1 Incoherence-Based Experiment Analysis

This report presents a statistical analysis of the model's performance across tasks, focusing on the relationship between incoherence scores (Incoherence) and execution errors (Error).

Number of tasks analyzed: 428

2 Introduction

This report summarizes the results of an automatic evaluation of code generation using the following configuration parameters.

Parameter	Value
Language Model	gpt_3_5
Temperature	1
m (number of candidates)	10
n (number of samples used to estimate metrics)	1000
Timeout per metric estimation (s)	60.0

The model was tested across a suite of programming tasks. We aim to explore how the model's incoherence signal relates to execution-time failures.

2.1 Summary Statistics

Metric	Mean	Std	Min	Max
Raw Incoherence	0.174	0.240	0.000	0.960
Raw Error	0.302	0.358	0.000	1.000

2.2 Error Detection Analysis

Metric	Value
Errors (Error > 0)	292
Error Rate	68.22%
Detected Errors (Error > 0 and Incoherence > 0)	235
Detection Rate	80.48%
Confident (Incoherence $= 0$)	191
Confident Error Count	57
Confident Error Rate	29.84%
Mean Error When Confident	0.1507

2.3 Correlation Analysis

Metric	Pearson r	Pearson p	Spearman ρ	Spearman p
Incoherence vs Error	0.565	1.924e-37	0.664	7.978e-56

2.4 Bubble Plot of Incoherence and Error

This plot shows the density of (Incoherence, Error) points using bubble size to indicate frequency.


Figure 1: Bubble Plot: Incoherence vs Error

2.5 Log-Log Plot of Incoherence and Error

This plot displays the relationship between Incoherence and Error in log-log scale. Only data points where both values are strictly positive are included.

Figure 2: Log-Log Scatter Plot: Incoherence vs Error