





Birmingham International Academy

# Thesis Writing for Scientists and Engineers

Session 4: Results & Discussion Tutor's name: Jane Sjoberg j.e.sjoberg@bham.ac.uk

Please sit near other people

## Where do you expect to find the Results & Discussion?





## I: SITUATING THE RESULTS/DISCUSSION

A Master's level dissertation that has a clear single experimental focus may be organised to follow a very simple linear structure where different chapters present different aspects or stages of the research process.

Read the example from Metallurgy and Materials



1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. BACKGROUND	1
1.2. AIMS AND OBJECTIVES	2
1.3. STRUCTURE OF THE THESIS	2
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	4
3. EXPERIMENTAL	
3.1. MATERIALS.	. 17
3.2. FIBRE SPREADING	. 18
3.2.1. Automated fibre spreading rig	. 18
3.2.2. Fibre spreading using serpentine fibre spreading unit	23
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1 FIBRE SPREADING EXPERIMENTS WITH FIBRE SPREADING RIG	
4.2 FIBRE SPREADING EXPERIMENTS WITH THE SERPENTINE FIBRE SPREADING UNIT . 38	-
5. CONCLUSIONS 57	
6. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK	
7. REFERENCES	<b>E</b>



### PhD theses are more varied

When reporting on a complex research project with multiple stages, analyses and experiments, a PhD thesis is likely to have more than one section on results and/or discussion.

#### <u>Task 1</u>

Look at the following example of a chemical Engineering thesis investigating ice cream.

Highlight the various sections where results are presented and discussed. Also notice how this writer has broken down the discussion sections in each case into sub-sections.



Chapter 2: Questionnaire and Taste Tests	34
2.1 Ice cream questionnaire study	34
2.1.1 Methodology	
2.1.2 Results	37
2.1.3 Discussion	
2.2 Ice cream palatability taste test and portion size test	
2.2.1 Methodology	
2.2.2 Results	56
2.2.3 Discussion	
Chapter 3: Material Properties And Characterisation Of Ice Crea	ım 64
3.1 Material and methods	65
3.1.1 Emulsion preparation	65
3.2 Instrumental measurement methods	69
3.2.1 Particle size measurement	69
3.2.2 Microscopy	
3.2.3 Creaming Profiles	
3.2.4 Tribology	71
3.3.1 Basic emulsions with no e/s mix	
3.3.2 Microscopy of Shear time experiments	74
3.3.3 Basic emulsions with E/S mix (0.5% and 1%)	
3.3.4 Influence of emulsifier/stabilizer mixture on creaming profile of	
3.3.5 Influence of homogenization on fat particle size of emulsions	
3.3.6 Homogenization on creaming profiles of emulsions	
3 3 7 Tribology results	83




Chapter 4: Eating Behaviour Investigations	86
4.1 Analysis of commercially available ice cream eating behaviour thro	ough
a repeated measures design	86
4.1.1 Hypothesis	87
4.1.2 Methodology	87
4.1.3 Results	
4.1.4 Discussion	103
4.2 Analysis of commercially available ice cream eating behaviour thro	ough
a Mixed-between Analysis of Variance experimental design	105
4.2.1 Hypothesis	105
4.2.2 Methodology	106
4.2.3 Results	107
4.2.4 Discussion	130
4.3 Additional triangle tests in which commercially available ice cream	ıs
are manipulated through the process of temperature cycling to alter ice	
crystal size	132
4.3.1 Hypothesis	133
4.3.2 Methodology	133
4.3.3 Results and discussion	135
Chapter 5: Engineering Investigations	
5.1 Material and methods	
5.1.1 Ingredients and nutritional information for commercial ice cream	
5.1.2 Slumping	
5.1.3 Rheology measurements	
5.1.4 Tribology measurements	
5.1.5 Differential Scanning Calorimetry	
5.1.6 Particle size analysis	143
5.2 Results and discussion.	
5.2.1 Rhelology measurements	
5.2.2 Tribology measurements	
5.2.3 Particle droplet size	
5.2.4 Differential scanning calormity	
5.2.5 Meltdown and Slumping	
5.3 Thesis conclusions and future work	162



#### II. THE RESULTS SECTION

Have a quick look at the data in these graphs, taken from a paper:





## Note that:

1. Both tables and figures have a **legend**. In this paper the legend for a table is above the table while the legend for a figure is below it.

Check what the normal practice in your subject is.

2. **Table** is written out in full while **Figure** is abbreviated to Fig.



#### Task 2

Read the text taken from the **results section** from this paper. Refer back to the table and figure above.

Discuss with your neighbour in which order the results have been presented:

Sixty-three percent of the sample obtained at least one prescription drug in the 2-year period as measured by the pharmacy records. Seventy-one percent of the women received at least one prescription drug compared to 53% of the men (Table 1). The women also received a higher number of different drugs (X = 2.2 for women and 1.3 for men) and a higher number of original and refill prescriptions (X = 8.6 for women and 4.5 for men). The relationship

between ge differences a during the 1 men and wo To illustrate the gender by age interactions, we plotted the drug acquisition rates for each sex by age group (fig 1). It can be seen that there are large differences among men and women in the 18 to 29 year old group, but that drug acquisition rates converge in the later years. As expected, men obtained more drugs as they age, presumably as they develop the chronic diseases for which drugs are prescribed. However, the proportion of women obtaining medication and the number of different types of drugs obtained remain fairly high across the life span. Only the number of prescription items increased as women grow older.

. Gender nd largest between



#### The writers chose to present the results in the following order:

- 1. total percentage men and women (Table 1, column 1, bottom)
- 2. total percentage women (column 1)
- 3. total percentage men (column 1)
- 4. mean number (different drugs) women (column 2)
- 5. mean number (different drugs) men (column 2)
- 6. mean number (prescriptions) women (column 3)
- 7. mean number (prescriptions) men (column 3)
- 8. age group (rows 1-4)
- 9. age group 18-29 (Table 1, rows 1-2; Fig. 1)
- 10. the later years (Table 1, other rows; Fig 1.)
- 11. life span (Table 1, Fig. 1)
- The results went from the general to the specific and back.
   Not every single result was given, but the important categories were discussed.

THE UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM

#### **Presentation of Results**

Result 1 General

Result 1 Detailed

Result 2

Result 2
Basic details

Result 2 More Detailed Sixty-three percent of the sample obtained at least one prescription drug in the 2-year period as measured by the pharmacy records. Seventy-one percent of the women received at least one prescription drug compared to 53% of the men (Table 1). The women also received a higher number of different drugs (X = 2.2 for women and 1.3 for men) and a higher number of original and refill prescriptions (X = 8.6 for women and 4.5 for men). The relationship between gender and drug use acquisition varied by age group. Gender differences were greater during the child-bearing years of 18 to 44 and largest during the peak child-bearing years 18 to 29. All six comparisons between men and women under 45 years old yielded significant differences.

To illustrate the gender by age interactions, we plotted the drug acquisition rates for each sex by age group (fig 1). It can be seen that there are large differences among men and women in the 18 to 29 year old group, but that drug acquisition rates converge in the later years. As expected, men obtained more drugs as they age, presumably as they develop the chronic diseases for which drugs are prescribed. However, the proportion of women obtaining medication and the number of different types of drugs obtained remain fairly high across the life span. Only the number of prescription items increased as women grow older.



## A QUICK COMPETITION





## **Talk about British newspapers**

- What's the difference between a quality/popular/midmarket newspaper?
- Are all UK newspapers published every day?
- Which papers do you read?





#### Task 3

Discuss together: in what order would you present the following information<sup>i</sup>?

National newspaper circulations for January with percentage change year on year

National Morning Quality, Circulation, National Sunday Quality, Circulation,		
Yr/Yr	Yr/Yr	
<ul> <li>The Daily Telegraph, 703,249 -9.37</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Independent on Sunday, 155,460 -</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Financial Times, 400,827 -6.46</li> </ul>	4.94	
<ul> <li>The Guardian, 300,540 -12.38</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Observer, 351,019 -16.49</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>The Independent, 186,940 -6.64</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Sunday Telegraph, 525,088 -8.72</li> </ul>	
• The Times, 521,535 -13.22	<ul> <li>The Sunday Times, 1,113,195 -3.67</li> </ul>	
National Morning Popular	National Sunday Popular	
<ul> <li>Daily Mirror, 1,218,425 -10.86</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Daily Star Sunday, 358,814 -0.20</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Daily Record, 323,831 -8.60</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>News of the World, 2,984,469 -1.54</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Daily Star, 779,376 1.41</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Sunday Mail, 395,126 -10.63</li> </ul>	
• The Sun, 3,006,565 -4.43	<ul> <li>Sunday Mirror, 1,124,620 -9.60</li> </ul>	
	• The People, 532,975 -10.36	
National Morning Mid Market	National Sunday Mid Market	
<ul> <li>Daily Express, 674,640 -8.38</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Sunday Express, 585,023 -9.58</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Daily Mail, 2,120,347 -3.64</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Sunday Post, 337,398 -7.88</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>The Mail on Sunday, 2,048,008 -4.07</li> </ul>	



## **Suggestion:**


- The percentage change (with one exception Daily Star all newspaper sales are down).
- A comparison between morning and Sunday papers (no clear trends).
- A comparison in sales of the different types of papers (in order of sales: popular, mid-market, quality).



#### **III. THE DISCUSSION SECTION**

#### Task 4

Quickly look at the discussion section from the previously mentioned paper and discuss what differences you can immediately see between the Results and Discussion sections.





## How does a 'discussion' differ from 'results'?

- No numbers, percentages or other statistics are given, but there is more detail and analysis.
- Comparisons are made to other studies (similarities, differences, importance of the research...).
- The language indicates that a discussion section is evaluative



Women obtain more prescribed drugs and prescriptions, but only in some age groups and drug categories. Sex differences are of greatest magnitude in the child-bearing years and in the dresplanation & are used to prevent or treat female-specifical interpretation and interpretation are used to prevent need to the higher use of drugs by the women who have experienced a full-term pregnancy, female-specific surgical procedures, or other sex-specific diagnoses or complaints (as recorded by the physician).



The results reported here are similar to the findings of other reports based on more objective information. For example, Swedish reports based on pharmacy data show that the difference between male and female drug use in that country varies considerably from one drug class to another, with extremely large differences in the categories associated with women's reproductive role and much smaller differences in the use of expectorants, bronchodilators, cardiovasculars, and other drugs having less association with women's reproductive role. A recent US study based on detailed physician reports also showed that 5 of the 10 drugs most frequently ordered for men related to women's Comparisons reproducti bral contraceptives, two prenatal with other studies vitamins, . Our drug use findings also are similar to findings concerning sex differences in physician utilization. For example, we have found that simply excluding women who gave rrowed sex, differences in use birth during the Critical evaluation of outpatient fa seem to have substantially exaggerated the magnitude of sex differences in physician utilization and medication use and provide little basis to support the hypothesis of physician bias.

Explanation & Interpretation

There are several possible reasons why we found only a slight sex difference in Psychotherapeutic drug use. Unlike many studies documenting the higher use of psychotropic drugs among women, we avoided the use of self-report and proxy respondents. Second, our study was cond Comparisons culturally homogeneous area that is serve with other regarded and accessible multispecialty gr studies/critical affiliates. It is possible that psychosocial evaluation much mare important sole in other geogra Explanation & and physicians. For example, we have other Interpretation noted tiation between reported psychological distress and the use of nonprescription meditation in this population. One plausible interpretation for these findings is that the people in this area are more stoic and less likely to use the medical care system in response to psychological distress, preferring self-medication and other nonmedical methods of coping.

OF BIRMINGHAM

First, the sample was small and there was some attrition as a result of patient and pharmad Limitations and ve have no reason to believe that our conclust their interpretation by affected by this attrition. Most important, ... ective assessment of physical condition despite access to medical records. Nor did we evaluate the appropriateness of the drugs that were prescribed. Therefore, we cannot exclude the possibility that younger men had many problems that went unrecognized and untreated. Nor can we say that the women really needed all the drugs they received, that the disproportionate use of drugs among young women was solely related to their reproductive role, or that psychosocial factors were irrelevant to the ways in which their care was delivered. Despite all of the adjustments, the female rate of drug acquisition remains slightly higher, especially in younger age groups. Thus, it is likely that both physical and psychosocial factors influence the way in which men and women perceive, label and respond to their needs and symptoms, and the way in which physicians respond to their patients. However, as researchers continue to investigate these issues, it is critical that they collect more objective and detailed data and that they disaggregate the types of patients, diseases, symptoms and drugs being investigated.

It is important to note other limitations of investigations of this type.

First, the sample was small, and there was some attrition as a result of patient and pharmacist refusal. However, we have no reason to believe that our conclusions were substantially affected by this attrition. Most important, we had no fully objective assessment of physical condition despite access to medical records. Nor did we evaluate the appropriateness of the drugs that were prescribed. Therefore, we cannot exclude the possibility that younger men had many problems that went unrecognized and untreated. Nor can we say that the women really needed all the drugs they received, that the disproportionate use of drugs among young women was solely related to their reproductive role, or that psychosocial factors were irrelevant to the ways in which their care was delivered. Despite all of the adjustments, the female rate of drug acquisition remains slightly higher, especially in younger age groups. Thus, it is likely that both physical and psychosocial factors influence the way in which men and women perceive, label and respond to their needs and symptoms, and the way in which physicians respond to their patients. However, as researchers continue to investigate these issues, it is critical that they collect more objective and detailed data and that they disaggregate the types of patients, diseases, symptoms and drugs being investigated being investigated.

It is important to note other limitations of investigations of this type.

of patient and pharmacist refusal. However, we have no reason to believe that our conclusions were substantially affected by this attrition. Most important, we had no fully objective assessment of physical condition despite access to medical records. Nor did we evaluate the appropriateness of the drugs that were prescribed. Therefore, we cannot exclude the possibility that younger men had many problems that went unrecognized and untreated. Nor can we say that the women really needed all the drugs they received, that the disproportionate use of drugs among young women was solely related to their reproductive role, or that psychosocial factors were irrelevant to the ways in which their care was delivered. Despite all of the adjustments, the female rate of drug acquisition remains slightly higher, especially in younger age groups. Thus, it is likely that both physical and psychosocial factors influence the way in which men and women perceive, label and respond to their needs and symptoms, and the way in which physicians respond to their patients. However, as researchers continue to investigate these issues, it is critical that they collect more objective and detailed data and that they disaggregate the types of patients, diseases, symptoms and drugs being investigated being investigated.

It is important to note other limitations of investigations of this type.

First, the sample was small, and there was some attrition as a result

of patient and pharmacist refusal. However, we have no reason to believe that our conclusions were substantially affected by this attrition. Most important, we had no fully objective assessment of physical condition despite access to medical records. Nor did we evaluate the appropriateness of the drugs that were prescribed. Therefore, we cannot exclude the possibility that younger men had many problems that went unrecognized and untreated. Nor can we say that the women really needed all the drugs they received, that the disproportionate use of drugs among young women was solely related to their reproductive role, or that psychosocial factors were irrelevant to the ways in which their care was delivered. Despite all of the adjustments, the female rate of drug acquisition remains slightly higher, especially in younger age groups. Thus, it is likely that both physical and psychosocial factors influence the way in which men and women perceive, label and respond to their needs and symptoms, and the way in which physicians respond to their patients. However, as researchers continue to investigate these issues, it is critical that they collect more objective and detailed data and that they disaggregate the types of patients, diseases, symptoms and drugs being investigated being investigated.

It is important to note other limitations of investigations of this type.

First, the sample was small, and there was some attrition as a result

of patient and pharmacist refusal. However, we have no reason to believe that our conclusions were substantially affected by this attrition. Most important, we had no fully objective assessment of physical condition despite access to medical records. Nor did we evaluate the appropriateness of the drugs that were prescribed. Therefore, we cannot exclude the possibility that younger men had many problems that went unrecognized and untreated. Nor can we say that the women really needed all the drugs they received, that the disproportionate use of drugs among young women was solely related to their reproductive role, or that psychosocial factors were irrelevant to the ways in which their care was delivered. Despite all of the adjustments, the female rate of drug acquisition remains slightly higher, especially in younger age groups. Thus, it is likely that both physical and psychosocial factors influence the way in which men and women perceive, label and respond to their needs and symptoms, and the way in which physicians respond to their patients. However, as researchers continue to investigate these issues, it is critical that they collect more objective and detailed data and that they disaggregate the types of patients, diseases, symptoms and drugs being investigated being investigated.

It is important to note other limitations of investigations of this type.

First, the sample was small, and there was some attrition as a result

#### Elements of the discussion section

Discussion sections tend to have 3 stages:

#### Stage 1: summary of the results

#### Possible steps:

- [a.] stating the results
- [b.] general comment on the results

#### Stage 2: evaluation of the results

Remember that not all steps will be present and the order of the steps may be different! Some steps may be more fully developed than others.

#### Possible steps:

- [c.] comparing the results with standard values/ previous research/ theory (judging the acceptability, justifications)
- [d.] limitations of the results
- [e.] explanation of unexpected results
- [f.] claims/ hypothesis
- [g.] reference to previous research in support of the hypothesis

#### Stage 3: conclusion

#### Possible steps:

[h.] recommendations: suggestions for improvement of the experiment, or for a method of improving the results for future research



#### Task 5

In the example above, the various stages have been developed at length. However, these stages can appear in much shorter discussion sections at the paragraph level.

Look at the examples of steps in the article above (task 3). Then identify the steps in the following short discussion section from a journal article<sup>ii</sup>:

A clear deficit is apparent between the mechanical functionality of the human respiratory system and the current technology imposed to provide training. The design specification outlined identifies these shortcomings and highlights the areas that need to be approached so that a suitable technology can be developed. The solutions presented here fulfil the specification to varying degrees and overcome the limitations of existing technologies. Further development is required particularly to permit user evaluations.



## Possible solution:

#### Stating the results

General comment

• (a) A clear deficit is apparent between the mechanical functionality of the human respiratory system and the current technology imposed to provide training. (b) The design specification outlined identifies these shortcomings and highlights the that a suitable technologies are fulfil the specification to varying degrees and overcome the limitations of existing technologies. (h/d) Further development is required particularly to permit user

Limitations & Recommendation



## The language of comparison





### A. The language of comparison

This is likely to be found in step [d]: comparing the results with previous research.

#### 1. Similar findings

The	finding result	is similar to agrees with	that found by Smith et al. (2000)
	figure	is in agreement with is consistent with	that predicted by the Jones formula

#### 2. Different findings

The	finding result	differs from is in contrast with	that found by Smith et al. (2000)
	figure	is higher/lower than is at variance with is contrary to	that predicted by the Jones formula



#### 3. No explicit reference to difference/similarity

An alternative is to report the results found in the literature side by side with the results you have obtained without actually stating the difference. ['They found x, we found y.'] Look at this example:

Arnt and Meeks (2001) <u>have recently published</u> a compilation of results showing total hydrocarbon concentration in the range 10-100 ppb C in a variety of rural areas. They <u>also report</u> their measurements made during a study of the aerosol in the Great Smokey Mountain National Park. They measured about 100 ppb C of gas-phased hydrocarbon, of which only 16% was vegetative. **Our measurements in Abustamanai show** about the same total amount of gas-phase hydrocarbon; <u>however</u>, the average vegetative contribution was about 40%.

Here the writers simply report two pieces of research and then present their own contrasting result. Notice the use of 'however'.

- . However
- ; however

is however be



## B. The language of explanation

This is likely to be found in step [g]: explanation of unexpected results.

The tendency is to do this in a cautious style using hedging, e.g.

This discrepancy may be might be the result of ... due to ... is likely to be appears to be



#### C. The language of claims

This is used in step [h].

The following paragraphs which show the move from an observation to a claim:

Observation	However, a higher number of Conventional Seat Dentists who agree that their neck is supported while seated also reported neck pain.
Claim (cautious)	dentists using Bambach seats are younger than the dentists using Conventional seats, which may <u>imply</u> that younger dentists are more aware about the working posture when compared to older dentists.

Observation	30.6% of the dentists work without taking any rest breaks.
	The results <u>indicated</u> that a higher number of Conventional Seat Dentists who do not take regular rest breaks had bodily pain.

As a writer and researcher, you will need to decide when your claims should be expressed more tentatively and when, instead, you present enough evidence and support to make a stronger assertion.



## Be careful to avoid over-hedging or hedging in inappropriate places!

- It is possible that psychosocial factors may play a much more important role in other geographic areas or among other groups of patients and physicians. \*\*
- It is possible that men may generally have greater muscle mass, chest, and shoulder girth than women. —
- Men generally have...\*
- Our results may show that this might be the right approach in the treatment of some cases.
- Our results indicate that this is...\*



## **More on Reporting Verbs**





# **Claims and Stance**

### Task 6

1) Which of the verbs help you make cautious claims, and which confident ones? Complete the table.

show, demonstrate, suggest, imply, indicate, signify, mean, denote, reveal, represent, confirm, establish, assert, hold.

cautious	confident



# Key

cautious	confident
suggest imply	show demonstrate indicate signify mean denote reveal represent, confirm establish imply assert hold

- Note that 'imply' has 2 meanings:
- 1. indicate the truth or existence of something by suggestion rather than explicit reference (cautious) e.g. This distance modulus would imply a main-sequence turn-off age of 12 Gyr, worsening the age discrepancy.
- 2. **suggest as a logical consequence** (confident) e.g. Although sediments become coarser near the top of the sequence, implying slightly shallower and more energetic conditions, our observations indicate that ....

THE UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM

#### The meaning of reporting verbs

Words like 'indicate' and 'imply' are reporting verbs. They are commonly used in literature reviews and discussion sections.

Look at the reporting verbs (in bold) in the following journal extractiii:

Several oxidised derivatives of alpha-pinene, e.g. pinonic acid, have been found in naturally occurring aerosol particles (Crittenden 1996) and one might expect that oxidised terpene compounds could constitute a large, nonanthropogenic fraction of aerosol particles in rural, forested areas. Went (1980) **suggested** that such compounds are responsible for the blue haze found in mountain areas of the eastern United States. Recent experiments by Stevens et al in the Great Smoky Mountains (1998), Weiss et al in the Shenandoah Valley (2000) and Pierson et al in the Allegheny Mountains (1998), however, **show** that natural hydrocarbons were not significant contributions to the haze in these mountain areas during the periods of observation. These results do not **indicate** that Went's conjecture was incorrect but that sulfate aerosol particles transported into the region now dominate atmospheric light scattering.

The extent of gas-to-particle conversion of terpenes is a subject of controversy. Duce (1998) **concluded** that the bulk of gaseous terpenes from vegetation are rapidly converted to particles although he **recognised** that some contradictory evidence existed and **remarked** that more work was necessary. Conversely Hull (1999) **argued** that under ambient conditions terpenes react to form gas-phased products.



- show reports the observation as 'fact'
- suggest shows that the writer is more or less neutral about the idea being presented.
- argue gives some indication that the writer may attack or disprove the idea later in the report

Positive (Factive)	Neutral	Neutral to Negative
show	suggest	argue

Where would you place the other reporting verbs used in the extract in the table above?

indicate

recognise

conclude

remark

A word of caution is needed here as reporting verbs may indicate more/less agreement depending on the ideas that are expressed in subsequent sentences and/or other evaluative language used.



# **KEY**

Positive (Factive)	Neutral	Neutral to Negative
show indicate	suggest conclude recognise remark	argue



3) What is the difference between the following in terms of the writer's stance (the position he/she has of the idea being reported?). How does the language used help you to understand the value of the reporting verb **argues** in each case?

Rectangular Snip

**Smith (2006) argues** convincingly that universities should hold teaching classes on Saturdays.

**Smith (2006) argues** that universities should hold teaching classes on Saturdays. However, this is not practical in many cases and staff and students have been found to reject proposals for weekend teaching (Foster et al. 2008; Green, 2009).

**Smith (2006) argues** that universities should hold teaching classes on Saturdays. A similar proposal for weekend teaching is also presented by Brown et al. (2010), who point out the benefits in terms of greater flexibility for teaching and learning.



# **KEY**

3) **Smith (2006) argues** convincingly that universities should hold teaching classes on Saturdays. [implies agreement]

**Smith (2006) argues** that should hold teaching classes on Saturdays. However, this is not practical in many cases and staff and students have been found to reject proposals for weekend teaching (Foster et al. 2008; Green, 2009). **[opposing ideas introduced by 'however' indicate a more negative stance]** 

**Smith (2006) argues** that universities should hold teaching classes on Saturdays. A similar proposal for weekend teaching is also presented by Brown et al. (2010), who point out the benefits in terms greater flexibility for teaching and learning. **[further/similar support indicates a more neutral or even positive stance]** 



## Task 7

Add the following to the table:

demonstrate declare contend claim state prove report reveal conjecture speculate imply

### Task 7

Positive (Factive)	Neutral	Neutral to Negative
show	suggest	argue
indicate	conclude	contend
demonstrate	declare	claim
prove	state	conjecture
reveal	report	speculate
imply (meaning 2)	imply (meaning 1)	



# Homework: Check your answers in the Key

### Task 8: Homework Task

Contributors to the journal *Nature* were asked whether for them 'X indicates that' is closer to the strong 'X shows/demonstrates that' or the weak 'X suggests that'. Below are two typical replies, one from the USA and one from the UK.

Make notes about the meaning of the words in the table based on these replies:

imply prove	meaning in different disc	
show demonstrate		
indicate		
suggest		



# Extend your knowledge

- Tonight, read some articles in your subject.
- Look at verbs used for making claims in the Discussion section.
- What verbs are used and how do they affect the strength of the claims?
- What other words or phrases do writers use to make claims?





## D. The language of support

This is likely to happen in step [g], where references are made to previous research, in support of a hypothesis.

HYPOTHESIS	From the similarities it <u>appears</u> that one of the chemicals tested, chlorogenic acid, or a closely related compound may be present in quite large
	quantities throughout the cocoa pod.

TO OTHER SOURCES related substance in cocoa pod material has been reported previously by Griffiths (1998) and Holden (1999).		
--	--	--



## E. The language of recommendation

In step [h], the writer makes suggestions for the improvement of the experiment, for a method of improving the results or for future work to extend the research.

### Example:

The application of the proposed approach for estimating the QOS (Quality of Service) of existing roads in their planning stage <u>could be of great importance</u> for road planners and road authorities. In order to develop the practical procedures based on this model, <u>it would be necessary to</u> use data collected for a variety of roads along the lines of the road study. These data <u>would allow</u> the formulating of better QOS equations and also the definition of the relationships between the subjective evaluations by drivers and the corresponding objective characteristics of driving conditions and road elements. These studies <u>should be repeated</u> periodically for updating the QOS equations and procedures according to changes in the drivers' perceptions, which would reflect changes in vehicle construction, road building materials etc.



### Task 9

What are the missing words in these	e conclusion sections from of journal articles?
	to determine how the interplay between these pro-death activity of AR in prostate cancer cells
breadth of H1-mediated protein-p domains responsible and identifying will lead to clarification of the r	to be focused on determining the full rotein interactions, as well as on mapping the ng the mechanisms through which they act. This many gaps in our current understanding of the nal nature of the linker histone family.
example, prior to inspection for c young patient. Given the limited nur	ve other uses not addressed by these authors: for aries, or in the acclimatisation of an anxious or mber of high quality studies and reviews available, before any changes in clinical practice can be

are needed/ needs / needed

