Problem 1

Answer: 2

There are two decision variables, x_1 and x_2 . x_1 denotes the number of 1-min comedy ads purchased, and x_2 denotes the number of 1-min football ads purchased.

Problem 2

Answer:

min
$$Z = 50x_1 + 100x_2$$

 x_1 1-min comedy ads are purchased. The corresponding cost is $50x_1$. Similarly, the cost of x_2 1-min football ads is $100x_2$. Then the total cost can be expressed as $Z = 50x_1 + 100x_2$. The goal is to minimize this cost. This means we need to find min Z.

Problem 3

Answer: 2

There are two constraints:

- > one specifying the minimum number of high-income women that need to be reached;
- > one specifying the minimum number of high-income men that need to be reached.

Problem 4

Answer: $7x_1 + 2x_2 \ge 28$

 x_1 comedy ads will be seen by $7,000,000x_1$ high-income women. Similarly, x_2 football ads will be seen by $2,000,000x_2$ high-income women. In total, $7,000,000x_1 + 2,000,000x_2$ such women will be reached. Since we want this number to be at least 28,000,000, the following must hold:

$$7,000,000x_1 + 2,000,000x_2 \ge 28,000,000$$

 $7x_1 + 2x_2 \ge 28$

Problem 5

Answer: $2x_1 + 12x_2 \ge 24$

 x_1 comedy ads will be seen by $2,000,000x_1$ high-income men. Similarly, x_2 football ads will be seen by $12,000,000x_2$ high-income men. In total, $2,000,000x_1 + 12,000,000x_2$ such men will be reached. Since we want this number to be at least 24,000,000, the following must hold:

$$2,000,000x_1 + 12,000,000x_2 \ge 24,000,000$$

 $2x_1 + 12x_2 \ge 24$

Problem 6

Answer: (4,0) and (0,14)

Actually, to answer this question, no plot is necessary. We can simply check whether the points satisfy the line equation we were given. Clearly, one of the points is (4,0):

$$7x_1 + 2x_2 = 7 \cdot 4 + 2 \cdot 0$$
$$= 28 + 0$$
$$= 28.$$

The other point is (0, 14), since

$$7x_1 + 2x_2 = 7 \cdot 0 + 2 \cdot 14$$
$$= 0 + 28$$
$$= 28.$$

Problem 7

Answer: (12,0) and (0,2)

Actually, to answer this question, no plot is necessary. We can simply check whether the points satisfy the line equation we were given. Clearly, one of the points is (12,0):

$$2x_1 + 12x_2 = 2 \cdot 12 + 12 \cdot 0$$
$$= 24 + 0$$
$$= 24.$$

The other point is (0,2), since

$$2x_1 + 12x_2 = 2 \cdot 0 + 12 \cdot 2$$
$$= 0 + 24$$
$$= 24.$$

Problem 8

Answer: This problem has an unbounded feasible region.

In this case, a plot would help. But it is given to us in the next question. So I see no point in re-creating this plot. The image in problem 9 clearly shows that the feasible region is unbounded. I could have reached the same conclusion by looking at the constraints. After all, there is no constraint that specifies an upper bound.

Problem 9

Answer: \$320k

The graph shows that the optimal solution corresponds to the point *E*. This point lies in the intersection of the following lines:

$$7x_1 + 2x_2 = 28,$$
$$2x_1 + 12x_2 = 24.$$

Next, we use the second equation to derive an expression for x_1 :

$$2x_1 + 12x_2 = 24$$
$$x_1 + 6x_2 = 12$$
$$x_1 = 12 - 6x_2$$

The next step is to substitute this result into the first line equation:

$$7x_1 + 2x_2 = 28$$

$$7(12 - 6x_2) + 2x_2 = 28$$

$$84 - 42x_2 + 2x_2 = 28$$

$$-40x_2 = -56$$

$$40x_2 = 56$$

$$5x_2 = 7$$

$$x_2 = \frac{7}{5}$$

$$x_2 = 1.4$$

Hence:

$$x_1 = 12 - 6x_2 = 12 - 6 \cdot 1.4 = 3.6.$$

Therefore, the optimal value of the cost is

$$Z^* = 50 \cdot 3.6 + 100 \cdot 1.4 = 320. \tag{1}$$

Recall that the unit of Z is \$1,000. Then the minimum cost is \$320,000. Notice that this is the same value shown in the plot.

Problem 10

Answer: All of the above