

Exercise_02

Ramona Walker, Dominik Johann Arnold, Mark Woolley, Otto Buck

November 2022

Description of implementation decisions

- **GameUtils:**

As we were developing the classes, we realized that there are some global methods used by all classes that do not belong to a specific class per se. Those are convenience methods like `generateCoordinatesFromStartEnd` which take two `Coordinate` objects as input and produce a list of all the coordinates contained between those two `Coordinate` objects. It could be argued that those functionalities should belong to the respective objects using them. For example, the input validation should belong to `GameMaster`, who is responsible for adhering to the rules of the game. Or it could belong to the `Player` itself, making the `Player` responsible for checking herself or her opponent. In our opinion, the design looks less cluttered with a `GameUtils` class, as it serves as an addition to the `GameMaster` having all the methods the `GameMaster` needs to make sure the rules are adhered. Furthermore, the `GameUtils` class can contain global final rules of the game like the `gamesize` and parameters for the `PlayerComputer` random number generation.

- **Coordinate:**

We decided to not fall for the `PRIMITIVE OBSESSION` antipattern and give the coordinates of the grid an own representation. This facilitates the usage among different classes. This encapsulation makes it possible to change the internal representation, as long as the basic functions `getRow` and `getCol` are supplied. It makes it possible to implement different methods of comparing `Coordinate` objects for example. Towards the end of the assignment, we realized that this class could benefit from the `FLYWEIGHT` pattern

- **User Input:**

We decided to use input validation within the functions which accept human user input (`callShot` and `placeFleet`) in favor of contract (for example do not allow invalid `Coordinate` to be created in the constructor). The reason is that we don't know yet how to properly implement an error handling. So given the user inputs a wrong `Coordinate` (invalid, wrong format), the error would be thrown but not handled and the game ends. With input validation we tried to catch all possible errors a user could commit to make sure the subsequent objects receive valid `Coordinate` objects / list of `Coordinate` objects. Subsequent objects rely on that validation and assume every input from the user they receive was properly checked.

- **Player:**

We decided against a `Player` interface in favor of an abstract class `Player`. Initially, we implemented an interface `Player`, but realized that the two implementations `PlayerHuman` and `PlayerComputer` only differ in the methods `callShot` and `placeFleet`, but have identical methods for `receiveShot`, `getBoatTypeString`, `isHuman` and `hasLost`. So, an abstract class with both abstract and non-abstract methods seemed like a sensible way to go. For further improvements, the `PlayerComputer` class would surely benefit from a `STRATEGY` pattern implementing different playing and placing strategies.

- **Fleet & FleetSpec:**

The `Fleet` makes use of the `ITERATOR` pattern, as it must be able to provide an iterable collection of `Boat` objects, without revealing the concrete implementation. It serves as an intermediary between `Player` and `Boat` and delegates most of the heavy lifting to the `Boat` class. We use the `FleetSpec` enum type to make debugging easier by enabling different amounts of `Boats`. Strictly speaking, it's not necessary and different constructors in `Fleet` could serve the same purpose.

- **Boat & BoatType:**

`BoatType` is an enum type which specifies which boats are available in the game and directly defines each `BoatType` in terms of length and nomenclature. The `Boat` keeps track of its responsibilities and communicates directly with the `Fleet` class.