



21 MONTH PROGRESS REPORT

Review Meeting

Name of Student:	MIGUEL PEREZ XOCHICALE
Date of Meeting:	
PLEASE GIVE VERY BRIEF COMMENTS ON MEETING:	
<p>The session began with a review of data analysis from a simple movement study. Basic metrics in the time and frequency domain were compared with time series analysis.</p> <p>Questions remain as to how to define variability and, more significantly, why such simple measures are being used. The simple measures are affected by sample size and miss the dynamics of the activity.</p> <p>If the work is exploring skill and variability, the question is how to objectively define level of skill. There is a concern as to whether the work is for ^{shifting to} merely standard activity recognition. For each set of measures, it is important to state what the underlying model of the source, in terms of stability or variance.</p> <p>The main concern relates to how well the work towards the thesis is integrated into a coherent thesis.</p>	
Development Needs Discussed and Planned. Brief list of training/courses to be taken and those completed.	

PLEASE INDICATE OUTCOME OF MEETING BY TICKING APPROPRIATE BOX

- ☒ Progress is satisfactory continue with work for PhD submission, expected submission date: 2018...
- ☐ Progress unsatisfactory – refer to EEE School Postgraduate Progress Panel the panel will arrive at one of three recommendations: (i) Withdraw (ii) Transfer to MSc by research and produce a revised workplan to submission or (iii) The student will perform an additional set of agreed tasks, report back to the panel, which will review this submission and reach a decision to recommend that the PhD student, proceeds to PhD, transfers to MSc by research, or withdraws;

Signature of Student:	
Signature of Supervisor:	
Signature of Independent Assessor:	N. Cooke
Signature of Dr H Ghafouri-Shiraz Postgraduate Research Programme Manager	