

Problem 1. Let Z be a homogeneous Markov process taking values in a finite space E with transition probabilities

$$p_{z,y} = \mathbb{P}(Z_1 = y | Z_0 = z) \text{ for } z, y \in E.$$

Let $R : E \rightarrow (-1, \infty)$ and $F : \mathbb{Z}_+ \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be functions such that

$$\sum_{y \in E} F(t+1, y) p_{z,y} = (1 + R(z)) F(t, z) \text{ for all } t \geq 0, z \in E.$$

Consider a market with a bank account with risk-free rate $r_t = R(Z_{t-1})$ and d stocks with prices $S_t^i = F^i(t, Z_t)$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$.

(a) Show that the market has no arbitrage.

Now suppose that for each $z \in E$ that the set

$$\mathcal{Y}(z) = \{y \in E : p_{z,y} > 0\}$$

has exactly $d + 1$ elements. Furthermore, suppose that for all $t \geq 0$ the $d + 1$ functions $\{\mathbb{1}, F^1(t, \cdot), \dots, F^d(t, \cdot)\}$ are linearly independent, where $\mathbb{1}(z) = 1$ for all $z \in E$.

(b) Show that the market is complete.

(c) Define the $d \times d$ matrix-valued function for $0 \leq t \leq T, z \in E, y_0 \in \mathcal{Y}(z)$ by

$$\Delta(t, z, y_0) = (F^i(t, y) - F^i(t, y_0) : y \in \mathcal{Y}(z) \setminus \{y_0\}, 1 \leq i \leq d).$$

Show that $\Delta(t, z, y_0)$ is invertible.

(d) For a function $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ consider the $d \times 1$ vector defined for $z \in E$ and $y_0 \in \mathcal{Y}(z)$ by

$$\tilde{f}(z, y_0) = (f(y) - f(y_0) : y \in \mathcal{Y}(z) \setminus \{y_0\}).$$

Show that the $d \times 1$ vector $\Delta(t, z, y_0)^{-1} \tilde{f}(z, y_0)$ does not depend on y_0 .

(e) Fix a function $g : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and let $V(T, z) = g(z)$ and

$$V(t, z) = \frac{1}{1 + R(z)} \sum_{y \in E} V(t+1, y) p_{z,y} \text{ for all } 0 \leq t \leq T-1, z \in E.$$

Define a \mathbb{R}^d -valued function for $0 \leq t \leq T, z \in E, y_0 \in \mathcal{Y}(z)$ by

$$\Pi(t, z) = \Delta(t, z, y_0)^{-1} (V(t, y) - V(t, y_0) : y \in \mathcal{Y}(z) \setminus \{y_0\})$$

for any $y_0 \in \mathcal{Y}(z)$. (The choice of y_0 is irrelevant by part (d).) Finally, define a real-valued function by

$$\Phi(t, z) = V(t-1, z) - \Pi(t, z) \cdot F(t-1, z).$$

Show that the European claim with time T payout $\xi_T = g(S_T)$ is with unique no-arbitrage price $\xi_t = V(t, Z_t)$ and replicating strategy $H = (\phi, \pi)$ where

$$\phi_t = \frac{\Phi(t, Z_{t-1})}{B_{t-1}} \text{ and } \pi_t = \Phi(t, Z_{t-1})$$

Solution 1. (a) Note by the Markov property that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{S_{t+1}}{B_{t+1}}|\mathcal{F}_t\right) &= \frac{1}{B_{t+1}}\mathbb{E}(F(t+1, Z_{t+1})|Z_t) \\ &= \frac{1}{B_{t+1}}(1 + R(Z_t))F(t, Z_t) \\ &= \frac{S_t}{B_t}\end{aligned}$$

so the measure \mathbb{P} is risk-neutral. This implies that there is no arbitrage.

(b) Claim: for every $t \geq 0$ and any \mathcal{F}_t measurable random variable ξ_t , there exists a \mathcal{F}_{t-1} -measurable random vector H_t valued in \mathbb{R}^{1+d} such that $H_t \cdot P_t = \xi_t$, where $P_t = (B_t, S_t)$.

Proof that the claim implies completeness: Given a claim with time T payout ξ_T , let H_T be \mathcal{F}_{T-1} -measurable and such that $H_T \cdot P_T = \xi_T$, and for $t < T$ let H_t be \mathcal{F}_{t-1} -measurable and such that

$$H_t \cdot P_t = H_{t+1} \cdot P_t.$$

This strategy is previsible and self-financing by construction, and replicates ξ_T .

To prove the claim: conditional on $\mathcal{F}_{t-1} = \sigma(Z_1, \dots, Z_{t-1})$ the random variable Z_t takes $d+1$ values, say y_1, \dots, y_{d+1} (by the Markov property). On the other hand, an \mathcal{F}_t -measurable random variable is of the form $\xi_t = G(Z_1, \dots, Z_t)$, so conditional on \mathcal{F}_{t-1} , the random variable ξ_t can take only $d+1$ values, say x_1, \dots, x_{d+1} . So we have to solve the $d+1$ equations

$$\phi_t B_t + \sum_{i=1}^d \pi_t^i F^i(t, y_j) = x_j$$

in $d+1$ unknowns $\phi_t, \pi_t^1, \dots, \pi_t^d$. By the assumed linear independence, the $(1+d) \times (1+d)$ matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} B_t & F^1(t, y_1) & \cdots & F^d(t, y_1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B_t & F^1(t, y_{d+1}) & \cdots & F^d(t, y_{d+1}) \end{pmatrix}$$

has rank $d+1$. Hence A is invertible, and there exists a unique solution to the system of equations.

(c) By linear algebra, we have for any $1 \leq j \leq d+1$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\det A &= \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F^1(t, y_1) - F^1(t, y_j) & \cdots & F^d(t, y_1) - F^d(t, y_j) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & F^1(t, y_{j-1}) - F^1(t, y_j) & \cdots & F^d(t, y_{j-1}) - F^d(t, y_j) \\ B_t & F^1(t, y_j) & \cdots & F^d(t, y_j) \\ 0 & F^1(t, y_{j+1}) - F^1(t, y_j) & \cdots & F^d(t, y_{j+1}) - F^d(t, y_j) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & F^1(t, y_{d+1}) - F^1(t, y_j) & \cdots & F^d(t, y_{d+1}) - F^d(t, y_j) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (-1)^{j+1} B_t \det \Delta(t, Z_{t-1}, y_j)\end{aligned}$$

From part (b), the determinant of A is not zero, and hence neither is the determinant of $\Delta(t, Z_{t-1}, y_j)$.

(d) From part (b), the system of equations

$$a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d a_i F^i(t, y_j) = f(y_j)$$

has a unique solution. By subtracting the row corresponding to y_0 from every equation yields the system of d equations in d unknowns

$$\sum_{i=1}^d a_i (F^i(t, y_j) - F^i(t, y_0)) = f(y_j) - f(y_0)$$

The unique solution is $(a_1, \dots, a_d)^\top = \Delta^{-1} \tilde{f}$ which does not depend on the choice of y_0 .

(e) Let $(\hat{\phi}_t, \hat{\pi}_t)$ be the unique \mathcal{F}_{t-1} -measurable solution to

$$\hat{\phi}_t B_t + \hat{\pi}_t \cdot F(t, Z_t) = V(t, Z_t)$$

. From (d) we know that $\hat{\pi}_t = \pi_t$. Now divide by $1 + r_t$ and compute the expected value of both sides of the displayed equation conditional on \mathcal{F}_{t-1} . We have

$$\hat{\phi}_t B_{t-1} + \hat{\pi}_t \cdot F(t-1, Z_{t-1}) = V(t-1, Z_{t-1})$$

Hence $\hat{\phi}_t = \phi_t$.

Problem 2. Let $(\zeta_{t,T})_{1 \leq t < T}$ be a collection of positive random variables such that $\zeta_{t,T}$ is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable for all t and that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\prod_{u=t+1}^T \zeta_{t,u} \right)^{-1} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t-1} \right] = 1$$

for all $1 \leq t < T$. Now given a non-random sequence $f_{0,T} > -1$ for $T > 0$, let

$$1 + f_{t,T} = (1 + f_{t-1,T}) \zeta_{t,T} \text{ for } 1 \leq t < T.$$

Let $r_t = f_{t-1,t}$ for $t \geq 1$ and $P_{t,T} = \left(\prod_{u=t+1}^T (1 + f_{t,u}) \right)^{-1}$ for $0 \leq t < T$.

Consider a market with a bank account with time t risk-free interest rate r_t and a collection of bonds such that $P_{t,T}$ is the time t of the bond of maturity T .

(a) Show that the market has no arbitrage.

(b) Use example sheet 2 problem 6 to show that the forward rate at time t for maturity T is given by $f_{t,T}$.

(c) Let $\zeta_{t,T} = \exp(\sigma_{t,T} \xi_t + \mu_{t,T})$ where $\sigma_{t,T}$ and $\mu_{t,T}$ are \mathcal{F}_{t-1} measurable and ξ_t is $N(0, 1)$ and independent of \mathcal{F}_{t-1} . Show that

$$\mu_{t,T} = \sigma_{t,T} \sum_{u=t+1}^{T-1} \sigma_{t,u} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{t,T}^2$$

Solution 2. (a) Note

$$\frac{P_{t,T}}{B_t} = \frac{P_{t-1,T}}{B_{t-1}} \left(\prod_{u=t+1}^T \zeta_{t,u} \right)^{-1}$$

and hence $(P_{t,T}/B_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ is a martingale by example sheet 1 problem 3. Hence \mathbb{P} is a risk-neutral measure and hence there is no arbitrage by the 1FTAP.

(b) We know that the forward rate is $\frac{P_{t,T-1}}{P_{t,T}} - 1$ which simplifies to $f_{t,T}$.

(c) Using the moment generating function of the standard normal we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(- \sum_{u=t+1}^T (\sigma_{t,u} \xi_u + \mu_{t,u}) \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t-1} \right] \\ &= \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{u=t+1}^T \sigma_{t,u} \right)^2 - \sum_{u=t+1}^T \mu_{t,u} \right) \end{aligned}$$

The conclusion follows from solving for $u_{t,T}$.

Problem 3. Let g be a function on the integers, and define functions g' and g'' by the formulae

$$g'(x) = \frac{1}{2}[g(x+1) - g(x-1)] \text{ and } g''(x) = g(x+1) - 2g(x) + g(x-1)$$

for all integers x .

Let $(x_t)_t$ be a sequence of integers with $x_t - x_{t-1} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ for each $t \geq 1$. Show that for all $t \geq 0$ we have

$$g(x_t) = g(x_0) + \sum_{s=1}^t g'(x_{s-1})(x_s - x_{s-1}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^t g''(x_{s-1})(x_s - x_{s-1})^2.$$

Solution 3. It is sufficient to check that

$$g(x_t) = g(x_{t-1}) + g'(x_{t-1})(x_t - x_{t-1}) + \frac{1}{2}g''(x_{t-1})(x_t - x_{t-1})^2,$$

since then the identity would be proven by induction.

Suppose $x_t - x_{t-1} = \varepsilon$, so the right-hand side becomes

$$\frac{\varepsilon(\varepsilon+1)}{2}g(x_{t-1}+1) + \frac{\varepsilon(\varepsilon-1)}{2}g(x_{t-1}-1) + (1-\varepsilon^2)g(x_{t-1})$$

It is a simple matter to check that this expression yields $g(x_{t-1} + \varepsilon)$ in the three cases $\varepsilon = -1, 0, 1$.

Problem 4. * Let $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a discrete-time martingale such that S_0 is an integer and for all $t \geq 1$ the increment $S_t - S_{t-1}$ is valued in the set $\{-1, 0, 1\}$.

(a) Prove the identity

$$(S_T - K - 1)^+ - 2(S_T - K)^+ + (S_T - K + 1)^+ = \mathbb{1}_{\{S_T=K\}}$$

for integers K and $T \geq 0$.

(b) Prove the identity

$$(S_T - K)^+ = (S_0 - K)^+ + \sum_{t=1}^T f(S_{t-1} - K)(S_t - S_{t-1}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{1}_{\{S_t=K\}}(S_t - S_{t-1})^2$$

for integers K and $T \geq 1$, where f is defined by

$$f(x) = \mathbf{1}_{\{x>0\}} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_{\{x=0\}}.$$

Let

$$C(T, K) = \mathbb{E}[(S_T - K)^+]$$

for integers K and $T \geq 0$ and

$$\sigma^2(T, K) = \text{Var}(S_{T+1} | S_T = K)$$

for integers K and T such that $|K - S_0| \leq T$.

(c) Using parts (a) and (b), or otherwise, prove the identity

$$C(T+1, K) - C(T, K) = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(T, K) [C(T, K+1) - 2C(T, K) + C(T, K-1)]$$

for integers K and T such that $|K - S_0| \leq T$.

(d) Comment an application part (c) to finance.

Solution 4. (a) Let $g(a) = (a+1)^+ - 2a^+ + (a-1)^+$. Check: if $a \geq 1$ then $g(a) = (a+1) - 2a + (a-1) = 0$. If $a = 0$ then $g(a) = (a+1) - 2a + 0 = 1$. And if $a \leq -1$ then $g(a) = 0 - 2a + 0 = 0$.

(b) This follows from Problem 3 above.

(c) Computing expectations of (b) yields

$$C(T+1, K) - C(T, K) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{\{S_T=K\}}(S_{T+1} - S_T)^2]$$

using the assumption that S is a martingale to eliminate the first term. Again by the martingale property $\mathbb{E}[(S_{T+1} - S_T)^2 | \mathcal{F}_T] = \text{Var}(S_{T+1} | \mathcal{F}_T)$ so the right-hand side becomes

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{P}(S_T = K) \sigma^2(T, K)$$

by using the tower property. Finally, compute the expectation of (a) to yield the identity.

(d) Consider a market consisting of cash, a stock with price process S and a family of call options of strikes and maturities. There are at least two uses of the equation from part (c): The first is to compute the initial call prices in terms of the dynamic parameters of S . Alternatively, given the quoted prices of calls at time 0, use the equation to solve for $\sigma^2(T, K)$ and thereby work out the dynamics of S .

Problem 5. Let f be a positive continuous (non-random) function and W a Brownian motion. Use Lévy's characterisation of Brownian motion to show that $\int_0^t f(s) dW_s$ is a normal random variable with mean zero and variance $\int_0^t f(s)^2 ds$.

Solution 5. Let $F(t) = \int_0^t f(s)^2 ds$. Note that F is strictly increasing and continuous. Let

$$Z_u = \int_0^{F^{-1}(u)} f(s) dW_s.$$

Note Z is a continuous local martingale in the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_{F^{-1}(u)})_{u \geq 0}$ with quadratic variation

$$\langle Z \rangle_u = \int_0^{F^{-1}(u)} f(s)^2 ds = u.$$

Hence Z is a Brownian motion. Therefore,

$$\int_0^t f(s) dW_s = Z_{F(t)} \sim N(0, F(t))$$

as desired.

Problem 6. * (Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process) Let W be a Brownian motion, and let

$$X_t = e^{at}x + b \int_0^t e^{a(t-s)} dW_s$$

for some $a, b, x \in \mathbb{R}$.

(a) Verify that $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies the following stochastic differential equation:

$$dX_t = aX_t dt + b dW_t, \quad X_0 = x.$$

(b) Show that

$$X_t \sim N \left(e^{at}x, \frac{b^2}{2a}(e^{2at} - 1) \right).$$

(c) What is the distribution of the random variable $\int_0^T X_t dt$?

Solution 6. (a) Since

$$X_t = e^{at} \left(x + b \int_0^t e^{-as} dW_s \right)$$

we can apply Itô's formula

$$\begin{aligned} dX_t &= e^{at} (be^{-at} dW_t) + \left(x + b \int_0^t e^{-as} dW_s \right) ae^{at} dt \\ &= b dW_t + aX_t dt \end{aligned}$$

(b) Since

$$\int_0^t (e^{a(t-s)})^2 ds = \frac{e^{2at} - 1}{2a}$$

this part follows from Problem 2.

(c) Method 1: Note that by rearranging the stochastic differential equation we have

$$\int_0^T X_t dt = \frac{1}{a}(X_T - x - bW_T)$$

and hence $\int_0^T X_t dt$ is normally distributed with mean $(e^{aT} - 1)x/a$. To compute the variance, first note that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(X_T, W_T) &= \text{Cov} \left(b \int_0^T e^{a(T-t)} dW_t, \int_0^T dW_t \right) \\ &= b \int_0^T e^{a(T-t)} dt \\ &= \frac{b}{a}(e^{aT} - 1) \end{aligned}$$

by Itô's isometry. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var} \left(\int_0^T X_t dt \right) &= \frac{1}{a^2} (\text{Var}(X_T) - 2b \text{Cov}(X_T, W_T) + b^2 \text{Var}(W_T)) \\ &= \frac{b^2}{2a^3} (e^{2aT} - 4e^{aT} + 3 + 2aT). \end{aligned}$$

Method 2:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T X_t dt &= \int_0^T e^{aT} x dt + \int_0^T \int_0^t e^{a(t-s)} b dW_s dt \\
&= \int_0^T e^{aT} x dt + \int_0^T \int_s^T e^{a(t-s)} b dt dW_s \\
&= \frac{e^{aT} - 1}{a} x + \int_0^T \frac{e^{a(T-s)} - 1}{a} b dW_s
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\int_0^T X_t dt$ is normally distributed with mean $(e^{aT} - 1)x/a$ and variance

$$\frac{b^2}{a^2} \int_0^T (e^{a(T-s)} - 1)^2 ds = \frac{b^2}{2a^3} (e^{2aT} - 4e^{aT} + 3 + 2aT)$$

This calculation is useful in the study of the Vasicek interest rate model.

Problem 7. Let W be a Brownian motion. Show that if $Y_t = W_t^3 - 3tW_t$ then Y is a martingale (1) by hand, and (2) by Itô's formula.

Solution 7. (1) By hand: Since Gaussian random variables have finite moments of all orders, Y is integrable. Indeed, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(|Y_t|) \leq \mathbb{E}(|W_t^3|) + 3t\mathbb{E}(|W_t|) = Ct^{3/2} < \infty$$

where $C = 5\sqrt{2/\pi}$. Therefore, using the independence of the increments of W we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(Y_t | \mathcal{F}_s) &= \mathbb{E}(W_t^3 - 3tW_t | \mathcal{F}_s) \\
&= \mathbb{E}[(W_t - W_s + W_s)^3 - 3t(W_t - W_s + W_s) | \mathcal{F}_s] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[(W_t - W_s)^3] + 3\mathbb{E}[(W_t - W_s)^2]W_s + 3\mathbb{E}(W_t - W_s)W_s^2 + W_s^3 \\
&\quad - 3t\mathbb{E}(W_t - W_s) - tW_s \\
&= 0 + 3(t-s)W_s + 0 + W_s^3 + 0 - tW_s \\
&= Y_s
\end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq s < t$.

(2) By Itô's rule:

$$\begin{aligned}
dY_t &= d(W_t^3 - 3tW_t) \\
&= (3W_t^2 dW_t + 3W_t dt) - 3(t dW_t + W_t dt) \\
&= 3(W_t^2 - t)dW_t
\end{aligned}$$

and hence Y is a local martingale. Recall that if $\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^t \alpha_s^2 ds\right) < \infty$ for all $t \geq 0$ then the process $\left(\int_0^t \alpha_s dW_s\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is a martingale. Again, it's clear that the integrand is square integrable in this case since Gaussian random variables have finite moments of all orders. But, just to be explicit,

$$\mathbb{E} \int_0^t [3(W_s^2 - s)]^2 ds = 9 \int_0^t \mathbb{E}(W_s^4 - 2W_s^2 s + s^2) ds = 9 \int_0^t 2s^2 ds = 6t^3 < \infty$$

and hence $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a martingale.

Problem 8. (Heat equation) Let W be a scalar Brownian motion, and let $g : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function that satisfy the partial differential equation

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x^2} = 0$$

with terminal condition

$$g(T, x) = G(x).$$

- (a) Show that $(g(t, W_t))_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a local martingale.
(b) If the function g is bounded, deduce the formula

$$g(t, x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(x + \sqrt{T-t}z) \frac{e^{-z^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dz.$$

Solution 8. (a) By Itô's formula:

$$\begin{aligned} dg(t, W_t) &= \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x^2} \right) dt + \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} dW_t \\ &= \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} dW_t \end{aligned}$$

and hence $(g(t, W_t))_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a local martingale.

(b) Recall a bounded local martingale is a true martingale. In particular, by the independence of the increments of Brownian motion, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(t, W_t) &= \mathbb{E}[g(T, W_T) | \mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[G(W_T) | \mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[G(W_t + W_T - W_t) | \mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(W_t + \sqrt{T-t}z) \frac{e^{-z^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dz \end{aligned}$$

since $W_T - W_t \sim N(0, T-t)$. Since the above formula holds identically, we have the desired integral representation of the solution of the heat equation.

Problem 9. (Strictly local martingale) This is a technical exercise to exhibit a local martingale that is not a true martingale. Let $W = (W^1, W^2, W^3)$ be a three-dimensional Brownian motion and let $u = (1, 0, 0)$. It is a fact that $\mathbb{P}(W_t \neq u \text{ for all } t \geq 0) = 1$.

(a) Let $X_t = |W_t - u|^{-1}$. Use Itô's formula and Lévy's characterisation of Brownian motion to show that

$$dX_t = X_t^2 dZ_t, \quad X_0 = 1$$

where Z is a Brownian motion. In particular, show that X is a positive local martingale.

(b) By directly evaluating the integral or otherwise, show that

$$\mathbb{E}(X_t) = 2\Phi(t^{-1/2}) - 1$$

for all $t > 0$, where Φ is the distribution function of a standard normal random variable. Why does this imply that X is a strictly local martingale?

Solution 9. (a) Let $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = ((x_1 - 1)^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^{-1/2}$ so that

$$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3} \right) = -[f(x_1, x_2, x_3)]^3 (x_1 - 1, x_2, x_3)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_3^2} &= -f^3 + 3f^5(x_1 - 1)^2 + -f^3 + 3f^5x_2^2 - f^3 + 3f^5x_3^2 \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, Itô's formula yields

$$dX_t = -X_t^3 [(W_t^1 - 1)dW_t^1 + W_t^2 dW_t^2 + W_t^3 dW_t^3].$$

Since X can be written as a stochastic integral of a three dimensional Brownian motion, it is a local martingale. Now let Z be the local martingale such that $Z_0 = 0$ and

$$dZ_t = -X_t[(W_t^1 - 1)dW_t^1 + W_t^2 dW_t^2 + W_t^3 dW_t^3].$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}d\langle Z \rangle_t &= X_t^2[(W_t^1 - 1)^2 + (W_t^2)^2 + (W_t^3)^2]dt \\ &= dt\end{aligned}$$

by construction, the process Z is a Brownian motion by Lévy's characterisation theorem.

(b) Switch to spherical coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(X_t) &= (2\pi)^{-3/2} \iiint \frac{e^{-x_1^2/2 - x_2^2/2 - x_3^2/2}}{\sqrt{(\sqrt{t}x_1 - 1)^2 + tx_2^2 + tx_3^2}} dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \\ &= (2\pi)^{-3/2} \int_{r=0}^{\infty} \int_{\theta=0}^{\pi} \int_{\phi=0}^{2\pi} \frac{r^2 \sin \theta e^{-r^2/2}}{\sqrt{tr^2 - 2\sqrt{t} \cos \theta + 1}} d\phi d\theta dr \\ &= (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{r=0}^{\infty} \int_{\theta=0}^{\pi} \frac{r^2 \sin \theta e^{-r^2/2}}{\sqrt{tr^2 - 2\sqrt{t} \cos \theta + 1}} d\theta dr \\ &= (2\pi t)^{-1/2} \int_{r=0}^{\infty} r e^{-r^2/2} \sqrt{tr^2 - 2\sqrt{t} \cos \theta + 1} \Big|_{\theta=0}^{\pi} dr \\ &= (2\pi t)^{-1/2} \int_{r=0}^{\infty} 2(r \mathbb{1}_{\{r > t^{-1/2}\}} + \sqrt{tr^2} \mathbb{1}_{\{r \leq t^{-1/2}\}}) e^{-r^2/2} dr \\ &= 2 \int_0^{t^{-1/2}} \frac{e^{-r^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dr\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathbb{E}(X_t) < X_0$ for all $t > 0$, so X is a strictly local martingale.

Problem 10. (strictly local martingales again) (a) Suppose that X is positive martingale with $X_0 = 1$. Fix $T > 0$ and let

$$\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} = X_T.$$

Let $Y_t = 1/X_t$ for all $t \geq 0$. Show that $(Y_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ is a positive martingale under \mathbb{Q} .

(b) Continuing from part (a), now suppose that X has dynamics

$$dX_t = X_t \sigma_t dW_t$$

where W is a Brownian motion under \mathbb{P} . Use Girsanov's theorem to show that there exists a \mathbb{Q} -Brownian motion \hat{W} such that

$$dY_t = Y_t \sigma_t d\hat{W}_t$$

(c) Let X be a positive local martingale with $X_0 = 1$ and dynamics

$$dX_t = X_t^2 dW_t.$$

Our goal is to show that X is a strictly local martingale. For the sake of finding a contradiction, suppose X is a true martingale. In the notation of parts (a) and (b), show that

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_t > 0) = 1 \text{ but } \mathbb{Q}(Y_t > 0) = \Phi(t^{-1/2}).$$

Why does this contradict the assumption that X is a true martingale?

Solution 10. (a) Since \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q} are equivalent and

$$\mathbb{P}(X_t > 0 \text{ for all } t) = 1 \text{ then } \mathbb{Q}(Y_t > 0 \text{ for all } t) = 1.$$

Now to show that Y is a \mathbb{Q} -martingale, note that

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}(Y_T | \mathcal{F}_t) = \frac{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}(X_T Y_T | \mathcal{F}_t)}{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}(X_T | \mathcal{F}_t)} = \frac{1}{X_t}$$

(b) By Itô's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} dY_t &= dX_t^{-1} \\ &= -X_t^{-2} dX_t + X_t^{-3} d\langle X \rangle_t \\ &= -Y_t \sigma_t (dW_t - \sigma_t dt) \end{aligned}$$

Now by Girsanov's theorem, the process $d\check{W}_t = dW_t - \sigma_t dt$ defines a \mathbb{Q} Brownian motion. And of course $\hat{W} = -\check{W}$ is a Brownian motion also.

(c) Now assuming X is a true martingale, then Girsanov's theorem applies and hence

$$dY_t = Y_t \sigma_t d\hat{W}_t = d\hat{W}_t$$

since $\sigma_t = X_t$. Hence $\mathbb{Q}(Y_t > 0) = \mathbb{Q}(\hat{W}_t > -1) = \Phi(t^{-1/2}) < 1$. But since

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_t > 0) = \mathbb{P}(X_t > 0) = 1.$$

Therefore \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q} are not equivalent after all.