

CMSI 371-01

COMPUTER GRAPHICS

Spring 2016

Assignment 0204 Feedback

Outcomes that eventually cover both 2D and 3D max out at | for now because we are dealing only with 2D in this assignment. They will expand to their full potential with the 3D course work.

Matt Flickner

mmflickner / mflickne@lion.lmu.edu

*Notes while running (high-priority notes are marked with ***):*

- A fine Pacman homage! (+1a, +3a)
- No runtime issues or problems seen. (+4a)

Code review (refer to <http://lmucs.github.io/backing-guidelines/> for code-review abbreviations):

1. <http://lmucs.github.io/backing-guidelines/javascript/#js-func> (4b)
2. Assorted magic numbers and other values seen throughout but I won't harp on those too much because these are programmed sprites after all.
3. I can't really put my finger on any one code presentation decision, but the overall impression I get when looking at the code is "crowded." That dampens readability. (4c)

1a — +

2a (max |) — |

3a (max |) — |

4a — +

4b — + ...In the end code review note #1 is fairly minor in light of everything else.

4c — | ...This reflects the general "crowded" impression that is left by the code.

4d — / ...Directory name is off-spec—this breaks my *git* scripts. The name was specified for a reason. I've renamed the folder so that it works with my scripts. (This issue is sufficiently fundamental that even if you did great using course information with the rest of the assignment, I think it is worthwhile to give it this much weight.)

4e — + ...Excellent frequency, messages, and timing.

4f — + ...Submitted on time.