

1 Incoherence-Based Experiment Analysis

This report presents a statistical analysis of the model’s performance across tasks, focusing on the relationship between incoherence scores (Incoherence) and execution errors (Error).

Number of tasks analyzed: 894

2 Introduction

This report summarizes the results of an automatic evaluation of code generation using the following configuration parameters.

Parameter	Value
Language Model	ministral_8b
Temperature	0.6
m (number of candidates)	10
n (number of samples used to estimate metrics)	10000
Timeout per metric estimation (s)	60.0

The model was tested across a suite of programming tasks. We aim to explore how the model’s incoherence signal relates to execution-time failures.

2.1 Summary Statistics

Metric	Mean	Std	Min	Max
Raw Incoherence	0.146	0.220	0.000	0.923
Raw Error	0.333	0.388	0.000	1.000

2.2 Error Detection Analysis

Metric	Value
Errors (Error > 0)	598
Error Rate	66.89%
Detected Errors (Error > 0 and Incoherence > 0)	453
Detection Rate	75.75%
Confident (Incoherence = 0)	436
Confident Error Count	145
Confident Error Rate	33.26%
Mean Error When Confident	0.1600

2.3 Correlation Analysis

Metric	Pearson r	Pearson p	Spearman ρ	Spearman p
Incoherence vs Error	0.589	1.216e-84	0.656	3.949e-111

2.4 Bubble Plot of Incoherence and Error

This plot shows the density of (Incoherence, Error) points using bubble size to indicate frequency.




Figure 1: Bubble Plot: Incoherence vs Error

2.5 Log-Log Plot of Incoherence and Error

This plot displays the relationship between Incoherence and Error in log-log scale. Only data points where both values are strictly positive are included.




Figure 2: Log-Log Scatter Plot: Incoherence vs Error