

1 Incoherence-Based Experiment Analysis

This report presents a statistical analysis of the model's performance across tasks, focusing on the relationship between incoherence scores (Incoherence) and execution errors (Error).

Number of tasks analyzed: 144

2 Introduction

This report summarizes the results of an automatic evaluation of code generation using the following configuration parameters.

Parameter	Value
Language Model	llama_4_maverick_17b
Temperature	0.6
\$m\$ (number of candidates)	50
\$n\$ (number of samples used to estimate metrics)	1000
Timeout per metric estimation (s)	60.0

The model was tested across a suite of programming tasks. We aim to explore how the model's incoherence signal relates to execution-time failures.

2.1 Summary Statistics

Metric	Mean	Std	Min	Max
Raw Incoherence	0.035	0.107	0.000	0.654
Raw Error	0.088	0.187	0.000	0.981

2.2 Error Detection Analysis

Metric	Value
Errors (Error > 0)	62
Error Rate	43.06%
Detected Errors (Error > 0 and Incoherence > 0)	33
Detection Rate	53.23%
Confident (Incoherence = 0)	111
Confident Error Count	29
Confident Error Rate	26.13%
Mean Error When Confident	0.0590

2.3 Correlation Analysis

Metric	Pearson r	Pearson p	Spearman ρ	Spearman p
Incoherence vs Error	0.536	4.372e-12	0.615	2.314e-16

2.4 Bubble Plot of Incoherence and Error

This plot shows the density of (Incoherence, Error) points using bubble size to indicate frequency.




Figure 1: Bubble Plot: Incoherence vs Error

2.5 Log-Log Plot of Incoherence and Error

This plot displays the relationship between Incoherence and Error in log-log scale. Only data points where both values are strictly positive are included.




Figure 2: Log-Log Scatter Plot: Incoherence vs Error