

PMATH 351: Real Analysis

Instructor Stephen New
 \LaTeX er Iris Jiang

Spring 2020

Contents

1	Cardinality	2
2	Metric Spaces	12
3	Limits and Continuity	22
4	Separability and Completeness	30
	Index	35

1. Cardinality

Definition 1.1 — domain, range, image, inverse image.

Let X and Y be sets and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$. Recall the **domain** of f and the **range** of f are the sets

$$\text{Domain}(f) = X, \text{Range}(f) = f(X) = \{f(x) | x \in X\}$$

for $A \subseteq X$, the **image** of A under f is the set

$$f(A) = \{f(x) | x \in A\}$$

For $B \subseteq Y$, the **inverse image** of B under f is the set

$$f^{-1}(B) = \{x \in X | f(x) \in B\}$$

Definition 1.2 — Composite.

Let X, Y and Z be sets, let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and let $g : Y \rightarrow Z$. We define the **composite** function $(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x))$ for all $x \in X$

Definition 1.3 — injective, surjective, bijective.

We say that f is **injective** (or **one-to-one**) when for every $y \in Y$ there exists **at most** one $x \in X$ such that $f(x) = y$. Equivalently, f is injective when for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$, if $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ then $x_1 = x_2$.

We say that f is **surjective** (or **onto**) when for every $y \in Y$ there exists **at least** one $x \in X$ such that $f(x) = y$. Equivalently, f is surjective when $\text{Range}(f) = Y$

We say that f is **bijective** (or **invertible**) when f is both injective and surjective, that is when for every $y \in Y$ there exists exactly one $x \in X$ such that $f(x) = y$. When f is both injective and surjective, that is when for every $y \in Y$ there exists exactly one $x \in X$ such that $f^{-1} : Y \rightarrow X$ such that for all $y \in Y$, $f^{-1}(y)$ is equal to the unique element $x \in X$ such that $f(x) = y$. Note that when f is bijective so is f^{-1} , and in this case we have $(f^{-1})^{-1} = f$

Theorem 1.1 Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and let $g : Y \rightarrow Z$. Then

- (1) If f and g are both injective then so is $g \circ f$
- (2) If f and g are both surjective then so is $g \circ f$
- (3) If f and g are both invertible then so is $g \circ f$, and in this case $(g \circ f)^{-1} = f^{-1} \circ g^{-1}$

Proof.

- (1) Suppose that f and g are both injective. Let $x_1, x_2 \in X$. If $g(f(x_1)) = g(f(x_2))$ then since g is injective we have $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$, and then since f is injective we have $x_1 = x_2$. Thus $g \circ f$ is injective.
- (2) Suppose that f and g are both surjective. Given $z \in Z$, since g is surjective we can choose $y \in Y$ so that $g(y) = z$, then since f is surjective we can choose $x \in X$ so that $f(x) = y$, and then we have $g(f(x)) = g(y) = z$. Thus $g \circ f$ is surjective.
- (3) Follows (1) and (2). ■

Definition 1.4 — identity function.

For a set X , we define the **identity function** on X to be the function $I_X : X \rightarrow X$ given by $I_X(x) = x$ for all $x \in X$. Note that for $f : X \rightarrow Y$ we have $f \circ I_X = f$ and $I_Y \circ f = f$.

Definition 1.5 — inverse.

Let X and Y be sets and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$. A **left inverse** of f is a function $g : Y \rightarrow X$ given by $g \circ f = I_X$. Equivalently, a function $g : Y \rightarrow X$ is a left inverse of f when $g(f(x)) = x$ for all $x \in X$.

A **right inverse** of f is a function $h : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $f \circ h = I_Y$. Equivalently, a function $h : Y \rightarrow X$ is a right inverse of f when $f(h(y)) = y$ for all $y \in Y$.

Theorem 1.2 Let X and Y be nonempty sets and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$. Then

- (1) f is injective $\iff f$ has a left inverse.
- (2) f is surjective $\iff f$ has a right inverse.
- (3) f is bijective $\iff f$ has a left inverse g and a right inverse h , and in this case we have $g = h = f^{-1}$.

Proof.

- (1) Suppose first that f is injective. Since $X \neq \emptyset$ we can choose $a \in X$ and then define $g : Y \rightarrow X$ as follows: if $y \in \text{Range}(f)$ then (using the fact the f is injective) we define $g(y)$ to be the unique element $x_y \in X$ with $f(x_y) = y$, and if $y \notin \text{Range}(f)$, then we define $g(y) = a$. Then for every $x \in X$ we have $y = f(x) \in \text{Range}(f)$, so $g(y) = x_y = x$, that is $g(f(x)) = x$. Conversely, if f has a left inverse, say g , then f is injective since for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$, if $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ then $x = g(f(x_1)) = g(f(x_2)) = x_2$.
- (2) Suppose first that f is onto. For each $y \in Y$, choose $x_y \in X$ with $f(x_y) = y$, then define $g : X \rightarrow Y$ by $g(y) = x_y$ (We need the Axiom of Choice for this). Then g is a right inverse of f since for every $y \in Y$ we have $f(g(y)) = f(x_y) = y$. Conversely, if f has a right inverse, say g , then f is onto since given any $y \in Y$ we can choose $x = g(y)$ and then we have $f(x) = f(g(y)) = y$.
- (3) Suppose first that f is bijective. The inverse function $f^{-1} : Y \rightarrow X$ is a left inverse for f because given $x \in X$ we can let $y = f(x)$ and then $f^{-1}(y) = x$ so that $f^{-1}(f(x)) = f^{-1}(y) = x$. Similarly, f^{-1} is a right inverse for f because given $y \in Y$ we can let x be the unique element in X with $y = f(x)$ and then we have $x = f^{-1}(y)$ so that $f(f^{-1}(y)) = f(x) = y$. Conversely, suppose that g is a left inverse for f and h

is a right inverse for f . Since f has a left inverse, it is injective by (1). Since f has a right inverse, it is surjective by (2). Since f is injective and surjective, it is bijective. As shown above, the inverse function f^{-1} is both a left inverse and a right inverse. Finally, note that $g = f^{-1} = h$ because for all $y \in Y$ we have

$$g(y) = g(f(f^{-1}(y))) = f^{-1}(y) = f^{-1}(f(h(y))) = h(y)$$

■

Corollary 1.3

Let X and Y be sets. Then there exists an injective map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ if and only if there exists a surjective map $g : Y \rightarrow X$.

Proof. Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an injective map. Then f has a left inverse. Let g be a left inverse of f . Since $g \circ f = I_X$, we see that f is a right inverse of g . Since g has a right inverse, g is surjective. Thus, there is a surjective map $g : Y \rightarrow X$. Similarly, if $g : Y \rightarrow X$ is surjective, then it has a right inverse $f : X \rightarrow Y$ which is injective. ■

Definition 1.6 — same cardinality, less than or equal to, less than.

Let A and B be sets. We say that A and B have the **same cardinality**, and write $|A| = |B|$, when there exists a bijective map: $f : A \rightarrow B$ (or equivalently when there exists a bijective map $g : B \rightarrow A$).

We say that the cardinality of A is **less than or equal to** the cardinality of B , and write $|A| \leq |B|$, when there exists an injective map $f : A \rightarrow B$ (or equivalently a surjective map $g : B \rightarrow A$).

We say that the cardinality of A is **less than** the cardinality of B , and write $|A| < |B|$, when $|A| \leq |B|$ and $|A| \neq |B|$, (that is when there exists an injective map $f : A \rightarrow B$ but there does not exist a bijective map $g : A \rightarrow B$).

We also write $|A| \geq |B|$ when $|B| \leq |A|$; and $|A| > |B|$ when $|B| < |A|$.

■ **Example 1.1** Let $\mathbb{N} = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} | n \geq 0\} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

- (1) The map $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow 2\mathbb{N}$ given by $f(k) = 2k$ is bijective, so $|2\mathbb{N}| = |\mathbb{N}|$.
- (2) The map $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $g(2k) = k$ and $g(2k+1) = -k-1$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is bijective, so we have $|\mathbb{Z}| = |\mathbb{N}|$.
- (3) The map $h : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ given by $h(k, l) = 2^k(2l+1) - 1$ is bijective, so we have $|\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}| = |\mathbb{N}|$.

Theorem 1.4 For all sets A , B and C

- (1) $|A| = |A|$
- (2) If $|A| = |B|$ then $|B| = |A|$
- (3) If $|A| = |B|$ and $|B| = |C|$, then $|A| = |C|$
- (4) $|A| \leq |B| \iff (|A| = |B| \text{ or } |A| < |B|)$
- (5) If $|A| \leq |B|$ and $|B| \leq |C|$, then $|A| \leq |C|$

Proof.

- (1) holds because the identity function $I_A : A \rightarrow A$ is bijective.
- (2) holds because if $f : A \rightarrow B$ is bijective then so is $f^{-1} : B \rightarrow A$.
- (3) holds because if $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $g : B \rightarrow C$ are bijective then so is the composite $g \circ f : A \rightarrow C$

■

Definition 1.7 — finite, infinite, countable.

Let A be a set. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $S_n = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we say that the cardinality of A is equal to n , or that A has n **elements**, and we write $|A| = n$, when $|A| = |S_n|$.

We say that A is **finite** when $|A| = n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We say A is **infinite** when A is not finite. We say that A is **countable** when $|A| = |\mathbb{N}|$.

Note 1.1 When a set A is finite with $|A| = n$, and when $f : A \rightarrow S_n$ is a bijection, if we let $a_k = f^{-1}(k)$ for each $k \in S_n$ then we have $A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$ with the elements a_k distinct. Conversely, if $A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$ with the elements a_k all distinct, then we define a bijection $f : A \rightarrow S_n$ by $f(a_k) = k$. Thus we see that A is finite with $|A| = n$ if and only if A is of the form $A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$ with the elements a_k all distinct. Similarly, a set A is countable if and only if A is of the form $A = \{a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ with the elements a_k all distinct.

Note 1.2 For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if A is a finite set with $|A| = n + 1$ and $a \in A \setminus \{a\} = n$. Indeed, if $A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ with the elements a_i distinct, and if $a = a_k$ so that we have $A \setminus \{a\} = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n\}$, then we can define a bijection $f : S_n \rightarrow A \setminus \{a\}$ by $f(i) = a_i$ for $0 \leq i < k$ and $f(i) = a_{i+1}$ for $k \leq i < n$.

Theorem 1.5 Let A be a set. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) A is infinite
- (2) A contains a countable subset
- (3) $|\mathbb{N}| \leq |A|$
- (4) There exists a map $f : A \rightarrow A$ which is injective but not surjective

Proof.

- (1) \implies (2) Suppose A is infinite. Since $A \neq \emptyset$ we can choose an element $a_0 \in A$. Since $A \neq \{a_0\}$ we can choose an element $a_1 \in A \setminus \{a_0\}$. Since $A \neq \{a_0, a_1\}$ we can choose $a_2 \in A \setminus \{a_0, a_1\}$. Continue this procedure: having chosen distinct elements $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in A$, since $A \neq \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$ we can choose $a_n \in A \setminus \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$. In this way we obtain $\{a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots\} \subseteq A$.
- (2) \iff (3) Suppose that A contains a countable subset, say $\{a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots\} \subseteq A$ with the element a_i distinct. Since a_i are distinct, the map $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ given by $f(k) = a_k$ is injective, and so we have $|\mathbb{N}| \leq |A|$. Conversely as a map from $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow f(\mathbb{N})$ where f is bijective, so we have $|\mathbb{N}| = |f(\mathbb{N})|$ hence $f(\mathbb{N})$ is a countable subset of A .
- (2) \implies (4) Suppose that A has a countable subset, say $\{a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots\} \subseteq A$ with the element a_i distinct. Define $f : A \rightarrow A$ by $f(a_k) = a_{k+1}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and by $f(b) = b$ for all $b \in A \setminus \{a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots\}$. Then f is injective but not surjective (the element a_0 is not in the range of f).
- (4) \implies (1) To prove this we shall prove that if A is finite then every injective map $f : A \rightarrow A$ is surjective. We prove this by induction on the cardinality of A .
The only set A with $|A| = 0$ is the set $A \neq \emptyset$, and then the only function $f : A \rightarrow A$ is the empty function, which is surjective.
Since that base case may appear too trivial, let us consider the next case. Let $n = 1$ and let A be a set with $|A| = 1$, say $A = \{a\}$. The only function $f : A \rightarrow A$ is the function given by $f(a) = a$, which is surjective.
Let $n \geq 1$ and suppose, inductively, that for every set A with $|A| = n$, every injective

map $f : A \rightarrow A$ is surjective. Let B be a set with $|B| = n + 1$ and let $g : B \rightarrow B$ be injective.

Suppose, for a contradiction, that g is not surjective. Choose an element $b \in B$ which is not in the range of g so that we have $g : B \rightarrow B \setminus \{b\}$. Let $A = B \setminus \{b\}$ and let $f : A \rightarrow A$ be given by $f(x) = g(x)$ for all $x \in A$. Since $g : B \rightarrow A$ is injective and $f(x) = g(x)$ for all $x \in A$, f is also injective. Again since g is injective, there is no element $x \in B \setminus \{b\}$ with $g(x) = g(b)$, so there is no element $x \in A$ with $f(x) = g(b)$, and so f is not surjective. Since $|A| = n$, this contradicts the induction hypothesis. Thus g must be surjective.

By the Principle of Induction, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every set A with $|A| = n$, every injective function $f : A \rightarrow A$ is surjective. ■

Corollary 1.6

Let A and B be sets.

- (1) If A is countable then A is infinite
- (2) When $|A| \leq |B|$, if B is finite so is A (equivalently if A is infinite then so is B)
- (3) If $|A| = n$ and $|B| = m$ then $|A| = |B|$ if and only if $n = m$
- (4) If $|A| = n$ and $|B| = m$ then $|A| \leq |B|$ if and only if $n \leq m$
- (5) When one of the two sets A and B is finite, if $|A| \leq |B|$ and $|B| \leq |A|$ then $|A| = |B|$

Proof.

- (1) If A is countable then A contains a countable subset (itself), so A is infinite by Theorem 1.5.
- (2) Suppose that $|A| \leq |B|$ and that $|A|$ is infinite. Since A is infinite, we have $|\mathbb{N}| \leq |A|$ (by Theorem 1.5). Since $|\mathbb{N}| \leq |A|$ and $|A| \leq |B|$ we have $|\mathbb{N}| \leq |B|$ (by Theorem 1.4). Since $|\mathbb{N}| \leq |B|$, B is infinite (by Theorem 1.5).
- (3) Suppose that $|A| = n$ and $|B| = m$. If $n = m$ then we have $S_n = S_m$ and so $|A| = |S_n| = |S_m| = |B|$. Conversely, suppose that $|A| = |B|$. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $n \neq m$, say $n > m$, and note that $S_m \subsetneq S_n$. Since $|A| = |B|$ we have $|S_n| = |A| = |B| = |S_m|$ so we must have $n = m$.
- (4) Suppose $|A| = n$ and $|B| = m$. If $n \leq m$ then $S_n \subseteq S_m$ so the inclusion map $I : S_n \rightarrow S_m$ is injective and we have $|A| = |S_n| \leq |S_m| = |B|$. Conversely, suppose that $|A| \leq |B|$ and suppose, for a contradiction, that $n > m$. Since $|A| \leq |B|$ we have $|S_n| = |A| \leq |B| = |S_m|$ so we can choose an injective map $f : S_n \rightarrow S_m$. Since $n > m$ we have $S_m \subsetneq S_n$ so we can consider f as a map $f : S_n \rightarrow S_m$, and this map is injective but not surjective. This contradicts Theorem 1.5, and so $n \leq m$.
- (5) Suppose that one of the two sets A and B is finite, and that $|A| \leq |B|$ and $|B| \leq |A|$. If A is finite then, since $|B| \leq |A|$, (2) implies that B is finite. If B is finite then, since $|A| \leq |B|$, (2) implies that A is finite. Thus, in either case, we see that A and B are both finite. Since A and B are both finite with $|A| \leq |B|$ and $|B| \leq |A|$, we must have $|A| = |B|$ by (3) and (4). ■

Theorem 1.7 Let A be a set. Then $|A| \leq |\mathbb{N}| \iff A$ is finite or countable.

Proof. First we claim that every subset of \mathbb{N} is either finite or countable. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that A is not finite.

Since $A \neq \emptyset$, we can set $a_0 = \min\{A\}$ (using the Well-Ordering Property of \mathbb{N}). Note that

$\{0, 10, \dots, a_0\} \cap A = \{a_0\}$.

Since $A \neq \{a_0\}$ (so the set $A \setminus \{a_0\}$ is nonempty), we can set $a_1 = \min\{A \setminus \{a_0\}\}$. Then we have $a_0 < a_1$ and $\{0, 1, \dots, a_1\} \cap A = \{a_0, a_1\}$.

Since $A \neq \{a_0, a_1\}$ we can set $a_2 = \min\{A \setminus \{a_0, a_1\}\}$. Then we have $a_0 < a_1 < a_2$ and $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, a_2\} \cap A = \{a_0, a_1, a_2\}$.

We continue the procedure: having chosen $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in A$ with $a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_{n-1}$ such that $\{0, 1, \dots, a_{n-1}\} \cap A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$. Since $A \neq \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$, we can set $a_n = \min\{A \setminus \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}\}$ and then we have $a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_{n-1} < a_n$ and $\{0, 1, \dots, a_n\} \cap A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n\}$.

In this way, we obtain a countable set $\{a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots\} \subseteq A$ with $a_0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots$ with the property that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, a_m\} \cap A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m\}$.

Since $0 \leq a_0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots$, it follows (by induction) that $a_k \geq k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows in turn that $A \subseteq \{a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ because given $m \in A$, since $m \leq a_m$ we have

$$m \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m\} \cap A \subseteq \{0, 1, 2, \dots, a_m\} \cap A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m\}.$$

Thus $A = \{a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ and the elements a_i are distinct, so A is countable. This proves our claim that every subset of \mathbb{N} is either finite or countable.

Suppose that $|A| \leq |\mathbb{N}|$ and choose an injective map $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. Since f is injective, when we consider it as a map $f : A \rightarrow f(A)$, it is bijective, and so $|A| = |f(A)|$. Since $f(A) \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, the previous paragraph shows that $f(A)$ is either finite or countable. If $f(A)$ is finite with $|f(A)| = n$ then $|A| = |f(A)| = |S_n|$, and if $f(A)$ is countable then we have $|A| = |f(A)| = |\mathbb{N}|$. Thus A is finite or countable. ■

Theorem 1.8 Let A be a set. Then

- (1) $|A| < |\mathbb{N}| \iff A$ is finite
- (2) $|\mathbb{N}| < |A| \iff A$ is neither finite nor countable
- (3) if $|A| \leq |\mathbb{N}|$ and $|\mathbb{N}| \leq |A|$ then $|A| = |\mathbb{N}|$

Proof.

(1) By Theorem 1.5

$$\begin{aligned} |A| < |\mathbb{N}| &\iff (|A| \leq |\mathbb{N}| \text{ and } |A| \neq |\mathbb{N}|) \\ &\iff (A \text{ is finite or countable and } A \text{ is not countable}) \\ &\iff A \text{ is finite} \end{aligned}$$

(2) By Theorem 1.7

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{N}| < |A| &\iff (|\mathbb{N}| \leq |A| \text{ and } |\mathbb{N}| \neq |A|) \\ &\iff (A \text{ is not finite and } A \text{ is not countable}) \end{aligned}$$

(3) Suppose that $|A| \leq |\mathbb{N}|$ and $|\mathbb{N}| \leq |A|$. Since $|A| \leq |\mathbb{N}|$, we know that A is finite or countable by Theorem 1.7. Since $|\mathbb{N}| \leq |A|$, we know that A is infinite by Theorem 1.5. Since A is finite or countable and A is not finite, it follows that A is countable. Thus $|A| = |\mathbb{N}|$. ■

Definition 1.8 — at most countable, uncountable.

Let A be a set. When A is countable we write $|A| = \aleph_0$. When A is finite we write $|A| < \aleph_0$. When A is infinite we write $|A| \geq \aleph_0$. When A is either finite or countable we write $|A| \leq \aleph_0$ and we say that A is **at most countable**. When A is neither finite nor

countable we write $|A| > \aleph_0$ and we say that A is **uncountable**.

Theorem 1.9

- (1) If A and B are countable sets, then so is $A \times B$
- (2) If A and B are countable sets, then so is $A \cup B$
- (3) If A_0, A_1, A_2, \dots are countable sets, then so is $\bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k$
- (4) \mathbb{Q} is countable

Proof.

- (1) Let $A = \{a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ with the a_i distinct and let $B = \{b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots\}$ with b_i distinct. Since every positive integer can be written uniquely in the form $2^k(2l+1)$ with $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, the map $f : A \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ given by $f(a_k, b_l) = 2^k(2l+1) - 1$ is bijective, and so $|A \times B| = |\mathbb{N}|$
- (2) Similar to (1), since the map $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A \cup B$ given by $g(k) = a_k$ is injective, we have $|\mathbb{N}| \leq |A \cup B|$. Since the map $h : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A \cup B$ given by $h(2k) = a_k$ and $h(2k+1) = b_k$ is surjective, we have $|A \cup B| \leq |\mathbb{N}|$. Since $|\mathbb{N}| \leq |A \cup B|$ and $|A \cup B| \leq |\mathbb{N}|$, we have $|A \cup B| = |\mathbb{N}|$ by Theorem 1.8
- (3) For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $A_k = \{a_{k0}, a_{k1}, a_{k2}, \dots\}$ with the a_{ki} distinct. Since the map $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k$ given by $f(k) = a_{0,k}$ is injective, $|\mathbb{N}| \leq \left| \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k \right|$. Since $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is countable by (1), and since the map $g : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k$ given by $g(k, l) = a_{k,l}$ is surjective, we have $\left| \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k \right| \leq |\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}| = |\mathbb{N}|$. By Theorem 1.8, we have $\left| \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k \right| = |\mathbb{N}|$.
- (4) Since the map $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ given by $f(k) = k$ is injective, we have $|\mathbb{N}| \leq |\mathbb{Q}|$. Since the map $g : \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ given by $g(\frac{a}{b}) = (a, b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $b > 0$ and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, is injective, and since $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is countable, we have $|\mathbb{Q}| \leq |\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}| = |\mathbb{N}|$. Since $|\mathbb{N}| \leq |\mathbb{Q}|$ and $|\mathbb{Q}| \leq |\mathbb{N}|$, we have $|\mathbb{Q}| = |\mathbb{N}|$

■

Exercise 1.1 Let A be a countable set. Show that the set of finite sequences with terms in A is countable. Show that the set of all finite subsets of A is countable.

Definition 1.9 — power set.

For a set A , let $\mathcal{P}(A)$ denote the **power set** of A , that is the set of all subsets of A , and let 2^A denote the set of all functions from A to $S_2 = \{0, 1\}$

Theorem 1.10

- (1) For every set A , $\mathcal{P}(A) = |2^A|$
- (2) For every set A , $|A| < \mathcal{P}(A)$
- (3) \mathbb{R} is uncountable

Proof.

- (1) Let A be any set. Define a map $g : \mathcal{P}(A) \rightarrow 2^A$ as follows: given $S \in \mathcal{P}(A)$, that is given $S \subseteq A$, we define $g(S) \in 2^A$ to be the map $g(S) : A \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ given by

$$g(S)(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \in S, \\ 0 & \text{if } a \notin S. \end{cases}$$

Define map $h : 2^A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A)$ as follows: given $f \in 2^A$, that is given a map: $f : A \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, we define $h(f) \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ to be the subset

$$h(f) = \{a \in A \mid f(a) = 1\} \subseteq A$$

This maps g and h are the inverses of each other because for every $S \subseteq A$ and every $f : A \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f = g(S) &\iff \forall a \in A, f(a) = g(S)(a) \iff \forall a \in A, f(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \in S, \\ 0 & \text{if } a \notin S. \end{cases} \\ &\iff \forall a \in A, (f(a) = 1 \iff a \in S) \iff \{a \in A \mid f(a) = 1\} = S \\ &\iff h(f) = S \end{aligned}$$

- (2) Let A be any set. Since the map $f : A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A)$ given by $f(a) = \{a\}$ is injective, we have $|A| \leq |\mathcal{P}(A)|$. We need to show that $|A| \neq |\mathcal{P}(A)|$. Let $g : A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A)$ be any map. Let $S = \{a \in A \mid a \notin g(a)\}$. Note that S cannot be in the range of g because we could choose $a \in A$ so that $g(a) = S$ then, by the definition of S , we would have

$$a \in S \iff a \notin g(a) \iff a \notin S$$

which is impossible. Since S is not in the range of g , the map g is not surjective. Since g was an arbitrary map from A to $\mathcal{P}(A)$, it follows that there is no surjective map from A to $\mathcal{P}(A)$. Thus there is no bijective map from A to $\mathcal{P}(A)$ and so we have $|A| \neq |\mathcal{P}(A)|$.

- (3) We prove \mathbb{R} is uncountable using the fact that every real number has a unique decimal expansion which does not end with an infinite string of 9's. Define a map $g : 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows: given $f \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$, that is given a map $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, we define $g(f)$ to be the real number of $g(f) \in [0, 1)$ with the decimal expansion $g(f) = 0.f(1)f(2)f(3)\dots$, that is $g(f) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f(k)10^{-k-1}$. By the uniqueness of decimal expansions, the map g is injective, so we have $|2^{\mathbb{N}}| \leq |\mathbb{R}|$. Thus $|\mathbb{N}| < |\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})| = |2^{\mathbb{N}}| \leq |\mathbb{R}|$, and so \mathbb{R} is uncountable by Theorem 1.8. ■

Theorem 1.11 — Cantor-Schroeder-Bernstein.

Let A and B be sets. Suppose that $|A| \leq |B|$ and $|B| \leq |A|$. Then $|A| = |B|$

Proof. We sketch a proof. Choose injective functions $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $g : B \rightarrow A$. Since the functions $f : A \rightarrow f(A)$, $g : B \rightarrow g(B)$ and $f : g(B) \rightarrow f(g(B))$ are bijective, we have $|A| = |f(A)|$ and $|B| = |g(B)| = |f(g(B))|$. Also note that $f(g(B)) \subseteq f(A) \subseteq B$. Let $X = f(g(B))$, $Y = f(A)$ and $Z = B$. Then we have $X \subseteq Y \subseteq Z$ and we have $|x| = |z|$ and we need to show that $|Y| = |Z|$. The composite $h = f \circ g : Z \rightarrow X$ is a bijective.

Define sets Z_n and Y_n for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ recursively by

$$Z_0 = Z, Z_n = h(Z_{n-1}) \text{ and } Y_0 = Y, Y_n = h(Y_{n-1})$$

Since $Y_0 = Y$, $Z_0 = Z$, $Z_1 = h(Z_0) = h(Z) = X$ and $X \subseteq Y \subseteq Z$, we have

$$Z_1 \subseteq Y \subseteq Z_0$$

Also note that for $1 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$Z_n \subseteq Y_{n-1} \subseteq Z_{n-1} \implies h(Z_n) \subseteq h(Y_{n-1}) \subseteq h(Z_{n-1}) \implies Z_{n+1} \subseteq Y_n \subseteq Z_n$$

By the Induction Principle, it follows that $Z_n \subseteq Y_{n-1} \subseteq Z_{n-1}$ for all $n \geq 1$, so we have

$$Z_0 \supseteq Y_0 \supseteq Z_1 \supseteq Y_1 \supseteq Z_2 \supseteq Y_2 \supseteq \cdots$$

Let $U_n = \frac{Z_n}{Y_n}$, $U = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n$ and $V = \frac{Z}{U}$. Define $H : Z \rightarrow Y$ by

$$H(x) = \begin{cases} h(x) & \text{if } x \in U \\ x & \text{if } x \in V \end{cases}$$

Verify that H is bijective. ■

Exercise 1.2 Show that $|\mathbb{R}| = |2^{\mathbb{N}}|$

Solution. $g : 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows: for $f \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ we let $g(f)$ be the real number $g(f) \in [0, 1]$ with decimal expansion $g(f) = 0.f(1)f(2)\cdots$. Then g is injective so $|2^{\mathbb{N}}| \leq |\mathbb{R}|$. Define $h : 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as follows: for $f \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ let $h(f)$ be the real number $h(f) \in [0, 1]$ with binary expansion $h(f) = 0.f(0)f(1)f(2)\cdots$. Then h is surjective so we have $|[0, 1]| \leq |2^{\mathbb{N}}|$. The map $k : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ given by $k(x) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \tan^{-1}(x)$ is injective, so we have $|\mathbb{R}| \leq |[0, 1]|$. Since $|\mathbb{R}| \leq |[0, 1]| \leq |2^{\mathbb{N}}|$ and $|2^{\mathbb{N}}| \leq |\mathbb{R}|$, we have $|\mathbb{R}| = |2^{\mathbb{N}}|$ by the Cantor-Schroeder-Bernstein Theorem (1.11) ■

Notation 1.1 For sets A and B , we write A^B to denote the set of functions $f : B \rightarrow A$

Theorem 1.12 Let A and B be finite sets and let $\mathcal{P}(A)$ is the power set of A (that is the set of all subsets of A). Then

- (1) if A and N are disjoint then $|A \cup B| = |A| + |B|$
- (2) $|A \times B| = |A| \cdot |B|$
- (3) $|A^B| = |A|^{|B|}$
- (4) $|\mathcal{P}| = 2^{|A|}$

Proof. The proof is left as an exercise ■

Theorem 1.13 Let A, B, C and D be sets with $|A| = |C|$ and $|B| = |D|$. Then

- (1) if $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $C \cap D = \emptyset$ then $|A \cup B| = |C \cup D|$
- (2) $|A \times B| = |C \times D|$
- (3) $|A^B| = |C^D|$

Proof. The proof is left as an exercise ■



It is possible to define certain specific sets called **cardinals** such that for every set A there exists a unique cardinal κ with $|A| = |\kappa|$. We can then define the **cardinality** of a set A to be equal to the unique cardinal κ such that $|A| = |\kappa|$ and, in this case, we define the **cardinality** of the set A to be $|A| = \kappa$. In foundational set theory, the natural numbers are defined, formally, to be equal to the sets $0 = \emptyset$, $1 = \{0\} = \{\emptyset\}$, $2 = \{0, 1\} = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}$ and, in general, $n + 1 = n \cup \{n\}$ so that the natural number n is equal to the set that we previously denoted by S_n , that is $n = S_n = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. The finite cardinals are equal to the natural numbers and the countable cardinal \aleph_0 is equal to the set of natural numbers. The previous theorem allows us to define **arithmetic operations** on cardinals which extend the usual arithmetic operations on the natural numbers. Given cardinals κ and λ we define $\kappa + \lambda$, $\kappa \cdot \lambda$ and κ^λ to be the cardinals such that

$$\kappa + \lambda = |(\kappa \times \{0\}) \cup (\lambda \times \{1\})|$$

- $\kappa \cdot \lambda = |\kappa \times \lambda|$
- $\kappa^\lambda = |\kappa^\lambda|$

Theorem 1.14 Let κ, λ and μ be cardinals. Then

- (1) $\kappa + \lambda = \lambda + \kappa$
- (2) $(\kappa + \lambda) + \mu = \kappa + (\lambda + \mu)$
- (3) $\kappa + 0 = \kappa$
- (4) $\lambda \leq \mu \implies \kappa + \lambda \leq \kappa + \mu$
- (5) $\kappa \cdot \lambda = \lambda \cdot \kappa$
- (6) $(\kappa \cdot \lambda) \cdot \mu = \kappa \cdot (\lambda \cdot \mu)$
- (7) $\kappa \cdot 1 = \kappa$
- (8) $\kappa \cdot (\lambda + \mu) = (\kappa \cdot \lambda) + (\kappa \cdot \mu)$
- (9) $\lambda \leq \mu \implies \kappa \cdot \lambda \leq \kappa \cdot \mu$
- (10) $\kappa^{\lambda+\mu} = \kappa^\lambda \cdot \kappa^\mu$
- (11) $(\kappa^\lambda)^\mu = \kappa^{\lambda \cdot \mu}$
- (12) $(\kappa \cdot \lambda)^\mu = \kappa^\mu \cdot \lambda^\mu$
- (13) $\lambda \leq \mu \implies \kappa^\lambda \leq \kappa^\mu$
- (14) $\kappa \leq \lambda \implies \kappa^\mu \leq \lambda^\mu$

Proof. We sketch a proof for (9) and (11) and leave the rest as an exercise.

- (9) Let A, B and C be sets with $|A| = \kappa, |B| = \lambda$ and $|C| = \mu$ and suppose that $|B| \leq |C|$.

We need to show that $|A \times B| \leq |A \times C|$. Let $f : B \rightarrow C$ be an injective map. Define $F : A \times B \rightarrow A \times C$ by $F(a, b) = (a, f(b))$ then verify that F is injective.

- (11) Let A, B and C be sets with $|A| = \kappa, |B| = \lambda$ and $|C| = \mu$. We need to show $|(A^B)^C| = |A^{B \times C}|$. Define $F : (A^B)^C \rightarrow A^{B \times C}$ by $F(f)(b, c) = f(c)(b)$. Verify that F is bijective with inverse $G : A^{B \times C} \rightarrow (A^B)^C$ given by $G(g)(c)(b) = g(b, c)$

■

Exercise 1.3 Show that $\left| \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{R}^n \right| = 2^{\aleph_0}$

Exercise 1.4 Find $|\mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}|$

2. Metric Spaces

Definition 2.1 — inner product, orthogonal, homomorphism, isomorphism.

isomorphism Let $F = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . Let U be a vector space over F . An **inner product** on U (over F) is function $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : U \times U \rightarrow F$ (meaning that if $u, v \in U$ then $\langle u, v \rangle \in F$) such that for all $u, v, w \in U$ and all $t \in F$ we have

(Sesquilinearity)

$$(1) \quad \langle u + v, w \rangle = \langle u, w \rangle + \langle v, w \rangle, \langle tu, v \rangle = t \langle u, v \rangle \\ \langle u, v + w \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle + \langle u, w \rangle, \langle u, tv \rangle = \bar{t} \langle u, v \rangle$$

(2) (Conjugate Symmetry)

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \overline{\langle v, u \rangle}$$

(3) (Positive Definition)

$$\langle u, u \rangle \geq 0 \text{ with } \langle u, u \rangle = 0 \iff u = 0$$

For $u, v \in U$, $\langle u, v \rangle$ is called the **inner product** of u with v . We say that u and v are **orthogonal** when $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$. An **inner product space** (over F) is a vector space over F equipped with an inner product. Given two inner product spaces U and V over F , a linear map $L : U \rightarrow V$ is called a **homomorphism** of inner product spaces (or we say that L preserves inner product) when $\langle L(x), L(y) \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$ for all $x, y \in U$. A bijection homomorphism is called an **isomorphism**.

Definition 2.2 — norm (length).

Let U be an inner product space over $F = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . For $u \in U$, we define the **norm** (or **length**) of u to be

$$\|u\| = \sqrt{\langle u, u \rangle}$$

Theorem 2.1 Let U be an inner product space over $F = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . For $u, v \in U$ and $t \in F$ we have

- (1) (Scaling) $\|tu\| = |t|\|u\|$
- (2) (Positive Definiteness) $\|u\| \geq 0$ with $\|u\| = 0 \iff u = 0$
- (3) $\|u + v\|^2 = \|u\|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re} \langle u, v \rangle + \|v\|^2$

- (4) (Polarization Identity) if $F = \mathbb{R}$ then $\langle u, v \rangle = \frac{1}{4}(\|u + v\|^2 - \|u - v\|^2)$ and if $F = \mathbb{C}$ then $\langle u, v \rangle = \frac{1}{4}(\|u + v\|^2 + i\|u + iv\|^2 - \|u - v\|^2 - i\|u - iv\|^2)$
- (5) (The Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality) $|\langle u, v \rangle| \leq \|u\|\|v\|$ with $|\langle u, v \rangle| = \|u\|\|v\|$ if and only if $\{u, v\}$ is linearly dependent
- (6) (The Triangle Inequality) $|\|u\| - \|v\|| \leq \|u\| + \|v\|$

Proof. The first 4 parts are easy to prove.

- (5) Suppose that $\{u, v\}$ is linearly dependent. Then one of u and v is a multiple of the other, say $v = tu$ with $t \in F$. Then we have $|\langle u, v \rangle| = |\langle u, tu \rangle| = |\bar{t}\langle u, u \rangle| = |t|\|u\|^2 = \|u\|\|tu\| = \|u\|\|v\|$. Next suppose that $\{u, v\}$ is linearly independent. Then $1 \cdot v + t \cdot u \neq 0$ for all $t \in F$, so in particular $v - \frac{\langle v, u \rangle}{\|u\|^2}u \neq 0$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \|v - \frac{\langle v, u \rangle}{\|u\|^2}u\|^2 = \left\langle v - \frac{\langle v, u \rangle}{\|u\|^2}u, v - \frac{\langle v, u \rangle}{\|u\|^2}u \right\rangle \\ &= \langle v, v \rangle - \frac{\overline{\langle v, u \rangle}}{\|u\|^2} \langle v, u \rangle - \frac{\langle v, u \rangle}{\|u\|^2} \langle u, v \rangle + \frac{\langle v, u \rangle}{\|u\|^2} \frac{\overline{\langle v, u \rangle}}{\|u\|^2} \langle u, u \rangle \\ &= \|v\|^2 - \frac{|\langle v, u \rangle|^2}{\|u\|^2} \end{aligned}$$

So that $\frac{|\langle v, u \rangle|^2}{\|u\|^2} < \|v\|^2$ and hence $|\langle u, v \rangle| \leq \|u\|\|v\|$

- (6) Using (3) and (5), and the inequality $|\operatorname{Re}(z)| \leq |z|$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ (which follows Pythagoras' Theorem in \mathbb{R}^2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u + v\|^2 &= \|u\|^2 + \operatorname{Re} \langle u, v \rangle + \|v\|^2 \leq \|u\|^2 + 2|\langle u, v \rangle| + \|v\|^2 \\ &\leq \|u\|^2 + 2\|u\|\|v\| + \|v\|^2 = (\|u\| + \|v\|)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Taking the square root on both sides gives $\|u + v\| \leq \|u\| + \|v\|$. Finally note that $\|u\| = \|(u + v) - v\| \leq \|u + v\| + \|-v\| = \|u + v\| + \|v\|$ so that we have $\|u\| - \|v\| \leq \|u + v\|$, and similarly $\|v\| - \|u\| \leq \|u + v\|$, hence $|\|u\| - \|v\|| \leq \|u + v\|$ ■

Definition 2.3 — norm, unit vector, normed linear space, homomorphism, isomorphism.

Let $F = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . Let U be a vector space over F . A **norm** on U is a function $\| \cdot \| : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (meaning that if $u \in U$ then $\|u\| \in \mathbb{R}$) such that for all $u, v \in U$ and all $t \in F$ we have

- (1) (Scaling) $\|tu\| = |t|\|u\|$
- (2) (Positive Definiteness) $\|u\| \geq 0$ with $\|u\| = 0 \iff u = 0$
- (3) (Triangle Inequality) $\|u + v\| \leq \|u\| + \|v\|$

For $u \in U$ the real number $\|u\|$ is called the **norm** (or **length**) of u , and we say that u is a **unit vector** when $\|u\| = 1$. A **normed linear space** (over F) is a vector space equipped with a norm. Given two normed linear spaces U and V over F , a linear map $L : U \rightarrow V$ is called a homomorphism of normed linear spaces (or we say that L preserves norm) when $\|L(x)\| = \|x\|$ for all $x \in U$. A bijection homomorphism is called an **isomorphism**.

Definition 2.4 — distance.

Let $F = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} and let U be a normed linear space over F . For $u, v \in U$, we define the **distance** between u and v to be

$$d(u, v) = \|v - u\|$$

Theorem 2.2 Let U be a normed linear space over $F = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . For all $u, v, w \in U$

- (1) (Symmetry) $d(u, v) = d(v, u)$
- (2) (Positive Definiteness) $d(u, v) \geq 0$ with $d(u, v) = 0 \iff u = v$
- (3) (Triangle Inequality) $d(u, w) \leq d(u, v) + d(v, w)$

Proof. The proof is left as exercise ■

Definition 2.5 — metric, distance, metric space, homomorphism, isomorphism.

Let X be a non-empty set. A **metric** on X is a map $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $a, b, c \in X$ we have

- (1) (Symmetry) $d(a, b) = d(b, a)$
- (2) (Positive Definiteness) $d(a, b) \geq 0$ with $d(a, b) = 0 \iff a = b$
- (3) (Triangle Inequality) $d(a, c) \leq d(a, b) + d(b, c)$

For $a, b \in X$, $d(a, b)$ is called the **distance** between a and b . A **metric space** is a set X which is equipped with a metric d , and we sometimes denote the metric space by X and sometimes by the pair (X, d) . Given two metric spaces (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) , a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called a **homomorphism of metric spaces** (or we say that f is **distance preserving**) when $d_Y(f(a), f(b)) = d_X(a, b)$ for all $a, b \in X$. A bijective homomorphism is called an **isomorphism** or an **isometry**.

Note 2.1 Every inner product space is also a normed linear space, using the induced norm given by $\|u\| = \sqrt{\langle u, u \rangle}$. Every normed linear space is also a metric space, using the induced metric given by $d(u, v) = \|u - v\|$. If U is an inner product space over $F = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} then every subspace of U is also an inner product space using (the restriction of) the same inner product used in U . If U is a normed linear space over $F = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} then every subspace of U is also a normed linear space using the same norm. If X is a metric space then so is every subset of X using the same metric.

■ **Example 2.1** Let $F = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . The **standard inner product** on F^n is given by

$$\langle u, v \rangle = v * u = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \overline{v_i}$$

The standard inner product induces the **standard norm** on F^n , which is also called the **2-norm** on F^n , given by

$$\|u\|_2 = \|u\| = \sqrt{\langle u, u \rangle} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |u_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

The standard norm on F^n induces the **standard metric** on F^n , given by

$$d_2(u, v) = d(u, v) = \|v - u\| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |v_i - u_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

The **1-norm** on F^n is given by

$$\|u\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |u_i|$$

and it induces the **1-metric** on F^n given by $d_1(u, v) = \|v - u\|_1$. The **supremum norm** also called **infinity norm**, on F^n is given by

$$\|u\|_\infty = \max\{|u_1|, |u_2|, \dots, |u_n|\}$$

and it induces the **supremum metric** on \mathbf{F}^n given by $d_\infty(u, v) = \|v - u\|_\infty$

■ **Example 2.2** For $\mathbf{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . We write

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{F}^\omega &= \{u = (u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots) \mid \text{each } u_i \in \mathbf{F}\} \\ \mathbf{F}^\infty &= \{u \in \mathbf{F}^\omega \mid \text{there exists } n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \text{ such that } u_k = 0 \text{ for all } k \geq n\}\end{aligned}$$

Recall that \mathbf{F}^∞ is a countable-dimensional vector space with standard basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots\}$ where $e_1 = (1, 0, 0, \dots)$, $e_2 = (0, 1, 0, \dots)$ and so on. The **standard inner product** on \mathbf{F}^∞ is given by

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i \overline{v_i}$$

and it induces the **standard norm**, also called the **2-norm**, on \mathbf{F}^∞ given by

$$\|u\|_2 = \sqrt{\langle u, u \rangle} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |u_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

The **1-norm** on \mathbf{F}^∞ is given by

$$\|u\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |u_i|$$

and it induces the **1-metric** on \mathbf{F}^∞ given by $d_1(u, v) = \|v - u\|_1$. The **supremum norm** also called the **infinity norm**, on \mathbf{F}^n is given by

$$\|u\|_\infty = \max\{|u_1|, |u_2|, \dots, |u_n|\}$$

and it induces the **supremum metric** on \mathbf{F}^n given by $d_\infty(u, v) = \|v - u\|_\infty$

■ **Example 2.3** For $\mathbf{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} , the standard inner product, the 1-norm, the 2-norm and the ∞ -norm, which are well defined on the vector space \mathbf{F}^∞ , do not extend naturally to give a well defined inner product or well-defined norms on the vector space \mathbf{F}^ω (because the relevant sums do not necessarily converge). But we can, and do, extend there definitions to various subspaces of \mathbf{F}^ω . We define

$$\begin{aligned}\ell_1(\mathbf{F}) &= \{u \in \mathbf{F}^\omega \mid \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |u_i| < \infty\} \\ \ell_2(\mathbf{F}) &= \{u \in \mathbf{F}^\omega \mid \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |u_i|^2 < \infty\} \\ \ell_\infty(\mathbf{F}) &= \{u \in \mathbf{F}^\omega \mid \sup\{|u_1|, |u_2|, \dots\} < \infty\}\end{aligned}$$

Verify that $\ell_1(\mathbf{F})$ is a normed linear space using **1-norm** given by $\|u\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |u_i|$, hence $\ell_1(\mathbf{F})$ is also a metric space using the **1-metric** $d_1(u, v) = \|v - u\|_1$. Verify that $\ell_\infty(\mathbf{F})$ is a normed linear space using the **supremum norm**, also called the **infinity norm**, given by $\|u\|_\infty = \sup\{|u_1|, |u_2|, \dots\}$, hence $\ell_\infty(\mathbf{F})$ is also a metric space using the **supremum metric** $d_\infty = \|v - u\|_\infty$. Verify that $\ell_2(\mathbf{F})$ is an inner product space using the **standard inner product** given by $\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i \overline{v_i}$. The standard inner product on $\ell_2(\mathbf{F})$ induces

the **standard norm**, also called the **2-norm**, on $\ell_2(\mathbf{F})$ given by $\|u\|_2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |u_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and

the **standard metric**, or the **2-metric**, $d_2(u, v) = \|v - u\|_2$.

Since we shall usually work with the field $\mathbf{F} = \mathbb{R}$, for $p = 1, 2$ or ∞ we shall write

$$\ell_p = \ell_p(\mathbb{R})$$

■ **Example 2.4** For $\mathbf{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} and for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a \leq b$, we write

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}([a, b], \mathbf{F}) &= \mathbf{F}^{[a, b]} = \{f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{F}\} \\ \mathcal{B}([a, b], \mathbf{F}) &= \{f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{F} \mid f \text{ is bounded}\} \\ \mathcal{C}([a, b], \mathbf{F}) &= \{f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{F} \mid f \text{ is continuous}\}\end{aligned}$$

Recall that for $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $f = u + iv$ where $u, v : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the function f is continuous if and only if both u and v are continuous and, in this case, $\int_a^b f = \int_a^b u + i \int_a^b v$. In the space $\mathcal{C}([a, b], \mathbf{F})$ we have the **1-norm**, the **2-norm**, and the **supremum norm**

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_1 &= \int_a^b |f| \\ \|f\|_2 &= \left(\int_a^b |f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \|f\|_\infty &= \sup_{a \leq x \leq b} |f(x)|\end{aligned}$$

The supremum norm also gives a well-defined norm on the space $\mathcal{B}([a, b], \mathbf{F})$. The 2-norm on $\mathcal{C}([a, b], \mathbf{F})$ is induced by the inner product $\mathcal{C}([a, b], \mathbf{F})$ given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_a^b f \bar{g}$$

Since we shall usually work with the field $\mathbf{F} = \mathbb{R}$ we shall write

$$\mathcal{F}[a, b] = \mathcal{F}([a, b], \mathbb{R}), \quad \mathcal{B}[a, b] = \mathcal{B}([a, b], \mathbb{R}) \text{ and } \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}([a, b], \mathbb{R})$$

Ⓡ For $\mathbf{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} and for $1 \leq p < \infty$, one can show that we can define a norm on \mathbf{F}^n by

$$\|u\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |u_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and we can define a norm on \mathbf{F}^ω or on the space $\ell_\infty(\mathbf{F}) = \{u \in \mathbf{F}^\omega \mid \sum_{i=1}^\infty |u_i|^p < \infty\}$ by

$$\|u\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^\infty |u_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Also, we can define a norm on the space $\mathcal{C}([a, b], \mathbf{F})$ by

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_{i=a}^b |f|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

■ **Example 2.5** For any set $X \neq \emptyset$, the **discrete metric** on X is given by $d(x, y) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$ and $d(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$.

Definition 2.6 — open ball, closed ball, punctured ball, bounded.

Let X be a metric space. For $a \in X$ and $0 < r \in \mathbb{R}$, the **open ball**, the **closed ball** and the (open) **punctured ball** in X centered at a of radius r are defined to be the sets

$$\begin{aligned}B(a, r) &= B_X(a, r) = \{x \in X \mid d(x, a) < r\} \\ \bar{B}(a, r) &= \bar{B}_X(a, r) = \{x \in X \mid d(x, a) \leq r\} \\ B^*(a, r) &= B_X^*(a, r) = \{x \in X \mid 0 < d(x, a) < r\}\end{aligned}$$

When the metric on X denoted by d_p with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we often write $B(a, r)$, $\overline{B}(a, r)$ and $B^*(a, r)$ as $B_p(a, r)$, $\overline{B}_p(a, r)$ and $B_p^*(a, r)$. For $A \subseteq X$, we say that A is **bounded** when $A \subseteq B(a, r)$ for some $a \in X$ and some $0 < r \in \mathbb{R}$.

Exercise 2.1 Draw a picture of the open balls $B_1(0, 1)$, $B_2(0, 1)$ and $B_\infty(0, 1)$ in \mathbb{R}^2 (using the metrics d_1 , d_2 and d_∞).

Definition 2.7 — open, closed.

Let X be a metric space. For $A \subseteq X$, we say that A is **open** (in X) when for every $a \in A$ there exists $r > 0$ such that $B(a, r) \subseteq A$, and we say that A is **closed** (in X) when its complement $A^c = X \setminus A$ is open in X .

■ **Example 2.6** Let X be a metric space. Show that for $a \in X$ and $0 < r \in \mathbb{R}$, the set $B(a, r)$ is open and the set $\overline{B}(a, r)$ is closed.

Proof. Let $a \in X$ and Let $r > 0$. We claim that $B(a, r)$ is open. We need to show that for all $b \in B(a, r)$ there exists $s > 0$ such that $B(b, s) \subseteq B(a, r)$. Let $b \in B(a, r)$ and note that $d(a, b) < r$. Let $s = r - d(a, b)$ and note that $s > 0$. Let $x \in B(b, s)$, so we have $d(x, b) < s$. Then, by the Triangle Inequality, we have

$$d(x, a) \leq d(x, b) + d(b, a) < s + d(a, b) = r$$

and so $x \in B(a, r)$. This shows that $B(b, s) \subseteq B(a, r)$ and hence $B(a, r)$ is open.

Next we claim that $\overline{B}(a, r)$ is closed, that is $\overline{B}(a, r)^c$ is open. Let $b \in \overline{B}(a, r)^c$, that is let $b \in X$ with $b \notin \overline{B}(a, r)$. Since $b \notin \overline{B}(a, r)$ we have $d(a, b) > r$. Let $s = d(a, b) - r > 0$. Let $x \in B(b, s)$ and note that $d(x, b) < s$. Then, by the Triangle Inequality, we have

$$d(a, b) \leq d(a, x) + d(x, b) < d(a, x) + s$$

and so $d(a, x) > d(a, b) - s = r$. Since $d(a, x) > r$ we have $x \notin \overline{B}(a, r)$ and so $x \in \overline{B}(a, r)^c$. This shows that $B(b, s) \subseteq \overline{B}(a, r)^c$ and it follows that $\overline{B}(a, r)^c$ is open and hence that $\overline{B}(a, r)$ is closed. ■

Theorem 2.3 — Basic Properties of Open Sets.

Let X be a metric space

- (1) The sets \emptyset and X are open in X
- (2) If S is a set of open sets in X then the union $\bigcup S = \bigcup_{U \in S} U$ is open in X
- (3) If S is a finite set of open sets in X then the intersection $\bigcap S = \bigcap_{U \in S} U$ is open in X

Proof. (1) The empty set is open because any statement of the form “for all $x \in \emptyset, F$ ” (where F is any statement) is considered to be true (by convention). The set X is open because given $a \in X$ we can choose any value of $r > 0$ and then $B(a, r) \subseteq X$ by the definition of $B(a, r)$.

(2) Let S be any set of open sets in X . Let $a \in \bigcup S = \bigcup_{U \in S} U$. Choose an open set $U \in S$ such that $a \in U$. Since U is open we can choose $r > 0$ such that $B(a, r) \subseteq U$. Since $U \in S$ we have $U \subseteq \bigcup S$. Since $B(a, r) \subseteq U$ and $U \subseteq \bigcup S$ we have $B(a, r) \subseteq \bigcup S$. Thus $\bigcup S$ is open.

(3) Let S be a finite set of open sets in X . If $S \neq \emptyset$ then we use the convention that $\bigcap S = X$, which is open. Suppose that $S \neq \emptyset$. say $S = \{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_m\}$ where

each U_k is an open set. Let $a \in \bigcap S = \bigcap_{k=1}^m U_k$. For each index k , since $a \in U_k$ we can choose $r_k > 0$ so that $B(a, r_k) \subseteq U_k$. Let $r = \min\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m\}$. Then for each index k we have $B(a, r) \subseteq B(a, r_k) \subseteq U_k$. Since $B(a, r) \subseteq U_k$ for every index k , it follows that $B(a, r) \subseteq \bigcap_{k=1}^m U_k = \bigcap S$

■

Theorem 2.4 — Basic Properties of Closed Sets.

Let X be a metric space

- (1) The sets \emptyset and X are closed in X
- (2) If S is a set of closed sets in X then the union $\bigcap S = \bigcap_{U \in S} U$ is open in X
- (3) If S is a finite set of closed sets in X then the intersection $\bigcup S = \bigcup_{U \in S} U$ is open in X

Proof. The proof is left as exercise

■

Definition 2.8 — topology, topology space, metric topology, finer, coarser.

A **topology** on a set X is a set T of subsets of X such that

- (1) $\emptyset \in T$ and $X \in T$
- (2) For every set $S \subseteq T$ we have $\bigcup S \in T$
- (3) For every finite subset $S \subseteq T$ we have $\bigcap S \in T$

A **topology space** is a set X with a topology T . When X is a metric space, **the set of all open sets in X is a topology on X** , which we call the **metric topology** (or the topology **induced** by the metric). When X is any topological space, the sets in the topology T are called the **open sets** in X and their complements are called the **closed sets** in X . When S and T are both topologies on a set X with $S \subseteq T$, we say that the topology T is **finer** than the topology S , and the topology S is **coarser** than the topology T .

■ **Example 2.7** Show that in \mathbb{R}^n , the metrics d_1, d_2 and d_∞ all induce the same topology

Proof. For $a, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$\max_{a \leq i \leq n} |x_i - a_i| \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - a_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - a_i| \leq n \max_{a \leq i \leq n} |x_i - a_i|$$

and so

$$d_\infty(a, x) \leq d_2(a, x) \leq d_1(a, x) \leq n d_\infty(a, x).$$

It follows that for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$ we have

$$B_\infty(a, r) \supseteq B_2(a, r) \supseteq B_1(a, r) \supseteq B_\infty(a, \frac{r}{n}).$$

Thus for $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, if U is open in \mathbb{R}^n using d_∞ then it is open using d_2 . and if U is open using d_2 then it is open using d_1 , and if U is open using d_1 then it is open using d_∞ . ■

■ **Example 2.8** Show that on the space $\mathcal{C}[a, b]$, the topology induced by the metric d_∞ is strictly finer than the topology induced by the metric d_1

Proof. For $f, g \in \mathcal{C}[a, b]$ we have

$$d_1(f, g) = \int_a^b |f - g| \leq \int_a^b \max_{a \leq x \leq b} |f(x) - g(x)| = (b - a) d_\infty(f, g)$$

It follows that for $f \in \mathcal{C}[a, b]$ and $r > 0$ we have

$$B_\infty(f, r) \subseteq B_1(f, (b - a)r)$$

Thus for $U \subseteq \mathcal{C}[a, b]$, if U is open using d_1 then U is also open using d_∞ , and so the topology induced by the metric d_∞ is finer (or equal to) the topology induced by d_1 .

On the other hand, we claim that for $f \in \mathcal{C}[a, b]$ and $r > 0$, the set $B_\infty(f, r)$ is not open in the topology induced by d_1 . Fix $g \in B_\infty(f, r)$ and let $s > 0$. Choose a bump function $h \in \mathcal{C}[a, b]$ with $h \geq 0$, $\int_a^b h < h$ and $\max_{a \leq x \leq b} h(x) > 2r$. Then we have $g + h \in B_1(g, s)$ but $g + h \notin B_\infty(f, r)$. It follows that $B_\infty(f, r)$ is not open in the topology induced by d_1 , as claimed. ■

■ **Example 2.9** For any set X , the **trivial topology** on X is the topology in which the only open sets in X are the sets \emptyset and X , and the **discrete topology** on X is the topology in which every subset of X is open. Note that the discrete metric on a nonempty set X induces the discrete topology on x .

Definition 2.9 — interior, closure, dense.

Let X be a metric space (or a topological space) and let $A \subseteq X$. The **interior** and the **closure** of A (in X) are the sets

$$A^\circ = \bigcup \{U \subseteq X \mid U \text{ is open, and } U \subseteq A\}$$

$$\overline{A} = \bigcap \{K \subseteq X \mid K \text{ is closed, and } A \subseteq K\}$$

We say that A is **dense** in X when $\overline{A} = X$.

Theorem 2.5 Let X be a metric space (or a topological space) and let $A \subseteq X$

- (1) The interior of A is the largest open set which is contained in A . In other words, $A^\circ \subseteq A$ and A° is open, and for every open set U with $U \subseteq A$ we have $U \subseteq A^\circ$
- (2) The closure of A is the smallest closed set which contains A . In other words, $A \subseteq \overline{A}$ and \overline{A} is closed, and for every closed set K with $A \subseteq K$ we have $\overline{A} \subseteq K$

Proof.

- (1) Let $L = \{U \subseteq X \mid U \text{ is open, and } U \subseteq A\}$. Note that A° is open (by Part 2 of Theorem 2.3 or by Part 2 of Definition 2.8) because A° is equal to the union of L , which is a set of open sets. Also note that $A^\circ \subseteq A$ because A° is equal to the union of L , which is a set of subsets of A . Finally note that for any open set U with $U \subseteq A$ we have $U \in L$ so that $U \subseteq \bigcup L = A^\circ$.
- (2) The proof is similar to (1)

■

Corollary 2.6

Let X be a metric space (or a topological space) and let $A \subseteq X$

- (1) $(A^\circ)^\circ = A^\circ$ and $\overline{\overline{A}} = \overline{A}$
- (2) A is open $\iff A = A^\circ$
- (3) A is closed $\iff A = \overline{A}$

Proof. The proof is left as exercise

■

Definition 2.10 — interior point, limit point, isolated point, boundary point, boundary.

isolated point Let X be a metric space and let $A \subseteq X$.

An **interior point** of A is a point $a \in A$ such that for some $r > 0$ we have $B(a, r) \subseteq A$.

A **limit point** of A is a point $a \in X$ such that for every $r > 0$ we have $B^*(a, r) \cap A \neq \emptyset$.

An **isolated point** of A is a point $a \in A$ which is not a limit point of A .

A **boundary point** of A is a point $a \in X$ such that for every $r > 0$ we have $B(a, r) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and $B(a, r) \cap A^c \neq \emptyset$.

The set of all limit points of A is denoted by A' . The **boundary** of A , is the set of all boundary points of A .

Theorem 2.7 — Properties of Interior, Limit and Boundary Points.

Let X be a metric space and let $A \subseteq X$

- (1) A° is equal to the set of all interior points of A
- (2) A is closed $\iff A' \subseteq A$
- (3) $\overline{A} = A \cup A'$
- (4) $\partial A = \overline{A} \setminus A^\circ$

Proof. We leave the proofs of (1) and (4) as exercise.

- (2) Note that when $a \notin A$ we have $B(a, r) \cap A = B^*(a, r) \cap A$ and so

$$\begin{aligned}
 A \text{ is closed} &\iff A^c \text{ is open} \\
 &\iff \forall a \in A^c, \exists r > 0, B(a, r) \subseteq A^c \\
 &\iff \forall a \in \mathbb{R}^n, (a \notin A \implies \exists r > 0, B(a, r) \subseteq A^c) \\
 &\iff \forall a \in \mathbb{R}^n, (a \notin A \implies \exists r > 0, B(a, r) \cap A = \emptyset) \\
 &\iff \forall a \in \mathbb{R}^n, (a \notin A \implies \exists r > 0, B^*(a, r) \cap A = \emptyset) \\
 &\iff \forall a \in \mathbb{R}^n, (\forall r > 0, B^*(a, r) \cap A \neq \emptyset \implies a \in A) \\
 &\iff \forall a \in \mathbb{R}^n, (a \in A' \implies a \in A) \\
 &\iff A' \subseteq A.
 \end{aligned}$$

- (3) We shall prove that $A \cup A'$ is the smallest closed set which contains A . It is clear that $A \cup A'$ is closed, that is $(A \cup A')^c$ is open. Let $a \in (A \cup A')^c$ with, that is let $a \in X$ with $a \notin A$ and $a \notin A'$. Since $a \notin A'$ we can choose $r > 0$ so that $B(a, r) \cap A = \emptyset$. We claim that because $B(a, r) \cap A = \emptyset$ it follows that $B(a, r) \cap A' = \emptyset$. Since $b \in B(a, r)$ and $B(a, r)$ is open, we can choose $s > 0$ so that $B(b, s) \subseteq B(a, r)$. Since $b \in A'$ it follows that $B(b, s) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Choose $x \in B(b, s) \cap A$. Then we have $x \in B(b, s) \subseteq B(a, r)$ and $x \in A$ and so $x \in B(a, r) \cap A$, which contradicts the fact that $B(a, r) \cap A = \emptyset$. Thus $B(a, r) \cap A' \neq \emptyset$ as claimed. Since $B(a, r) \cap A = \emptyset$ and $B(a, r) \cap A' = \emptyset$, it follows that $B(a, r) \cap (A \cup A') = \emptyset$, hence $B(a, r) \subseteq (A \cup A')^c$. Thus proves that $(A \cup A')^c$ is open, and hence $A \cup A'$ is closed.

It remains to show that for every closed set K in X with $A \subseteq K$ we have $A \cup A' \subseteq K$. Let K be a closed set in X with $A \subseteq K$. Note that since $A \subseteq K$ it follows that $A' \subseteq K'$ because if $a \in A'$ then for all $r > 0$ we have $B(a, r) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ hence $B(a, r) \cap K \neq \emptyset$ and so $a \in K'$. Since K is closed we have $K' \subseteq K$ by (2). Since $A' \subseteq K'$ and $K' \subseteq K$, we have $A' \subseteq K$. Since $A \subseteq K$ and $A' \subseteq K$ we have $A \cup A' \subseteq K$ as required. ■



Let X be a topological space and let $A \subseteq X$, An **interior point** of A is a point $a \in A^\circ$. A **limit point** of A is a point $a \in X$ such that for every open set U in X with $a \in U$ there

exists a point $b \in U \cap A$ with $b \neq a$. The **boundary** of A in X is the set $\partial A = \bar{A} \setminus A^\circ$, and a **boundary point** of A is a point $a \in \partial A$.

Note 2.2 Let X be a metric space and let $P \subseteq X$. Note that P is also a metric space using (the restriction of) the metric used in X . For $a \in P$ and $0 < r \in \mathbb{R}$, note that the open and closed balls in P , centered at a and of radius r , are related to the open and closed balls in X by

$$B_P(a, r) = \{x \in P \mid d(x, a) < r\} = B_X(a, r) \cap P$$

$$\bar{B}_P(a, r) = \{x \in P \mid d(x, a) \leq r\} = \bar{B}_X(a, r) \cap P$$

Theorem 2.8 Let X be a metric space and let $A \subseteq P \subseteq X$

- (1) A is open in $P \iff$ there exists an open set U in X such that $A = U \cap P$
- (2) A is closed in $P \iff$ there exists a closed set K in X such that $A = K \cap P$

Proof.

- (1) Suppose that A is open in P . For each $a \in A$, choose $r_a > 0$ so that $B_P(a, r_a) \subseteq A$, that is $B_X(a, r_a) \cap P \subseteq A$, and let $U = \bigcup_{a \in A} B_X(a, r_a)$. Since U is equal to the union of a set of open sets in X , it follows that U is open in X . Note that $A \subseteq U \cap P$, and, since $B_X(a, r_a) \cap P \subseteq A$ for every $a \in A$, we also have $U \cap P = (\bigcup_{a \in U} B_X(a, r_a)) \cap P = \bigcup_{a \in A} (B_X(a, r_a) \cap P) \subseteq A$. Thus $A = U \cap P$ as required.
Conversely, suppose that $A = U \cap P$ with U open in X . Let $a \in A$. Since we have $a \in A = U \cap P$, we also have $a \in U$. Since $a \in U$ and U is open in X we can choose $r > 0$ so that $B_X(a, r) \subseteq U$. Since $B_X(a, r) \subseteq U$ and $U \cap P = A$ we have $B_P(a, r) = B_X(a, r) \cap P \subseteq U \cap P = A$. Thus A is open as required.
- (2) Suppose that A is closed in P . Let B be the complement of A in P , that is $B = P \setminus A$. Then B is open in P . Choose an open set U in X such that $B = U \cap P$. Let K be the complement of U in X , that is $K = X \setminus U$. Then $A = K \cap P$ since for $x \in X$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} x \in A &\iff (x \in P \text{ and } x \notin U \cap P) \iff (x \in P \text{ and } x \notin U) \\ &\iff (x \in P \text{ and } x \in K) \iff (x \in K \cap P) \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose that K is a closed set in P with $A = K \cap P$. Let B be the complement of A in P , that is $B = P \setminus A$, and let U be the complement of K in P , that is $U = P \setminus K$, and note that U is open in P . Then we have $B = U \cap P$ since for $x \in P$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} x \in B &\iff (x \in P \text{ and } x \notin A) \iff (x \in P \text{ and } x \notin K \cap P) \\ &\iff (x \in P \text{ and } x \notin K) \iff (x \in P \text{ and } x \in U) \iff (x \in U \cap P) \end{aligned}$$

Since U is open in P and $B = U \cap P$ we know that B is open in P . Since B is open in P , its complement $A = P \setminus B$ is closed in P . ■



Let X be a topological space and let $P \subseteq X$. Verify, as an exercise, that we can use the topology on X to define a topology on P as follows. Given a set $A \subseteq P$, we define A to be **open** in P when $A = U \cap P$ for some open set U in X . The resulting topology on P is called the **subspace topology**.

3. Limits and Continuity

Definition 3.1 — bounded, converge, limit, diverge, Cauchy.

Let $(x_n)_{n \geq p}$ be a sequence in a metric space X . We say that the sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq p}$ is **bounded** when the set $\{x_n\}_{n \geq p}$ is bounded, that is when there **exists** $a \in X$ and $r > 0$ such that $x_n \in B(a, r)$ for all indices $n \geq p$.

For $a \in X$, we say that the sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq p}$ **converges** to a (or that the **limit** of x_n is equal to a) and we write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = a$ (or write $x_n \rightarrow a$) when for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an index $m \geq p$ such that $d(x_n, a) < \epsilon$ for all indices $n \geq m$. We say that the sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq p}$ **converges** (in X) when it converges to some point $a \in X$, and otherwise we say that $(x_n)_{n \geq p}$ **diverges** (in X).

We say that the $(x_n)_{n \geq p}$ is **Cauchy** when for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an index $m \geq p$ such that $d(x_k, x_l) < \epsilon$ for all indices $k, l \geq m$.

R When $(x_n)_{n \geq p}$ is a sequence in a topological space X and $a \in X$, we say that $(x_n)_{n \geq p}$ **converges** to a (or we say the **limit** of $(x_n)_{n \geq p}$ is equal to a) and we write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = a$ (or we write $x_n \rightarrow a$) when for every open set U in X with $a \in U$ there exists an index $m \geq p$ such that $x_n \in U$ for every index $n \geq m$.

Theorem 3.1 — Basic Properties of Limits of Sequences. Let $(x_n)_{n \geq p}$ be a sequence in a metric space X , and let $a \in X$

- (1) If $(x_n)_{n \geq p}$ converges then its limit is unique
- (2) If $q \geq p$ and $y_n = x_n$ for all $n \geq q$, then $(x_n)_{n \geq p}$ converges if and only if $(y_n)_{n \geq p}$ converges and, in this case, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$
- (3) If $(x_n)_{n \geq p}$ converges then it is bounded
- (4) If $(x_n)_{n \geq p}$ converges then it is Cauchy
- (5) We have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = a$ if and only if for every open set U in X with $a \in U$ there exists an index $m \geq p$ such that $x_n \in U$ for every index $n \geq m$

Proof. The proof is left as exercise. ■

Note 3.1 Because of Part 2 of the above theorem, the initial index p of a sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq p}$ does not affect whether or not the sequence converges and it does not affect the limit. For this reason, we often omit the initial index p from our notation and write (x_n) for the sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq p}$. Also, we often choose a specific value of p , usually $p = 1$, in the statements or the proofs of various theorems with the understanding that any other initial value would work just as well.

Exercise 3.1 For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, let $x_n \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$ be the sequence given by $x_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n e_k$, that is by $(x_{n,k})_{k \geq 1} = (\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$ with n non-zero terms. Show that (x_n) converges in (\mathbb{R}^∞, d_1) .

Exercise 3.2 For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, let $f_n \in \mathcal{C}[0, 1]$ be given by $f_n(x) = \sqrt{n}x^n$. Show that $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges in $(\mathcal{C}[0, 1], d_1)$ but diverges in $(\mathcal{C}[0, 1], d_2)$.

Note 3.2 Recall that $\mathcal{B}[a, b]$ denotes the space of bounded functions $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let (f_n) be a sequence of bounded functions in $\mathcal{B}[a, b]$ and let $g \in \mathcal{B}[a, b]$. Note that (f_n) converges in the metric space $(\mathcal{B}[a, b], d_\infty)$, if and only if (f_n) converges uniformly on $[a, b]$. Indeed for $\epsilon > 0$ we have $d_\infty(f_n, g) < \epsilon$ if and only if $\sup_{a \leq x \leq b} |f_n(x) - g(x)| < \epsilon$ if and only if $|f_n(x) - g(x)| < \epsilon$ for all $x \in [a, b]$. The same is true for a sequence (f_n) in $\mathcal{C}[a, b]$: (f_n) converges in the metric space $(\mathcal{C}[a, b], d_\infty)$ if and only if (f_n) converges uniformly on $[a, b]$.

Theorem 3.2 — The Sequential Characterization of Limit Points and Closed Sets.

Let X be a metric space, let $a \in X$, and let $A \subseteq X$.

- (1) $a \in A'$ if and only if there exists a sequence (x_n) in $A \setminus \{a\}$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = a$.
- (2) $a \in \bar{A}$ if and only if there exists a sequence (x_n) in A with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = a$.
- (3) A is closed in X if and only if for every sequence (x_n) in A which converges in X , we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \in A$.

Proof.

- (1) Suppose that $a \in A'$ (which means that for every $r > 0$ we have $B^*(a, r) \cap A \neq \emptyset$). For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, choose $x_n \in B^*(a, \frac{1}{n}) \cap A$, that is choose $x_n \in A \setminus \{a\}$ with $d(x_n, a) < \frac{1}{n}$. Then $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence in $A \setminus \{a\}$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = a$. Suppose, conversely, that $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence in $A \setminus \{a\}$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = a$. Let $r > 0$. Choose $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $d(x_n, a) < r$ for all $n \geq m$. Since $x_m \in A \setminus \{a\}$ with $d(x_m, a) < r$, we have $x_m \in B^*(a, r) \cap A$ and so $B^*(a, r) \cap A \neq \emptyset$.
- (2) Left as exercise
- (3) To prove Part 3, suppose that A is closed in X . Let $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence in A which converges in X , and let $a = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \in X$. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $a \notin A$. Since $a \notin A$ we have $A = A \setminus \{a\}$ so in fact (x_n) is a sequence in $A \setminus \{a\}$. Since (x_n) is a sequence in $A \setminus \{a\}$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = a$, it follows from Part 1 that $a \in A'$. Since A is closed we have $A' \subseteq A$ and so $a \in A$ giving the desired contradiction. Suppose, conversely, that for every sequence in A which converges in X , the limit

of the sequence lies in A . Let $a \in A'$. By Part 1, we can choose a sequence (x_n) in $A \setminus \{a\}$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = a$. Then (x_n) is a sequence in A which converges in X , so its limit lies in A , that is $a \in A$. Since $a \in A'$ was arbitrary, this shows that $A' \subseteq A$, and so A is closed. ■

■ **Example 3.1** Note that $\mathcal{C}[a, b]$ is closed in the metric space $(\mathcal{B}[a, b], d_\infty)$. We can see this using Note 3.7 together with the above theorem. Indeed, given a sequence (f_n) with each $f_n \in \mathcal{C}[a, b]$, if the sequence (f_n) converges in $(\mathcal{B}[a, b], d_\infty)$ to the function $g \in \mathcal{B}[a, b]$, then (f_n) converges uniformly to g on $[a, b]$, and so (from MATH 148) we know that g must be continuous, hence $g \in \mathcal{C}[a, b]$.

Exercise 3.3 Let

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}[a, b] &= \{f \in \mathcal{B}[a, b] \mid f \text{ is Riemann integrable}\}, \\ \mathcal{P}[a, b] &= \{f \in \mathcal{B}[a, b] \mid f \text{ is a polynomial}\}, \\ \mathcal{C}^1[a, b] &= \{f \in \mathcal{B}[a, b] \mid f \text{ is continuously differentiable}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Determine which of the above spaces are closed in the metric space $\mathcal{B}[a, b]$, using the supremum metric d_∞ .

■ **Example 3.2** Recall that \mathbb{R}^∞ denotes the set of sequences with only finitely many non-zero terms. Show that \mathbb{R}^∞ is dense in the metric space (ℓ_1, d_1) .

Proof. Since the closure of \mathbb{R}^∞ in ℓ_1 is contained in ℓ_1 (by the definition of closure), it suffices to show that $\ell_1 \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}^\infty}$. Let $a = (a_n)_{n \geq 1} \in \ell_1$, so we have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| < \infty$. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ let $x_n = (x_{n,k})_{k \geq 1}$ be the sequence given by $x_{n,k} = a_k$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $x_{n,k} = 0$ for $k > n$, that is

$$(x_{n,k})_{k \geq 1} = (x_{n,1}, x_{n,2}, \dots, x_{n,n}, x_{n,n+1}, \dots) = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, 0, 0, \dots).$$

Then each $x_n \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$ and, in the metric space ℓ_1 , we have $x_n \rightarrow a$ because given $\epsilon > 0$ we can choose an index m so that $\sum_{k > m} |a_k| < \epsilon$ and then for all $n \geq m$ we have

$$\|x_n - a\|_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_{n,k} - a_k| = \sum_{k > n} |a_k| \leq \sum_{k > m} |a_k| < \epsilon.$$

It follows, from Part 2 of Theorem 3.8, that $a \in \overline{\mathbb{R}^\infty}$ and so we have $\ell_1 \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}^\infty}$, as claimed. ■

Exercise 3.4 Find the closure of \mathbb{R}^∞ in the metric space ℓ_2 using the metric d_2 , and find the closure of \mathbb{R}^∞ in the metric space ℓ_∞ using the metric d_∞ .

Definition 3.2 — limit.

Let (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) be metric spaces. Let $A \subseteq X$, let $f : A \rightarrow Y$, let $a \in A'$, and let $b \in Y$. We say that the **limit** of $f(x)$ as x tends to a is equal to b , when for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $x \in A$, if $0 < d_X(x, a) < \delta$ then $d_Y(f(x), b) < \epsilon$.

Theorem 3.3 — The Sequential Characterization of Limits.

Let X and Y be metric spaces, let $A \subseteq X$, let $f : A \rightarrow Y$, let $a \in A' \subseteq X$, and let $b \in Y$. Then $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = b$ if and only if for every sequence (x_n) in $A \setminus \{a\}$ with $x_n \rightarrow a$ we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = b$.

Proof. Suppose that $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = b$. Let (x_n) be a sequence in $A \setminus \{a\}$ with $x_n \rightarrow a$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = b$ we can choose $\delta > 0$ such that $0 < d(x, a) < \delta \implies d(f(x), b) < \epsilon$. Since $x_n \rightarrow a$ we can choose $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $n \geq m \implies d(x_n, a) < \delta$. For $n \geq m$ we have $d(x_n, a) < \delta$ and we have $x_n \neq a$ (since (x_n) is a sequence in $A \setminus \{a\}$, so that $0 < d(x_n, a) < \delta$, and hence $d(f(x_n), b) < \epsilon$. Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = b$, as required.

Suppose, conversely, that $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) \neq b$. Choose $\epsilon > 0$ such that for every $\delta > 0$ there exists $x \in A$ such that $0 < d(x, a) < \delta$ and $d(f(x), b) \geq \epsilon$. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, choose $x_n \in A$ such that $0 < d(x_n, a) < \frac{1}{n}$ and $d(f(x_n), b) \geq \epsilon$. For each n , since $0 < d(x_n, a)$ we have $x_n \neq a$ so the sequence (x_n) lies in $A \setminus \{a\}$. Since $d(x_n, a) < \frac{1}{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, it follows that $x_n \rightarrow a$. Since $d(f(x_n), b) \geq \epsilon$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, it follows that $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) \neq b$. Thus we have found a sequence (x_n) in $A \setminus \{a\}$ with $x_n \rightarrow a$ such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x_n) \neq b$. ■

Definition 3.3 — continuous, uniformly continuous, Lipschitz continuous, Lipschitz constant.

Let (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) be metric spaces, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$. For $a \in X$, we say that f is **continuous** at a when for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $x \in X$, if $d_X(x, a) < \delta$ then $d_Y(f(x), f(a)) < \epsilon$. We say that f is **continuous** (on X) when f is continuous at every point $a \in X$. We say that f is **uniformly continuous** (on X) when for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in X$, if $d_X(x, y) < \delta$ then $d_Y(f(x), f(y)) < \epsilon$. We say that f is **Lipschitz continuous** (on X) when there is a constant $\ell \geq 0$, called a **Lipschitz constant** for f , such that for all $x, y \in X$ we have $d_Y(f(x), f(y)) \leq \ell \cdot d_X(x, y)$. Note that if f is Lipschitz continuous then f is also uniformly continuous (indeed we can take $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{\ell}$ in the definition of uniform continuity).

Note 3.3 Let X and Y be metric spaces and let $a \in X$. If a is a limit point of X then f is continuous at a if and only if $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = f(a)$. If a is an isolated point of X then f is necessarily continuous at a , vacuously.

Theorem 3.4 — The Sequential Characterization of Continuity.

Let X and Y be metric spaces using metrics d_X and d_Y , let $f : X \rightarrow Y$, and let $a \in X$. Then f is continuous at a if and only if for every sequence (x_n) in X with $x_n \rightarrow a$ we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = f(a)$.

Proof. The proof is left as an exercise. ■

Theorem 3.5 — Composition of Continuous Functions.

Let X , Y and Z be metric spaces, let $f : X \rightarrow Y$, let $g : Y \rightarrow Z$. If f is continuous at the point $a \in X$ and g is continuous at the point $f(a) \in Y$ then the composite function $g \circ f$ is continuous at a .

Proof. The proof is left as an exercise. ■

Theorem 3.6 — The Topological Characterization of Continuity.

Let X and Y be metric spaces and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$. Then f is continuous (on X) if and only if $f^{-1}(V)$ is open in X for every open set V in Y .

Proof. Suppose that f is continuous in X . Let V be open in Y . Let $a \in f^{-1}(V)$ and let $f(a) \in V$. Since V is open, we can choose $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B(f(a), \epsilon) \subseteq V$. Since f is continuous at a we can choose $\delta > 0$ such that for all $x \in X$ with $d(x, a) < \delta$ we have $d(f(x), f(a)) < \epsilon$. Then we have $f(B(a, \delta)) \subseteq B(f(a), \epsilon) \subseteq V$ and so $B(a, \delta) \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$. Thus $f^{-1}(V)$ is open in X , as required.

Suppose, conversely, that $f^{-1}(V)$ is open in X for every open set V in Y . Let $a \in X$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. Taking $V = B(f(a), \epsilon)$, which is open in Y , we see that $f^{-1}(B(f(a), \epsilon))$ is open in X . Since $a \in f^{-1}(B(f(a), \epsilon))$ and $f^{-1}(B(f(a), \epsilon))$ is open in X , we can choose $\delta > 0$ such that $B(a, \delta) \subseteq f^{-1}(B(f(a), \epsilon))$. Then we have $f(B(a, \delta)) \subseteq B(f(a), \epsilon)$ or, in other words, for all $x \in X$, if $d(x, a) < \delta$ then $d(f(x), f(a)) < \epsilon$. Thus f is continuous at a hence, since a was arbitrary, f is continuous on X . ■

Definition 3.4 — continuous.

Let X and Y be topological spaces and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$. We say that f is **continuous** (on X) when $f^{-1}(V)$ is open in X for every open set V in Y . A bijective map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that both f and f^{-1} are continuous is called a **homomorphism**.

Note 3.4 If U and V are inner product spaces and $L : U \rightarrow V$ is an inner product space isomorphism, then L and its inverse preserve distance so they are both continuous (we can take $\delta = \epsilon$ in the definition of continuity), hence L is a homomorphism.

If U and V are finite-dimensional inner product spaces with say $\dim U = n$ and $\dim V = m$, and if $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\psi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ are inner product space isomorphisms (obtained by choosing orthonormal bases for U and V) then a map $F : U \rightarrow V$ is continuous if and only if the composite map $\psi F \phi^{-1} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is continuous. In particular, if F is linear then F is continuous (since $\psi F \phi^{-1} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is linear, hence continuous).

We shall see below that the same is true for finite dimensional normed linear spaces: every linear map between finite dimensional normed linear spaces is continuous. But this is not always true for infinite dimensional spaces.

■ **Example 3.3** Recall from Example 2.24 that every set $U \subseteq \mathcal{C}[a, b]$ which is open using the metric d_1 is also open using the metric d_∞ , but not vice versa. It follows that the identity map $I : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}[a, b]$ given by $I(f) = f$ is continuous as a map from the metric space $(\mathcal{C}[a, b], d_\infty)$ to the metric space $(\mathcal{C}[a, b], d_1)$, but not vice versa.

Theorem 3.7 Let U and V be normed linear spaces and let $F : U \rightarrow V$ be a linear map. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) F is Lipschitz continuous on U ,
- (2) F is continuous at some point $a \in U$,
- (3) F is continuous at 0, and
- (4) $F(\overline{B}(0, 1))$ is bounded.

In this case, if $m \geq 0$ with $F(\overline{B}(0, 1)) \subseteq B(0, m)$ then m is a Lipschitz constant for F .

Proof. It is clear that if F is Lipschitz continuous on U then F is continuous at some point $a \in U$ (indeed F is continuous at every point $a \in U$). Let us show that if F is continuous at some point $a \in U$ then F is continuous at 0. Suppose that F is continuous at $a \in U$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since F is continuous at $a \in U$, we can choose $\delta_1 > 0$ such that for all $u \in U$ we have $\|u - a\| \leq \delta_1 \implies \|F(u) - F(a)\| \leq 1$. Choose $\delta = \delta_1 \epsilon$. Let $x \in U$ with $\|x - 0\| < \delta$. If $x = 0$ then $\|F(x) - F(0)\| = \|0\| = 0$. Suppose that $x \neq 0$. Then for $u = a + \frac{\delta_1 x}{\|x\|}$ we have $\|u - a\| = \left\| \frac{\delta_1 x}{\|x\|} \right\| = \delta_1$ and so $\|F(u) - F(a)\| \leq 1$, that is $\left\| F\left(\frac{\delta_1 x}{\|x\|}\right) \right\| \leq 1$ hence, by the linearity of F and the scaling property of the norm, we have

$$\|F(x) - F(0)\| = \|F(x)\| = \frac{\|x\|}{\delta_1} \left\| F\left(\frac{\delta_1 x}{\|x\|}\right) \right\| \leq \frac{\|x\|}{\delta_1} < \frac{\delta_1 \epsilon}{\delta_1} = \epsilon.$$

Thus F is continuous at 0, as required

Next we show that if F is continuous at 0 then $F(\overline{B}(0, 1))$ is bounded. Suppose that F is continuous at 0. Choose $\delta > 0$ so that for all $u \in U$ we have $\|u\| \leq \delta \implies \|F(u)\| \leq 1$. Let $m = \frac{1}{\delta}$. For $x \in U$, when $x = 0$ we have $\|F(x)\| = 0 \leq m$ and when $0 < \|x\| \leq 1$ we have

$$\|F(x)\| = \left\| \frac{\|x\|}{\delta} F\left(\frac{\delta x}{\|x\|}\right) \right\| = \frac{\|x\|}{\delta} \left\| F\left(\frac{\delta x}{\|x\|}\right) \right\| \leq \frac{\|x\|}{\delta} = m\|x\| \leq m.$$

Thus $F(\overline{B}(0, 1))$ is bounded, as required.

Finally we show that if $F(\overline{B}(0, 1))$ is bounded then F is Lipschitz continuous. Suppose that $F(\overline{B}(0, 1))$ is bounded. Choose $m > 0$ so that $\|F(u)\| \leq m$ for all $u \in U$ with $\|u\| \leq 1$. Let $x, y \in U$. If $x = y$ then $\|F(x) - F(y)\| = 0$. Suppose that $x \neq y$. Then we have $\left\| \frac{x-y}{\|x-y\|} \right\| = 1$ so that $\left\| F\left(\frac{x-y}{\|x-y\|}\right) \right\| \leq m$ and so

$$\|F(x) - F(y)\| = \|F(x - y)\| = \|x - y\| \left\| F\left(\frac{x - y}{\|x - y\|}\right) \right\| \leq m\|x - y\|.$$

Thus F is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant m , as required. \blacksquare

■ **Example 3.4** Define $L : (\mathcal{C}[a, b], d_\infty) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}[a, b], d_\infty)$ by $L(f) = \int_a^x f(t) dt$. Show that L is Lipschitz continuous.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}[a, b]$ with $\|f\|_\infty \leq 1$, that is with $\max_{a \leq x \leq b} |f(x)| \leq 1$. Then

$$\|F(f)\|_\infty = \max_{a \leq x \leq b} \left| \int_a^x f(t) dt \right| \leq \max_{a \leq x \leq b} \int_a^x 1 dt = \max_{a \leq x \leq b} |x - a| = |b - a|.$$

Thus $F(\overline{B}(0, 1))$ is bounded and so F is uniformly continuous. \blacksquare

■ **Example 3.5** Define $D : (\mathcal{C}^1[0, 1], d_\infty) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}[0, 1], d_\infty)$ by $D(f) = f'$. Show that D is not continuous.

Proof. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, define $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f_n(x) = x^n$. Then $f_n \in \mathcal{C}^1[a, b]$, and $\|f_n\|_\infty = \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |x^n| = 1$ so that $f_n \in \overline{B}(0, 1)$, and $\|D(f_n)\|_\infty = \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |n x^{n-1}| = n$. Thus $D(\overline{B}(0, 1))$ is not bounded, so D is not continuous (at any point $g \in \mathcal{C}[0, 1]$). \blacksquare

■ **Example 3.6** Let X be a metric space and let $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq X$. Define $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$F(x) = \text{dist}(x, A) = \inf \{d(x, a) \mid a \in A\}.$$

Show that F is uniformly continuous.

Proof. Given $\epsilon > 0$, chose $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Let $x, y \in X$ with $d(x, y) < \delta = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Since $\text{dist}(y, A) = \inf \{d(y, a) \mid a \in A\}$ we can choose $a \in A$ such that $d(y, a) < \text{dist}(y, A) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Then we have

$$\text{dist}(x, A) \leq d(x, a) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, a) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \text{dist}(y, A) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

so that $\text{dist}(x, A) - \text{dist}(y, A) < \epsilon$. Similarly, we have $\text{dist}(y, A) - \text{dist}(x, A) < \epsilon$ and so

$$|F(y) - F(x)| = |\text{dist}(x, A) - \text{dist}(y, A)| < \epsilon.$$

■

Theorem 3.8 Let U be an n -dimensional normed linear space over \mathbb{R} . Let $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ be any basis for U and let $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow U$ be the associated vector space isomorphism given by $F(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n t_k u_k$. Then both F and F^{-1} are Lipschitz continuous.

Proof. Let $M = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|u_k\|^2 \right)^{1/2}$. For $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(t)\| &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n t_k u_k \right\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n |t_k| \|u_k\|, \text{ by the Triangle Inequality,} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^n t_k^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|u_k\|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \text{ by the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality,} \\ &= M \|t\|. \end{aligned}$$

For all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\|F(s) - F(t)\| = \|F(s - t)\| \leq M \|s - t\|$, so F is Lipschitz continuous.

Note that the map $N : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $N(x) = \|x\|$ is (uniformly) continuous, indeed we can take $\delta = \epsilon$ in the definition of continuity. Since F and N are both continuous, so is the composite $G = N \circ F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which given by $G(t) = \|F(t)\|$. By the Extreme Value Theorem, the map G attains its minimum value on the unit sphere $\{t \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|t\| = 1\}$, which is compact. Let $m = \min_{\|t\|=1} G(t) = \min_{\|t\|=1} \|F(t)\|$. Note that $m > 0$ because when $t \neq 0$ we have $F(t) \neq 0$ (since F is a bijective linear map) and hence $\|F(t)\| \neq 0$. For $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$, if $\|t\| > 1$ then we have $\left\| \frac{t}{\|t\|} \right\| = 1$ so, by the choice of m ,

$$\|F(t)\| = \|t\| \left\| F \left(\frac{t}{\|t\|} \right) \right\| \geq \|t\| \cdot m > m.$$

It follows that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$, if $\|F(t)\| \leq m$ then $\|t\| \leq 1$. Since F is bijective, it follows that for $x \in U$, if $\|x\| \leq m$ then $\|F^{-1}(x)\| \leq 1$. Thus for all $x \in U$, if $x = 0$ then $\|F^{-1}(x)\| = 0 = \frac{\|x\|}{m}$ and if $x \neq 0$ then since $\left\| \frac{mx}{\|x\|} \right\| = m$ we have

$$\|F^{-1}(x)\| = \frac{\|x\|}{m} \left\| F^{-1} \left(\frac{mx}{\|x\|} \right) \right\| \leq \frac{\|x\|}{m}.$$

For all $x, y \in U$, we have $\|F^{-1}(x) - F^{-1}(y)\| = \|F^{-1}(x - y)\| \leq \frac{1}{m} \|x - y\|$, so F^{-1} is Lipschitz continuous. ■

Corollary 3.9

When U and V are finite-dimensional normed linear spaces, every linear map $F : U \rightarrow V$ is Lipschitz continuous.

Corollary 3.10

Any two norms on a finite-dimensional vector space U induce the same topology on U .

4. Separability and Completeness

Definition 4.1 — dense, separable.

Let X be a topological space. Recall that for $A \subseteq X$ we say that A is **dense** in X when $\overline{A} = X$. We say that X is **separable** when it has a finite or countable dense subset.

Definition 4.2 — basis, base.

Let X be a topological space. A **basis** (or a **base**) for the topology on X is a set \mathcal{B} of open sets in X with the property that for every subset $A \subseteq X$, A is open if and only if for every point $a \in A$ there exists a basic set $U \in \mathcal{B}$ with $a \in U \subseteq A$.

■ **Example 4.1** In a metric space X , the set of open balls $\mathcal{B} = \{B(a, r) \mid a \in X, 0 < r \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a basis for the metric topology on X .

Theorem 4.1 Let X be a metric space. 1 If X is separable then there is a finite or countable basis for the metric topology on X .

2 If every infinite subset of X has a limit point then X is separable.

3 If X is separable then every subspace of X is separable.

Proof. The proof is left as an exercise. ■

■ **Example 4.2** Euclidean space (\mathbb{R}^n, d_2) is separable with \mathbb{Q}^n as a countable dense subset. Every subspace of Euclidean space is also separable.

■ **Example 4.3** As an exercise, show that (ℓ_∞, d_∞) is not separable (consider characteristic functions χ_A for subsets $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$).

■ **Example 4.4** As an exercise, show that the set (c, d_∞) of convergent sequences of real (or complex) numbers is separable. Every subspace of c is also separable, for example the space c_0 of sequences which converge to 0.

■ **Example 4.5** As an exercise, show that the space $(\mathcal{B}[a, b], d_\infty)$ of bounded functions on the interval $[a, b]$ is not separable (consider characteristic functions χ_A for appropriate sets

$A \subseteq [a, b]$.

■ **Example 4.6** Later (using the Weierstrass Approximation Theorem) we will show that the space $(\mathcal{C}[a, b], d_\infty)$ of continuous real (or complex) valued functions on the interval $[a, b]$ is separable. Once we have proven this, it will follow that every subspace of $\mathcal{C}[a, b]$ is separable.

Definition 4.3 — Cauchy sequence.

Recall that a sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in a metric space X is called a **Cauchy sequence** when it has the property that for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an index $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that for all indices $k, \ell \geq m$ we have $d(x_k, x_\ell) < \epsilon$.

Theorem 4.2 Let X be a metric space. 1 Every Cauchy sequence in X is bounded.
2 Every convergent sequence in X is Cauchy.
3 If some subsequence of a Cauchy sequence (x_n) converges, then (x_n) converges.

Proof. To prove Part 1, let $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a Cauchy sequence in X . Choose $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $k, \ell \geq m \implies d(x_k, x_\ell) \leq 1$ and note that, in particular, we have $d(x_k, x_m) \leq 1$ for all $k \geq m$. Let $a = x_m$ and choose $r > \max \{d(x_1, a), d(x_2, a), \dots, d(x_{m-1}, a), 1\}$. Then for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we have $d(x_n, a) < r$ so the sequence (x_n) is bounded, as required.

We remark that Part 2 of this theorem was stated earlier, without proof, as Part 5 of Theorem 3.2. We give the proof here. Let $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a convergent sequence in X and let $a = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $n \geq m \implies d(x_n, a) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Then for all $k, \ell \geq m$ we have

$$d(x_k, x_\ell) \leq d(x_k, a) + d(a, x_\ell) < \epsilon$$

Definition 4.4 — complete.

A metric space X is called **complete** when every Cauchy sequence in X converges in X . A complete inner product space is called a **Hilbert space**, and a complete normed linear space is called a **Banach space**.

Theorem 4.3 Let X be a complete metric space and let $A \subseteq X$. Then A is complete if and only if A is closed in X .

Proof. Suppose that A is closed in X . Let (x_n) be a Cauchy sequence in A . Since X is complete, (x_n) converges in X . Since A is closed in X and (x_n) is a sequence in A which converges in X , we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \in A$ by Theorem 3.5 (The Sequential Characterization of Closed Sets). Thus every Cauchy sequence in A converges in A , so A is complete.

Suppose, conversely, that A is complete. Let $a \in A'$, that is let $a \in X$ be a limit point of A . Since $a \in A'$, by Theorem 3.5 (The Sequential Characterization of Limit Points) we can choose a sequence (x_n) in A (indeed in $A \setminus \{a\}$) with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = a$. Since (x_n) converges in X , it is Cauchy. Since (x_n) is Cauchy and A is complete, (x_n) converges in A , that is $a = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \in A$. ■

■ **Example 4.7** Recall, from MATH 247 or PMATH 333, that (\mathbb{R}^n, d_2) is complete. It follows that every closed subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is complete (using the standard metric d_2).

■ **Example 4.8** Note that completeness is not invariant under homeomorphism. For example, \mathbb{R} is homeomorphic to $(0, 1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, but \mathbb{R} is complete while $(0, 1)$ is not.

Theorem 4.4 Every finite-dimensional normed linear space is complete.

Proof. Let U be an n -dimensional normed linear space. Let $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ be a basis for the vector space U and let $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow U$ be the associated vector space isomorphism given by $F(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n t_k u_k$. Recall, from Theorem 3.25, that both F and F^{-1} are Lipschitz continuous. Let L be a Lipschitz constant for F and let M be a Lipschitz constant for F^{-1} . Let $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a Cauchy sequence in U . For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, let $t_n = F^{-1}(x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Note that (t_n) is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{R}^n because

Corollary 4.5

The metric spaces (\mathbb{R}^n, d_1) , (\mathbb{R}^n, d_2) and (\mathbb{R}^n, d_∞) are all complete.

Theorem 4.6 The metric spaces (ℓ_1, d_1) , (ℓ_2, d_2) and (ℓ_∞, d_∞) are all complete.

Proof. We prove that (ℓ_1, d_1) is complete and we leave the proof that (ℓ_2, d_2) and (ℓ_∞, d_∞) are complete as an exercise. Let $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a Cauchy sequence in ℓ_1 . For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, write $a_n = (a_{n,k})_{k \geq 1} = (a_{n,1}, a_{n,2}, a_{n,3}, \dots)$. Since $a_n \in \ell_1$ we have $\sum_{k=1}^\infty |a_{n,k}| < \infty$. Since $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is Cauchy, for every $\epsilon > 0$ we can choose $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that for all $n, m \geq N$ we have $\|a_n - a_m\|_1 < \epsilon$, that is $\sum_{k=1}^\infty |a_{n,k} - a_{m,k}| < \epsilon$. For each fixed $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, note that for $n, m \geq N$ we have $|a_{n,k} - a_{m,k}| \leq \sum_{j=1}^\infty |a_{n,j} - a_{m,j}| < \epsilon$, and so the sequence $(a_{n,k})_{n \geq 1}$ is Cauchy in \mathbb{R} , so it converges. For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, let $b_k = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n,k} \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $b = (b_k)_{k \geq 1}$.

We claim that $b \in \ell_1$. Since $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is Cauchy, for every $\epsilon > 0$ we can choose $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that for all $n, m \geq N$ we have $\|a_n - a_m\|_1 < \epsilon$, that is $\sum_{k=1}^\infty |a_{n,k} - a_{m,k}| < \epsilon$. By the Triangle Inequality, for $n, m \geq N$ we have $|\|a_n\|_1 - \|a_m\|_1| \leq \|a_n - a_m\|_1 < \epsilon$. It follows that the sequence $(\|a_n\|_1)_{n \geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{R} , so it converges. Let $M = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a_n\|_1 \in \mathbb{R}$. For each fixed $K \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^K |b_k| = \sum_{k=1}^K \left| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n,k} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^K |a_{n,k}| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^\infty |a_{n,k}| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a_n\|_1 = M.$$

Since $\sum_{k=1}^K |b_k| \leq M$ for all $K \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ it follows that $\sum_{k=1}^\infty |b_k| \leq M$, so $b \in \ell_1$, as claimed.

Finally, we claim that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = b$ in ℓ_1 . Let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that for all $n, m \geq N$ we have $\|a_n - a_m\|_1 < \epsilon$. Then for each $K \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^K |a_{n,k} - b_k| = \sum_{k=1}^K \left| a_{n,k} - \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} a_{m,k} \right| = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^K |a_{n,k} - a_{m,k}| \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^\infty |a_{n,k} - a_{m,k}| = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|a_n - a_m\|_1 < \epsilon.$$

Since $\sum_{k=1}^K |a_{n,k} - b_k| \leq \epsilon$ for all $K \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ it follows that $\|a_n - b\|_1 = \sum_{k=1}^\infty |a_{n,k} - b_k| \leq \epsilon$. ■

Exercise 4.1 Show that (ℓ_1, d_∞) and (ℓ_2, d_∞) are not closed in (ℓ_∞, d_∞) and so they are not complete.

Exercise 4.2 Show that the metric spaces $(C[a, b], d_1)$ and $(C[a, b], d_2)$ are not complete. Hint: in the case $[a, b] = [-1, 1]$, consider $f_n : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f_n(x) = x^{1/2n-1}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Show that if (f_n) did converge, either in $(C[-1, 1], d_1)$ or in $(C[-1, 1], d_2)$, then it would necessarily converge to a function g with $g(x) = 1$ when $x > 0$ and $g(x) = -1$ when $x < 0$, but such a function g cannot be continuous.

Definition 4.5 — supremum norm, supremum metric.

Let $\mathbf{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . For a metric space X , we define

$$\mathcal{F}(X, \mathbf{F}) = \mathbf{F}^X = \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{F}\} \quad \mathcal{B}(X, \mathbf{F}) = \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{F} \mid f \text{ is bounded}\} \quad \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbf{F}) = \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{F} \mid f \text{ is continuous}\}$$

Since we usually take $\mathbf{F} = \mathbb{R}$ we write

$$\mathcal{F}(X) = \mathcal{F}(X, \mathbb{R}), \quad \mathcal{B}(X) = \mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{R}), \quad \mathcal{C}(X) = \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_b(X) = \mathcal{C}_b(X, \mathbb{R}).$$

Note that $\mathcal{B}(X, \mathbf{F})$ is a normed linear space using the **supremum norm** given by

$$\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|$$

and a metric space using the **supremum metric** given by $d_\infty(f, g) = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x) - g(x)|$.

These do not determine a well-defined norm and metric on $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbf{F})$ since $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|$ might not be finite, but they do determine a well-defined norm and metric on $\mathcal{C}_b(X, \mathbf{F})$.

Definition 4.6 — converges uniformly.

For a sequence (f_n) in $\mathcal{F}(X)$ and for $g \in \mathcal{F}(X)$, we say that (f_n) **converges uniformly** to g on X , and write $f_n \rightarrow g$ uniformly on X , when for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $|f_n(x) - g(x)| < \epsilon$ for every $n \geq m$ and every $x \in X$.

Note 4.1 For a sequence $(f_n) \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and for $g \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, note that $|f_n(x) - g(x)| < \epsilon$ for every $x \in X$ if and only if $\|f_n - g\|_\infty < \epsilon$. It follows that $f_n \rightarrow g$ uniformly on X if and only if $f_n \rightarrow g$ in the metric space $(\mathcal{B}(X), d_\infty)$.

Theorem 4.7 Let X be a metric space. Then the metric spaces $(\mathcal{B}(X), d_\infty)$ and $(\mathcal{C}_b(X), d_\infty)$ are complete.

Proof. Let $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $(\mathcal{B}(X), d_\infty)$. Note that for each $x \in X$, we have $|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| \leq \sup_{y \in X} |f_n(y) - f_m(y)| = \|f_n - f_m\|_\infty$, and so the sequence $(f_n(x))_{n \geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{R} , so it converges. Thus we can define a function $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$ and then we have $f_n \rightarrow g$ pointwise in X .

We claim that $g \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, that is we claim that g is bounded. Since (f_n) is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{B}(X)$, it is bounded (by Part 1 of Theorem 4.11) so we can choose $M \geq 0$ such that $\|f_n\|_\infty \leq M$ for all indices n . Then for all $x \in X$ we have $|f_n(x)| \leq \|f_n\|_\infty \leq M$ and hence $|g(x)| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f_n(x)| \leq M$. Thus g is a bounded function, that is $g \in \mathcal{B}(X)$.

We know that $f_n \rightarrow g$ pointwise on X . We must show that $f_n \rightarrow g$ uniformly on X . Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since (f_n) is Cauchy we can choose $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $\|f_k - f_\ell\|_\infty < \epsilon$ for all $k, \ell \geq m$. Then for all $k \geq m$ and for all $x \in X$ we have

$$|f_k(x) - g(x)| = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} |f_k(x) - f_\ell(x)| \leq \epsilon.$$

It follows that $f_n \rightarrow g$ uniformly on X , that is $f_n \rightarrow g$ in the metric space $(\mathcal{B}(X), d_\infty)$. Thus $(\mathcal{B}(X), d_\infty)$ is complete.

To show that $(\mathcal{C}_b(X), d_\infty)$ is complete, it suffices (by Theorem 4.13) to show that $\mathcal{C}_b(X)$ is closed in $\mathcal{B}(X)$. Let (f_n) be a sequence in $\mathcal{C}_b(X)$ which converges in $(\mathcal{B}(X), d_\infty)$. Let $g = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. We need to show that g is continuous. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $a \in X$. Since $f_n \rightarrow g$ in $(\mathcal{B}(X), d_\infty)$ we know that $f_n \rightarrow g$ uniformly on X , so we can

choose $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $|f_m(x) - g(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ for all $n \geq m$ and all $x \in X$. Since f_m is continuous at a we can choose $\delta > 0$ such that for all $x \in X$ with $d(x, a) < \delta$ we have $|f_m(x) - f_m(a)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$. Then for all $x \in X$ with $d(x, a) < \delta$ we have

$$|g(x) - g(a)| \leq |g(x) - f_m(x)| + |f_m(x) - f_m(a)| + |f_m(a) - g(a)| <$$

Corollary 4.8

The metric space $(\mathcal{C}[a, b], d_\infty)$ is complete.

Proof. Since every continuous function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded, we have $\mathcal{C}[a, b] = \mathcal{C}_b[a, b]$. ■

■ **Example 4.9** In the metric space $(\mathcal{C}[a, b], d_\infty)$, the space $\mathcal{R}[a, b]$ of Riemann integrable functions is closed, hence complete, and the spaces $\mathcal{P}[a, b]$ of polynomial functions, and $\mathcal{C}^1[a, b]$ of continuously differentiable functions, are not closed, and hence not complete.

Theorem 4.9 (Metric Completion) Every metric space X is isometric to a dense subspace of a complete metric space.

Proof. Let X be a metric space. Fix $a \in X$. For each $x \in X$, define $f_x : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f_x(t) = d(t, x) - d(t, a)$. Note that f_x is bounded since, by the Triangle Inequality, $|f_x(t)| = |d(t, x) - d(t, a)| \leq d(a, x)$. Note that f_x is continuous (indeed f_x Lipschitz continuous) because for $s, t \in X$ we have

$$|f_x(s) - f_x(t)| = |d(s, x) - d(s, a) - d(t, x) + d(t, a)| \leq |d(s, x) - d(t, x)| + |d(s, a) - d(t, a)| \leq d(s, t) + d(s, a) - d(t, a) = d(s, t).$$

Define $F : X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_b(X)$ by $F(x) = f_x$. We claim that F preserves distance, using the d_∞ metric on $\mathcal{C}_b(X)$. For all $x, y, t \in X$ we have

$$|f_x(t) - f_y(t)| = |d(t, x) - d(t, a) - d(t, y) + d(t, a)| = |d(t, x) - d(t, y)| \leq d(x, y)$$

hence for all $x, y \in X$ we have

$$\|f_x - f_y\|_\infty = \sup_{t \in X} |f_x(t) - f_y(t)| \leq d(x, y).$$

On the other hand, for all $x, y \in X$ we also have

$$\|f_x - f_y\|_\infty = \sup_{t \in X} |f_x(t) - f_y(t)| \geq |f_x(y) - f_y(y)| = |d(x, y) - d(y, y)| = d(x, y),$$

and so F preserves distance, as claimed. Thus X is isometric to the image $F(X) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_b(X)$, which is dense in its closure $\overline{F(X)}$, which is complete because it is a closed subspace of the complete metric space $\mathcal{C}_b(X)$. ■



When X is a metric space and $F : X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_b(X)$ is the distance preserving map in the proof of the above theorem, we often identify X with its isometric image $F(X)$ and think of X as a dense subspace of the complete metric space $Y = \overline{F(X)}$. Alternatively we can do some cutting and pasting operations on sets to obtain a complete metric space Y which actually contains X as a dense subspace. Here is an outline of one possible way of constructing such a set Y . Choose a set Z which is disjoint from X and has the same cardinality as $\mathcal{C}_b(X)$ (a bit of set theory is required to prove that such a set Z exists). Choose a bijection $G : \mathcal{C}_b(X) \rightarrow Z$ and give Z the metric which makes G an isometry. Then Z is complete and the composite $H = G \circ F : X \rightarrow Z$ is distance preserving so that X is isometric to the image $H(X)$, and $H(X)$ is dense in the complete space $\overline{H(X)}$, and $\overline{H(X)}$ is disjoint from X . Then let $Y = (\overline{H(X)} \setminus H(X)) \cup X$ so that we have $X \subseteq Y$. Let $K : Y \rightarrow \overline{H(X)}$ be the bijection given by $K(x) = h(x)$ if $x \in X$ and $K(y) = y$ if $h \notin X$, and give Y the metric for which K is an isometry. Then Y is complete and X is dense in Y .

Definition 4.7 — metric spaces.

When X and Y are metric spaces with $X \subseteq Y$ such that X is dense in Y and Y is complete, we say that Y is the **metric completion** of X . The metric completion of X is unique in the sense of the following theorem.

Theorem 4.10 (Uniqueness of the Metric Completion) Let X , Y and Z be metric spaces with Y and Z complete such that $X \subseteq Y$ with $\overline{X} = Y$ and $X \subseteq Z$ with $\overline{X} = Z$. Then there is a (unique) isometry $F : Y \rightarrow Z$ with $F(x) = x$ for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Let $a \in Y$. Since $\overline{X} = Y$ we can choose a sequence (x_n) in X with $x_n \rightarrow a$ in Y . Then (x_n) is Cauchy in Y , hence also in X , hence also in Z . Since (x_n) is Cauchy in Z , it converges in Z , say $x_n \rightarrow b$ in Z . In order for a map $F : Y \rightarrow Z$ to be continuous with $F(x) = x$ for every $x \in X$, we must have

$$F(a) = F(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = b.$$

This shows that if such a map F exists, it is unique, and it must be given by the following procedure: given $a \in Y$ we choose a sequence (x_n) in X with $x_n \rightarrow a$ and then we define $F(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \in Z$.

We claim that the above procedure does determine a well-defined map whose value $F(a)$ does not depend on the choice of the sequence (x_n) . Let $a \in Y$ and let (x_n) and (y_n) be two sequences in X with $x_n \rightarrow a$ and $y_n \rightarrow a$ in Y . Let $b = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$ in Z and let $c = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n$ in Z . We need to show that $b = c$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that for all indices $n \geq m$ we have $d_Y(x_n, a) < \frac{\epsilon}{4}$, $d_Y(y_n, a) < \frac{\epsilon}{4}$, $d_Z(x_n, b) < \frac{\epsilon}{4}$, and $d_Z(y_n, c) < \frac{\epsilon}{4}$. Then since $d_Z(x_n, y_n) = d_X(x_n, y_n) = d_Y(x_n, y_n)$ we have

$$d_Z(b, c) \leq d_Z(b, x_n) + d_Z(x_n, y_n) + d_Z(y_n, c) = d_Z(b, x_n) + d_Y(x_n, y_n) + d_Z(y_n, c) \leq d_Z(b, x_n) + d_Y(x_n, a) +$$

Index

A

at most countable 7

B

base 30
 Basic Properties of Limits of Sequence . 22
 basis 30
 bijective 2
 boundary 20
 boundary point 20
 bounded 16, 22

C

Cantor-Schroeder-Bernstein Theorem.... 9
 Cauchy 22
 Cauchy sequence 31
 closed 17
 closed ball 16
 closure 19
 coarser 18
 complete 31
 composite 2
 Composition of Continuous Functions . 25
 continuous 25, 26
 converge 22
 converges uniformly 33
 countable 5

D

dense 19, 30
 distance 13, 14
 diverge 22
 domain 2

F

finer 18
 finite 5

H

homomorphism 12–14

I

identity function 3
 image 2
 infinite 5
 injective 2
 inner product 12
 interior 19
 interior point 20
 inverse 3
 inverse image 2
 isomorphism 13, 14

L

limit 22, 24

limit point 20
 Lipschitz constant 25
 Lipschitz continuous 25

M

metric 14
 metric space 14
 metric spaces 35
 metric topology 18

N

norm 13
 norm (length) 12
 normed linear space 13

O

open 17
 open ball 16
 orthogonal 12

P

power set 8
 punctured ball 16

R

range 2

S

same cardinality 4
 separable 30
 supremum metric 33
 supremum norm 33
 surjective 2

T

The Sequential Characterization of Continuity 25
 The Sequential Characterization of Limit Points and Closed Sets 23
 The Sequential Characterization of Limits 25
 The Topological Characterization of Continuity 26
 topology 18

topology space 18

U

uncountable 7
 uniformly continuous 25
 unit vector 13