Implementation of pole at origin

If
$$p_c = \phi$$
 (comp pole at origin), then clearly

in the implementation eg'n. However
$$\beta = \frac{(1-1)}{6}$$
 is indeterminate.

If we look more carefully at
$$\lim_{\mathcal{R} \to \emptyset} \left[\frac{1 - \exp[\mathcal{R}_s]}{-\mathcal{P}_c} \right]$$

This yields the correct value B=Ts for this case.

Thus for
$$\dot{X}(t) = e(t)$$

we have
$$X(t_{K+1}) = X(t_K) + T_5 e(t_K)$$

i.e.
$$\chi_{K+1} = \chi_{K} + \tau_{s} e_{K}$$

A closer look

$$\dot{\chi}(t) = e(t) \Rightarrow \chi_{Kt1} = \chi_{K} + T_{S} e_{K}$$

So our 20H discretization strategy is equivalent to a simple (and not terribly accurate)

Euler method for numerically integrating

Better idea:

$$X(t+dt) = x(t) + \frac{dt}{2} [e(t) + e(t+dt)]$$

i.e. a trapezoiPAI numerical approximation

Equivalent discrete equations

$$x(t+dt) = x(t) + \frac{dt}{2}[e(t) + e(t+dt)]$$

Which seems to require knowledge of future (ext.)

But:

Then
$$\frac{7}{2} = \chi_{K+1} - \frac{7}{2} e_{K+1}$$

Trapezonal ("Tustin") Discretization

So x(t) = e(t) can more accurately be discretized with the pair of equations

Extension to general 1st order DEs is Known up
"Tustin's method"

Generally more accurate than simple 20H.

=> most commonly used in practice

Straightforward to calculate, but algebraically tedious

Repeated/complex poles

When HIs) has complex, or repeated poles,

algebraic Calculations for both 20H + Tustin get even more involved.

Fortunately, Mattab has some built-in functions which will crunch the numbers for us.

=> CZd(H, Ts)

=> czd (H, Ts, 'tustin')

Need to more Carefully understand how to use the outputs from these functions.