown       6
Name: Aircraft.damage, dtype: int64
```

```
In [20]: #Using a lambda function to drop entries with unknown
df = df[df['Aircraft.damage'].apply(lambda which_damage: which_damage != 'Unknown')]
```

```
In [21]: df['Engine.Type'].value_counts()
```

```
Out[21]: Reciprocating      26916
Turbo Prop      1367
Turbo Shaft     1338
Turbo Fan       294
Turbo Jet       145
Unknown        35
None           13
Electric        7
NONE            2
LR              1
UNK             1
Name: Engine.Type, dtype: int64
```

```
In [22]: #Using a lambda function to drop entries with unknown
df = df[df['Engine.Type'].apply(lambda drop_unknown: (drop_unknown != '

```

```
In [23]: df['Purpose.of.flight'].value_counts()
```

```
Out[23]: Personal      19838
Instructional      4332
Aerial Application  1544
Business           879
Positioning        773
Other Work Use     487
Flight Test        344
Aerial Observation  326
Unknown            314
Public Aircraft    220
Ferry              169
Executive/corporate 148
Skydiving          132
Banner Tow         94
External Load      92
Public Aircraft - Federal 86
Public Aircraft - Local  67
Public Aircraft - State  60
Air Race show      57
Air Race/show      48
Glider Tow         35
Firefighting       22
Air Drop           8
ASHO               2
PUBS               2
PUBL               1
Name: Purpose.of.flight, dtype: int64
```

```
In [24]: # Using a Lambda function to select only entries whose purpose of flight
df = df[df['Purpose.of.flight'].apply(lambda niche: niche in ['Aerial A
```

```
In [25]: df.shape
```

```
Out[25]: (2571, 14)
```

```
In [26]: df['Weather.Condition'].value_counts()
```

```
Out[26]: VMC      2376
IMC       191
Unk        2
UNK        2
Name: Weather.Condition, dtype: int64
```

```
In [27]: #Using a lambda function to drop entries with unknown
df = df[df['Weather.Condition'].apply(lambda drop_unknown: (drop_unknown
```

```
In [28]: df['Make'].value_counts()
```

```
Out[28]: Cessna      265
Air Tractor      220
AIR TRACTOR INC   154
CESSNA           153
Piper            142
...
Texas Helicopter Corporation    1
ULTRAMAGIC SA                  1
Casa                           1
Hubbell                        1
SWEARINGEN                     1
Name: Make, Length: 297, dtype: int64
```

Converting all the values in the `Make` column to uppercase

```
In [29]: df['Make'] = df['Make'].str.upper().str.strip()
```

```
In [30]: df['Make'].value_counts()
```

```
Out[30]: CESSNA      418
AIR TRACTOR      265
PIPER           231
BELL            224
AIR TRACTOR INC  156
...
ARROW AIRCRAFT CO.    1
MD HELICOPTERS, INC.  1
MALONE               1
AERO VODOCHODY       1
CURTISS-WRIGHT       1
Name: Make, Length: 233, dtype: int64
```

Since there is another USState.csv is another file attached (Presumed to be utilized in plotting a regional map in Tableau), the `Country` column is sliced to only feature rows whose value is United States

```
In [31]: # Using a lambda function to select entries for accidents and incidents
df = df[df['Country'].apply(lambda which_country: which_country == 'Uni
```

Splitting the state abbreviation section from the location's values and creating a new column `Abbreviation` to hold them. The created new column will facilitate the establishment of a relationship with the `USState.csv` dataset when plotting visualizations in Tableau Desktop.

```
In [32]: # Creating a new column 'Abbreviation' and extracting the Abbreviations
df['Abbreviation'] = df['Location'].apply(lambda x: x.split(',')[1] if x != 'United States' else 'US')
# Overwriting the 'Location' column with values that dont feature the A
df['Location'] = df['Location'].apply(lambda x: x.split(',')[0] if x != 'United States' else 'United States')
# Removing the 'Abbreviation' column from the dataframe
df.drop('Abbreviation', axis=1, inplace=True)
# Inserting the 'Abbreviation' column next to the 'Location' column
df.insert(df.columns.get_loc('Location') + 1, 'Abbreviation', df['Location'])
```

```
In [33]: df.head()
```

Out[33]:

| Event.Date | Investigation.Type | Location   | Abbreviation | Country       | Aircraft.damage | Make        |
|------------|--------------------|------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------|
| 2000-01-13 | Accident           | FILLMORE   | UT           | United States | Substantial     | BEECH       |
| 2000-01-18 | Accident           | BRAWLEY    | CA           | United States | Substantial     | BELL        |
| 2000-01-18 | Accident           | SOMERSET   | KY           | United States | Destroyed       | BEECH       |
| 2000-01-20 | Accident           | PLAINVILLE | CT           | United States | Substantial     | CESSNA      |
| 2000-01-25 | Accident           | RAYVILLE   | LA           | United States | Substantial     | AIR TRACTOR |

Checking if there are missing values in the newly created `Abbreviations` folder

```
In [34]: df['Abbreviation'].isna().sum()
```

Out[34]: 4

```
In [35]: df = df.dropna(subset=['Abbreviation'])
```

```
In [36]: df.shape
```

Out[36]: (2534, 15)

Checking for duplicate rows

```
In [37]: df.duplicated().sum()
```


Out[37]: 16

```
In [38]: df.drop_duplicates()
```

Out[38]:

|            | Investigation.Type | Location   | Abbreviation | Country       | Aircraft.damage | Make                        |
|------------|--------------------|------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Event.Date |                    |            |              |               |                 |                             |
| 2000-01-13 | Accident           | FILLMORE   | UT           | United States | Substantial     | BEECH                       |
| 2000-01-18 | Accident           | BRAWLEY    | CA           | United States | Substantial     | BELI                        |
| 2000-01-18 | Accident           | SOMERSET   | KY           | United States | Destroyed       | BEECH                       |
| 2000-01-20 | Accident           | PLAINVILLE | CT           | United States | Substantial     | CESSNA                      |
| 2000-01-25 | Accident           | RAYVILLE   | LA           | United States | Substantial     | AIF TRACTOR                 |
| ...        | ...                | ...        | ...          | ...           | ...             | ..                          |
| 2022-08-03 | Accident           | Melville   | LA           | United States | Substantial     | AIF TRACTOR INC             |
| 2022-08-05 | Accident           | Maynard    | IA           | United States | Substantial     | ROBINSON HELICOPTER COMPANY |
| 2022-08-07 | Accident           | Circle     | MT           | United States | Substantial     | AIF TRACTOR INC             |
| 2022-08-16 | Accident           | Millville  | MN           | United States | Substantial     | ROBINSON HELICOPTER CO      |
| 2022-08-25 | Accident           | Murray     | NE           | United States | Substantial     | AIF TRACTOR INC             |

2518 rows × 15 columns



```
In [39]: df.dtypes
```

```
Out[39]: Investigation.Type      object
Location                       object
Abbreviation                   object
Country                        object
Aircraft.damage                object
Make                           object
Model                          object
Number.of.Engines              float64
Engine.Type                    object
Purpose.of.flight              object
Total.Fatal.Injuries           float64
Total.Serious.Injuries         float64
Total.Minor.Injuries           float64
Total.Uninjured                float64
Weather.Condition              object
dtype: object
```

Making necessary transformations for the data type of the variables to their respective appropriate d-types

```
In [40]: df['Investigation.Type'] = df['Investigation.Type'].astype('category')
df['Aircraft.damage'] = df['Aircraft.damage'].astype('category')
df['Number.of.Engines'] = df['Number.of.Engines'].astype(str)
df['Engine.Type'] = df['Engine.Type'].astype('category')
df['Purpose.of.flight'] = df['Purpose.of.flight'].astype('category')
df['Weather.Condition'] = df['Weather.Condition'].astype('category')
```

```
In [41]: df.dtypes
```

```
Out[41]: Investigation.Type      category
Location                       object
Abbreviation                   object
Country                        object
Aircraft.damage                category
Make                           object
Model                          object
Number.of.Engines              object
Engine.Type                    category
Purpose.of.flight              category
Total.Fatal.Injuries           float64
Total.Serious.Injuries         float64
Total.Minor.Injuries           float64
Total.Uninjured                float64
Weather.Condition              category
dtype: object
```

Importing the cleaned dataset to a new .csv file



```
In [42]: df.to_csv("bestest_aviation_data.csv", index=False, encoding='utf-8-sig')
```

## Data Modeling

Loading the .csv file of the cleaned data

```
In [43]: # Reading the cleaned .csv file and creating a new dataframe
df_clean = pd.read_csv("Data/bestest_aviation_data.csv")
df_clean.head()
```

Out[43]:

|   | Investigation.Type | Location   | Abbreviation | Country       | Aircraft.damage | Make        | Model  | Number of Engines |
|---|--------------------|------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------|--------|-------------------|
| 0 | Accident           | FILLMORE   | UT           | United States | Substantial     | BEECH       | K35    | 1                 |
| 1 | Accident           | BRAWLEY    | CA           | United States | Substantial     | BELL        | OH-58C | 1                 |
| 2 | Accident           | SOMERSET   | KY           | United States | Destroyed       | BEECH       | C-90   | 1                 |
| 3 | Accident           | PLAINVILLE | CT           | United States | Substantial     | CESSNA      | T310R  | 1                 |
| 4 | Accident           | RAYVILLE   | LA           | United States | Substantial     | AIR TRACTOR | AT-401 | 1                 |

```
In [44]: df_clean.columns
```


```
Out[44]: Index(['Investigation.Type', 'Location', 'Abbreviation', 'Country',
               'Aircraft.damage', 'Make', 'Model', 'Number.of.Engines', 'Engine.Type',
               'Purpose.of.flight', 'Total.Fatal.Injuries', 'Total.Serious.Injuries',
               'Total.Minor.Injuries', 'Total.Uninjured', 'Weather.Condition'],
              dtype='object')
```

## The Least Safe Aircrafts Overall

To gain insight on the least safe aircrafts, I group the `Model` and the `Make` variable and plot a barplot against `Total.Fatal.Injuries`

```
In [45]: # Groupby 'Make' and 'Model', and sum 'Total.Uninjured'
uninjured_by_make_model = df_clean.groupby(['Make', 'Model'])['Total.Fa
# Creating a list of the Make and Model labels for the y-axis
make_model_labels = [f"{make} - {model}" for make, model in uninjured_b
# Creating the horizontal bar plot using seaborn
plt.figure(figsize=(10, 8)) # Adjust figure size as needed
sns.barplot(x=uninjured_by_make_model.values, y=make_model_labels, orie

plt.title('Most Risky Aircrafts by Total Fatalities')
plt.xlabel('Total Fatalities')
plt.ylabel('Make and Model')
plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()
```



It is evident the that CESSNA aircraft accidents and incidents are the most fatal.


## The Most Safe Aircrafts Overall

To gain insight on the safest aircraft model and make, I group the `Model` and the `Make` variable and plot a barplot against `Total.Uninjured`

```
In [46]: # Group by 'Make' and 'Model', and sum 'Total.Uninjured'
uninjured_by_make_model = df_clean.groupby(['Make', 'Model'])['Total.Uninjured'].sum()

# Creating a list of the Make and Model labels for the y-axis
make_model_labels = [f"{make} - {model}" for make, model in uninjured_by_make_model.items()]

# Creating the horizontal bar plot using seaborn
plt.figure(figsize=(10, 8)) # Adjust figure size as needed
sns.barplot(x=uninjured_by_make_model.values, y=make_model_labels, orient='horizontal')
plt.title('Most Safe Aircrafts by Total Uninjured Passengers')
plt.xlabel('Total Uninjured Passengers')
plt.ylabel('Make and Model')
plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()
```



Determining the safest aircraft models for each of the three civil aviation services the company can venture into. The three categorical values for the `Purpose.of.Flight` columns are plotted in a barplot against uninjured passengers `Total.Uninjured`.

## Recommended Aircrafts for Targeted Aviation Services' Niche

In [56]:


```
# Filtering for the relevant Purpose.of.flight values
df_filtered = df_clean[df_clean['Purpose.of.flight'].isin(['Aerial Appl

# Group by 'Purpose.of.flight', 'Make', and 'Model', and sum 'Total.Uni
uninjured_by_purpose_make_model = df_filtered.groupby(['Purpose.of.flig

# Finding the safest aircraft (highest 'Total.Uninjured') for each purp
safest_aircraft = uninjured_by_purpose_make_model.loc[uninjured_by_purp

# Creating the "Make - Model" column
safest_aircraft['Make - Model'] = safest_aircraft['Make'] + ' - ' + saf

# Creating the bar plot using seaborn
plt.figure(figsize=(12, 8))
sns.barplot(x='Purpose.of.flight', y='Total.Uninjured', hue='Make - Mod
plt.title('Safest Aircrafts by Purpose of Flight and Total Uninjured Pa
plt.xlabel('Purpose of Flight')
plt.ylabel('Total Uninjured Passengers')
plt.legend(title='Make - Model')
plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()
```



## Evaluation

The baseline model shed the following insights:

- The CESSNA-152 (2-seater), the CESSNA-172N (4-seater), and the BEECH-200 (11-seater) are the top-three most risky aircrafts overall.
- The CESSNA-172 (4-seater), CESSNA-152 (2-seater), and the CESSNA-172S (4-seater) are the top-three safest aircraft models overall.

### Recommendations:

- The CESSNA-560XL (10-seater) is the safest aircraft for Executive/corporate flights.
- The DEHAVILLAND-DHC-8-311 (50-seater) is the safest for business flights.
- The CESSNA-A188B (1-seater) is the safest for Aerial Applications.

The number of engines for these aircraft models.

- CESSNA-152: 1 engine
- CESSNA-172: 1 engine
- BEECH-200: 2 engines
- CESSNA-172S: 1 engine
- CESSNA-172N: 1 engine
- CESSNA-560XL: 2 engines
- CESSNA-A188B: 1 engine
- DEHAVILLAND-DHC-8-311: 2 engines

Multi-engine aircraft are typically safer than one-engine airplanes (Pilot Institute, 2023). Two-engine designs avail redundancy to propulsion units. Pilots can recalibrate controls and continue flying if one engine stalls or malfunctions. In contrast, such an incident in a single-engine aircraft poses a substantial risk of crashing if the gliding distance falls short of a runway or a relatively flat surface for an emergency landing. Thus, I modified the baseline model to drop row entries whose `Number.of.Engines` is less than 2.

```
In [48]: df_modified = df_clean.copy()
df_modified.shape
```

```
Out[48]: (2534, 15)
```

```
In [49]: df_modified = df_modified[df_modified['Number.of.Engines'].apply(lambda
```

```
In [50]: df_modified['Number.of.Engines'].value_counts()
```

```
Out[50]: 2.0    369
3.0      7
4.0      3
Name: Number.of.Engines, dtype: int64
```

```
In [51]: df_modified.shape
```

```
Out[51]: (379, 15)
```


Replotting bar plots to determine the most risky, the safest, and the appropriate airplanes (that have more than one engine) for the company's operations

## The Least Safe Multi-Engine Aircrafts

```
In [52]: # Group by 'Make' and 'Model', and sum 'Total.Uninjured'
uninjured_by_make_model = df_modified.groupby(['Make', 'Model'])['Total

# Creating a list of the Make and Model labels for the y-axis
make_model_labels = [f"{make} - {model}" for make, model in uninjured_b

# Creating the horizontal bar plot using seaborn
plt.figure(figsize=(10, 8)) # Adjust figure size as needed
sns.barplot(x=uninjured_by_make_model.values, y=make_model_labels, orie
plt.title('Most Risky Multi-Engine Aircrafts by Total Fatalities')
plt.xlabel('Total Fatalities')
plt.ylabel('Make and Model')
plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()
```




## The Most Safe Multi-Engine Aircrafts

```
In [53]: # Group by 'Make' and 'Model', and sum 'Total.Uninjured'
uninjured_by_make_model = df_modified.groupby(['Make', 'Model'])['Total

# Creating a list of the Make and Model labels for the y-axis
make_model_labels = [f"{make} - {model}" for make, model in uninjured_b

# Creating the horizontal bar plot using seaborn
plt.figure(figsize=(10, 8)) # Adjust figure size as needed
sns.barplot(x=uninjured_by_make_model.values, y=make_model_labels, orie
plt.title('Most Safe Multi-Engine Aircrafts by Total Uninjured Passenge
plt.xlabel('Total Uninjured Passengers')
plt.ylabel('Make and Model')
plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()
```



## Recommended Multi-Engine Aircrafts for Targeted Aviation Services' Niche


```
In [57]: # Filtering for the relevant Purpose.of.flight values
df_filtered = df_modified[df_modified['Purpose.of.flight'].isin(['Aerial Application', 'Business', 'Executive/corporate'])]

# Group by 'Purpose.of.flight', 'Make', and 'Model', and sum 'Total.Uninjured'
uninjured_by_purpose_make_model = df_filtered.groupby(['Purpose.of.flight', 'Make', 'Model']).sum()['Total.Uninjured']

# Finding the safest aircraft (highest 'Total.Uninjured') for each purpose
safest_aircraft = uninjured_by_purpose_make_model.loc[uninjured_by_purpose_make_model.groupby('Purpose.of.flight')['Total.Uninjured'].idxmax()]

# Creating the "Make - Model" column
safest_aircraft['Make - Model'] = safest_aircraft['Make'] + ' - ' + safest_aircraft['Model']

# Creating the bar plot using seaborn
plt.figure(figsize=(12, 8))
sns.barplot(x='Purpose.of.flight', y='Total.Uninjured', hue='Make - Model')
plt.title('Safest Aircrafts by Purpose of Flight and Total Uninjured Passengers')
plt.xlabel('Purpose of Flight')
plt.ylabel('Total Uninjured Passengers')
plt.legend(title='Make - Model')
plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()
```



The modified model shed the following insights:

- The BEECH-200(13-seater), the CESSNA-340A(6-seater), and the PIPER-PA-44-180(4-seater) are the top-three most risky multi-engine aircrafts overall.



- The PIPER-PA-44-180(4-seater), BEECH-76(4-seater), and the DEHAVILLAND DHC-8-311(50-seater) are the top-three safest multi-engine aircraft models overall.

### Recommendations:

- The CESSNA 560XL(10-seater)is the safest multi-engine aircraft for Executive/corporate flights.
- The DEHAVILLAND -DHC-8-311(50-seater) is the safest multi-engine aircraft for business flights.
- The MCDONNELL DOUGLAS-DC 10-10(250-seater) is the safest multi-engine aircraft for Aerial Applications.

The number of engines for these aircraft models.

- BEECH-200: 2 engines
- CESSNA-340A: 2 engines
- BEECH-76: 2 engines
- PIPER-PA-44-180: 2 engines
- CESSNA 560XL: 2 engines
- DEHAVILLAND DHC-8-311: 2 engines
- MCDONNELL DOUGLAS -DC 10-10: 3 engines

The findings from the results yielded by the baseline model are compared to those yielded by the modified model. After entering the industry, the recommended safest aircraft model for the aviation services the company will offer is based on respective applicability and operational logistics.

## Conclusions

The analysis yields three recommendations on the aircraft models the company should procure and operate after entering the commercial aviation industry.

- **The CESSNA-560XL aircraft is recommended for Executive/ Corporate flights:** The baseline and modified model confirm the aircraft is safest for executive and corporate flights.
- **The DEHAVILLAND DHC-8-311 aircraft is recommended for Business Flights:** The baseline and modified model conform the aircraft is safest for business flights.
- **The CESSNA-A188B aircraft is recommended for Aerial Applications:** The modified model proposes the MCDONNELL DOUGLAS-DC 10-10 (a three-engine, 250-seater) aircraft for aerial applications. The proposed alternative by the modified model is rejected because aerial applications typically include agricultural activities such as spraying crop fields. Hence, the single-engine CESSNA-A188B is recommended for aerial applications.

## References

Altundag, A., & Wynn, M. (2024). Advanced Analytics and Data Management in the Procurement Function: An Aviation Industry Case Study. Electronics, 13(8), 1554.<https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics13081554>

<https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics13081554>

Boyd, D. D., & Stolzer, A. (2016). Accident-precipitating factors for crashes in turbine-powered general aviation aircraft. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 86, 209-216. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2015.10.024> (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2015.10.024>).

NTSB. (2023). Aviation Accident Database & Synopses, up to 2023 [Data set]. Kaggle. <https://doi.org/10.34740/KAGGLE/DSV/4875576> (<https://doi.org/10.34740/KAGGLE/DSV/4875576>).

Luo, J. (2022). Data-driven innovation: What is it?. IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management, 70(2), 784-790. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TEM.2022.3145231> (<https://doi.org/10.1109/TEM.2022.3145231>).

Pilot Institute. (2023). Single Engine Vs. Multi Engine: Which is Better? <https://pilotinstitute.com/single-vs-multi-engine/> (<https://pilotinstitute.com/single-vs-multi-engine/>).