

Tropical storms and associated risks to accidental, cardiovascular, and respiratory mortality in [x] United States communities, 1988–2005

Meilin Yan^a, Joshua Ferreri^a, Mohammad Z. Al-Hamdan^b, William L. Crosson^b, Seth Guikema^c, Roger D. Peng^d, G. Brooke Anderson^{*,a}

^a*Department of Environmental & Radiological Health Sciences, Lake Street, Fort Collins, CO, 80525*

^b*Universities Space Research Association, 320 Sparkman Dr., Huntsville, AL, 35805*

^c*Department of Industrial and Operations Engineering, 1205 Beal Ave., Ann Arbor, MI, 48109*

^d*Department of Biostatistics, 615 North Wolfe Street, Baltimore, MD, 21205*

Abstract

Here we explore the association between Atlantic basin tropical storms and risks of accidental, cardiovascular, and respiratory mortality in [x] United States counties between 1987 and 2005. We investigate whether estimated risks differ depending on whether exposure to a storm is determined by distance, rainfall, or maximum wind.

We found ...

Introduction

What we know about tropical storms and mortality risks. The East and Gulf Coasts of the United States are commonly exposed to powerful and destructive tropical cyclonic storms. These storms—which include hurricanes, tropical storms, and tropical depressions—are expected to become stronger and more frequent in the Atlantic with climate change (Seneviratne et al. 2012 – double-check formatting for this reference). However, the full scale of health impacts from cyclonic storms remains poorly characterized. Most epidemiologic studies of cyclonic storms either identify immediate health needs following a single storm (rapid needs surveys) (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2004a; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2009b; Greenough et al. 2008; Kessler and Hurricane Katrina Community Advisory Group and others 2007; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 1993a; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2005b; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2004b; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2006b; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2006c; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2002; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2000; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2006d) or generate death and injury tolls based on medical coding (Jani et al. 2006; Brunkard, Namulanda, and Ratard 2008; Combs et al. 1996; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2006a). Results from rapid needs surveys are difficult to aggregate across storms because survey research designs are usually tailored for a specific storm and health need (e.g., health needs of the elderly in three Florida counties following Hurricane Charley (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2004a)), and so are of limited use in generating overall estimates of health risks. Death and injury tolls that are based on medical coding (i.e., International Classification of Disease codes of “disaster-related” outcomes (World Health Organization 2012 – improve reference format for this reference)), are more comprehensive than rapid needs surveys, but are also difficult to quantitatively aggregate because of large variation in criteria used by health officials to classify a health outcome as “disaster-related” (Combs et al. 1996; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2006a; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 1993b; Combs et al. 1999). For example, medical coding of the health impacts of Hurricane Andrew depended on the separate judgments of 24 different medical examiners in

*Corresponding Author

Email addresses: meilin.yan@colostate.edu (Meilin Yan), joshua.m.ferreri@gmail.com (Joshua Ferreri), mohammad.alhamdan@nasa.gov (Mohammad Z. Al-Hamdan), bcrosson@usra.edu (William L. Crosson), sguikema@umich.edu (Seth Guikema), rdpeng@jhu.edu (Roger D. Peng), brooke.anderson@colostate.edu (G. Brooke Anderson)

Florida and 64 coroners in Louisiana (Combs et al. 1996). Finally, disaster assessments based on medical coding may miss increases in rates of common outcomes, like heart attacks and respiratory problems, because these commonly occur outside of disasters and so are harder for a coroner to distinguish on a case-by-case basis as “disaster-related”, compared to well-characterized storm risks like drowning (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2000; Brunkard, Namulanda, and Ratard 2008; Combs et al. 1996; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2006a; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 1993b), car accidents (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2006a; Bourque et al. 2007), falls (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2006a), cuts (Bourque et al. 2007), fractures (Bourque et al. 2007), fire injuries (Bourque et al. 2007), electrocutions (Bourque et al. 2007), and carbon monoxide poisoning (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2006a; Bourque et al. 2007).

There is some evidence that these storms are likely to increase risks of mortality and morbidity for many causes, including cardiorespiratory causes. For example, four 2004 Florida hurricanes were associated with approximately 600 excess deaths (4% trauma-related; 34% heart-related; 19% cancer-related), a mortality impact underestimated by medical coding by a factor of four (McKinney, Houser, and Meyer-Arendt 2011). Similarly, emergency department visits increased about 80% following Hurricane Katrina in three of the hardest-hit counties in Mississippi (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2006d), and nursing home mortality rates increased about 70% in the month after Katrina compared to baseline rates (Dosa et al. 2010). Many Katrina evacuees arrived at Louisiana shelters with chest pain, shortness of breath, and dehydration (Greenough et al. 2008), while evacuees in Texas suffered from respiratory problems and infections (Mortensen and Dreyfuss 2008).

Tropical storms bring a number of hazards that can cause direct fatalities. For example, tropical storm-associated tornadoes caused over 300 direct deaths in the United States between 1995 and 2009 (Moore and Dixon 2012).

One study of all landfalling US hurricanes between 1970 and 2007 found that direct hurricane fatality counts were directly associated with wind and rain exposures within a county (Czajkowski, Simmons, and Sutter 2011).

Over 80% of the direct tropical storm fatalities in the US between 1970 and 1999 were drownings (Rappaport 2000). While storm surge was a major threat to life from tropical storms in the early 20th century and earlier, in more recent tropical storms, inland flooding has caused more direct hurricane fatalities (Rappaport 2000).

Studies have also investigated other, non-fatal health risks associated with tropical storms in the United States, including adverse birth outcomes (Currie and Rossin-Slater 2013), autism prevalence (Kinney et al. 2008),

The worst tropical storms, in terms of direct fatalities, tend to be early-in-season storms (Rappaport 2000), which may be because the conditions that cause slow-moving storms, which can bring dangerous inland rains and flooding, are more likely in June through August (Rappaport 2000).

Tropical storm winds can also cause direct fatalities, through structural damage, falling trees, and wind-borne debris (Rappaport 2000).

Limitations of previous research. Most research on hurricane-related deaths have focused on direct deaths (e.g., Czajkowski, Simmons, and Sutter (2011), Rappaport (2000)). “Direct” deaths associated with a tropical storm include drownings (from both storm surge and freshwater flooding) and wind-related deaths (e.g., from falling trees or structural damage to a building) (Rappaport 2000). Typically, these casualty counts are aggregated based on reports, and different reports and databases can disagree in the numbers of casualties for an event (Rappaport 2000). Storm event databases that rely on reporting, including NOAA’s Storm Events database, often provide casualty estimates that undercount storm-related deaths (Moore and Dixon 2012). Subjective decisions are often required in creating tropical storm causality datasets based on storm event databases and reports (Rappaport 2000).

Indirect hurricane deaths can come from causes like heart attacks, car accidents, and electrocutions (Rappaport 2000), but are studied much more rarely than direct hurricane deaths.

Direct casualties versus total mortality risks.

Coastal versus inland risks. Tropical storm hazards can exist well inland of where the storm makes landfall. For example, one study of tropical storm-related wind exposures found that most states in the eastern United States, even those well inland of the coast, have experienced severe winds related to tropical storms or decayed tropical storms at some time between 1900 and 2008 (Kruk et al. 2010). Tropical storms can also cause dangerous inland flooding, particularly as storms transition to extratropical [right word?] systems (Halverson 2015; Atallah and Bosart 2003). Further, almost half of the wind-related direct hurricane fatalities in the US between 1970 and 2008 occurred in inland counties, rather than coastal, counties (Czajkowski, Simmons, and Sutter 2011).

A number of historic storms have had extreme impacts well inland from the coast, including extreme impacts from rain for Floyd (1999) and Allison (2001) and extreme impacts from wind for Hugo (1989) and Fran (1996) (Kruk et al. 2010).

While some studies have found evidence of decreasing direct deaths from hurricanes over time in the US [citations], other studies of more recent periods have not found a decreasing trend when inland direct deaths are also included in the analysis (Czajkowski, Simmons, and Sutter 2011). In present-days periods (e.g., 1970–2007, in one study (Czajkowski, Simmons, and Sutter 2011)), many direct hurricane fatalities occur in inland, rather than coastal, counties. This is particularly true for some storms that have brought dangerous freshwater flooding (e.g., Floyd in 1999, Allison in 2001, [storms] [citations]) or inland winds (e.g., Hugo in 1989, [storms] [citations]). These storms have not always been particularly strong at landfall; for example, Allison (2001) was a tropical storm, rather than hurricane, at landfall [citation].

In coastal counties, the number of direct hurricane fatalities between 1970 and 2008 tended to increase at closer distances to the storm’s center, while the inverse was true for inland counties, with more direct fatalities in counties a bit further from the storm center track (Czajkowski, Simmons, and Sutter 2011).

A study of direct tropical storms in the US between 1970 and 1999 found only about 25% of these direct deaths were in coastal counties (Rappaport 2000).

Ways of measuring exposure and how they could influence risk estimates. Some storm hazards are strongly associated with distance both from the center of the storm and from the coast. For example, hurricane winds typically decay rapidly after the storm makes landfall (Kruk et al. 2010).

Rains from a tropical storm can cause extreme flooding. This flooding can be related to a number of health risks, including problems with water quality following the storm, especially if flooding affects landfills, wastewater treatment plants, or concentrated animal feedlot operations (Mallin and Corbett 2006).

Wind speeds of a hurricane are also directly associated with power outages during the storm [citation]. Power outages can bring a number of health-related hazards, both short term (e.g., ...) and longer-term, including from water quality problems that can result from wastewater treatment plants losing power (Mallin and Corbett 2006).

Studies of the health risks of hurricanes have classified storm exposure in different ways. Some studies have exclusively used distance from the storm’s track (e.g., Currie and Rossin-Slater (2013), ...), either distance to some point (Currie and Rossin-Slater 2013) or based on whether a storm passed within a county’s boundaries [citations]. Other studies have used metrics that combined distance with other measures, either to initially assign exposure [citations] or to explore effect modification (e.g., Czajkowski, Simmons, and Sutter (2011) used distance to assign exposure to a storm, then explored whether rainfall or maximum winds modified risks of direct hurricane fatalities).

One study found that direct storm deaths were not strongly associated with the strength of a storm at landfall, since some of the most dangerous storms could be weaker ones that caused extreme inland flooding (e.g., Charley in 1998, Alberto in 1994, and Alberto in 1994) (Rappaport 2000).

In addition to causing drownings, extreme rains from a tropical storm could create dangerous road conditions (Rappaport 2000), which could cause or contribute to traffic accidents and could also slow down emergency response.

A number of storm hazards are directly associated to distance, either from the storm’s center or from the point of landfall, including wind speed, storm surge, and dangerous waves (Rappaport 2000).

Timing of storms and risks. One study found that storms with similar rainfalls cause a more elevated streamflow, which is related to flooding, if they occur later in the hurricane season, because of seasonal variations in some of the factors that modify the relationship between rainfall and flooding risk, including vegetation patterns and meteorological conditions (Chen, Kumar, and McGlynn 2015); while one other study also discussed that flooding risk was reduced early in the hurricane season by the hotter conditions, which allow more water evaporation (Mallin and Corbett 2006), another study discussed that steering currents tend to be weaker in the hurricane season, which can cause slower-moving storms and so more rain and flooding (Rappaport 2000).

What we do in this study. Here we explore the association between Atlantic basin tropical storms and risks of accidental, cardiovascular, and respiratory mortality in [x] United States counties between 1987 and 2005. We investigate whether estimated risks differ depending on whether exposure to a storm is determined by distance, rainfall, or maximum wind.

Data and Methods

Hurricane track data. The HURDAT “best tracks” data (Jarvinen and Caso 1978) have been used in a number of studies, including ones exploring . . . , [citations] and development of a new hurricane hazard index (Rezapour and Baldock 2014). The extended best tracks data extension of HURDAT (Demuth, DeMaria, and Knaff 2006), which we use in this study, has been used in studies of hurricane-related inland wind exposure (Kruk et al. 2010), . . . [citations].

Rain data. In this study, we use precipitation data from the North American Land Data Assimilation System, phase 2 (NLDAS-2) (Rui and Mocko 2014) to characterize rain-based exposure to tropical storms. The NLDAS-2 data integrates satellite-based and land-based monitoring and applies a land-surface model to create a reanalysis dataset that is spatially and temporally complete across the continental United States (Rui and Mocko 2014; Al-Hamdan et al. 2014). This data has been used in previous research to investigate tropical storms, including in a study of the relationship between rainfall and streamflow responses for tropical storms in a North Carolina watershed (Chen, Kumar, and McGlynn 2015).

The NLDAS-2 precipitation data is originally provided hourly for a 1/8 degree grid (Rui and Mocko 2014; Al-Hamdan et al. 2014). To generate county-level daily rainfall estimates, we first aggregated data at each grid point, after converting the timestamp of each observation to local time, to create a daily estimate at the grid point. We then averaged all grid points within a county’s boundaries to generate a county-level average of daily precipitation (Al-Hamdan et al. 2014). This county-level precipitation data is publicly available through the US Centers for Disease Control’s Wide-ranging Online Data for Epidemiological Research (WONDER) database (citation for WONDER; Al-Hamdan et al. 2014).

Wind data.

Health data. The mortality data were obtained from the National Center for Health Statistics and include daily mortality counts, aggregated by community, for 108 United States communities (1987–2005), including [x] communities with exposure based on at least one metric to at least one tropical storm over the study period ([map]). For each community, we obtained daily counts throughout the study period for accidental (ICD [x]), cardiovascular (ICD [x]), and respiratory (ICD [x]) deaths.

Assigning exposure based on distance-, rain-, and wind-related metrics. Tropical storm exposure can be assigned at the city level in a variety of ways, and models may be sensitive to the method of assignment. For example, one way to assign exposure is to consider a community “storm-affected” on a given day if a storm’s track has passed within a certain distance (e.g., 100 kilometers) of the community’s center; another way is to consider a community “storm-affected” if rainfall surpassed a certain amount (e.g., 75 millimeters) on days within a certain window of when a storm track passed within a certain distance of the city center. As part of this research, we investigate the sensitivity of the models to several reasonable storm exposure metrics.

For each storm, we interpolated tracks from the 6-hour synoptic time measurements down to 15-minute increments, using a linear interpolation between observed locations.

To determine the distance between each county and a storm at the storm’s closest approach to the county, we first obtained latitude and longitude coordinates of each study county’s population mean center as of the 2010 US Census [citation]. We calculated the distance between each county center and each 15-minute storm location using great circle distance, assuming an Earth radius of ... [sp citation]. We found the minimum distance of these distance measurements for each study county for the storm and recorded both the distance and time of this point in the storm’s tracks as the closest distance and closest time for the county. To enable pairing with rain and health data, we converted the closest time to local time for the county using timezone designations based on the Olson / IANA database [countytimezone citation, Olson / IANA database citation]. For study communities that are aggregations of multiple counties (e.g., New York, NY, includes the counties of ...), we determined both the mean of all county-specific closest distances and the minimum of the distance for any of the community’s counties (for single-county communities, these values both equal the simple closest distance between the county and the storm).

Methods. By increasing the study power through a national, multi-year analysis, this study is able to investigate: (1) susceptibility at the individual level (by age, gender, race) (2) susceptibility at the community level (by community socioeconomic characteristics); and (3) physical characteristics that make a storm more dangerous to health (wind speed, rainfall).

To test differences in susceptibility by individual characteristics, we modeled overall storm effects with data stratified by age and cause of death. To test whether community-level characteristics modify average storm-related health risks, we incorporated community-level characteristics into the second-level of the pooled two-level normal independent sampling estimation of the main model results. These community-level characteristics included measures from the US Census [citation for county data], including unemployment rate and racial distribution, as well as measures of whether or not a city is coastal [citation, maybe NOAA’s “Spatial Trends in Coastal Socioeconomics”].

To determine whether certain storm characteristics are associated with more severe health risks, we estimated the health effects of each individual storm and then used a Bayesian framework (Everson and Morris 2000) to pool these storm-specific effect estimates while incorporating three storm characteristics as potential effect modifiers: storm strength (e.g. Category 1 Hurricane, Tropical Storm, etc.), total rainfall within the affected community, and maximum wind speed within the affected community.

Results

Exposure to tropical storms in study communities.

Discussion

Risks from tropical storms. One study found that hurricanes in Texas between 1996 and 2008 were significantly associated with some adverse birth outcomes (need for assisted ventilation for more than 30 minutes, meconium aspiration syndrome), but not with others (gestation, low birth weight) (Currie and Rossin-Slater 2013). While this study found that hurricane exposure was positively associated with risk of some adverse birth outcomes, their analysis suggested that this association was likely not caused by a disruption of medical services related to the storm (Currie and Rossin-Slater 2013). They suggest the possibility that the observed risks might be associated with increased stress during pregnancy for women exposed to storms (Currie and Rossin-Slater 2013). Another study found an increase in autism prevalence associated with exposure to hurricanes in Louisiana between 1980 and 1995 (Kinney et al. 2008); this study also hypothesizes that this association might be related to storm-related stress.

Health risks from tropical storms might be modified by the vulnerability of the location (e.g., flooding vulnerability of a county) or by vulnerability factors in the population (e.g., high poverty levels) (Kinney et al. 2008).

Variation in risks based on tropical storm exposure metric. Different metrics of exposure can identify different storm-related hazards. For example, slow-moving storms are associated with increased risk of dangerous rain and flooding (Halverson 2015), but decreased risk of dangerous inland winds (Kruk et al. 2010).

One study found that a metric of hurricane strength that incorporated rain, in addition to a storm’s intensity as measured by wind speed, was more strongly associated with the number of [direct?] deaths and cost of storm-related damage for US landfalling hurricanes between 2003 and 2012 (excluding Hurricanes Katrina and Sandy) than a metric that only used wind speed (Rezapour and Baldock 2014).

One study found that, once counties were identified as “exposed” based on a distance-based metric, county-level hurricane direct fatalities increased as the total rainfall and maximum windspeeds of the storm in the county increased (Czajkowski, Simmons, and Sutter 2011). However, this study also found that their distance-based criteria likely excluded some counties that experienced dangerous exposures and direct hurricane fatalities (Czajkowski, Simmons, and Sutter 2011).

Risks near the coast versus inland. While some tropical storm hazards (waves, storm surge) occur essentially exclusively at the coast, other hazards can occur well inland from landfall. For example, fatal tropical storm-related hurricanes in the US occur most commonly when a high-intensity storm begins to decay inland of landfall (Moore and Dixon 2012).

Limitations with distance-based exposure. Many of the hazards caused by tropical storms can occur far away from the storm’s center path, including tornadoes, which are most common at 200–500 kilometers from the storm’s center (Moore and Dixon 2012).

Limitations with rain-based exposure. Storms with the same amount of rainfall can vary substantially in storm-related streamflow responses (Chen, Kumar, and McGlynn 2015). Since tropical storm rains likely cause threats to health largely through flood hazards, a more direct measure of flooding from storms might provide a more specific measure of when a community experiences a dangerous exposure to a tropical storm.

Some storms move very slowly, and these tend to be the storms associated with heavy rainfall (Medlin, Kimball, and Blackwell 2007). It can be hard to determine an appropriate range of days to include when calculating storm-related rainfalls. Here, we use a three-day window, centered on the day when the storm was closest to the county. However, other studies have used longer periods to try to fully characterize rainfall for slow-moving storms; for example, one study used a period of over four days to estimate total rain for Hurricane Danny in 1997 (Medlin, Kimball, and Blackwell 2007).

Limitations with wind-based exposure. The wind-based intensity of a hurricane can be strongly associated with the amount of property damage caused by a hurricane (Pielke and Landsea 1998). However, wind intensity of a storm might not be as strongly associated with health risks, particularly for indirect deaths.

While many studies of the health impacts of tropical storms have used wind estimates based on wind models that assumed symmetric decay of winds on either side of the storm’s tracks (e.g., Czajkowski, Simmons, and Sutter (2011), ...), here we used wind models that attempted to capture the asymmetric nature of hurricane winds.

Further research. Health risks associated with a cyclonic storm might be aggravated by the infrastructure damage caused by the storm. Infrastructure damage outside of storms can bring substantial health risks. For example, 90 excess deaths were associated with the 2003 Northeastern blackout in New York, NY, mostly from cardiovascular causes (Anderson and Bell 2012). During a storm, infrastructure damage may aggravate health risks. Studies of specific hurricanes have found that infrastructure damage can limit access to medications, health facilities, food, and water (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2009b; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 1993a; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2005b; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2004b; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2006d; Smith 1992; Silverman et al. 1995); create dangerous conditions in hospitals (Brunkard, Namulanda, and Ratard 2008; Silverman et al. 1995); and cause carbon monoxide poisonings (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2000; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2006d; Bourque et al. 2007; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2005a; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2009a).

Flooding from Tropical Storm Allison in 2001 closed or debilitated nine hospitals in Houston, TX, substantially reducing the city's hospital bed capacity and overwhelming remaining emergency departments (D'Amore and Hardin 2005). Hurricane Wilma (2005) caused power outages for about 3 million residences (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2006c); Hurricane Ike (2008) also caused outages for about 3 million residences, and in parts of Houston service was out for weeks (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2009b). Storm damage can also increase exposure to environmental health hazards—flooding from Hurricane Floyd closed over 20 water treatment plants and contaminated local water sources with untreated hog waste (Setzer and Domino 2004). Future research could investigate whether storm health risks are aggravated by storm-related road damage and power outages.

References

- Al-Hamdan, Mohammad Z, William L Crosson, Sigrid A Economou, Maurice G Estes Jr, Sue M Estes, Sarah N Hemmings, Shia T Kent, et al. 2014. "Environmental Public Health Applications Using Remotely Sensed Data." *Geocarto International* 29 (1): 85–98. doi:[10.1080/10106049.2012.715209](https://doi.org/10.1080/10106049.2012.715209).
- Anderson, G Brooke, and Michelle L Bell. 2012. "Lights Out: Impact of the August 2003 Power Outage on Mortality in New York, NY." *Epidemiology* 23 (2): 189.
- Atallah, Eyad H., and Lance F. Bosart. 2003. "The Extratropical Transition and Precipitation Distribution of Hurricane Floyd (1999)." *Monthly Weather Review* 131: 1063–81.
- Bourque, Linda B, Judith M Siegel, Megumi Kano, and Michele M Wood. 2007. "Morbidity and Mortality Associated with Disasters." In *Handbook of Disaster Research*, 97–112. Springer.
- Brunkard, Joan, Gonza Namulanda, and Raoult Ratard. 2008. "Hurricane Katrina Deaths, Louisiana, 2005." *Disaster Medicine and Public Health Preparedness* 2 (04): 215–23.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 1993a. "Comprehensive Assessment of Health Needs 2 Months After Hurricane Andrew—Dade County, Florida, 1992." *MMWR* 42 (22): 434.
- . 1993b. "Injuries and Illnesses Related to Hurricane Andrew—Louisiana, 1992." *MMWR* 42 (13): 242–4.
- . 2000. "Morbidity and Mortality Associated with Hurricane Floyd—North Carolina, September–October 1999." *MMWR* 49 (17): 369.
- . 2002. "Tropical Storm Allison Rapid Needs Assessment—Houston, Texas, June 2001." *MMWR* 51 (17): 365.
- . 2004a. "Rapid Assessment of the Needs and Health Status of Older Adults After Hurricane Charley—Charlotte, DeSoto, and Hardee Counties, Florida, August 27–31, 2004." *MMWR* 53 (36): 837–40.
- . 2004b. "Rapid Community Health and Needs Assessments After Hurricanes Isabel and Charley—North Carolina, 2003–2004." *MMWR* 53 (36): 840.
- . 2005a. "Carbon Monoxide Poisoning from Hurricane-Associated Use of Portable Generators—Florida, 2004." *MMWR* 54 (28): 697–700.
- . 2005b. "Epidemiologic Assessment of the Impact of Four Hurricanes—Florida, 2004." *MMWR* 54 (28): 693–97.
- . 2006a. "Mortality Associated with Hurricane Katrina—Florida and Alabama, August–October 2005." *MMWR* 55 (9): 239–42.
- . 2006b. "Rapid Community Needs Assessment After Hurricane Katrina—Hancock County, Mississippi, September 14–15, 2005." *MMWR* 55 (9): 234–36.
- . 2006c. "Rapid Needs Assessment of Two Rural Communities After Hurricane Wilma—Hendry County, Florida, November 1–2, 2005." *MMWR* 55 (15): 429–31.
- . 2006d. "Surveillance for Illness and Injury After Hurricane Katrina—three Counties, Mississippi, September

5-October 11, 2005.” *MMWR* 55 (9): 231–34.

———. 2009a. “Carbon Monoxide Exposures After Hurricane Ike—Texas, September 2008.” *MMWR* 58 (31): 845–49.

———. 2009b. “Hurricane Ike Rapid Needs Assessment—Houston, Texas, September 2008.” *MMWR* 58 (38): 1066–71.

Chen, Xing, Mukesh Kumar, and Brian L. McGlynn. 2015. “Variations in Streamflow Response to Large Hurricane-Season Storms in a Southeastern U.S. Watershed.” *Journal of Hydrometeorology* 16: 55–69. doi:[10.1175/JHM-D-14-0044.1](https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-14-0044.1).

Combs, Debra L, R Gibson Parrish, SCOTT JN McNabb, and Joseph H Davis. 1996. “Deaths Related to Hurricane Andrew in Florida and Louisiana, 1992.” *International Journal of Epidemiology* 25 (3): 537–44.

Combs, Debra L, Lynn E Quenemoen, R Gibson Parrish, and Joseph H Davis. 1999. “Assessing Disaster-Attributed Mortality: Development and Application of a Definition and Classification Matrix.” *International Journal of Epidemiology* 28 (6): 1124–9.

Currie, Janet, and Maya Rossin-Slater. 2013. “Weathering the Storm: Hurricanes and Birth Outcomes.” *Journal of Health Economics* 32: 487–503. doi:[10.1016/j.jhealeco.2013.01.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhealeco.2013.01.004).

Czajkowski, Jeffrey, Kevin Simmons, and Daniel Sutter. 2011. “An Analysis of Coastal and Inland Fatalities in Landfalling US Hurricanes.” *Natural Hazards* 59: 1513–31. doi:[10.1007/s11069-011-9849-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-011-9849-x).

Demuth, Julie L, Mark DeMaria, and John A Knaff. 2006. “Improvement of Advanced Microwave Sounding Unit Tropical Cyclone Intensity and Size Estimation Algorithms.” *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology* 45 (11): 1573–81.

Dosa, David, Zhanlian Feng, Kathy Hyer, Lisa M Brown, Kali Thomas, and Vincent Mor. 2010. “Effects of Hurricane Katrina on Nursing Facility Resident Mortality, Hospitalization, and Functional Decline.” *Disaster Medicine and Public Health Preparedness* 4 (S1): S28–S32.

D’Amore, Adanto R, and Charles K Hardin. 2005. “Air Force Expeditionary Medical Support Unit at the Houston Floods: Use of a Military Model in Civilian Disaster Response.” *Military Medicine* 170 (2): 103.

Everson, Philip J, and Carl N Morris. 2000. “Inference for Multivariate Normal Hierarchical Models.” *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)* 62 (2): 399–412.

Greenough, P Gregg, Michael D Lappi, Edbert B Hsu, Sheri Fink, Yu-Hsiang Hsieh, Alexander Vu, Clay Heaton, and Thomas D Kirsch. 2008. “Burden of Disease and Health Status Among Hurricane Katrina– Displaced Persons in Shelters: A Population-Based Cluster Sample.” *Annals of Emergency Medicine* 51 (4): 426–32.

Halverson, Jeffrey B. 2015. “Second Wind: The Deadly and Destructive Inland Phase of East Coast Hurricanes.” *Weatherwise* 68 (2): 20–27. doi:[10.1080/00431672.2015.997562](https://doi.org/10.1080/00431672.2015.997562).

Jani, Asim A, M Fierro, Sarah Kiser, V Ayala-Simms, DH Darby, S Juenker, R Storey, Catherine Reynolds, J Marr, and Greg Miller. 2006. “Hurricane Isabel-Related Mortality—Virginia, 2003.” *Journal of Public Health Management and Practice* 12 (1): 97–102.

Jarvinen, Brian R., and Eduardo L. Caso. 1978. “A Tropical Cyclone Data Tape for the North Atlantic Basin, 1886-1977: Contents, Limitations, and Uses.” *NOAA Technical Memorandum NWS NHC* 6.

Kessler, Ronald C, and Hurricane Katrina Community Advisory Group and others. 2007. “Hurricane Katrina’s Impact on the Care of Survivors with Chronic Medical Conditions.” *Journal of General Internal Medicine* 22 (9): 1225–30.

Kinney, Dennis K, Andrea M Miller, David J Crowley, and Erika Gerber. 2008. “Autism Prevalence Following Prenatal Exposure to Hurricanes and Tropical Storms in Louisiana.” *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders* 38 (3). Springer: 481–88.

Kruk, Michael C., Ethan J. Gibney, David H. Levinson, and Michael Squires. 2010. “A Climatology of Inland Winds from Tropical Cyclones for the Eastern United States.” *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*

49: 1538–47. doi:[10.1175/2010JAMC2389.1](https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JAMC2389.1).

Mallin, Michael A., and Catherine A. Corbett. 2006. “How Hurricane Attributes Determine the Extent of Environmental Effects: Multiple Hurricanes and Different Coastal Systems.” *Estuaries and Coasts* 29 (6A): 1046–61.

McKinney, Nathan, Chris Houser, and Klaus Meyer-Arendt. 2011. “Direct and Indirect Mortality in Florida During the 2004 Hurricane Season.” *International Journal of Biometeorology* 55 (4): 533–46.

Medlin, Jeffrey M., Sytske K. Kimball, and Keith G. Blackwell. 2007. “Radar and Rain Gauge Analysis of the Extreme Rainfall During Hurricane Danny’s (1997) Landfall.” *Monthly Weather Review* 135: 1869–88.

Moore, Todd W., and Richard W. Dixon. 2012. “Tropical Cyclone-Tornado Casualties.” *Natural Hazards* 61: 621–34. doi:[10.1007/s11069-011-0050-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-011-0050-z).

Mortensen, Karoline, and Zachary Dreyfuss. 2008. “How Many Walked Through the Door?: The Effect of Hurricane Katrina Evacuees on Houston Emergency Departments.” *Medical Care* 46 (9): 998–1001.

Pielke, Roger A., and Christopher W. Landsea. 1998. “Normalized Hurricane Damages in the United States: 1925–95.” *Weather and Forecasting* 13: 621–31.

Rappaport, Edward N. 2000. “Loss of Life in the United States Associated with Recent Atlantic Tropical Cyclones.” *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* x: 2065–73.

Rezapour, Mehdi, and Tom E. Baldock. 2014. “Classification of Hurricane Hazards: The Importance of Rainfall.” *Weather and Forecasting* 29: 1319–31.

Rui, Hualan, and David Mocko. 2014. “Readme Document for North America Land Data Assimilation System Phase 2 (NLDAS-2) Products.” In *Goddard Earth Sciences Data and Information Services Center*.

Seneviratne, SI, N Nicholls, D Easterling, CM Goodess, S Kanae, J Kossin, Y Luo, et al. 2012. “Changes in Climate Extremes and Their Impacts on the Natural Physical Environment: An Overview of the IPCC SREX Report.” In *EGU General Assembly Conference Abstracts*, 109–230.

Setzer, Christian, and Marisa Elena Domino. 2004. “Medicaid Outpatient Utilization for Waterborne Pathogenic Illness Following Hurricane Floyd.” *Public Health Reports* 119 (5): 472.

Silverman, Michael A, Michael Weston, Maria Llorente, Charles Beber, and Rosa Tam. 1995. “Lessons Learned from Hurricane Andrew: Recommendations for Care of the Elderly in Long-Term Care Facilities.” *Southern Medical Journal* 88 (6): 603–8.

Smith, Keith. 1992. *Environmental Hazards: Assessing Risk and Reducing Disaster*. Routledge.

World Health Organization. 2012. “International Classification of Diseases (ICD).”