

# 1 Incoherence-Based Experiment Analysis

This report presents a statistical analysis of the model's performance across tasks, focusing on the relationship between incoherence scores (Incoherence) and execution errors (Error).

Number of tasks analyzed: 404

## 2 Introduction

This report summarizes the results of an automatic evaluation of code generation using the following configuration parameters.

Parameter	Value
Language Model	gpt_4_turbo
Temperature	0.6
\$m\$ (number of candidates)	10
\$n\$ (number of samples used to estimate metrics)	10000
Timeout per metric estimation (s)	60.0

The model was tested across a suite of programming tasks. We aim to explore how the model's incoherence signal relates to execution-time failures.

### 2.1 Summary Statistics

Metric	Mean	Std	Min	Max
Raw Incoherence	0.118	0.197	0.000	0.881
Raw Error	0.277	0.362	0.000	1.000

### 2.2 Error Detection Analysis

Metric	Value
Errors (Error > 0)	264
Error Rate	65.35%
Detected Errors (Error > 0 and Incoherence > 0)	188
Detection Rate	71.21%
Confident (Incoherence = 0)	213
Confident Error Count	76
Confident Error Rate	35.68%
Mean Error When Confident	0.1504

## 2.3 Correlation Analysis

Metric	Pearson r	Pearson p	Spearman $\rho$	Spearman p
Incoherence vs Error	0.525	5.669e-30	0.628	9.528e-46

## 2.4 Bubble Plot of Incoherence and Error

This plot shows the density of (Incoherence, Error) points using bubble size to indicate frequency.




Figure 1: Bubble Plot: Incoherence vs Error

## 2.5 Log-Log Plot of Incoherence and Error

This plot displays the relationship between Incoherence and Error in log-log scale. Only data points where both values are strictly positive are included.




Figure 2: Log-Log Scatter Plot: Incoherence vs Error