Summary of the report

• Describe the strengths of the report.

They use 5 methods to extract the features, and compared their performance. That is a lot, which means their project examines the problem very well. Their results also seems good. Also, their careful considerations that mentioned in the report is a highlight of their project.

• Describe the weaknesses of the report

Their code are not included in the github. It will make reviewers hard to comment on its code. Also, I think the result could be more comprehensive.

• Evaluation on Clarity and quality of writing (1-5): Is the report clearly written? Is there a good use of examples and figures? Is it well organized? Are there problems with style and grammar? Are there issues with typos, formatting, references, etc.? Please make suggestions to improve the clarity of the paper, and provide details of typos

3

Their formatting could be improved a lot, for example, they could include all the feature extraction part together but not sparsely distributed in the report. They also don’t have the reference, and they could use latex formatting to represent 2^0 or so on.

• Evaluation on Technical Quality (1-5): Are the results technically sound? Are there obvious flaws in the reasoning? Are claims well-supported by theoretical analysis or experimental results? Are the experiments well thought out and convincing? Will it be possible for other researchers to replicate these results? Is the evaluation appropriate? Did the authors clearly assess both the strengths and weaknesses of their approach? Are relevant papers cited, discussed, and compared to the presented work?

3

Their results seems good, but they could provide more details or analysis about it, or it would not be so convincing.

• Overall rating: (5- My vote as the best-report. 4- A good report. 3- An average one. 2- below average. 1- a poorly written one)

3

• Confidence on your assessment (1-3) (3- I have carefully read the paper and checked the results, 2- I just browse the paper without checking the details, 1- My assessment can be wrong).

2.5(I couldn’t find their code)