## Math 116 Midterm 1 Proof List Max Guo

- 1) Suppose IIXII denotes a real valued function of a vector x that satisfies all the requirements of a norm except perhaps the triangle inequality. Prove IIXII satisfies the triangle inequality iff K: {x | IIXII s 1} is convex.
  - Proof (=>) Let ||x|| satisfy the triangle inequality. Let x,y  $\in$  K. Then consider  $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ .  $||\alpha x + (1-\alpha)y|| \le ||\alpha x|| + ||(1-\alpha)y|| = ||\alpha|||x|| + (1-\alpha)||y|| \le ||\alpha x + ||-\alpha| = 1$ , so  $||\alpha x + (-\alpha)y| \in$  K. (<=) Let K be convex. Then consider  $\frac{x}{||x||}$  and  $\frac{y}{||y||}$  as vectors in K, and  $||\alpha x|| = \frac{||x||}{||x|| + ||y||}$  s.t.  $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ . Then  $||\alpha x + (-\alpha)y|| = ||\frac{x}{||x|| + ||y||} + \frac{y}{||x|| + ||y||}|| \le 1$  since K is convex, so  $||x + y|| \le ||x|| + ||y||$ .
- 2) Prove the interior of a convex set C is convex.
  - Proof Let C denote the interior of convex set C. Let x, y  $\in$  C. We wish to prove,  $\forall x \in [0,1]$ ,  $\exists \in >0$  s.t.  $\exists \in (\alpha x + (1-\alpha)y) \subseteq C$ . Because  $x,y \in C$ ,  $\exists \in (x,y) \subseteq C$ . Let  $g = \min((x,y)) \subseteq C$ . Consider arbitrary we such that ||w|| < g. Then x + w,  $y + w \in C$ , and  $g = \alpha(x + w) + (1-x)(y + w) = \alpha x + (1-\alpha)y + w \in C$ , so  $g = (\alpha x + (1-x)y) \subseteq C$ .  $\forall \alpha \in [0,1]$ .
- 3) Prove the closure of a convex set (C is convex.
  - Proof Let  $\overline{C}$  denote the closure of convex set C. Let  $x,y \in \overline{C}$ . Then,  $\forall \in P$ ,  $y' \in C$  st.  $X' \in B_{\epsilon}(x)$  and  $y' \in B_{\epsilon}(y)$ . Let  $\alpha \in [0,1]$ , and set  $\epsilon \neq 0$ , and let x',y' be as mentioned. Then  $\alpha \times (1-\alpha)y' \in C$ , and  $\|\alpha \times (1-\alpha)y' (\alpha \times (1-\alpha)y)\| \le \alpha \|x x'\| + (1-\alpha)\|y y'\| < \alpha \le (1-\alpha)\epsilon = \epsilon$ . So  $\alpha \times (1-\alpha)y' \in B_{\epsilon}(\alpha \times (1-\alpha)y)$ , so  $\alpha \times (1-\alpha)y \in C$ .
- 4) Prove if the sequence {xn} converges to x and to y, then x=y.

  Proof Let \$70. Then ||x-y|| = ||x-xn+xn-y||

  \$\frac{1}{2} ||x-xn|| + ||xn-y||

  \$\frac{2}{2} + \frac{2}{2} \quad \text{for large enough n}\$

48.

Prove if p.g.>0 satisfy ++ == 1 and x= { x, x, 2, ... } and y - {7, 12, ... }, x elp, y elg, then

Proof Let a = \(\left(\frac{1\frac{\gamma\_{i}}{\ll\gamma\_{i}}\right)^{\beta}\), b = \(\left(\frac{\gamma\_{i}}{\ll\gamma\_{i}}\right)^{\beta}\), \(\lambda = \frac{1}{\gamma\_{i}}\right)^{\beta}\), \(\lambda = \fra

$$\left[ \left( \frac{|x_{1}|}{||x||_{p}} \right)^{1} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \left( \frac{|y_{1}|}{||y_{1}|_{p}} \right)^{2} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \frac{1}{p} \left( \frac{|x_{1}|}{||x||_{p}} \right)^{1} + \frac{1}{p} \left( \frac{|y_{1}|}{||y_{1}|_{p}} \right)^{2}$$

summing over all it we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\|x\|_{p}\|y\|_{q}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|y_{i}\|_{q_{i}} \leq \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|y_{i}\|_{p}^{p}}{\|x\|_{p}^{p}} + \frac{1}{s} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|y_{i}\|_{p}^{p}}{\|y\|_{q}^{p}}$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} 3n \text{ and } 4n$$

which implies our result.

6) The Hölder inequality states that, for an n-dimensional vector space, if p.q. >0 and +++==1, and x. {3,,..., 3, and y= }71, ..., 7,3, xelp, yelf, then

Prove the Minkowski inequality using this, that lixtyllp & lixilp + lighp, for an n-dimensional vector space.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\mathbf{z}_{i} + \eta_{i}|^{p-1} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\mathbf{z}_{i} + \eta_{i}|^{p-1} |\mathbf{z}_{i}| + \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\mathbf{z}_{i} + \eta_{i}|^{p-1} |\eta_{i}|$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\mathbf{z}_{i} + \eta_{i}|^{p-1} |\mathbf{z}_{i}|^{p}\right)^{1/p} \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\mathbf{z}_{i}|^{p}\right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\eta_{i}|^{p}\right)^{1/p}\right)$$

7) Prove every convergent sequence is a Cauchy sequence and every Cauchy sequence is bounded.

Proof Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a convergent sequence. Let 2 > 0. Then  $\exists N \text{ s.t. } \forall n > N$ ,  $\|x_n - x_n\| < \frac{2}{2}$ , where x is the limit of  $\{x_n\}$ . Thus,  $\forall m > N$ ,  $\|x_m - x_n\| = \|x_m - x_t\| < 0$ .  $\|x_m - x_n\| + \|x_m - x_n\| < 0$ ,  $\|x_m - x_n\| < 0$ , so  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy.

To prove boundedness, Fix  $\varepsilon$  = 1. Then  $\exists N$  s.t.  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\|x_n - x_m\| < \varepsilon$ . Thus, letting m = Nt1,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\|x_n\| = \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_n\| + \|x_n\|$ 

3) Prove le v a Banach space.

Proof The main problem is to show Ip is complete. Let {x(n)} be a Chucky sequence in lq, where  $x^{(n)} = \{x_1^{(n)}, x_2^{(n)}, x_3^{(n)}, x_3$ 

Because  $3_i^{(n)}$  are reals, we know  $3_i^{(n)} \rightarrow 3_i$ . Let  $x = \{3_1, 3_2, ...\}$ . I claim x is our desired limit for  $\{x^{(n)}\}$ . First, we show  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Fix k > 0 (finite), and since  $\{x^{(n)}\}$  is Cauchy, let N upper bound  $\|x^{(n)}\|$ .

=> 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} |3_{i}^{(n)}|^{1} < M^{p}$$
  
 $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{k} |3_{i}^{(n)}|^{p} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lim_{n\to\infty} |3_{i}^{(n)}|^{p} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} |3_{i}|^{p} \leq M^{p}$ 

Now let k->00 => ||x||; = \frac{\infty}{2} |\frac{\infty}{3}il' & Mi, so \chiefle.

Now we show x(n) -> X. Fix k >0 (finite) again. Let E>O. I N st Ym, n > N,

Letting m-200, we have:  $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{K} |3_{i}^{(n)} - 3_{i}|^{p}\right)^{1/p} \le \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ 

Finally, letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$ :  $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |3_i^{(n)} - 3_i|^p\right)^{1/p} \leq \epsilon/2 < \epsilon \implies \chi^{(n)} \rightarrow \chi$ .

The Weierstrass polynomial theorem states for any 200 and any continuous 7(t) on [a,b], we can find polynomial p(t) such that ||x(t)-p(t)||<2. Use this and the fact that the collection of all finte Cartesian products of a countable set is countable, prove C[3/1] is separable.

Proof Let R be the set of all finite degree rational polynomials. This is countable because of the fact in the problem statement, and so it suffices to prove any x(4) & C [O,1] is sufficiently well approximated by some polynomical in Ril By the Weierstrass polynomial theorem, 3 p(t) polynomial so [1x(1)-p(t)]|< \(\xi/2\). Now by the deaseness of Q in IR, if we let

consider

=> ||p(+)-r(+)|| = ||ropoll+ ||ri-pilt+...+ ||ropoll+

Ellro-poll+ 11, pill+ ... + 11 myoul since te Cont

$$\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2(N+1)}(N+1) = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

so overall,

||x(t)-r(t)|| = ||x(t)-p(t)|| + ||p(t)-r(t)|| < 2.

10) Suppose X is a Hilbert Space and M is a closed subspece of X. Let x be a vector in X and let Mo be the closest vector to x in M. Prove mo exists and x-mo is arthogonal to M.

Proof Let S = inf 11x-mll. Construt sequence mi, mz,.. EM such that lim 11 ma-x11 = 8.

I claim {mi} is Couchy. Let E > 0. Then by the parallelogram law,

3 N s.t. V ij>N, lk-m; l² < 8²+ 874 and ||x-m; ||² < 8²+ 82/4. Note also that ||x- mitmill² ≥ 8²

=> ||m,-m; ||2 < &2 => ||m;-m; || < &0 fm; } is Cauchy.

X is Hilbert and M is absect so mi converges to mo in X, and mot M, which proves existence.

Note that this proof also works if M is a absed convex subset of X, since Mitm.

Orthogonality (requires M to be absorbed subspace) Suppose for contradiction x-m. is not orthogonal to M, so I unit vector M st. (x-Mo/M) = 8 > 0 Let m, a motom. Then ||x-Mi||<sup>2</sup> = ||x-mo+mo-mi||<sup>2</sup> = ||x-mo||<sup>2</sup> + ||m-mi||<sup>2</sup> - 2(x+o|mi-mo)| = ||x-mo||<sup>2</sup> + 8<sup>2</sup> - 28<sup>2</sup> = ||x-mo||<sup>2</sup> - 8<sup>2</sup>, contradicting minimality of ||x-mo||<sup>2</sup>.

Let K be a closed convex subspace of Hillert space H, and Let x be a vector outside of K. Suppose & & K minimizes 11x-kll. Show. (x-ka|k,-ka) 20 4 k, & K.

Proof Let ka = xko + (1-x)k, EK. Then we have that

$$||x-k_{e}||^{2} = ||x-\alpha k_{0}-(1-\alpha)k_{1}||^{2}$$

$$= ||\alpha(x-k_{0})+(1-\alpha)(x-k_{1})||^{2}$$

$$= ||\alpha(x-k_{0})||^{2} \cdot ||(1-\alpha)(x-k_{1})||^{2} + 2(\alpha(x-k_{0})|(1-\alpha)(x-k_{1})|)$$

$$= \alpha^{2} ||x-k_{0}||^{2} \cdot ||(1-\alpha)^{2} ||x-k_{1}||^{2} + 2\alpha(1-\alpha)(x-k_{0})(x-k_{0})$$

Note that  $||x-k_{w}||^{2}$  is a convex function of  $\alpha$  and his minimum at  $\alpha = 0$ , so  $\frac{d}{d\alpha} ||x-k_{w}||^{2} \ge 0$  for all  $\alpha \in [0,1]$ . Thus, plugging in  $\alpha = 1$ 

=> 
$$(\pi-k_0)$$
  $k_1-k_0$ )  $\geq 0$  as desired.