1 Incoherence-Based Experiment Analysis

This report presents a statistical analysis of the model's performance across tasks, focusing on the relationship between incoherence scores (Incoherence) and execution errors (Error).

Number of tasks analyzed: 398

2 Introduction

This report summarizes the results of an automatic evaluation of code generation using the following configuration parameters.

Parameter	**Value**
Language Model	claude_sonnet_4
Temperature	1
m (number of candidates)	50
n (number of samples used to estimate metrics)	1000
Timeout per metric estimation (s)	60.0

The model was tested across a suite of programming tasks. We aim to explore how the model's incoherence signal relates to execution-time failures.

2.1 Summary Statistics

Metric	Mean	Std	Min	Max
Raw Incoherence	0.003	0.019	0.000	0.265
Raw Error	0.021	0.084	0.000	0.746

2.2 Error Detection Analysis

Metric	Value
Errors (Error $>$ 0)	56
Error Rate	14.07%
Detected Errors (Error $>$ 0 and Incoherence $>$ 0)	28
Detection Rate	50.00%
Confident (Incoherence = 0)	369
Confident Error Count	28
Confident Error Rate	7.59%
Mean Error When Confident	0.0133

2.3 Correlation Analysis

Metric	Pearson r	Pearson p	Spearman ρ	Spearman p
Incoherence vs Error	0.323	4.070e-11	0.654	7.475e-50

2.4 Bubble Plot of Incoherence and Error

This plot shows the density of (Incoherence, Error) points using bubble size to indicate frequency.



Figure 1: Bubble Plot: Incoherence vs Error

2.5 Log-Log Plot of Incoherence and Error

This plot displays the relationship between Incoherence and Error in log-log scale. Only data points where both values are strictly positive are included.



Figure 2: Log-Log Scatter Plot: Incoherence vs Error