ON CONJECTURAL RANK PARITIES OF QUARTIC AND SEXTIC TWISTS OF ELLIPTIC CURVES

MATTHEW WEIDNER

ABSTRACT. We study the behavior under twisting of the Selmer rank parities of a self-dual prime-degree isogeny on a principally polarized abelian variety defined over a number field, subject to compatibility relations between the twists and the isogeny. In particular, we study isogenies on abelian varieties whose Selmer rank parities are related to the rank parities of elliptic curves with j-invariant 0 or 1728, assuming the Shafarevich-Tate conjecture. Using these results, we show how to classify the conjectural rank parities of all quartic or sextic twists of an elliptic curve defined over a number field, after a finite calculation. This generalizes previous results of Hadian and Weidner on the behavior of p-Selmer ranks under p-twists.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Selmer Rank Parities for Twists of Abelian Varieties	3
2.1. Notation	3
2.2. Global Metabolic Structures and Selmer Structures	3
2.3. Twisting	4
2.4. The Parity Twist Formula	5
2.5. Local Conditions	6
2.6. The Case $H \cong \mu_{p^n}$	9
3. Quartic Twists of Elliptic Curves with <i>j</i> -Invariant 1728	9
4. Sextic Twists of Elliptic Curves with <i>j</i> -Invariant 0	14
5. Example: $K = \mathbb{Q}$	18
References	18

1. Introduction

The study of ranks of elliptic curves is an old and difficult Diophantine problem. Assuming the Shafarevich-Tate conjecture, it is well-known how to compute the rank of a given elliptic curve over a number field, but many questions remain about the properties of ranks in general. Much recent work has centered on the behavior of ranks in families of elliptic curves, especially families of quadratic twists. For instance, Swinnerton-Dyer [14] determines the distribution of 2-Selmer ranks (which provide an upper bound on rank) in families of elliptic curves with full rational 2-torsion, with later results by Klagsbrun [5] and Klagsbrun, Mazur, and Rubin [6] covering other types of rational 2-torsion. Additionally, these same authors [4] determine the distribution of 2-Selmer rank parities among quadratic twists of any elliptic curve, and they find that odd and even 2-Selmer ranks are evenly distributed whenever the base field

 $^{2010\} Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ Primary:\ 11G05.$

has a real embedding. Since 2-Selmer rank parities are the same as rank parities if we assume the Shafarevich-Tate conjecture, their work provides good evidence for Goldfeld's conjecture [2, Conjecture B], which says that the average rank in any family of quadratic twists over \mathbb{Q} is 1/2.

Another result along these lines is the following. Let K be a number field, and let E be an elliptic curve defined over K. In [3], building off of results in [4, 8, 9, 11, 16] and other papers, it is shown how to classify the 2-Selmer rank parities of all quadratic twists of E as a function of the twisting parameter, after a finite calculation. Assuming the Shafarevich-Tate conjecture, this classifies the rank parities as well. Specifically, for any $d \in K^*$, let E_d be the quadratic twist of E by d, and let $d_2(E_d)$ denote the 2-Selmer rank of E_d . Also let E_d be a finite set of places of E_d containing all infinite places, all places dividing 2, and all places of bad reduction for E_d . For each place E_d of E_d be the localization of E_d and let E_d be the ring of integers in E_d be a (fixed) uniformizer for E_d , and let ord, be the E_d be the v-adic valuation. Then we have the following result.

Theorem ([3, Theorem 4.6 and Remark 4.9]). Let $c, d \in K^*$ be such that for all places $v \in \Sigma$, $c \equiv d \pmod{(K_v^*)^2}$. Equivalently:

• Define the integers m_v for all finite places $v \in \Sigma$ by:

$$m_v := \begin{cases} 2e_{v/2} + 1 & if \ v|2\\ 1 & else, \end{cases}$$

where $e_{v/2}$ is the ramification index of v over 2;

- Assume that for all finite places $v \in \Sigma$,
 - $-\operatorname{ord}_v(c) \equiv \operatorname{ord}_v(d) \pmod{2}$
 - $-c/(\pi_v^{\operatorname{ord}_v(c)})$ and $d/(\pi_v^{\operatorname{ord}_v(d)})$ have the same residues in $(\mathfrak{O}_v/v^{m_v})^*/((\mathfrak{O}_v/v^{m_v})^*)^2$;
- And assume that c and d have the same signs at all real embeddings.

Then $d_2(E_c) \equiv d_2(E_d) \pmod{2}$.

The conditions of this theorem partition K^* into finitely many classes, so by computing $d_2(E_d)$ for one d in each class (it is well-known that the 2-Selmer rank is computable), we can classify the 2-Selmer rank parity of all quadratic twists of E.

Let \bar{K} denote an algebraic closure of K. When E has j-invariant not equal to 1728 or 0, the quadratic twists of E are precisely the elliptic curves defined over K which are isomorphic to E as curves over \bar{K} . Hence the above result classifies the conjectural rank parities of all elliptic curves over K which are \bar{K} -isomorphic to E.

However, when E has j-invariant 1728 or 0, it is well-known that the \bar{K} -isomorphic curves are the quartic or sextic twists of E, respectively, not just the quadratic twists (see [12, Proposition X.5.4]). In this paper, we find versions of the above result for quartic twists of $y^2 = x^3 + x$ over K (Theorem 3.6 and Proposition 3.8) and sextic twists of $y^2 = x^3 + 1$ over K (Theorem 4.4 and Proposition 4.5). By doing so, we complete the following task: Classify the (conjectural) rank parities of all elliptic curves over K which are \bar{K} -isomorphic to a given curve, after performing a finite amount of computation.

Section 2 provides background on the variation of Selmer rank parities under twists of abelian varieties, based on [3, 4, 8, 9, 11, 16]. The section culminates in Theorem 2.7, which is the main result used in the sequel. §2.1 sets up the general situation, §2.2-2.4 explain existing results on how to write the variation in Selmer rank parity as a sum of local invariants (Theorem 2.2), §2.5 shows how to evaluate the local invariants in certain cases, and §2.6 specializes to the case that we will use in the sequel. Sections 3 and 4 then show

how to classify the conjectural rank parities of quartic and sextic twists of elliptic curves, respectively, after a finite calculation. Finally, in Section 5, we give an explicit example, in which we determine the conjectural rank parities of all quartic twists of $y^2 = x^3 + x$ and sextic twists of $y^2 = x^3 + 1$ over \mathbb{Q} .

2. Selmer Rank Parities for Twists of Abelian Varieties

In this section, we summarize and slightly generalize existing results on Selmer rank parities of abelian varieties, culminating in Theorem 2.7. This is based on material from several references including [4, 8, 9, 11, 16], and it mostly follows the quadratic twist case (see [3, $\S 3|).$

2.1. Notation. Let K be a number field. Fix an algebraic closure K of K, and let $G_K :=$ Gal(K/K) be the absolute Galois group of K. For each place v of K, fix an embedding of K into the algebraic closure K_v of the completion K_v of K at v. This gives an embedding of the absolute Galois group $G_{K_v} := \operatorname{Gal}(K_v/K_v)$ into G_K . If v is a finite place, then \mathcal{O}_v denotes the ring of integers of K_v , π_v a fixed uniformizer for K_v , $\operatorname{ord}_v(-)$ the v-adic valuation, and $k_v := \mathcal{O}_v/(\pi_v)$ the residue field of K_v . Also, for any G_{K_v} -module M such that the inertia subgroup of G_{K_v} acts trivially on M, $H^1_{\mathrm{ur}}(G_{K_v}, M)$ denotes the subgroup of $H^1(G_{K_v}, M)$ consisting of unramified cocyles, i.e., the image of $H^1(G_{k_v}, M)$ under the inflation map.

Let A be a principally polarized abelian variety over K (i.e., A is defined over K and we have fixed a principal polarization of A over K). Let $\lambda:A\to A$ be a self-dual isogeny of prime degree p, with kernel denoted by $A[\lambda]$. Let Σ denote a fixed finite set of places of K containing all places of bad reduction for A, all places above p, and all archimedean places.

Finally, let H be a G_K -stable subgroup of the automorphism group Aut(A) of A such that every $\eta \in H$ acts trivially on $A[\lambda]$ and commutes with λ (i.e., $\eta \circ \lambda = \lambda \circ \eta$). For any field F containing K, we let 1_F denote the identity cocycle in $H^1(G_F, H)$.

2.2. Global Metabolic Structures and Selmer Structures. We wish to construct a global metabolic structure on the G_K -module $A[\lambda]$, as defined in [4]. To state the definition, first let V be a finite dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F}_p , equipped with a quadratic form $q:V\to\mathbb{F}_p$. Let

$$(v, w)_q := q(v + w) - q(v) - q(w)$$

be the bilinear form associated to q. A subspace X of V is called a Lagrangian subspace if $q|_X=0$ and $X^{\perp}=X$ with respect to $(,)_q$. The quadratic space (V,q) is called a metabolic space if V has a Lagrangian subspace and $(,)_q$ is nondegenerate.

Next, let $e_p: A[p] \times A[p] \to \mu_p$ be the nondegenerate alternating Weil pairing of A, where A[p] denotes the kernel of the multiplication-by-p map. Since λ has degree $p, A[\lambda] \subset A[p]$, so we get a map $e_p: A[\lambda] \times A[\lambda] \to \mu_p$ by restriction. Then composing this map with the cup product gives the local Tate pairing

$$\langle , \rangle_v : H^1(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]) \times H^1(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]) \to H^2(G_{K_v}, \mu_p) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$$

for each place v of K. Note that the local Tate pairing is antisymmetric, and by local Tate duality, it is nondegenerate.

A global metabolic structure on $A[\lambda]$ consists of a quadratic form q_v on $H^1(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda])$ for every place v of K, such that:

- (1) the quadratic space $(H^1(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]), q_v)$ is a metabolic space for every v;
- (2) for every $v \notin \Sigma$, $H^1_{ur}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda])$ is an isotropic subspace with respect to q_v ; (3) for any $c \in H^1(G_K, A[\lambda])$, $\sum_v q_v(\log_v(c)) = 0$;

(4) the bilinear form induced by q_v is the local Tate pairing \langle , \rangle_v for every v.

In many cases, we can construct a canonical global metabolic structure on $A[\lambda]$. Specifically, assume that we are in the situation of [11, §4], i.e.,

When p is odd, there is a unique global metabolic structure on $A[\lambda]$, defined by

$$q_v(x) := \frac{1}{2} \langle x, x \rangle_v;$$

see [4, Lemma 3.4]. When instead p = 2, there is a canonical quadratic form $q_v : H^1(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]) \to \mathbb{F}_2$ arising from the Heisenberg group for each place v, as described in [11, §4]. These quadratic forms again give a global metabolic structure; see [11, Propositions 4.7, 4.9, and 4.11].

A Selmer structure \mathcal{S} for $A[\lambda]$ consists of an \mathbb{F}_p -subspace $H^1_{\mathcal{S}}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda])$ of $H^1(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda])$ for every place v of K, such that $H^1_{\mathcal{S}}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]) = H^1_{\mathrm{ur}}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda])$ for all but finitely many v. We say that \mathcal{S} is Lagrangian if for every v, $H^1_{\mathcal{S}}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda])$ is a Lagrangian subspace of $H^1(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda])$ with respect to the canonical global metabolic structure defined above. The Selmer group associated to \mathcal{S} is defined by

$$H^1_{\mathbb{S}}(G_K, A[\lambda]) := \ker \left(H^1(G_K, A[\lambda]) \to \bigoplus_v \left(H^1(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]) / H^1_{\mathbb{S}}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]) \right) \right),$$

where the sum runs over all places v of K. Note that the Selmer group is finite, since it consists of cocycles which are unramified outside of a fixed finite set of places.

The main result we need concerning global metabolic structures and Selmer structures is the following.

Theorem 2.1 ([4, Theorem 3.9]). Assume λ satisfies condition (A1). Suppose S and S' are Lagrangian Selmer structures for $A[\lambda]$. Then:

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(H^1_{\mathcal{S}}(G_K, A[\lambda])) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(H^1_{\mathcal{S}'}(G_K, A[\lambda]))$$

$$\equiv \sum_{v} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \left(H^1_{\mathbb{S}}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]) / \left(H^1_{\mathbb{S}}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]) \cap H^1_{\mathbb{S}'}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]) \right) \right) \pmod{2},$$

where the sum is taken over all places v of K.

2.3. **Twisting.** It is well-known that the twists of A over K (i.e., abelian varieties defined over K which are isomorphic to A as abelian varieties over \bar{K}) are classified by $H^1(G_K, \operatorname{Aut}(A))$, so $H^1(G_K, H)$ classifies a certain subset of those twists. (If the natural map $H^1(G_K, H) \to H^1(G_K, \operatorname{Aut}(A))$ is not injective, this classification will have some redundancy.) We will denote the twist of A over K corresponding to a cocycle $\chi \in H^1(G_K, H)$ by A_{χ} .

By definition, for each χ there is an isomorphism $\psi: A_{\chi} \to A$ defined over \bar{K} such that $\chi(\sigma) = (\sigma.\psi) \circ \psi^{-1}$ for all $\sigma \in G_K$. Now we get an isogeny $\psi^{-1} \circ \lambda \circ \psi: A_{\chi} \to A_{\chi}$ defined over \bar{K} ; we will also denote this isogeny by λ . This isogeny is in fact defined over K: if $\sigma \in G_K$,

then

$$\sigma.(\psi^{-1} \circ \lambda \circ \psi) = (\sigma.\psi)^{-1} \circ (\sigma.\lambda) \circ (\sigma.\psi)$$

$$= (\chi(\sigma) \circ \psi)^{-1} \circ \lambda \circ (\chi(\sigma) \circ \psi)$$

$$= \psi^{-1} \circ (\chi(\sigma)^{-1} \circ \lambda \circ \chi(\sigma)) \circ \psi$$

$$= \psi^{-1} \circ \lambda \circ \psi$$

by the assumption that every $\eta \in H$ commutes with λ . Note that if $\lambda : A \to A$ satisfies condition (A1), then so does $\lambda : A_{\chi} \to A_{\chi}$ for each χ , so we also get a canonical global metabolic structure on $A_{\chi}[\lambda]$.

Also note that $\chi(\sigma)|_{A[\lambda]}$ is the identity by assumption, so $((\sigma.\psi) \circ \psi^{-1})|_{A[\lambda]} = 1$, i.e., $\psi|_{A_{\chi}[\lambda]} = (\sigma.\psi)|_{A_{\chi}[\lambda]}$. Hence $\psi|_{A_{\chi}[\lambda]}$ is defined over K, so that $\psi|_{A_{\chi}[\lambda]} : A_{\chi}[\lambda] \to A[\lambda]$ is an isomorphism of G_K -modules.

2.4. The Parity Twist Formula. To each isogeny $\lambda: A_{\chi} \to A_{\chi}$, we can associate a Selmer group $\mathrm{Sel}^{\lambda}(A/K)$ in the usual way. It is well-known that the Selmer group is a finite-dimensional \mathbb{F}_p -vector space, since it consists of cocycles that are unramified outside of a fixed finite set of places. We call its dimension

$$d_{\lambda}(A_{\chi}) := \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\operatorname{Sel}^{\lambda}(A_{\chi}))$$

the λ -Selmer rank of A. Our goal in this subsection is to write the parity of $d_{\lambda}(A_{\chi}) - d_{\lambda}(A)$ as a sum of local invariants depending on χ .

As mentioned above, we have an isomorphism of G_K -modules $\psi|_{A_{\chi}[\lambda]}: A_{\chi}[\lambda] \xrightarrow{\sim} A[\lambda]$. This isomorphism induces a natural isomorphism

$$H^1(G_{K_v}, A_{\chi}[\lambda]) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda])$$

for each place v of K, which identifies the local Tate pairings (because $A[\lambda] \cong A_{\chi}[\lambda]$ identifies the Weil pairings). When p > 2, this implies that it also identifies the unique global metabolic structures. However, when p = 2, it appears that there is no a priori reason for this isomorphism to identify the canonical global metabolic structures, so we must assume it:

(A2)
$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{If } p=2, \text{ then the natural isomorphism} \\ H^1(G_{K_v}, A_\chi[\lambda]) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]) \\ \text{identifies the canonical quadratic forms constructed in [11, §4],} \\ \text{for all places } v \text{ and cocycles } \chi \in H^1(G_K, H). \end{bmatrix}$$

We can now associate a Selmer structure S_{χ} to any cocycle $\chi \in H^1(G_K, H)$: for each place v, let $H^1_{S_{\chi}}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]) \subset H^1(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda])$ be the image under the Kummer map of $A_{\chi}(K_v)/\lambda A_{\chi}(K_v)$, where we have used the natural isomorphism to identify $H^1(G_{K_v}, A_{\chi}[\lambda])$ with $H^1(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda])$. It is well-known that this construction does indeed define a Selmer structure. This Selmer structure is Lagrangian when $\chi = 1_K$ by [11, Propositions 4.9 and 4.11], and it is Lagrangian for general χ because the natural isomorphism identifies the local Tate pairings and canonical global metabolic structures.

For any place v of K and any cocycle $\chi \in H^1(G_K, H)$, define the local invariant $\delta_v(A, \chi)$ by:

$$\delta_{v}(A,\chi) := \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{p}} \left(H^{1}_{\mathcal{S}_{1_{K}}}(G_{K_{v}}, A[\lambda]) / (H^{1}_{\mathcal{S}_{1_{K}}}(G_{K_{v}}, A[\lambda]) \cap H^{1}_{\mathcal{S}_{\chi}}(G_{K_{v}}, A[\lambda]) \right).$$

Then applying Theorem 2.1 to our situation gives the following theorem, which I call the parity twist formula:

Theorem 2.2. Assume λ satisfies conditions (A1) and (A2). Then for any cocycle $\chi \in H^1(G_K, H)$, we have

$$d_{\lambda}(A) - d_{\lambda}(A_{\chi}) \equiv \sum_{v} \delta_{v}(A, \chi) \pmod{2},$$

where the sum is taken over all places v of K.

2.5. **Local Conditions.** Fix a cocycle $\chi \in H^1(G_K, H)$ and a place v of K. We wish to determine $\delta_v(A, \chi)$ in certain cases. The results in this subsection are adapted from [16, Lemmas 2.9-11 and 2.15-16].

Let F_w be a finite extension of K_v such that the restriction of χ to F_w is trivial, hence $A \cong A_\chi$ over F_w .

Lemma 2.3. Assume A has good reduction at v and F_w/K_v is unramified. Then $\delta_v(A,\chi) = 0$.

Proof. Let $N: A(F_w) \to A(K_v)$ denote the norm map. By the same argument as in [16, Lemma 2.9], $H^1_{\mathcal{S}_{1_K}}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]) \cap H^1_{\mathcal{S}_{\chi}}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda])$ contains the image of $(N(A(F_w)) + \lambda(A(K_v))) / \lambda(A(K_v))$ under the Kummer map, as follows. Consider the commutative diagram

(1)
$$H^{1}(G_{F_{w}}, A[\lambda]) \times H^{1}(G_{F_{w}}, A[\lambda]) \xrightarrow{\bigcup} H^{2}(G_{F_{w}}, \mu_{p}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p}$$

$$\downarrow \operatorname{Cor} \operatorname{Res} \uparrow \qquad \downarrow \operatorname{Cor} = \operatorname{id}$$

$$H^{1}(G_{K_{v}}, A[\lambda]) \times H^{1}(G_{K_{v}}, A[\lambda]) \xrightarrow{\bigcup} H^{2}(G_{K_{v}}, \mu_{p}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p}$$

By [11, Proposition 4.11], $H^1_{\mathcal{S}_{1_F}}(G_{F_w}, A[\lambda])$ is its own orthogonal complement in $H^1(G_{F_w}, A[\lambda])$, so

$$H^{1}_{\mathcal{S}_{1_{F}}}(G_{F_{w}}, A[\lambda]) = H^{1}_{\mathcal{S}_{1_{F}}}(G_{F_{w}}, A[\lambda])^{\perp}$$

$$\subset \operatorname{Res}(H^{1}_{\mathcal{S}_{1_{K}}}(G_{K_{v}}, A[\lambda]))^{\perp}$$

$$= \operatorname{Cor}^{-1}(H^{1}_{\mathcal{S}_{1_{K}}}(G_{K_{v}}, A[\lambda])).$$

Similarly,

$$H^1_{\mathcal{S}_{\chi}}(G_{F_w}, A[\lambda]) \subset \operatorname{Cor}^{-1}(H^1_{\mathcal{S}_{\chi}}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda])).$$

But $\operatorname{Cor}^{-1}(H^1_{\mathcal{S}_{1_K}}(G_{F_w}, A[\lambda])) = \operatorname{Cor}^{-1}(H^1_{\mathcal{S}_{\chi}}(G_{F_w}, A[\lambda]))$ since $A \cong A_{\chi}$ over F_w . Then letting i denote the Kummer map,

$$i((N(A(F_w)) + \lambda(A(K_v)))/\lambda(A(K_v))) = \operatorname{Cor}(H^1_{\mathcal{S}_{\chi}}(G_{F_w}, A[\lambda]))$$

$$\subset H^1_{\mathcal{S}_{1_K}}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]) \cap H^1_{\mathcal{S}_{\chi}}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda])$$

since the restriction of Cor: $H^1(G_{F_w}, A[\lambda]) \to H^1(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda])$ to $i(A(F_w)/\lambda(A(F_w)))$ induces the norm map.

Now by assumption, F_w/K_v is unramified, so by [8, Corollary 4.4], $N(A(F_w)) = A(K_v)$. Hence

$$H^1_{\mathcal{S}_{1_K}}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]) = i(A(K_v)/\lambda(A(K_v))) \subset H^1_{\mathcal{S}_{1_K}}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]) \cap H^1_{\mathcal{S}_{\chi}}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]),$$
 so that $\delta_v(A, \chi) = 0$ by definition. \square

Lemma 2.4. Assume $v \nmid p\infty$. Then

$$A_{\chi}(K_v)/\lambda(A_{\chi}(K_v)) \cong A_{\chi}(K_v)[p^{\infty}]/\lambda(A_{\chi}(K_v)[p^{\infty}]).$$

Proof. Easily λ is surjective on the pro-(prime to p) part of $A_{\chi}(K_v)$, so only the pro-p part $A_{\chi}(K_v)[p^{\infty}]$ contributes to $A_{\chi}(K_v)/\lambda(A_{\chi}(K_v))$.

Lemma 2.5. Assume $v \nmid p\infty$. Then:

- $(i) \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \left(H^1_{\mathcal{S}_\chi}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]) \right) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \left(A_\chi(K_v) / \lambda(A_\chi(K_v)) \right) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \left(A_\chi(K_v) [\lambda] \right).$
- (ii) If A has good reduction at v and F_w/K_v is totally ramified, then $A_\chi(K_v)/\lambda(A_\chi(K_v)) \cong A_\chi(F_w)/\lambda(A_\chi(F_w))$.

Proof. Since $A(K_v)[\lambda] \subset A(K_v)[p^{\infty}]$, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to A(K_v)[\lambda] \to A(K_v)[p^{\infty}] \xrightarrow{\lambda} A(K_v)[p^{\infty}] \to A(K_v)[p^{\infty}]/\lambda(A(K_v)[p^{\infty}]) \to 0.$$

Because $A(K_v)[p^{\infty}]$ is finite, (i) then follows from Lemma 2.4.

To prove (ii), note that by our assumptions, $K_v(A[p^{\infty}])/K_v$ is an unramified extension. Since F_w/K_v is a totally ramified extension, $K_v(A(F_w)[p^{\infty}]) = K_v$. Then $A(F_w)[p^{\infty}] = A(K_v)[p^{\infty}]$, so

$$A_{\chi}(F_w)[p^{\infty}]/\lambda(A_{\chi}(F_w)[p^{\infty}]) = A_{\chi}(K_v)[p^{\infty}]/\lambda(A_{\chi}(K_v)[p^{\infty}])$$

and the conclusion follows by Lemma 2.4

Lemma 2.6. Assume $H \cong \mu_{p^n}$ as a G_K -module for some n and $\ker(1-\eta) = \ker(\lambda)$ for some generator η of H. Also assume $v \nmid p\infty$, A has good reduction at v, and F_w/K_v is a totally ramified degree p^n extension. Then

$$H^1_{\mathcal{S}_{1_K}}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]) \cap H^1_{\mathcal{S}_{\chi}}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]) = 0,$$

hence $\delta_v(A, \chi) \equiv \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(A(K_v)[\lambda]) \pmod{2}$.

Proof. The last statement follows from the definition of $\delta_v(A,\chi)$ and Lemma 2.5(i).

To prove the intersection, first note that the norm map $N: A(F_w)/\lambda(A(F_w)) \to A(K_v)/\lambda(A(K_v))$ is 0. Indeed, let $a \in A(F_w)$. By Lemma 2.5(ii), we can write $a = \lambda(b) + c$ with $b \in A(F_w)$ and $c \in A(K_v)$. Then $N(a) = \lambda(N(b)) + [F_w: K_v]c \in \lambda(A(K_v))$ because $p \mid [F_w: K_v]$. Because Cor: $H^1(G_{F_w}, A[\lambda]) \to H^1(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda])$ induces the norm map when restricted to the image of the Kummer map, this implies that $\operatorname{Cor}(H^1_{S_{1,F}}(G_{F_w}, A[\lambda])) = 0$.

Now taking orthogonal complements,

$$H^1_{\mathcal{S}_{1_K}}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]) \cap H^1_{\mathcal{S}_{\chi}}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]) = 0$$

is equivalent to

$$H^1_{\mathcal{S}_{1_K}}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]) + H^1_{\mathcal{S}_{\chi}}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]) = H^1(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]).$$

Thus it suffices to show

$$H^1(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]) \subset H^1_{\mathfrak{S}_{1_K}}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]) + H^1_{\mathfrak{S}_{\chi}}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]).$$

So, let $x \in H^1(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda])$. By (1) and properties of the cup product, along with the previous paragraph, we have

$$\operatorname{Res}^{-1}(H^1_{\mathcal{S}_{1_F}}(G_{F_w}, A[\lambda])) = \left(\operatorname{Cor}(H^1_{\mathcal{S}_{1_F}}(G_{F_w}, A[\lambda]))\right)^{\perp} = 0^{\perp} = H^1(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]).$$

Thus $\operatorname{Res}(x) \in H^1_{\mathcal{S}_{1_F}}(G_{F_w}, A[\lambda]) = \operatorname{Res}\left(H^1_{\mathcal{S}_{1_K}}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda])\right)$, so there is a $y \in H^1_{\mathcal{S}_{1_K}}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda])$ such that $x - y \in \ker(\operatorname{Res})$. Then to prove the claim, it suffices to show

$$\ker(\operatorname{Res}) \subset H^1_{\operatorname{S}_{1_K}}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]) + H^1_{\operatorname{S}_{\chi}}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]).$$

Let $\psi: A_\chi \xrightarrow{\sim} A$ be an isomorphism such that $\sigma.\psi = \chi(\sigma) \circ \psi$ for $\sigma \in G_K$, necessarily defined over F_w . Let i and i_χ denote the Kummer maps for $A(K_v)/\lambda(A(K_v))$ and $A_\chi(K_v)/\lambda(A_\chi(K_v))$, respectively, with images in $H^1(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda])$. Define a map

$$\phi: A(K_v)[\lambda] \to \ker(\operatorname{Res})$$
$$\phi(P) := i(P) - i_{\chi}(\psi^{-1}(P)).$$

Note that ϕ does indeed have image contained in ker(Res), since if $P \in A(K_v)[\lambda]$, then $\operatorname{Res}(i(P)) = \operatorname{Res}(i_{\chi}(\psi^{-1}(P)))$ by the commutative diagram

$$A(K_{v})/\lambda(A(K_{v})) \stackrel{i}{\longleftarrow} H^{1}(G_{K_{v}}, A[\lambda]) \stackrel{i_{\chi}}{\longleftarrow} A_{\chi}(K_{v})/\lambda(A_{\chi}(K_{v}))$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \text{Res} \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$A(F_{w})/\lambda(A(F_{w})) \stackrel{\iota}{\longleftarrow} H^{1}(G_{F_{w}}, A[\lambda]) \stackrel{\iota}{\longleftarrow} A_{\chi}(F_{w})/\lambda(A_{\chi}(F_{w}))$$

$$\stackrel{\psi}{\sim} \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

Since

$$\operatorname{Im}(\phi) \subset H^1_{\mathfrak{S}_{1_K}}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]) + H^1_{\mathfrak{S}_{\chi}}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]),$$

to prove the claim, it suffices to prove that ϕ is surjective onto ker(Res). But the restriction of Res to $H^1_{\mathcal{S}_{1_K}}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda])$ is injective by Lemma 2.5(ii), so

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\ker(\operatorname{Res})) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(H^1(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda])) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{Res}))$$

$$\leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(H^1(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda])) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(H^1_{S_{1_K}}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]))$$

$$= \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(H^1_{S_{1_K}}(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]))$$

$$= \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(A(K_v)[\lambda]).$$

Thus surjectivity will follow if we prove that ϕ is injective.

To see injectivity, let ϕ' be the composition of ϕ with the restriction map

$$H^1(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]) \to H^1(G_{K_v(\zeta_{p^n})}, A[\lambda]),$$

where ζ_{p^n} is a primitive p^n -th root of unity. It suffices to prove that ϕ' is injective. Easily

$$\operatorname{Im}(\phi') \subset \ker \left(\operatorname{Res}: H^1(G_{K_v(\zeta_{p^n})}, A[\lambda]) \to H^1(G_{F_w(\zeta_{p^n})}, A[\lambda])\right).$$

Then by inflation-restriction, we can treat ϕ' as a map

$$\phi': A(K_v)[\lambda] \to H^1(\operatorname{Gal}(F_w(\zeta_{p^n})/K_v(\zeta_{p^n})), A(F_w(\zeta_{p^n}))[\lambda]).$$

By Lemma 2.5(ii) and the fact that $F_w(\zeta_{p^n})/K_v(\zeta_{p^n})$ is totally ramified, $A(F_w(\zeta_{p^n}))[\lambda] = A(K_v(\zeta_{p^n}))[\lambda]$. Thus

$$H^1(\operatorname{Gal}(F_w(\zeta_{p^n})/K_v(\zeta_{p^n})), A(F_w(\zeta_{p^n}))[\lambda]) = \operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{Gal}(F_w(\zeta_{p^n})/K_v(\zeta_{p^n})), A(K_v(\zeta_{p^n}))[\lambda]).$$

For $\tau \in \operatorname{Gal}(F_w(\zeta_{p^n})/K_v(\zeta_{p^n}))$ and $P \in A(K_v)[\lambda]$, we can compute $\phi'(P)$ as follows. Choose a preimage $Q \in A(\bar{K}_v)$ of P under λ and a preimage $\sigma \in G_{K_v(\zeta_{p^n})}$ of τ under the natural projection. Then by the definitions of the Kummer map and of the twist by χ ,

$$\phi'(P)(\tau) = (\sigma \cdot Q - Q) - \psi \left(\sigma \cdot (\psi^{-1}(Q)) - \psi^{-1}(Q)\right)$$
$$= \sigma \cdot Q - \psi(\sigma \cdot (\psi^{-1}(Q)))$$
$$= \sigma \cdot Q - \chi(\sigma)(Q).$$

Next, note that $\chi(\tau)$ is well-defined by assumption. Also, $K_v(\zeta_{p^n}, Q)/K_v(\zeta_{p^n})$ is unramified because $Q \in A[p]$, $v \nmid p$, and A has good reduction at v, so $K_v(\zeta_{p^n}, Q) \cap F_w(\zeta_{p^n}) = K_v(\zeta_{p^n})$. Thus we can choose σ lifting τ such that $\sigma \cdot Q = Q$. (In fact, since $\phi'(P)$ is a well-defined homomorphism, this shows that Q is defined over $K_v(\zeta_{p^n})$.) Then we can write

$$\phi'(P)(\tau) = Q - \chi(\tau)(Q).$$

Now choose τ such that $\ker(1-\chi(\tau)) = \ker(\lambda)$, which we can do because $\operatorname{Im}(\chi) \cong \operatorname{Gal}(F_w(\zeta_{p^n})/K_v(\zeta_{p^n}))$ has order p^n by assumption, hence is all of H. Then for all $P \neq 0$, $\phi'(P)(\tau) \neq 0$ because $\lambda(Q) = P \neq 0$, so $\phi'(P)$ is a nontrivial homomorphism.

2.6. The Case $H \cong \mu_{p^n}$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and suppose $H \cong \mu_{p^n}$ as G_K -modules. Then we have the Kummer isomorphism $H^1(G_K, H) \cong H^1(G_K, \mu_{p^n}) \cong K^*/(K^*)^{p^n}$. Using this identification, for any $d \in K^*$ (implicitly taken modulo p^n -th powers), we can define the twist A_d of A by d over K, as well as the local invariant $\delta_v(A, d)$.

Now combining Theorem 2.2 and Lemmas 2.3 and 2.6, we get our main result for this section.

Theorem 2.7. Assume λ satisfies conditions (A1) and (A2), assume $H \cong \mu_{p^n}$ as G_K modules, and assume $\ker(1-\eta) = \ker(\lambda)$ for some generator η of H. Let $d \in K^*$. Then

$$d_{\lambda}(A_{d}/K) - d_{\lambda}(A/K) = \sum_{v \notin \Sigma: p \mid \operatorname{ord}_{v}(d)} \delta_{v}(A,d) + \sum_{\substack{v \notin \Sigma: p \mid \operatorname{ord}_{v}(d), \\ v^{n} \mid \operatorname{ord}_{v}(d)}} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}(A(K_{v})[\lambda]) + \sum_{\substack{v \notin \Sigma: p \mid \operatorname{ord}_{v}(d), \\ v^{n} \mid \operatorname{ord}_{v}(d)}} \delta_{v}(A,d) \pmod{2}.$$

3. Quartic Twists of Elliptic Curves with j-Invariant 1728

Fix a number field K. Let E/K be the elliptic curve with affine equation $y^2 = x^3 + x$, and let E'/K be the elliptic curve $y^2 = x^3 - 4x$. We wish to classify the conjectural rank parities of quartic twists of E/K, which have the form $E_d/K : y^2 = x^3 + dx$ for $d \in K^*/(K^*)^4$. Note that these are all of the elliptic curves over K with j-invariant 1728.

We have dual degree 2 isogenies ([12, Example III.4.5])

$$\varphi: E_d \to E'_d \qquad \qquad \hat{\varphi}: E'_d \to E_d$$

$$(x,y) \mapsto \left(\frac{y^2}{x^2}, \frac{y(d-x^2)}{x^2}\right) \qquad \qquad (x',y') \mapsto \left(\frac{y'^2}{4x'^2}, \frac{y'(-4d-x'^2)}{8x'^2}\right).$$

From these, we can construct a self-dual degree 2 isogeny

$$\lambda: E_d \times E_d' \to E_d \times E_d'$$
$$(P, Q) \mapsto (\hat{\varphi}(Q), \varphi(P)).$$

Set $A := E \times E'$, from which $A_d = E_d \times E'_d$. Note that the kernel of $\lambda : A_d \to A_d$ is

$$A_d[\lambda] = E_d[\varphi] \times E'_d[\hat{\varphi}] = \{O, (0, 0)\} \times \{O', (0, 0)\},\$$

and it is defined over K. By the following result, for our problem, it suffices to study how the λ -Selmer rank of A varies with d.

Lemma 3.1. Assuming the Shafarevich-Tate conjecture, for any $d \in K^*$,

$$d_{\lambda}(A_d/K) \equiv \operatorname{rk}(E_d/K) \pmod{2}$$
.

Proof. We have the well-known exact sequence

$$0 \to \frac{E'_d(K)[\hat{\varphi}]}{\varphi(E_d(K)[2])} \to \operatorname{Sel}^{(\varphi)}(E'_d/K) \to \operatorname{Sel}^{(2)}(E_d/K)$$
$$\to \operatorname{Sel}^{(\hat{\varphi})}(E_d/K) \to \frac{\operatorname{III}(E'_d/K)[\hat{\varphi}]}{\varphi(\operatorname{III}(E_d/K)[2])} \to 0.$$

Under our assumption, properties of the Cassels pairing imply that the last term has even dimension [1, Corollary to Theorem 1.2]. Then using the fact that $\operatorname{Sel}^{(\lambda)}(A_d/K) \cong \operatorname{Sel}^{(\varphi)}(E_d/K) \times \operatorname{Sel}^{(\hat{\varphi})}(E_d'/K)$, we have

$$\begin{split} d_{\lambda}(A_d/K) &\equiv d_2(A_d/K) + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \left(\frac{E_d'(K)[\hat{\varphi}]}{\varphi(E_d(K)[2])} \right) \\ &\equiv d_2(A_d/K) + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(E_d(K)[2]) \\ &+ \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(E_d'(K)[\hat{\varphi}]) + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(E_d(K)[\varphi]) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{rk}(E_d/K) + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(E_d'(K)[\hat{\varphi}]) + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(E_d(K)[\varphi]) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{rk}(E_d/K) \pmod{2} \end{split}$$

using the explicit description of $E_d[\varphi]$ and $E'_d[\hat{\varphi}]$ given above.

Remark 3.2. Suppose $\mu_4 \subset K$. Then $E \cong E'$ over K, and the isomorphism identifies φ with $\hat{\varphi}$. Hence

$$d_{\lambda}(A/K) = 2d_{\varphi}(E/K) \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$

so that conjecturally $\operatorname{rk}(E/K) \equiv 0 \pmod 2$ for all values of b by Lemma 3.1. Thus we are primarily interested in the case $\mu_4 \not\subset K$.

To solve our problem for general K, we wish to apply Theorem 2.7 to A/K and λ , with p=2. Note that the finite places of bad reduction for A are at most those dividing 2. For our subgroup H of Aut(A), we choose the diagonal embedding of μ_4 into $Aut(E) \times Aut(E')$ (recall $Aut(E) \cong Aut(E') \cong \mu_4$ by [12, Corollary III.10.2]). Thus $\zeta \in \mu_4$ acts on A as

$$[\zeta]((x,y),(x',y')) = ((\zeta^2 x,\zeta^3 y),(\zeta^2 x',\zeta^3 y')).$$

By our description of $A[\lambda]$ given above, H acts trivially on $A[\lambda]$, and $\ker(1-[\zeta]) = \ker(\lambda)$ for any generator ζ of H. Also, H commutes with λ .

Now A is self-dual since E and E' are. Since p=2, we must additionally verify conditions (A1) and (A2). We can identify $\operatorname{Pic}_0(A)$ with the group of Weil divisors of A modulo principal divisors. The natural isomorphism $A \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Pic}_0(A)$ is then given by

$$(P,Q) \mapsto [P \times E'] - [O \times E'] + [E \times Q] - [E \times O'],$$

where O and O' denote the identity elements of E and E', respectively.

Let $\Gamma(-\varphi) = \{(R, -\varphi(R)) \mid R \in E\}$ be the graph of $-\varphi$ in $E \times E'$. Then $[\Gamma(-\varphi)]$ is a divisor on A. Using the seesaw principle ([7, p. 241]) and the above isomorphism $A \cong \operatorname{Pic}_0(A)$, one can see that

$$\phi_{[\Gamma(-\varphi)]}(P,Q) = (2P + \hat{\varphi}(Q), Q + \varphi(P)),$$

since the corresponding divisors are equivalent when restricted to $U \times E'$ or $E \times V$ for any $U \in E$ or $V \in E'$. Also, $\phi_{[O \times E']}(P,Q) = \phi_{[(0,0) \times E']}(P,Q) = (P,O')$ and $\phi_{[E \times O']}(P,Q) = (O,Q)$. Thus letting

$$D := [\Gamma(-\varphi)] - [O \times E'] - [(0,0) \times E'] - [E \times O'],$$

we have $\phi_E = \lambda$. Obviously D is symmetric, so this proves condition (A1).

We now verify condition (A2). Let K[A] and K(A) denote the ring of regular functions on A and the field of rational functions on A, respectively. For $g \in K(A)$, let $\operatorname{div}(g) \in \operatorname{Pic}_0(A)$ denote the Weil divisor corresponding to g. For $X \in A$, let T_X denote the translation-by-X map. Then in terms of Weil divisors, we can write the Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}_A(D)$ used in the construction of the canonical global metabolic structure (see [11, §4]) as

$$\mathcal{H}_A(D) = \{(X,g) \mid X \in A[\lambda], g \in \bar{K}(A), \text{ and } \operatorname{div}(g) = T_X^*(D) - D\},\$$

with group operation given by $(X, g)(X', g') = (X + X', T_{X'}^*(g)g')$.

Lemma 3.3. Let ζ_4 be a primitive 4th root of unity, which acts on A as above. Let $(X,g) \in$ $\mathcal{H}(D)$, so that $\operatorname{div}(g) = T_X^*(D) - D$. Then $g \circ [\zeta_4] = g$.

Proof. We adapt the proof of [16, Lemma 5.9]. When X = (O, O') or ((0,0), O'), we have $T_X^*(D) - D = 0$, so $g \in \bar{K}[A] = \bar{K}[E] \otimes_{\bar{K}} \bar{K}[E'] = \bar{K}$. Then g is constant and the conclusion is trivial.

When X is (O, (0,0)) or ((0,0), (0,0)), first note that

$$\operatorname{div}(g \circ [\zeta_4]) = [\zeta_4]^*(\operatorname{div}(g)) = [\zeta_4]^*(T_X^*(D) - D) = T_X^*(D) - D = \operatorname{div}(g),$$

where ζ_4 acts trivially on X because it is in $A[\lambda]$, and where $[\zeta_4]^*D = D$ because $-\varphi \circ (\zeta_4|_E) =$ $(\zeta_4|_{E'}) \circ -\varphi$. Hence $g \circ [\zeta_4] = cg$ for some $c \in \bar{K}[A]^* = \bar{K}^*$. Necessarily $c \in \mu_4$.

To show c=1, we claim that it suffices to find a nonsingular curve C on A such that:

- $\bullet \ [\zeta_4]C = C.$
- The divisor [C] has order 0 in $\operatorname{div}(q)$.
- Letting $\operatorname{div}_C(g|_C)$ denote the divisor of $g|_C$ on C, there is some $Y \in C \cap A[\lambda]$ such that the order of Y in $\operatorname{div}_C(g|_C)$ is an integer multiple of 4.

We prove this claim as follows. Write $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_C}$ for the completion of the local ring \mathcal{O}_C of A at C (over \bar{K}). Then $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_C} \cong \bar{K}(C)[[t]]$, where $\bar{K}(C)$ is the fraction field of C/\bar{K} and t is a uniformizer for C. We have an automorphism $[\zeta_4]^* \in \operatorname{Aut}(\bar{K}(C)[[t]])$ induced by $[\zeta_4]$, due to the assumption that $[\zeta_4]C = C$. Since this automorphism has order 4, it sends t to ζt + (higher degree terms) for some $\zeta \in \mu_4$. Because $\operatorname{ord}_C(g) = 0$ by assumption, we have

$$g = f_0 + (higher order terms)$$

as a power series in $\bar{K}(C)[[t]]$. Then

$$[\zeta_4]^*g = [\zeta_4]^*f_0 + \text{(higher order terms)}$$

where the action of $[\zeta_4]^*$ on f_0 is induced by the action of $[\zeta_4]$ on C. Note that $f_0 = g|_C$ since the higher order terms vanish on C.

We now repeat this process for f_0 : let $\mathcal{O}_X^C \cong \bar{K}[[u]]$ be the completion of the local ring of C at X, for some uniformizer u at X, and write

$$f_0 = du^n + (\text{higher order terms})$$

for some $d \in \overline{K}$, with $n = \operatorname{ord}_X(f_0) = \operatorname{ord}_X(g|_C)$. By assumption, 4|n. The automorphism $[\zeta_4]^*$ of $\bar{K}[[u]]$ sends u to $\zeta'u$ + (higher degree terms) for some $\zeta' \in \mu_4$, so

$$[\zeta_4]^* f_0 = du^n + \text{(higher order terms)}.$$

But this shows that $[\zeta_4]^* f_0$ and f_0 have the same leading term in $\bar{K}[[u]]$, hence the same is true of g as an element of K(C)[[t]]. Thus c=1 and $g \circ [\zeta_4] = g$, as claimed.

It is now easy to verify that the hypotheses of the claim hold when we take $C = [O \times E']$. Indeed, for either X, we have

$$T_X^*(D) - D = [\Gamma(-\varphi + (0,0))] - [E \times (0,0)] - [\Gamma(-\varphi)] + [E \times O'].$$

Then C appears with order zero. For any g with $\operatorname{div}(g) = T_X^*(D) - D$, we can compute $\operatorname{div}_C(g|_C)$ by intersecting each irreducible divisor with C, yielding

$$(T_X^*(D) - D)|_C = [(O, (0,0))] - [(O, (0,0))] - [(O, O')] + [(O, O')] = 0.$$

Hence $Y = (O, O') \in C$ appears with coefficient a multiple of 4.

Lemma 3.4. Let v be a place of K, and let $d \in K_v^*/(K_v^*)^4$. Then the natural isomorphism

$$H^1(G_{K_v}, A_d[\lambda]) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda])$$

identifies the canonical quadratic forms constructed in [11, §4]. Hence λ satisfies condition (A2).

Proof. This follows by the same argument as for [4, Lemma 5.2(ii)]. Indeed, we have a commutative diagram

By the fact that H fixes $A[\lambda]$ and the previous lemma, these isomorphisms are all fixed by G_{K_v} . Hence they give an isomorphism of the corresponding long exact sequence from Galois cohomology. But the canonical quadratic forms are defined to be the connecting morphisms $H^1(G_{K_v}, A[\lambda]) \to H^2(G_{K_v}, \bar{K}_v^{\times})$ and $H^1(G_{K_v}, A_d[\lambda]) \to H^2(G_{K_v}, \bar{K}_v^{\times})$ in these long exact sequences, so they are identified by the natural isomorphism.

Now Theorem 2.7 says that we will be done once we compute the local invariants $\delta_v(A, d)$ when $v \notin \Sigma$ and $\operatorname{ord}_v(d) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. This is accomplished in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5. Assume $v \nmid 2\infty$, A has good reduction at v, and $\operatorname{ord}_v(d) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Then $\delta_v(A,d) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ iff $\left(\frac{-1}{v}\right) = 1$.

Proof. Let $c \in \mathcal{O}_v^*$ be such that $c\pi_v^2 \equiv d \pmod{(K_v^*)^4}$. Using [4, Corollary 2.5] and noting that we can define $\delta_v(A_c, \pi_v^2)$ in a natural way, we have

$$\delta_v(A, c\pi_v^2) \equiv \delta_v(A, c) + \delta_v(A_c, \pi_v^2) \pmod{2}.$$

By Lemma 2.3, $\delta_v(A,c) = 0$, so $\delta_v(A,d) \equiv \delta_v(A_c, \pi_v^2) \pmod{2}$.

For any $a \in K_v^*$, let $H^1_{S_a}(G_{K_v}, A_c[2]) \subset H^1(G_{K_v}, A_c[2])$ denote the v-part of the Selmer structure corresponding to the multiplication-by-2 isogeny on the quadratic twist of A_c by a. Then we claim that

(2)
$$\delta_v(A_c, \pi_v^2) \equiv \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(H^1_{S_1}(G_{K_v}, A_c[2])) \pmod{2}.$$

To see this, consider the map

$$\iota': H^1_{\mathcal{S}_1}(G_{K_v}, A_c[2]) \to \frac{H^1_{\mathcal{S}_1}(G_{K_v}, A_c[\lambda])}{H^1_{\mathcal{S}_1}(G_{K_v}, A_c[\lambda]) \cap H^1_{\mathcal{S}_{\pi^2_v}}(G_{K_v}, A_c[\lambda])}$$

induced by the identity map

$$\iota: A_c(K_v)/2A_c(K_v) \to A_c(K_v)/\lambda(A_c(K_v)).$$

This map is surjective and has kernel

$$\ker(\iota') = \left(H^1_{\mathcal{S}_1}(G_{K_v}, A_c[2]) \cap H^1_{\mathcal{S}_{\pi_v}}(G_{K_v}, A_c[2])\right) + i_c(\ker(\iota)),$$

where i_c is the Kummer map. But

$$H^1_{S_1}(G_{K_v}, A_c[2]) \cap H^1_{S_{\pi_v}}(G_{K_v}, A_c[2]) = \emptyset$$

by Lemma 2.6 applied to the quadratic twist of A_c by π_v . Hence

$$\delta_v(A_c, \pi_v^2) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(H^1_{S_1}(G_{K_v}, A_c[2])) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\ker(\iota)).$$

Finally,

$$\ker(\iota) = \frac{\lambda(A_c(K_v))}{2A_c(K_v)} \cong \frac{A_c(K_v)}{\lambda(A_c(K_v))}$$

has dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(A_c(K_v)[\lambda]) = 2$ by Lemma 2.5(i). This proves (2). To finish the proof, note that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(H^1_{\mathcal{S}_{1_K}}(G_{K_v}, A_c[2])) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(A_c[2]) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(E_c[2]) + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(E'_c[2])$$

by Lemma 2.5(i) applied to the multiplication-by-2 isogeny. Then easily

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(E_c[2]) + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(E'_c[2]) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

$$\iff \left(\frac{-c}{v}\right) \left(\frac{4c}{v}\right) = 1$$

$$\iff \left(\frac{-1}{v}\right) = 1.$$

Finally, we get our main result for this section.

Theorem 3.6. Let $d \in K^*$. Then

$$d_{\lambda}(A_d/K) - d_{\lambda}(A/K) \equiv \sum_{v|2\infty} \delta_v(A,d) + \frac{1 + \left(\frac{-1}{S(d)}\right)}{2} \pmod{2},$$

where $S(d) \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ is defined to be the product of all places $v \nmid 2\infty$ of K such that $\operatorname{ord}_v(d) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Assuming the Shafarevich-Tate conjecture, the same holds for $\operatorname{rk}(E_d/K) - \operatorname{rk}(E/K) \pmod{2}$.

Remark 3.7. Note that each of the local invariants $\delta_v(A,d)$ depends only on the value of $d \pmod{(K_v^*)^4}$: indeed, if $c \equiv d \pmod{(K_v^*)^4}$, then $A_c \cong A_d$ over K_v . Hence the above theorem and the statements below allow us to classify $d_\lambda(A_d/K) \pmod{2}$ for all $d \in K^*$ after performing a finite amount of computation, namely, that required to compute $\delta_v(A,d)$ for all $v|2\infty, d \in K_v^*/(K_v^*)^4$.

Obviously if v is real, then $c \equiv d \pmod{(K_v^*)^4}$ iff c and d have the same sign at v. For places v|2, the following fact is useful.

Proposition 3.8. Let v|2 be a place of K, and let $c, d \in K_v^*$. Define the integer m_v by $m_v := 3e_{v/2} + 1$, where $e_{v/2}$ is the ramification index of v over 2. Suppose that

- $\operatorname{ord}_v(c) \equiv \operatorname{ord}_v(d) \pmod{4}$
- $c/(\pi_v^{\operatorname{ord}_v(c)})$ and $d/(\pi_v^{\operatorname{ord}_v(d)})$ have the same residues in $(\mathfrak{O}_v/v^{m_v})^*/((\mathfrak{O}_v/v^{m_v})^*)^4$.

Then $c \equiv d \pmod{(K_v^*)^4}$.

Proof. WLOG c and d are units in \mathcal{O}_v which have the same residues in $(\mathcal{O}_v/v^{m_v})^*/((\mathcal{O}_v/v^{m_v})^*)^4$. Then $c \equiv d \pmod{(K_v^*)^4}$ follows from a straightforward modification of [3, Lemma 4.1 and Corollary 4.3], as follows.

First, we show that if $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_v$ is such that $\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{v^{m_v}}$, then $\alpha \in (K_v^*)^4$. Write $\alpha = 1 + \beta \pi_v^n$ with $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_v$ and $n \geq m_v$. Consider the binomial expansion

$$\alpha^{1/4} = (1 + \beta \pi_v^n)^{1/4} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} {1/4 \choose i} \beta^i \pi_v^{in}.$$

This series converges in K_v so long as the valuations of the terms appearing in the right-hand series tend to infinity. By Legendre's formula, we have

$$\operatorname{ord}_{v}\binom{1/4}{i} = \operatorname{ord}_{v}\left(\frac{1(1-4)(1-8)\cdots(1-4(i-1))}{4^{i}i!}\right)$$
$$\geq -\left(2i + \frac{i-1}{2-1}\right)\operatorname{ord}_{v}(2)$$
$$= -(3i-1)e_{v/2}.$$

Hence we want

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \left(in - (3i - 1)e_{v/2} \right) \to \infty,$$

which happens precisely because $n \ge m_v = 3e_{v/2} + 1$.

Next, the image of c/d in $(\mathcal{O}_v/v^{m_v})^*$ is a 4th power, hence has some preimage $b \in \mathcal{O}_v$ which is a 4th power in \mathcal{O}_v . Then $(c/d)/b \equiv 1 \pmod{v^{m_v}}$, so it is a 4th power in \mathcal{O}_v by the previous paragraph, hence so is c/d.

4. Sextic Twists of Elliptic Curves with j-Invariant 0

The sextic twist case begins analogously to the quartic twist case. Fix a number field K. Let E/K be the elliptic curve with affine equation $y^2 = x^3 + 1$, and let E'/K be the elliptic curve $y^2 = x^3 - 27$. We wish to classify the conjectural rank parities of sextic twists of E/K, which have the form $E_d/K: y^2 = x^3 + d$ for $d \in K^*/(K^*)^6$. Note that these are all of the elliptic curves over K with j-invariant 0.

We have dual degree 3 isogenies (found using SAGE [13, 15])

$$\varphi: E_d \to E'_d \qquad \qquad \hat{\varphi}: E'_d \to E_d (x,y) \mapsto \left(\frac{x^3 + 4d}{x^2}, \frac{y(x^3 - 8d)}{x^3}\right) \qquad (x',y') \mapsto \left(\frac{x'^3 - 108d}{9x'^2}, \frac{y'(x'^3 + 216d)}{27x'^3}\right).$$

From these, we can construct a self-dual degree 3 isogeny

$$\lambda: E_d \times E_d' \to E_d \times E_d'$$
$$(P, Q) \mapsto (\hat{\varphi}(Q), \varphi(P)).$$

Set $A := E \times E'$, from which $A_d = E_d \times E'_d$. Note that the kernel of $\lambda : A_d \to A_d$ is

$$A_d[\lambda] = E_d[\varphi] \times E'_d[\hat{\varphi}] = \{O, (0, \pm \sqrt{d})\} \times \{O', (0, \pm \sqrt{-27d})\}.$$

Thus $\dim(A(K)[\lambda]) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ iff $\sqrt{-27d^2} \in K$, which is iff $\sqrt{-3} \in K$.

By the following result, for our problem, it suffices to study how the λ -Selmer rank of A varies with d.

$$d_{\lambda}(A_d/K) \equiv \operatorname{rk}(E_d/K) \pmod{2}$$

$$\iff \mu_3 \subset K.$$

Proof. By the same argument as in the quartic twist case, $d_{\lambda}(A_d/K) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ iff $\dim(A(K)[\lambda]) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, which is iff $\sqrt{-3} \in K$. Since $\zeta_3 = \frac{1}{2}(-1 \pm \sqrt{-3})$, this is iff $\mu_3 \subset K$.

Remark 4.2. Suppose $\mu_3 \subset K$. Then $\sqrt{-3} \in K$, so $E_d \cong E'_d$ over K, and the isomorphism identifies φ with $\hat{\varphi}$. Hence

$$d_{\lambda}(A_d/K) = 2d_{\varphi}(E_d/K) \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$

so that conjecturally $\operatorname{rk}(E_d/K) \equiv 0 \pmod 2$ for all values of d by Lemma 4.1. Thus we are primarily interested in the case $\mu_3 \not\subset K$.

To solve our problem for general K, we wish to apply the results of Section 2 to A/K and λ , with p=3. However, this will not give us a full solution - indeed, the diagonal embedding of μ_6 into $\operatorname{Aut}(E)$ does not fix $A[\lambda]$; only the subgroup μ_3 does, and there is no nontrivial isogeny whose kernel is fixed by μ_6 . Hence our background results will only apply to the cubic twists A_{d^2} of A/K, for $d \in K^*/(K^*)^3$. Nonetheless, we will see in Theorem 4.4 that we can use cubic twists to understand sextic twists as well.

For our subgroup H of $\operatorname{Aut}(A)$, we choose the subgroup μ_3 of the diagonal embedding of μ_6 into $\operatorname{Aut}(E) \times \operatorname{Aut}(E')$. Thus $\zeta \in \mu_3$ acts on A as

$$[\zeta]((x,y),(x',y')) = ((\zeta^2 x, y),(\zeta^2 x', y')).$$

By our description of $A[\lambda]$ given above, H acts trivially on $A[\lambda]$, and $\ker(1-[\zeta]) = \ker(\lambda)$ for any generator ζ of H. Also, H commutes with λ .

Now A is self-dual since E and E' are. Also, p=3 is odd, so we do not need any extra geometric conditions on λ .

Hence Theorem 2.7 gives the following result for cubic twists of A. Note that the finite places of bad reduction for A/K are at most those dividing 6.

Proposition 4.3. Let $d \in K^*$. Then

$$d_{\lambda}(A_{d^2}/K) - d_{\lambda}(A/K) \equiv \sum_{v|6\infty} \delta_v(A,d) + \frac{1 + \left(\frac{-3}{T(d)}\right)}{2} \pmod{2},$$

where $T(d) \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ is defined to be the product of all places $v \nmid 6\infty$ of K such that $3 \nmid \operatorname{ord}_v(d)$. Assuming the Shafarevich-Tate conjecture, the same holds for $\operatorname{rk}(E_{d^2}/K) - \operatorname{rk}(E/K) \pmod{2}$.

To extend this result to all sextic twists, recall that if B is an abelian variety and B_d is the quadratic twist of B by some nontrivial $d \in K^*/(K^*)^2$, then $\operatorname{rk}(B/K) + \operatorname{rk}(B_d/K) = \operatorname{rk}(B/K(\sqrt{d}))$. Hence we can relate the rank of the sextic twist by d over K to that of the sextic twist by d over $K(\sqrt{d})$, i.e., the cubic twist by \sqrt{d} over $K(\sqrt{d})$, whose rank parity we in principle understand by the above proposition. Using this idea, we get the following result for sextic twists.

Theorem 4.4. Assume the Shafarevich-Tate conjecture. Let $d \in K^*$. Then there exist local invariants $\epsilon_v(d)$, defined for each place $v|6\infty$ and depending only on the value of d

 $(\text{mod } (K_v^*)^6)$, such that

$$\operatorname{rk}(E_d/K) - \operatorname{rk}(E/K) \equiv \sum_{v \mid 6\infty} \epsilon_v(d) + \frac{1 + \left(\frac{-3}{U(d)}\right)}{2} \pmod{2},$$

where $U(d) \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ is defined to be the product of all places $v \nmid 6\infty$ of K such that $\operatorname{ord}_v(d) \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{6}$.

Proof. From

$$\operatorname{rk}(E_d/K) + \operatorname{rk}(E_{d^4}) = \operatorname{rk}(E_d/K(\sqrt{d}))$$
$$\operatorname{rk}(E/K) + \operatorname{rk}(E_{d^3}) = \operatorname{rk}(E/K(\sqrt{d})),$$

we see that

(3)

$$\operatorname{rk}(E_d/K) - \operatorname{rk}(E/K) = \left(\operatorname{rk}(E_d/K(\sqrt{d})) - \operatorname{rk}(E/K(\sqrt{d}))\right) - \left(\operatorname{rk}(E_{d^4}/K) - \operatorname{rk}(E/K)\right) + \left(\operatorname{rk}(E_{d^3}/K) - \operatorname{rk}(E/K)\right).$$

By Proposition 4.3 and Lemma 4.1,

$$\operatorname{rk}(E_{d^4}/K) - \operatorname{rk}(E/K) \equiv \sum_{v \mid 6\infty} \delta_v(A, d^2) + \frac{1 + \left(\frac{-3}{T(d)}\right)}{2} \pmod{2},$$

where T(d) is as in Proposition 4.3. By the quadratic twist case [4, Proposition 7.2], there exist local invariants $\omega_v(d)$, depending only on the value of $d \pmod{(K_v^*)^2}$, such that

(5)
$$\operatorname{rk}(E_{d^3}/K) - \operatorname{rk}(E/K) \equiv \sum_{v \mid 6\infty} \omega_v(d) \pmod{2}.$$

It remains to consider $\operatorname{rk}(E_d/K(\sqrt{d})) - \operatorname{rk}(E/K(\sqrt{d}))$. Let M_K and $M_{K(\sqrt{d})}$ denote the sets of all places of K and $K(\sqrt{d})$, respectively. By Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\operatorname{rk}(E_d/K(\sqrt{d})) - \operatorname{rk}(E/K(\sqrt{d})) \equiv \sum_{w \in M_{K(\sqrt{d})}} \delta_w(A/K(\sqrt{d}), d) \pmod{2}.$$

To evaluate this sum, first let $v|6\infty$, and suppose $c \equiv d \pmod{(K_v^*)^6}$. Then the localizations of $K(\sqrt{c})$ and $K(\sqrt{d})$ at places lying above v are the same. Also, since $c \equiv d \pmod{(K_v^*)^3}$, we have $c \equiv d \pmod{(K(\sqrt{c})_w^*)^3}$ for any place w of $K(\sqrt{c})$ lying above v. Hence from the definition of the local invariants, we see that

$$\delta_w(A/K(\sqrt{c}), c) = \delta_{w'}(A/K(\sqrt{d}), d)$$

where w is any place of $K(\sqrt{c})$ lying above v and w' is the corresponding place of $K(\sqrt{d})$. Thus

$$\eta_v(d) := \sum_{\substack{w \in M_K(\sqrt{d}) \\ w|v}} \delta_w(A/K(\sqrt{d}), d)$$

is a local invariant depending only on the value of $d \pmod {(K_v^*)^6}$.

Next, by Lemmas 2.3 and 2.6, along with our explicit description of $A[\lambda]$,

$$\sum_{\substack{w \in M_{K(\sqrt{d})} \\ w \nmid 6\infty}} \delta_w(A/K(\sqrt{d}), d) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

$$\iff \prod_{\substack{w \in M_{K(\sqrt{d})} \\ w \nmid 6\infty \\ 3 \nmid \text{ord} \dots (\sqrt{d})}} \left(\frac{-3}{w}\right) = 1.$$

We can rewrite this product as

$$\prod_{\substack{v \in M_K \\ v \nmid 6\infty \\ 3 \nmid \operatorname{ord}_v(d)}} \left(\prod_{\substack{w \in M_{K(\sqrt{d})} \\ w \mid v}} \left(\frac{-3}{w} \right) \right).$$

To evaluate the interior products, break into cases depending on the factorization of v in $K(\sqrt{d})$:

- \bullet Case v is split: then the two equal terms in the product cancel out.
- Case v is unramified non-split: if $\left(\frac{-3}{v}\right) = 1$, then $\left(\frac{-3}{w}\right) = 1$. Else -3 and d are both quadratic non-residues modulo v, so adjoining a square root of d to the residue field adjoins a square root of -3 as well. Hence $\left(\frac{-3}{w}\right) = 1$ in either case.
- Case v is ramified: then since the extension of residue fields is trivial, $\left(\frac{-3}{w}\right) = \left(\frac{-3}{v}\right)$.

Then

$$\prod_{\substack{v \in M_K \\ v \nmid 6\infty \\ 3 \nmid \operatorname{ord}_v(d)}} \left(\prod_{\substack{w \in M_{K(\sqrt{d})} \\ w \mid v}} \left(\frac{-3}{w} \right) \right) = \prod_{\substack{v \in M_K \\ v \nmid 6\infty \\ \operatorname{ord}_v(d) \equiv 1,5 \pmod{6}}} \left(\frac{-3}{v} \right).$$

Hence

$$\operatorname{rk}(E_d/K(\sqrt{d})) - \operatorname{rk}(E/K(\sqrt{d})) \equiv \sum_{v \mid 6\infty} \eta_v(d) \pmod{2}$$

(6)
$$\iff \prod_{\substack{v \in M_K \\ v \nmid 6\infty \\ \operatorname{ord}_v(d) \equiv 1, 5 \pmod{6}}} \left(\frac{-3}{v}\right) = 1.$$

Finally, combining (4), (5), and (6) with (3) proves the claim, with

$$\epsilon_v(d) := \eta_v(d) - \delta_v(A, d^2) + \omega_v(d).$$

As in the quartic twist case, we have the following useful fact.

Proposition 4.5. Let v|6 be a place of K, and let $c, d \in K_v^*$. Define the integer m_v by

$$m_v := \begin{cases} 2e_{v/2} + 1 & \text{if } v|2\\ \lceil \frac{3}{2}e_{v/3} \rceil + 1 & \text{if } v|3, \end{cases}$$

where $e_{v/p}$ is the ramification index of v over p. Suppose that

- $\operatorname{ord}_v(c) \equiv \operatorname{ord}_v(d) \pmod{6}$
- $c/(\pi_v^{\operatorname{ord}_v(c)})$ and $d/(\pi_v^{\operatorname{ord}_v(d)})$ have the same residues in $(\mathfrak{O}_v/v^{m_v})^*/((\mathfrak{O}_v/v^{m_v})^*)^6$.

Then $c \equiv d \pmod{(K_v^*)^6}$.

Proof. WLOG c and d are units in \mathcal{O}_v which have the same residues in $(\mathcal{O}_v/v^{m_v})^*/((\mathcal{O}_v/v^{m_v})^*)^6$. When v|2 (respectively, v|3), the assumption that c and d have the same residues in $(\mathcal{O}_v/v)^*/((\mathcal{O}_v/v)^*)^3$ (respectively, $(\mathcal{O}_v/v)^*/((\mathcal{O}_v/v)^*)^2$) implies that $c \equiv d \pmod{(K_v^*)^3}$ (respectively, $(K_v^*)^2$) by Hensel's Lemma. The corresponding claim modulo $(K_v^*)^2$ (respectively, $(K_v^*)^3$) follows by [3, Corollary 4.3], except that we have added a ceiling function in m_v when v|3 because we do not assume $\mu_3 \subset K$.

5. Example:
$$K = \mathbb{Q}$$

The explicit conditions on c and d given in Propositions 3.8 and 4.5 allow us to easily classify the conjectural rank parities of quartic and sextic twists using existing computational tools. To illustrate this, SAGE [13, 15] was used to classify the conjectural rank parities of all twists of $y^2 = x^3 + x$ and $y^2 = x^3 + 1$ over \mathbb{Q} .

For the quartic twists of $y^2 = x^3 + x$, we have $m_2 = 4$. According to SAGE, we have the representatives

$$(\mathfrak{O}_2/2^{m_2})^*/((\mathfrak{O}_2/2^{m_2})^*)^4 = \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15\}.$$

Then using Simon's two-descent algorithm, we get the local invariants shown in Figure 1. Note that these results agree with [12, Proposition X.6.2].

For the sextic twists of $y^2 = x^3 + 1$, we have $m_2 = 3$ and $m_3 = 3$. According to SAGE, we have the representatives

$$(\mathcal{O}_2/2^{m_2})^*/((\mathcal{O}_2/2^{m_2})^*)^6 = \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$$

$$(\mathcal{O}_3/3^{m_3})^*/((\mathcal{O}_3/3^{m_3})^*)^6 = \{1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 16\}.$$

Then using Simon's two-descent algorithm, we get the local invariants shown in Figure 2. **Acknowledgments:** The author would like to thank Majid Hadian for providing mentorship on this project, as well as for his collaboration on a previous paper [3] which inspired this one. The author would also like to thank the Caltech SFP Office for partially supporting his research on this project.

References

- [1] J.W.S. Cassels. Arithmetic on curves of genus 1. VIII. On conjectures of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer. Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, 217:180–199, 1965.
- [2] Dorian Goldfeld. Conjectures on elliptic curves over quadratic fields, pages 108–118. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1979.
- [3] M. Hadian and M. Weidner. On Selmer rank parity of twists. *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 2016. Published online.
- [4] Z. Klagsbrun, B. Mazur, and K. Rubin. Disparity in Selmer ranks of quadratic twists of elliptic curves. *Ann. Math.* (2), 178(1):287–320, 2013.
- [5] Zev Klagsbrun. Selmer ranks of quadratic twists of elliptic curves with partial rational two-torsion. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 2016. Published online.
- [6] Zev Klagsbrun, Barry Mazur, and Karl Rubin. A Markov model for Selmer ranks in families of twists. Compositio Mathematica, 150(7):1077-1106, 2014.
- [7] Serge Lang. Abelian varieties. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [8] B. Mazur. Rational points of abelian varieties with values in towers of number fields. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 18(3-4):183–266, 1972.
- [9] B. Mazur and K. Rubin. Ranks of twists of elliptic curves and Hilbert's tenth problem. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 181(3):541–575, 2010.

- [10] D. Mumford. Abelian varieties. Tata Institute of fundamental research studies in mathematics. Published for the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay [by] Oxford University Press, 1974.
- [11] B. Poonen and E. Rains. Random maximal isotropic subspaces and Selmer groups. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 25(1):245–269, 2012.
- [12] J.H. Silverman. The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, 2009.
- [13] W. A. Stein et al. Sage Mathematics Software (Version 6.4.1). The Sage Development Team, 2014. http://www.sagemath.org.
- [14] Peter Swinnerton-Dyer. The effect of twisting on the 2-Selmer group. Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, 145(3):513–526, 2008.
- [15] The PARI Group, Bordeaux. PARI/GP version 2.7.0, 2014. Available from http://pari.math.u-bordeaux.fr/.
- [16] M. Yu. Selmer ranks of twists of hyperelliptic curves and superelliptic curves. *Journal of Number Theory*, (160):148–185, 2016.

California Institute of Technology, Department of Mathematics, Pasadena CA 91125 *E-mail address*: mweidner@caltech.edu

	Unit at 2	1	3	5	7	9	11	13	15
ord_2									
0		0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1
1		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2		0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1
3		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

	Sign	+1	-1	
-	$\delta_{\infty}(A,d)$	0	1	

FIGURE 1. Local invariants $\delta_v(A,d)$ for quartic twists of $y^2=x^3+x$ over \mathbb{Q} . Each cell in the $\delta_2(A,d)$ table corresponds to one class in Proposition 3.8. Due to the method used to compute these values, they are conditional on the Shafarevich-Tate conjecture. Note $\operatorname{rk}(y^2=x^3+x)=0$.

$\epsilon_2(d)$	Unit at 2	1	3	5	7
ord_2					
0		0	1	0	1
1		1	1	1	1
2		0	1	0	1
3		1	1	1	1
4		1	1	1	1
5		1	1	1	1

	Unit at 3	1	2	4	5	8	16
ord_3							
0		0	0	0	1	0	1
1		0	1	0	1	1	0
2		1	0	1	0	0	1
3		1	0	0	1	1	1
4		0	1	0	1	1	0
5		1	0	1	0	0	1

Sign	+1	-1
$\epsilon_{\infty}(d)$	0	1

FIGURE 2. Local invariants $\epsilon_v(d)$ for sextic twists of $E: y^2 = x^3 + 1$ over \mathbb{Q} . Each cell in the $\epsilon_2(d)$ or $\epsilon_3(d)$ table corresponds to one class in Proposition 4.5. Due to the method used to compute these values, they are conditional on the Shafarevich-Tate conjecture. Note $\operatorname{rk}(y^2 = x^3 + 1) = 0$.