

STAT391, Lecture 2

Relationships between interest rate dynamics

Again we are given the triplet (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) with a filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ that satisfies the usual conditions. Furthermore W is a Brownian motion w.r.t. the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$.

We consider the following three dynamics

1. The short rate dynamics

$$dr_t = a_t dt + b_t dW_t. \quad (0.1)$$

Here a_t and b_t are adapted processes and chosen so that r_t given by (0.1) is well defined.

2. The bond price dynamics

$$dP(t, T) = m(t, T)P(t, T)dt + v(t, T)P(t, T)dW_t, \quad t \leq T. \quad (0.2)$$

Here the terminal time T remains fixed. Furthermore $m(t, T)$ and $v(t, T)$ are adapted processes (w.r.t. running time t) and chosen so that $P(t, T)$ given by (0.2) is well defined.

3. The forward rate dynamics

$$df(t, T) = \alpha(t, T)dt + \sigma(t, T)dW_t, \quad t \leq T \quad (0.3)$$

Here again the terminal time T remains fixed and $\alpha(t, T)$ and $\sigma(t, T)$ are adapted processes (w.r.t. running time t) and chosen so that $f(t, T)$ given by (0.3) is well defined.

In (0.1) we can for example let $a_t = \theta(t) - ar_t$ and $b_t = \sigma$ giving the Hull and White model (See Exercise 1, Homework 1)

$$dr_t = (\theta(t) - ar_t)dt + \sigma dW_t.$$

We then have the following important result

Theorem 0.1 *Assume that m , v , α and σ in (0.2) and (0.3) are continuously differentiable w.r.t. time to maturity T , and that they are sufficiently regular for the Fubini theorem and the stochastic Fubini theorem to hold as well as the necessary differentiations under the integral sign. Let $m_T(t, T) = \frac{\partial}{\partial T}m(t, T)$ and similarly with $v_T(t, T)$, $\alpha_T(t, T)$ and $\sigma_T(t, T)$. Then we have the following.*

a) If $P(t, T)$ satisfies (0.2) then

$$df(t, T) = \alpha(t, T)dt + \sigma(t, T)dW_t,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(t, T) &= v_T(t, T)v(t, T) - m_T(t, T), \\ \sigma(t, T) &= -v_T(t, T)\end{aligned}$$

b) If $f(t, T)$ satisfies (0.3) then

$$dr_t = a_t dt + b_t dW_t,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}a_t &= f_T(t, t) + \alpha(t, t), \\ b_t &= \sigma(t, t)\end{aligned}$$

c) If $f(t, T)$ satisfies (0.3) then

$$dP(t, T) = m(t, T)P(t, T)dt + v(t, T)P(t, T)dW_t,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}m(t, T) &= r_t + A(t, T) + \frac{1}{2}S^2(t, T), \\ v(t, T) &= S(t, T)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}A(t, T) &= -\int_t^T \alpha(t, s)ds, \\ S(t, T) &= -\int_t^T \sigma(t, s)ds.\end{aligned}$$

Proof We first prove part a. Set

$$Y_t = \log P(t, T) = -\int_t^T f(t, s)ds.$$

Itô's formula then gives

$$\begin{aligned}dY_s &= \frac{1}{P(s, T)}dP(s, T) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{P^2(s, T)}(dP(s, T))^2 \\ &= a(s, T)ds + v(s, T)dW_s\end{aligned}$$

where

$$a(s, T) = m(s, T) - \frac{1}{2}v^2(s, T).$$

Note that

$$a_T(t, T) = m_T(t, T) - v_T(t, T)v(t, T) = -\alpha(t, T).$$

Now integrate the expression for dY_s from $s = 0$ to t . This gives

$$Y_t - Y_0 = - \int_t^T f(t, s)ds + \int_0^T f(0, s)ds = \int_0^t a(s, T)ds + \int_0^t v(s, T)dW_s.$$

Taking the partial derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial T}$ on both sides, and changing derivation and integration gives

$$-f(t, T) + f(0, T) = \int_0^t a_T(s, T)ds + \int_0^t v_T(s, T)dW_s.$$

Finally take the differential w.r.t. t on both sides. This gives

$$-df(t, T) = a_T(t, T)dt + v_T(t, T)dW_t.$$

The result follows from the above expression for $a_T(t, T)$.

Now part b. Integrating the expresssion

$$df(s, t) = \alpha(s, t)ds + \sigma(s, t)dW_s$$

from $s = 0$ to t and using that $f(t, t) = r_t$ gives,

$$r_t = f(0, t) + \int_0^t \alpha(s, t)ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, t)dW_s.$$

Using the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(s, t) &= \alpha(s, s) + \int_s^t \alpha_T(s, u)du, \\ \sigma(s, t) &= \sigma(s, s) + \int_s^t (\sigma_T(s, u)du \end{aligned}$$

gives

$$\begin{aligned} r_t &= f(0, t) + \int_0^t \alpha(s, s)ds + \int_0^t \int_s^t \alpha_T(s, u)duds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \sigma(s, s)dW_s + \int_0^t \int_s^t \sigma_T(s, u)dudW_s \\ &= f(0, t) + \int_0^t \alpha(s, s)ds + \int_0^t \int_0^u \alpha_T(s, u)dsdu \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \sigma(s, s)dW_s + \int_0^t \int_0^u \sigma_T(s, u)dW_sdu, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the Fubini theorem and the stochastic Fubini theorem. Taking the differential w.r.t. t gives

$$\begin{aligned} dr_t &= f_T(0, t)dt + \alpha(t, t)dt + \left(\int_0^t \alpha_T(s, t)ds \right) dt \\ &\quad + \sigma(t, t)dW_t + \left(\int_0^t \sigma_T(s, t)dW_s \right) dt. \end{aligned} \tag{0.4}$$

From the relation

$$f(t, u) = f(0, u) + \int_0^t \alpha(s, u)ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, u)dW_s$$

we get by taking the partial derivative w.r.t u

$$f_T(t, u) = f_T(0, u) + \int_0^t \alpha_T(s, u)ds + \int_0^t \sigma_T(s, u)dW_s.$$

In particular setting $u = t$ this becomes

$$f_T(t, t) = f_T(0, t) + \int_0^t \alpha_T(s, t)ds + \int_0^t \sigma_T(s, t)dW_s.$$

We therefore get from (0.4)

$$dr_t = (f_T(t, t) + \alpha(t, t))dt + \sigma(t, t)dW_t$$

and the result is proved.

Now the proof of part c. As in the proof of part a, let $Y_t = \log P(t, T)$. Then again using the Fubini and stochastic Fubini theorems we get

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= - \int_t^T f(t, u)du \\ &= - \int_t^T \left(\int_{s=0}^t df(s, u) + f(0, u) \right) du \\ &= - \int_t^T f(0, u)du - \int_{s=0}^t \int_{u=t}^T dudf(s, u) \\ &= - \int_0^T f(0, u)du - \int_{s=0}^t \int_{u=s}^T dudf(s, u) + \int_0^t f(0, u)du + \int_{s=0}^t \int_{u=s}^t dudf(s, u) \\ &= Y_0 - \int_{s=0}^t \int_{u=s}^T (\alpha(s, u)duds + \sigma(s, u)dudW_s) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t f(0, u)du + \int_{s=0}^t \int_{u=s}^t \alpha(s, u)duds + \int_{s=0}^t \int_{u=s}^t \sigma(s, u)dudW_s \\ &= Y_0 + \int_0^t A(s, T)ds + \int_0^t S(s, T)dW_s \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \left(f(0, u) + \int_0^u \alpha(s, u)ds + \int_0^u \sigma(s, u)dW_s \right) du \\ &= Y_0 + \int_0^t A(s, T)ds + \int_0^t S(s, T)dW_s + \int_0^t r_u du. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used that

$$Y_0 = - \int_0^T f(0, u) du$$

and that

$$r_u = f(u, u) = f(0, u) + \int_0^u \alpha(s, u) ds + \int_0^u \sigma(s, u) dW_s.$$

To finish, note that $P(t, T) = f(Y_t)$ where $f(x) = e^x$. Since $f'(x) = f''(x) = f(x)$, we get by Itô's formula

$$\begin{aligned} dP(t, T) &= e^{Y_t} dY_t + \frac{1}{2} e^{Y_t} (dY_t)^2 \\ &= P(t, T)(r_t + A(t, T) + \frac{1}{2} S^2(t, T)) dt + P(t, T) S(t, T) dW_t. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof.

Short rate models

The Vasicek approach to non-arbitrage pricing

We will assume that the short rate follows the SDE

$$dr_t = \mu(t, r_t) dt + \sigma(t, r_t) dW_t,$$

where μ and σ are chosen so that this equation has a unique solution.

The aim is to price a bond $P(t, T)$ in a consistent and arbitrage-free way. Assume at time t the price can be written as

$$P(t, T) = F(t, r_t, T) = F^T(t, r_t)$$

for all t and T with $t \leq T$, and for F^T a $C^{1,2}$ function. Obviously we must have $F^T(T, r_T) = 1$. By Itô's formula

$$\begin{aligned} dF^T(t, r_t) &= (F_t^T(t, r_t) + \mu(t, r_t) F_x^T(t, r_t) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(t, r_t) F_{xx}^T(t, r_t)) dt \\ &\quad + \sigma(t, r_t) F_x^T(t, r_t) dW_t \\ &= \alpha_t^T F^T(t, r_t) dt + \sigma_t^T F^T(t, r_t) dW_t, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha_t^T = \frac{F_t^T(t, r_t) + \mu(t, r_t) F_x^T(t, r_t) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(t, r_t) F_{xx}^T(t, r_t)}{F^T(t, r_t)} \quad (0.5)$$

and

$$\sigma_t^T = \frac{\sigma(t, r_t) F_x^T(t, r_t)}{F^T(t, r_t)}. \quad (0.6)$$

Consider a self-financing portfolio with relative value u_t^S in S -bonds and u_t^T in T -bonds so that

$$u_t^S + u_t^T = 1. \quad (0.7)$$

Then the value of the portfolio, V_t , satisfies (due to self-financing)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dV_t}{V_t} &= u_t^S \frac{dF^S(t, r_t)}{F^S(t, r_t)} + u_t^T \frac{dF^T(t, r_t)}{F^T(t, r_t)} \\ &= (u_t^S \alpha_t^S + u_t^T \alpha_t^T) dt + (u_t^S \sigma_t^S + u_t^T \sigma_t^T) dW_t. \end{aligned}$$

In order for this portfolio to be risk free, it is necessary that

$$u_t^S \sigma_t^S + u_t^T \sigma_t^T = 0. \quad (0.8)$$

But if it is risk free, it must earn the risk free rate of return, i.e.

$$u_t^S \alpha_t^S + u_t^T \alpha_t^T = r_t. \quad (0.9)$$

Solving (0.7) and (0.8) for u_t^S and u_t^T gives

$$\begin{aligned} u_t^T &= -\frac{\sigma_t^S}{\sigma_t^T - \sigma_t^S} \\ u_t^S &= \frac{\sigma_t^T}{\sigma_t^T - \sigma_t^S} \end{aligned}$$

Inserting these solutions into (0.9) then yields

$$\frac{\alpha_t^S \sigma_t^T - \alpha_t^T \sigma_t^S}{\sigma_t^T - \sigma_t^S} = r_t.$$

Multiplying both sides by $\sigma_t^T - \sigma_t^S$ and moving terms to the other side gives

$$(\alpha_t^S - r_t) \sigma_t^T = (\alpha_t^T - r_t) \sigma_t^S$$

Finally dividing both sides by $\sigma_t^T \sigma_t^S$ yields the fundamental relation

$$\frac{\alpha_t^S - r_t}{\sigma_t^S} = \frac{\alpha_t^T - r_t}{\sigma_t^T}.$$

Since the left hand side only depends on the time to maturity S , while the right hand only depends on T , and S and T are arbitrary, it follows that they must both be independent of S and T . Therefore we can define the *Market price of risk* as

$$\lambda_t = \lambda(t, r_t) = \frac{\alpha_t^T - r_t}{\sigma_t^T}. \quad (0.10)$$

and this is independent of time to maturity.

From (0.10) and (0.6) we have

$$\alpha_t^T F^T = (r_t + \lambda_t \sigma_t^T) F^T = r_t F^T + \lambda_t \sigma F_x^T, \quad (0.11)$$

where for simplicity we wrote σ for $\sigma(t, r_t)$ and F^T for $F^T(t, r_t)$. However, from (0.5) we get

$$\alpha_t^T F^T = F_t^T + \mu F_x^T + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 F_{xx}^T. \quad (0.12)$$

Equating (0.11) and (0.12) easily gives

$$F_t^T(t, r_t) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(t, r_t) F_{xx}^T(t, r_t) + (\mu(t, r_t) - \lambda(t, r_t) \sigma(t, r_t)) F_x^T(t, r_t) - r_t F^T(t, r_t) = 0$$

with boundary condition

$$F^T(T, r_T) = 1.$$

Therefore we must solve the PDE

$$F_t^T(t, r) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(t, r) F_{xx}^T(t, r) + (\mu(t, r) - \lambda(t, r) \sigma(t, r)) F_x^T(t, r) - r F^T(t, r) = 0 \quad (0.13)$$

over $[0, T)$ and the relevant interval for r . The boundary condition is

$$F^T(T, r) = 1.$$

If instead we are pricing a derivative which at time of expiration T depends on r_T only, i.e. its value is of the form $\Phi(r_T)$, we would have the same PDE, only the boundary condition would change to

$$F^T(T, r) = \Phi(r).$$

From the Feynman-Kac formula it follows that $F^T(t, r)$ can be written as

$$F^T(t, r) = \tilde{E}^{t,r} \left[e^{-\int_t^T r_s ds} \right], \quad (0.14)$$

where under the measure \tilde{P} ,

$$dr_t = (\mu(t, r_t) - \lambda(t, r_t) \sigma(t, r_t)) dt + \sigma(t, r_t) d\tilde{W}_t \quad (0.15)$$

and \tilde{W} is a \tilde{P} Brownian motion. More exactly

$$\tilde{W}_t = W_t + \int_0^t \lambda(s, r_s) ds.$$

If we instead priced a derivative with value at expiration T equal to $\Phi(r_T)$, its value at time t would be

$$\Pi(t, r) = \tilde{E}^{t,r} \left[\Phi(r_T) e^{-\int_t^T r_s ds} \right]. \quad (0.16)$$

Equivalent to (0.14) we have

$$P(t, T) = \tilde{E} \left[e^{-\int_t^T r_s ds} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right].$$

Let

$$Z(t, T) = B_t^{-1} P(t, T) = e^{-\int_0^t r_s ds} \tilde{E} \left[e^{-\int_t^T r_s ds} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] = \tilde{E} \left[e^{-\int_0^T r_s ds} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right],$$

hence Z is a \tilde{P} martingale w.r.t. $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$. Informally, we therefore get by the Itô representation theorem (informally since we do not know whether \tilde{W} generates $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$),

$$Z(t, T) = P(0, T) + \int_0^t \tilde{h}_s^T d\tilde{W}_s = P(0, T) + \int_0^t h_s^T Z(s, T) d\tilde{W}_s$$

since $Z(0, T) = P(0, T)$ and $Z(t, T) > 0$. From $P(t, T) = B_t Z(t, T)$ we get by using the differential rule for a product

$$dP(t, T) = r_t B_t Z(t, T) dt + B_t dZ(t, T) = r_t P(t, T) dt + h_t^T P(t, T) d\tilde{W}_t. \quad (0.17)$$

Thus under the measure \tilde{P} , $P(t, T)$ has drift equal to the risk free short rate r_t . Under the original measure we have then

$$dP(t, T) = (r_t + h_t^T \lambda(t, r_t)) P(t, T) dt + h_t^T P(t, T) dW_t.$$