

**PRESENTER:**

**SCHOOL/INSTITUTE/FACULTY:**

**UNIVERSITY:**

**3MT TITLE:**

### CATEGORY 1: COMPREHENSION AND CONTENT

Strong    7                  6                  5                  4                  3                  2                  1    Weak

Y / N	Did the presentation provide an understanding of the background and significance to the research question being addressed while explaining terminology and avoiding jargon?
Y / N	Did the presentation clearly describe the impact and/or results of the research, including conclusions and outcomes?
Y / N	Did the presentation follow a clear and logical sequence?
Y / N	Was the thesis topic, research significance, results/impact and outcomes communicated in language appropriate to a non-specialist audience?
Y / N	Did the presenter spend adequate time on each element of their presentation - or did they elaborate for too long on one aspect or was the presentation rushed?

### CATEGORY 2: ENGAGEMENT AND COMMUNICATION

Strong    7                  6                  5                  4                  3                  2                  1    Weak

Y / N	Did the oration make the audience want to know more?
Y / N	Was the presenter careful not to trivialise or generalise their research?
Y / N	Did the presenter convey enthusiasm for their research?
Y / N	Did the presenter capture and maintain their audience's attention?
Y / N	Did the speaker have sufficient stage presence, eye contact and vocal range; maintain a steady pace, and have a confident stance?
Y / N	Did the PowerPoint slide enhance the presentation - was it clear, legible, and concise?

### TOTAL SCORE

**Category 1 + Category 2 = \_\_\_\_ / 14**

**ADDITIONAL NOTES:**

---



---



---



---



---



---