NOTE: This analysis is not covered in the paper

ANALYSIS OF EXPERIMENTS BY TYPE

To explore to what extent the limitations observed vary across experiments with different objectives, we classified the experiments and reassessed them by type. Table 1 provides an overview of the classification of the 194 experiments into three groups: evaluation, generalization, and optimization.

Table 1. Types of experiments found

Category	Count	Percentage
Optimization	67	35%
Evaluation	90	46%
Generalization	25	13%
Optimization+Evaluation	10	5%
Evaluation+Generalization	2	1%

Evaluation experiments aim at comparing the proposed DNN with a baseline of expected-values set by the researchers, DNNs proposed by other researchers, other techniques that do not rely on DNNs, or human performance. We find that 46% of the experiments aim to perform such an evaluation. Generalization experiments aim to assess a DNN under a different dataset, most commonly under a different test set but also sometimes under a different training set used to define the model parameters, or a new user context. We find that 13% of the experiments fall into this category. For example, [AP6] proposes a DNN for predicting developer actions (represented as a sequence of image regions). One of the experiments runs the DNN for predicting actions for developers and programming languages different from the ones in the training set. Optimization experiments aim at exploring and eventually identifying the best DNN configuration, within some allocated resources, through the manipulation of a large number of variables, from the model hyperparameters to the deep learning algorithm. We find a large number of optimization experiments (35%). We also find combinations of optimization and evaluation experiments (the same experiment compares other approaches and variants of the proposed approach) in 5% of the cases. Finally, 1% of experiments combine evaluation and generalization (the same experiment compares the DNN with other approaches while it is being assessed under a different dataset, or new user context).

We find that the limitations observed earlier remain mostly the same across experiment types. One noticeable difference, however, is that the optimization experiments are the ones with the most missing response variables (18% vs. 0% for the other types of experiments) and factors and treatments specification (10% vs. 0% and 4% for evaluation and generalization experiments). Since the response variable is often associated with accuracy, this is an easily fixed oversight. However, missing factors and treatments seem more problematic since it undermines these experiments' objective to identify the best model configuration, and the factors and treatments are key in defining such configuration. We provide the full breakdown of the data.

Characterization of DNN experiments per type

			Fully Ac	ldressed			Partially A	Addressed			Mis	sing	
		Optimization	Evaluation	Generalization	All	Optimization	Evaluation	Generalization	All	Optimization	Evaluation	Generalization	All
Hypotheses	Research	60%	84%	84%	76%	0%	0%	0%	0%	40%	16%	16%	24%
Variables	Model hyperparameters	3%	12%	0%	7%	90%	78%	96%	86%	7%	10%	4%	8%
identification	Model parameters	3%	2%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	97%	98%	100%	98%
	DL algorithm	24%	31%	20%	26%	73%	66%	80%	72%	3%	3%	0%	3%
	Training hyperparameters	19%	21%	16%	19%	73%	70%	72%	73%	7%	9%	12%	8%
	Training data	73%	66%	80%	70%	25%	29%	20%	27%	1%	6%	0%	4%
Operationalization	Factors and treatments	37%	0%	12%	14%	52%	100%	84%	81%	10%	0%	4%	4%
	Response variables	70%	81%	80%	76%	12%	19%	20%	18%	18%	0%	0%	6%
Design	Choice of design	0%	0%	0%	0%	58%	73%	84%	70%	42%	27%	16%	30%
	Instrumentation	3%	1%	0%	2%	94%	99%	100%	97%	3%	0%	0%	1%
Objects selection	Test sets characteristics	57%	57%	84%	59%	16%	26%	12%	20%	27%	18%	4%	22%
Analysis &	Descriptive statistics	4%	12%	20%	10%	22%	36%	44%	34%	73%	52%	36%	56%
interpretation	Inferential statistics	6%	16%	20%	12%	0%	1%	0%	1%	94%	83%	80%	87%
Validity evaluation	Validity threats	0%	1%	8%	2%	87%	76%	68%	79%	13%	23%	24%	20%

Summary of characterization: All papers

					Literatura estre							no tore		• .	
		Hypotheses			bles identific			Operation			Design	Population		lysis	Validity
			Model	Model	DL	Training	Training	Factors and	Response	Choice of design	Instrumentation	Test	Descriptive	Inferential	evaluation
EXPERIMENTS:	194	Research	hyperparameters	parameters	aigoritnm	hyperparameters	data	treatments	variables	uesigii		dataset	statistics	statistics	
EXPERIMENTS.	194														
	COUNT M:	47	15	190	5	16	7	8	12	58	2	42	109	169	38
	COUNT PA:	0	166	0	139		52	158	34	136	189	38		1	153
	COUNT FA	147	13	4	50	36	135	28	148	0	3	114	19	24	3
	% M	24%	8%	98%	3%	8%	4%	4%	6%	30%	1%	22%	56%	87%	20%
	% PA	0%	86%	0%	72%	73%	27%	81%	18%	70%	97%	20%	34%	1%	79%
	% FA	76%	7%	2%	26%	19%	70%	14%	76%	0%	2%	59%	10%	12%	2%
	TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
OPTIMIZATION:	67														
OF THVILLATION.	07														
	COUNT M:	27	5	65	2	5	1	7	12	28	2	18	49	63	9
	COUNT PA:	0	60		49	49	17	35		39				0	58
	COUNT FA	40	2	2	16	13	49	25	47	0	2	38	3	4	0
	% M	40%	7%	97%	3%		1%	10%	18%	42%	3%		73%	94%	13%
	% PA	0%	90%	0%	73%	73%	25%	52%	12%	58%	94%	16%	22%	0%	87%
	% FA	60%	3%	3%	24%	19%	73%	37%	70%	0%	3%	57%	4%	6%	0%
	TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
EVALUATION:	90														
LVALUATION.	30														
	COUNT M:	14	9	88	3	8	5	0	0	24	0	16	47	75	21
	COUNT PA:	0	70				26	90		66				1	68
	COUNT FA	76	11				59	0		0				14	1
	% M	16%	10%	98%	3%	9%	6%	0%	0%	27%	0%	18%	52%	83%	23%
	% PA	0%	78%	0%	66%	70%	29%	100%	19%	73%	99%	26%	36%	1%	76%
	% FA	84%	12%	2%	31%	21%	66%	0%	81%	0%	1%	57%	12%	16%	1%
	TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
GENERALIZATION:	25														
GENERALIZATION:	25														
	COUNT M:	4	1	25	0	3	0	1	0	4	0	1	9	20	6
	COUNT PA:	0	24		20			21		21				0	17
	COUNT FA	21	0		5		20		20	0				5	2
									20						
	% M	16%	4%	100%	0%	12%	0%	4%	0%	16%	0%	4%	36%	80%	24%
	% PA	0%	96%	0%	80%	72%	20%	84%	20%	84%	100%	12%	44%	0%	68%
	% FA	84%	0%	0%	20%	16%	80%	12%	80%	0%	0%	84%	20%	20%	8%
	TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	•														

Characterization of ICSE papers

				Hypotheses		Varia	bles identific	ation		Operation	nalization		Design	Population	Ana	llysis		Art	ifact
					Model	Model	DL	Training	Training	Factors and	Response	Choice of	Instrumentation	Test	Descriptive	Inferential	Validity evaluation	A. ailabilia.	Badge
Venue	Paper #	Experiment	Туре	Research	hyperparameters	parameters	algorithm	hyperparameters	data	treatments	variables	design		dataset	statistics	statistics	evaluation	Availability	
		E1	Optimization	М	PA	М	PA	PA	FA	M	М	М	PA	PA	M	M	M		
ICSE'18	AP1		Evaluation	M	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	PA	M	M	M	Yes	No
ical io	71.2		Generalization	M	PA	М	PA	PA	FA	M	FA	M	PA	PA	PA	M	M	163	140
		E4	Generalization	М	PA	М	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	PA	PA	FA	M		
ICSE'18	AP2	E1	Evaluation	M	PA	М	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	M	PA	FA	PA	PA	PA	Yes	No
ICCEIAO	400	E1	Evaluation	FA	PA	М	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	M	M	PA	V	N -
ICSE'19	AP3		Evaluation	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	M	M	PA	Yes	No
-			Evaluation	FA M	PA PA	M M	PA PA	PA PA	FA FA	PA FA	FA PA	M M	PA PA	FA FA	M M	M M	PA PA		+
ICSE'19	AP4	E2	Optimization Evaluation	FA	PA PA	M	PA PA	PA PA	FA	PA PA	FA	M	PA PA	FA FA	M	M	PA PA	Yes	No
			Optimization+evaluation	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	PA	PA	FA	M	PA	M	M	M	M		
			Evaluation	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	PA	PA	FA	PA	PA	M	M	M	M		Available
ICSE'19	AP5		Evaluation	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	PA	PA	FA	PA	PA	M	M	M	M	Yes	Reusable
			Optimization	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	PA	M	FA	PA	PA	M	M	M	M		
			Optimization	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	FA	FA	PA	PA	FA	PA	M	М		
			Generalization	FA	PA	М	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	FA	М	М		
ICSE'19	AP6	E3	Generalization	FA	PA	М	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	FA	M	М	No	No
			Generalization	FA	PA	М	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	FA	M	М		
		E5	Evaluation	FA	M	М	М	М	M	PA	PA	М	PA	М	M	M	М		
		E1	Optimization	М	PA	М	PA	PA	PA	FA	M	М	PA	М	M	M	PA		1
ICSE'19	AP7	E2	Evaluation	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	PA	PA	PA	PA	PA	M	M	M	PA	Yes	No
		E3	Generalization	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	PA	PA	FA	PA	PA	М	M	M	PA		
		E1	Evaluation	FA	PA	FA	PA	FA	FA	PA	FA	M	PA	PA	M	M	PA		
ICSE'19	AP8	E2	Evaluation	FA	PA	FA	PA	FA	FA	PA	FA	M	PA	PA	M	M	PA	Yes	Available
ICSL 19	Aro	E3	Optimization	FA	PA	FA	PA	FA	FA	PA	FA	M	PA	PA	M	M	PA	163	Available
		E4	Optimization	FA	PA	FA	PA	FA	FA	PA	FA	M	PA	PA	M	M	PA		
ICSE'19	AP9	E1	Evaluation	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	PA	M	PA	PA	M	M	PA	Yes	No
ICSE 15	7.1.7	E2	Optimization	FA	PA	М	PA	PA	FA	PA	PA	M	PA	PA	M	M	PA	163	.,,,
		E1	Optimization	М	PA	М	PA	PA	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	PA	M	M	PA		4
ICSE'19	AP10		Evaluation	FA	PA	М	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	PA	FA	FA	PA	Yes	Available
1002 20			Evaluation	FA	PA	М	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	PA	FA	M	PA		
		E4	Optimization	FA	PA	М	PA	PA	FA	FA	FA	PA	PA	PA	FA	M	PA		4
ICSE'20	AP11	E1	Evaluation	М	PA	М	PA	PA	FA	PA	PA	M	PA	FA	M	FA	M	Yes	No
		E2	Evaluation	M	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	M	PA	FA	FA	FA	M		
		E1	Evaluation	M	PA	M	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	PA	M	M	M	PA		
ICSE'20	AP12		Generalization	M	PA	M M	PA PA	M M	PA PA	PA	FA FA	PA PA	PA	FA FA	M M	M	PA PA	Yes	No
		E3 E4	Generalization Optimization	M M	PA PA	M	PA PA	M	PA PA	PA PA	FA FA	PA PA	PA PA	FA FA	M	M M	PA PA		
		E1	Optimization	M	PA PA	M	PA PA	PA	FA	FA	FA	PA PA	PA	FA	PA	M	PA		+
			Evaluation	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	PA	M	PA		
ICSE'20	AP13		Evaluation	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	PA	M	PA	Yes	No
1002			Optimization	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	PA	M	PA		
			Optimization	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	PA	M	PA		
			Optimization	M	M	М	PA	PA	FA	FA	FA	PA	PA	М	М	М	PA		1
ICSE'20	AP14	E2	Evaluation	FA	M	М	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	М	M	М	PA	Yes	No
		E3	Optimization	FA	M	М	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	М	PA	М	M	M	PA		
		E1	Optimization+evaluation	FA	PA	М	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	М	PA	M	PA		
ICSE'20	AP15	E2	Optimization	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	M	PA	M	PA	Yes	Available
		E3	Optimization+evaluation	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	M	PA	M	PA		4
	_	E1	Optimization	М	PA	М	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	M	M	PA		
			Evaluation	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	M	M	PA		
ICSE'20	AP16		Optimization	FA	PA	М	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	M	M	PA	Yes	No
			Optimization	FA	PA	М	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	M	M	PA		
			Evaluation	М	PA	М	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA		
I			Optimization	FA	PA	М	FA	PA	FA	FA	FA	M	PA	PA	M	M	PA		
ICSE'20	AP17		Evaluation	FA	PA	М	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	PA	PA	PA	M	M	PA	Yes	No
		E3	Evaluation	FA	PA	М	FA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	PA	M	M	PA		↓
ICSE'21	AP18	E1	Evaluation	FA	PA	М	FA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	PA	M	PA	Yes	No
ICSE'21	AP19	E1	Evaluation	FA	M	М	PA	М	M	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	PA	M	PA	Yes	No

Characterization of ICSE papers

Artifact

No

No

				Hypotheses		Varia	ables identific	cation		Operatio	nalization		Design	Population	Ana	lysis		Art	tifa
				,,,	Model	Model	DL	Training	Training	Factors and		Choice of	Instrumentation	Test	Descriptive	Inferential	Validity		Т
Venue	Paper #	Experiment	Туре	Research	hyperparameters	parameters	algorithm	hyperparameters	data	treatments	variables	design		dataset	statistics	statistics	evaluation	Availability	
		E1	Optimization	М	PA	М	FA	FA	PA	FA	М	M	PA	М	М	М	PA		Τ
ICSE'21	AP20	E2	Evaluation	FA	PA	M	FA	FA	PA	PA	FA	PA	PA	М	PA	M	PA	Yes	
		E3	Optimization	FA	PA	М	FA	FA	PA	PA	FA	PA	PA	М	PA	M	PA		┸
ICSE'21	AP21	E1	Evaluation	FA	PA	М	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	M	PA	М	М	M	M	Yes	┸
		E1	Optimization	M	PA	M	PA	FA	FA	FA	M	PA	PA	FA	M	M	PA		
1005104		E2	Evaluation	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	M	M	PA	.,	
ICSE'21	AP22	E3	Evaluation	FA	PA PA	M M	PA	PA PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	M	M M	PA PA	Yes	
		E4 E5	Generalization	FA		M	PA	PA PA	FA FA	PA PA	FA	PA PA	PA	FA	M	M	PA PA		
-		E1	Generalization Evaluation	FA FA	PA PA	M	PA PA	PA	FA	PA PA	FA FA	PA	PA PA	FA FA	M	M	PA PA		+
		E2	Evaluation	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	M	M	PA		
		E3	Optimization	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	M	M	PA		
ICSE'21	AP24	E4	Optimization	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	M	M	PA	Yes	
		E5	Optimization	FA	PA	М	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	М	М	PA		
		E6	Optimization	FA	PA	М	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	M	М	PA		
		E1	Optimization+evaluation	FA	PA	М	PA	PA	PA	PA	FA	PA	PA	М	PA	M	PA		Τ
ICSE'21	AP23	E2	Optimization+evaluation	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	PA	PA	FA	PA	PA	M	PA	M	PA	Yes	
1002 21	7,125	E3	Optimization	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	PA	PA	FA	PA	PA	M	PA	M	PA	163	
		E4	Evaluation	М	PA	M	PA	PA	PA	PA	PA	PA	PA	M	PA	М	PA		<u> </u>
	EXPERIMENTS:	78		1			T			1	T			T		1		-	
			COUNT M:	21		74	1 70	6	2	3	5	21	0	23	50	72	16		
			COUNT PA: COUNT FA	57		0 4	70 7	63 9	19 57	66 9	8 65	57 0	78 0	17 38	21 7	5	62 0	_	
			COUNT FA	57	U	4	/	9	5/	9	65	U	U	38	/	5	U	_	
			% M	27%	6%	95%	1%	8%	3%	4%	6%	27%	0%	29%	64%	92%	21%	1	
			% PA	0%	94%	0%	90%	81%	24%	85%	10%	73%	100%	22%	27%	1%	79%	-	
			% FA	73%	0%	5%	9%	12%	73%	12%	83%	0%	0%	49%	9%	6%	0%	-	
			TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		
	OPTIMIZATION:	29	COUNT M:	10	2	27	0	1	0	2	l 5	i l 9	0	8	21	29	3	7	
			COUNT PA:	0								20						i	
			COUNT FA	19	0	2	3	5	23	9	22	2 0	0	14	. 1	0	0	Ī	
																		=' 	
			% M	34%	7%	93%	0%		0%		17%								
			% PA	0%	93%	0%		79%	21%		7%			24%		0%			
			% FA	66%	0%	7%	10%	17%	79%	31%	76%	0%		48%		0%			
			TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		
	EVALUATION:	34		_													il 7	a	
			COUNT M: COUNT PA:	7	31	32 0	29	3 27			Ŭ			9		29	. 27		
			COUNT FA.	27		2	4								_	4	. 27		
									23									1	
			% M	21%	9%	94%	3%	9%	6%	0%	0%	29%	0%	26%	68%	85%	21%		
			% PA	0%	91%	0%	85%	79%	21%		18%	71%		24%	24%	3%			
			% FA	79%	0%	6%	12%	12%	74%	0%	82%	0%	0%	50%		12%	0%		
			TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		
	GENERALIZATION:	: 10	COUNT M:	4				_	0	1	0				. 5	9	5	<u> </u>	
			COUNT PA:	0			10		3	9	0	,	10		. 2		5	4	
			COUNT FA	6	0	0	0	0	7	0	10	0	0	7	3	1)]	
			0/ 84	400/	50/	40001	607	2001	601	400/		400	201	4001	F00/	0001	E004	1	
			% M % PA	40%	0% 100%	100%	0% 100%	20% 80%	0% 30%	10% 90%	0% 0%		100%	10% 20%	50%	90%			
			% PA % FA	60%	100%	0%		80%	70%		100%			70%		10%			
			TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%				100%		10%			
			IOIAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	J	

Characterization of ESEC/FSE papers

		1	I	Hypot	theses		Var	riables identificat	ion		Operatio	nalization		Design	Population	Ana	llysis	1	Artif	act
				, po	l	Model	Model	Tables racinitate	Training	Training	Factors and	Response	Choice of	1	Test	Descriptive	Inferential	Validity		Badge
Venue	Paper #	Experiment	Туре	Research	Statistical	hyperparameters	parameters	DL algorithm	hyperparameters	data	treatments	variables	design	Instrumentation	dataset	statistics	statistics	evaluation	Availability	_
		E1	Optimization	M	M	PA	М	FA	PA	FA	М	PA	PA	PA	FA	M	M	М		
FSE'18	AP25	E2	Evaluation	М	M	PA	M	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	PA	PA	FA	PA	М	М	Yes	No
		E3	Evaluation	М	M	PA	M	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	PA	PA	FA	PA	M	М		
		E1 E2	Optimization Evaluation	M M	M M	PA PA	M M	FA FA	PA PA	PA PA	M PA	PA FA	M M	PA PA	PA PA	M M	M	M M		
FSE'18	AP26	E3	Evaluation	M	M	PA PA	M	FA FA	PA PA	PA PA	PA PA	FA	M	PA PA	PA PA	M	M	M	Yes	No
		E3	Evaluation	M	M	PA PA	M	FA	PA	PA PA	PA	PA PA	M	PA PA	PA PA	M	M	M		
FSE'18	AP27	E1	Evaluation	FA	M	PA	M	PA	PA	PA	PA	PA	M	PA	M	M	M	PA	No	No
		E1	Evaluation	FA	М	PA	M	PA	PA	PA	PA	FA	М	PA	PA	М	М	PA		
FSE'18	AP28	E2	Evaluation	FA	M	PA	М	PA	PA	PA	PA	FA	M	PA	PA	PA	М	PA	No	No
		E3	Evaluation	FA	М	PA	М	PA	PA	PA	PA	FA	M	PA	PA	М	М	PA		
FSE'19	AP29	E1	Evaluation	FA	M	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	PA	PA	PA	PA	PA	М	PA	Yes	Yes
		E1	Optimization	М	М	PA	М	PA	М	PA	PA	М	M	PA	М	М	М	PA		
FSE'19	AP30	E2	Evaluation	FA	М	PA	M	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	PA	M	FA	M	PA	No	No
		E3	Evaluation	FA	M	PA	M M	PA	M	M	PA	FA	PA	PA PA	M	PA	M	PA		
FSE'19	AP31	E1 E2	Evaluation Optimization	FA FA	M M	PA PA	M	FA FA	PA PA	PA PA	PA PA	FA FA	PA PA	PA PA	FA FA	M	M	PA PA	No	No
		E1	Evaluation	FA	M	PA	M	M	M	FA	PA	PA	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA		
FSE'19	AP32	E2	Optimization	FA	M	PA	M	M	M	FA	PA	PA	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	Yes	No
		E3	Optimization	FA	М	PA	M	М	М	FA	PA	PA	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA		
		E1	Evaluation	FA	M	PA	M	PA	PA	PA	PA	FA	PA	PA	PA	M	M	М		
FSE'20	AP33	E2	Optimization	FA	M	PA	M	PA	PA	PA	PA	FA	PA	PA	PA	M	M	M	Yes	No
		E3	Evaluation	FA	M	PA	M	PA	PA	M	PA	FA	M	PA	М	M	M	M		
FSE'20	AP34	E1	Optimization+evaluation	М	М	PA	М	PA	PA	М	PA	PA	PA	PA	PA	M	M	М	No	No
		E2	Evaluation	M	M	PA	M	PA	PA	M	PA	PA	M	PA	PA	M	M	M		
FSE'20	AP35	E1 E2	Optimization Optimization+evaluation	M M	M M	PA PA	M M	PA PA	PA PA	FA FA	M PA	M FA	M PA	PA PA	M FA	M PA	M FA	PA PA	Yes	No
		E1	Evaluation	FA	M	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	M	PA		
		E2	Optimization	FA	M	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	M	FA	PA	M	M	PA		
FSE'20	AP36	E3	Optimization	FA	M	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	FA	М	M	FA	PA	M	M	PA	Yes	Yes
		E4	Generalization	FA	M	PA	М	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	PA	М	М	PA		
		E1	Optimization	M	M	М	M	FA	М	FA	М	М	M	PA	FA	M	М	М		
FSE'20	AP37	E2	Optimization	FA	M	M	M	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	PA	PA	FA	PA	M	M	Yes	No
		E3	Evaluation	FA	M	M	M	FA	M	FA	PA	PA	M	PA	FA	M	M	М		
		E4	Generalization	FA	M	M	M	FA	M	FA	FA	PA	M	PA	FA	M	M	M		——
		E1 E2	Optimization	M	M	M	M	PA PA	PA PA	PA	M	M	M	M PA	M PA	M	M	M		
		E3	Evaluation Evaluation	FA FA	M M	M M	M M	PA PA	PA PA	PA PA	PA PA	FA FA	PA PA	PA PA	PA PA	PA PA	M M	M M		
FSE'20	AP38	E4	Evaluation	FA	M	M	M	PA	PA	PA	PA	FA	PA	PA	PA	PA	M	M	Yes	No
		E5	Evaluation	FA	M	M	M	PA	PA	PA	PA	FA	PA	PA	PA	PA	M	M		
		E6	Evaluation	M	M	M	M	M	M	PA	PA	FA	M	PA	PA	M	M	M		
		E1	Evaluation	FA	М	PA	M	FA	FA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	M	M	PA		
FSE'21	AP42	E2	Optimization	FA	M	PA	М	FA	FA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	M	M	PA	Yes	No
		E3	Optimization	FA	M	PA PA	M	FA	FA PA	FA	PA	FA	PA DA	PA	FA	M	M	PA		
		E1 E2	Evaluation Generalization	FA FA	M M	PA PA	M M	PA PA	PA PA	PA PA	PA FA	FA FA	PA M	PA PA	FA FA	M M	M M	PA PA		
FSE'21	AP43	E3	Optimization	FA	M	PA	M	PA	PA	PA	PA	FA	M	PA	FA	M	M	PA	Yes	No
		E4	Optimization	FA	М	PA	M	PA	PA	PA	FA	FA	М	PA	FA	М	M	PA		
		E1	Evaluation	FA	М	PA	М	FA	PA	PA	PA	FA	PA	PA	М	M	М	PA		
FSE'21	AP40	E2	Evaluation	FA	M	PA DA	M	FA	PA	PA	PA	FA	PA	PA	M	M	M	PA	V	Ver
F5E'21	AP40	E3 E4	Optimization Evaluation+Generalization	FA FA	M M	PA PA	M M	FA FA	PA PA	PA PA	PA PA	FA FA	PA PA	PA PA	M M	M M	M M	PA PA	Yes	Yes
		E4 E5	Optimization	M	M	PA PA	M	FA FA	PA PA	PA PA	FA	FA FA	PA PA	PA PA	M	FA	M	PA PA		
		E1	Optimization	M	M	PA	M	PA	PA	M	FA	M	M	M	M	M	M	PA		
		E2	Evaluation	FA	M	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	М	М	М	PA		
		E3	Evaluation+Generalization	FA	M	PA	М	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	M	PA	М	M	M	PA		
FSE'21	AP41	E4	Optimization	FA	M	PA DA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	M	M	M	PA	Yes	Yes
		E5 E6	Optimization Optimization	FA M	M M	PA PA	M M	PA PA	PA PA	FA FA	PA PA	FA FA	M M	PA PA	M M	M M	M M	PA PA		
		E6 E7	Optimization Optimization	M	M	PA PA	M	PA PA	PA PA	FA FA	FA	FA FA	PA	PA PA	M	M	M	PA PA		
FSE'21	AP44	E1	Evaluation	FA	M	FA	M	FA	FA	FA	PA	PA	PA	PA	FA	PA	M	PA	Yes	No
		E1	Evaluation	FA	М	PA	M	PA	FA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA		
FSE'21	AP45	E2	Optimization	FA	М	PA	M	PA	FA	FA	FA	FA	PA	PA	FA	PA	M	PA	Yes	No
· 		E3	Generalization	FA	M	PA	M	PA	FA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA		"
		E6	Generalization	FA	M	PA	M	PA	FA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	PA	M	PA		

Characterization of ESEC/FSE papers

		1		Hypot	heses		Vai	riables identificat	ion		Operation	nalization		Design	Population	Ana	llysis		Artifa
				,,,		Model	Model		Training	Training	Factors and		Choice of	1	Test	Descriptive	· -	Validity	
Venue	Paper #	Experiment	Туре	Research	Statistical	hyperparameters	parameters	DL algorithm	hyperparameters	data	treatments		design	Instrumentation		statistics	statistics	evaluation	Availability
		E1	Evaluation	FA	М	FA	М	FA	FA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	M	M	PA	
		E2	Evaluation	FA	M	FA	M	FA	FA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	PA	M	PA	
		E3	Evaluation	FA	M	FA	М	FA	FA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	PA	M	PA	
FSE'21	AP39	E4	Evaluation	FA	M	FA	M	FA	FA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	PA	M	PA	Yes
		E5 E6	Evaluation	FA	M	FA	M	FA	FA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	PA	M M	PA	
		E7	Optimization	FA	M M	FA	M M	FA FA	FA	FA FA	FA PA	FA	M	PA PA	FA FA	M	M	PA	
		E8	Evaluation Evaluation	FA FA	M	FA FA	M	FA FA	FA FA	FA FA	PA PA	FA FA	M M	PA PA	FA FA	M PA	M	PA PA	
		1 20	Evaluation	1 18	141	IA	141	18	17	I A	1.7	1.7	IVI	174	1.7	1.7	141	IA	
	EXPERIMENTS:	73																	
			1																-
			COUNT M:	19							5 5								
			COUNT PA:	0		,												, ,,	
			COUNT FA	54	0	9	0	30	16	35	9 9	51	0	3	33	2	6	5 (<u>1</u>
			% M	26%	100%	14%	100%	5%	14%	79	6 7%	8%	40%	3%	26%	63%	92%	30%	1
			% PA	0%	0%	74%	0%	53%		409			60%	93%					1
			% FA	74%	0%	12%	0%	41%		53%			0%	4%					
			TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	6 100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
	OPTIMIZATION:	26																	
	OPTIMIZATION:	26																	
			COUNT M:	10	26	3	26	2	4		1 5	6	13	2	2 10	21	. 24	1 6	5
			COUNT PA:	0	0	22	0	14	18		9 14	1 5	13	22				20	<u> </u>
			COUNT FA	16	0	1	0	10	4	10	6 7	15	0	2	2 12	1	. 2	2 ()
			0/ 00	200/	4000/	120/	1000/	00/	450/		/ 400/	.1 220/	500/			040/	020	/ 220	ā
			% M % PA	38%	100%	12% 85%	100%	8% 54%		49 359			50% 50%	8% 85%					
			% FA	62%	0%	85% 4%		38%	15%	629			0%	85%					
			TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		1009			100%	100%					
																			≟
	EVALUATION:	38																	
			[7
			COUNT M: COUNT PA:	7	38						3 C								
			COUNT FA	31		24	0	18									1) 22	\
			COOKITA	J 31				10	10	1	/	, 23		1		<u>' </u>		-1	4
			% M	18%	100%	16%	100%	5%	13%	8%	6 0%	0%	34%	0%	18%	50%	95%	37%	1
			% PA	0%	0%	63%	0%	47%		47%			66%	97%					
			% FA	82%	0%	21%	0%	47%		45%			0%	3%					
			TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	6 100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
	GENERALIZATION	l: 5																	
	GENERALIZATION	ı: 5																	
			COUNT M:	0	5	1	5	0	1	(0 0	0	2	C) 0	3	4	1 1	1
			COUNT PA:	0		4	0			:	1 3		3	5		. 2) 4	ī.
			COUNT FA	5	0	0	0	1	2	4	4 2	2 4	0	C) 4	0	1	. ()
			0/ 04		4000		4000	1			,	1		1					7
			% M	0%	100%	20%		0% 80%		09				0%					
			% PA % FA	100%	0%	80%	0% 0%	20%	40% 40%	209 809			60%	100%					
			TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			100%	100%					
				100%	10076	100%	100/6	10076	100%	1007	100/0	100%	100/0	100/6	100%	100%	100%	100/0	3

Characterization of TSE papers

				Hypotheses		Varia	bles identific	cation		Operation	nalization		Design	Population	Ana	lysis	Madda.	Arti	ifact
					Model	Model	DL	Training	Training	Factors and	Response	Choice of		Test	Descriptive	Inferential	Validity evaluation	Availability	Badge
Venue	Paper #	Experiment	Туре	Research	hyperparameters	parameters	algorithm	hyperparameters	data	treatments	variables	design	Instrumentation	dataset	statistics	statistics	evaluation	Availability	<u> </u>
		E1	Optimization	М	PA	M	FA	FA	FA	FA	FA	М	PA	FA	M	М	PA		,
		E2	Evaluation	FA	PA	M	FA	FA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	FA	FA	PA		, ,
TSE'19	AP46	E3	Optimization	FA	PA	M	FA	FA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	FA	FA	PA	Yes	No
135 19	AF40	E4	Generalization	FA	PA	M	FA	FA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	FA	FA	PA	res	I NO
		E5	Generalization	FA	PA	M	FA	FA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	FA	FA	PA		, ,
		E6	Evaluation	FA	PA	M	FA	FA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	FA	FA	PA		<u> </u>
		E1	Optimization	М	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	FA	FA	M	PA	FA	M	М	PA		1
TSE'20	AP47	E2	Evaluation	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	PA	M	PA	Yes	No
131 20	Ar47	E3	Evaluation	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	PA	M	PA	163	140
		E4	Generalization	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	PA	М	PA		<u> </u>
		E1	Optimization	M	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	FA	FA	M	PA	FA	M	M	PA		, ,
		E2	Optimization	M	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	FA	FA	M	PA	FA	M	M	PA		, ,
TSE'20	AP48	E3	Evaluation	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	FA	FA	PA	Yes	No
131 20	Arto	E4	Evaluation	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	FA	FA	PA	163	140
		E5	Evaluation	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	FA	FA	PA		, ,
		E6	Evaluation	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	FA	FA	PA		<u> </u>
		E1	Optimization	M	FA	M	FA	PA	FA	FA	FA	M	PA	FA	M	M	PA		, ,
TSE'20	AP49	E2	Evaluation	FA	FA	M	FA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	M	M	PA	Yes	No
132 20	A743	E3	Evaluation	FA	FA	M	FA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	M	M	PA	163	""
		E4	Evaluation	FA	FA	M	FA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	FA	FA	PA		
		E1	Evaluation	FA	PA	M	PA	FA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	PA	M	PA		, ,
		E2	Evaluation	FA	PA	M	PA	FA	FA	PA	PA	PA	PA	FA	PA	M	PA		1 '
TSE'21	AP50	E3	Optimization	FA	PA	M	PA	FA	FA	FA	PA	PA	PA	FA	PA	M	PA	Yes	No
		E4	Evaluation	FA	PA	M	PA	FA	FA	PA	FA	M	PA	FA	M	M	PA		, ,
		E5	Optimization	M	PA DA	M	PA	FA	FA	FA	FA	M	PA	FA	PA	M	PA		├ ──
TSE'21	AP51	E1 E2	Optimization Evaluation	M FA	PA PA	M M	PA PA	PA PA	FA FA	FA PA	M FA	PA PA	PA PA	FA FA	M M	M M	PA PA	Yes	No
131 21	ArJI	E3	Optimization	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	M	M	PA	163	140
		E1	Evaluation+Optimization	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	PA	PA	PA	FA	PA	M	PA		
		E2	Evaluation+Optimization	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	PA	PA	PA	FA	PA	M	PA		, ,
		E3	Evaluation+Optimization	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	PA	PA	PA	FA	PA	M	PA		1 '
TSE'21	AP52	E4	Generalization	FA	PA	М	PA	PA	FA	PA	PA	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	Yes	No
		E5	Generalization	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	PA	PA	PA	FA	PA	М	PA		, ,
		E6	Generalization	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	PA	PA	PA	FA	PA	М	PA		<u> </u>
		E1	Evaluation	FA	PA	M	FA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	PA	М	FA		1
TSE'21	AP55	E2	Generalization	FA	PA	M	FA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	PA	M	FA	Yes	No
		E3	Generalization	FA	PA	M	FA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	PA	M	FA		Ļ'
TSE'21	AP54	E1	Evaluation	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	PA	PA	PA	FA	М	M	PA	Yes	No
	-	E2	Generalization	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	FA	PA	PA	M	PA	FA	M	M	PA		
		E1	Evaluation	FA	PA	M	PA	PA	PA	PA	FA	PA	PA	FA	PA	FA	PA		, '
TSE'21	AP53	E2 E3	Optimization	FA FA	PA PA	M M	PA PA	PA PA	PA PA	PA FA	FA FA	PA PA	PA PA	FA FA	PA PA	FA M	PA PA	No	No
		E4	Generalization Optimization	FA	PA PA	M	PA PA	PA PA	PA PA	FA FA	FA FA	PA PA	PA PA	FA FA	PA PA	M	PA PA		, '
		E4	Optimization	FA	PA	IVI	PA	PA	PA	FA	FA	PA	PA	FA	PA	IVI	PA		

Characterization of TSE papers

				Hypotheses		Varia	bles identific	ation		Operation	nalization		Design	Population	Ana	lysis	37-11-114	Arti	fact
					Model	Model	DL	Training	Training	Factors and	Response	Choice of		Test	Descriptive	Inferential	Validity evaluation	Availability	Badg
ue	Paper #	Experiment	Туре	Research	hyperparameters	parameters	algorithm	hyperparameters	data	treatments	variables	design	Instrumentation	dataset	statistics	statistics	evaluation	Availability	
	EXPERIMENTS:	43																	
		İ	COUNT M:	7	0	43	0	0	0	0	1	8	0	(13	30	C	ī	
			COUNT PA:	0	39	0	30	32	4	33	10	35	43	(20				
			COUNT FA	36	4	0	13	11	39	10	32	0	0	43	10	13	3		
		i	0/ 84	4.50/	00/	1000/	00/	00/	00/	00/	20/	100/	00/	00/	200/	700/	00/	1	
			% M % PA	16% 0%	0% 91%	100% 0%	0% 70%	0% 74%	0% 9%	0% 77%	2% 23%	19% 81%	0% 100%	0% 0%	30% 47%	70% 0%	0% 93%		
			% FA	84%	9%	0%	30%	26%	91%	23%	74%	0%	0%	100%	23%	30%	7%	_	
			TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		
		ļ																-	
	OPTIMIZATION:	12																	
		i	COUNT M:	7	0	12	0	0	0	0	1	6	1 0		1 7	10		ה	
			COUNT PA:	0	11		9	8	2		•	6				0		_1	
			COUNT FA	5	1	0	3	4	10							2		-	
		'				-												_	
			% M	58%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%		8%	50%	0%			83%	0%		
			% PA	0%	92%	0%	75%	67%	17%		8%	50%	100%	0%		0%	100%		
			% FA	42%	8%		25%	33%	83%		83%	0%		100%		17%	0%		
			TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		
	EVALUATION:	18																	
	LVALOATION.	10																	
			COUNT M:	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	(5	10	C	Ī	
			COUNT PA:	0	15	0	12	13	1		2	17	18	(6	0	17		
			COUNT FA	18	3	0	6	5	17	0	16	0	0	18	3 7	8	1		
		I	0/ 84	00/	00/	1000/	00/	20/	20/	00/	00/	C0/	20/	1 000	200/	FC0/	000	1	
			% M % PA	0% 0%	0% 83%	100% 0%	0% 67%	0% 72%	0% 6%		0% 11%	6% 94%	0% 100%	0%		56% 0%	0% 94%		
			% FA	100%	17%		33%	28%	94%		89%	0%	0%			44%	6%		
			TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		100%	100%	100%	100%		100%	100%		
			TOTAL	100%	100/0	10070	10070	100/0	10070	10070	10070	10070	100/0	1007	100/0	100/0	100/0	1	
	GENERALIZATION:	10																	
		•																=	
			COUNT M:	0	0			0	0			1	0			7	(1	
			COUNT PA:	0			6	8	1			9				0	8		
			COUNT FA	10	0	0	4	2	9	1	6	0	0	10) 2	3	2	<u> </u>	
		1	% M	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10%	0%	0%	10%	70%	0%	1	
			% PA	0%	100%	0%	60%	80%	10%		40%	90%	100%	0%		0%			
			% FA	100%	0%	0%	40%	20%	90%	10%	60%	0%	0%	100%		30%	20%		
			TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		100%	100%		