# CPUs - why do we have more than one?

WRITING EFFICIENT R CODE




Colin Gillespie
Jumping Rivers & Newcastle University



## **CPUs**

- CPU: brains of the computer
  - Speed has slowly stabilized
    - CPUs were getting too hot
  - Multi-core CPUs
  - But R only uses 1 core :(



## Your CPU

```
library("parallel")
detectCores()
```

8

```
library("benchmarkme")
get_cpu()
```

```
# $vendor_id
# "GenuineIntel"
#
# $model_name
# "Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-4702HQ CPU
#
# $no_of_cores
# 8
```

# Let's practice!

WRITING EFFICIENT R CODE



# What sort of problems benefit from parallel computing

WRITING EFFICIENT R CODE

**Colin Gillespie**Jumping Rivers & Newcastle University





# Cooking

#### **AN EXTRA HAND**



**TOO MANY COOKS** 



# Running in parallel

- Not every analysis can make use of multiple cores
  - Many statistical algorithms can only use a single core

So where can parallel computing help?



### **Monte-Carlo simulations**

```
for(i in 1:8)
    sims[i] <- monte_carlo()</pre>
```

- 8 core machine
  - One simulation per core
  - Combine the results at the end
  - Embarrassingly parallel

combine(sims)

# Not everything runs in parallel

```
x <- 1:8
for(i in 2:8)
    x[i] <- x[i-1]</pre>
```

```
x[8] = x[7] = ... x[2] = x[1] = 1
```

- Can we run this in parallel?
  - NO
    - But order of evaluation in parallel computing can't be predicted
    - We'll get the wrong answer, since x[3] may get evaluated before x[2]

#### Rule of thumb

Can the loop be run forward and backwards?

```
for(i in 1:8)
    sim[i] <- monte_carlo_simulation()

for(i in 8:1)
    sim[i] <- monte_carlo_simulation()</pre>
```

- Both loops give the same result
- So we can run the loops in parallel

#### Rule of thumb

Can the loop be run forward and backwards?

```
x <- 1:8
for(i in 2:8)
    x[i] <- x[i-1]
for(i in 8:2)
    x[i] <- x[i-1]</pre>
```

• The loops give different answers

```
• The first: x[8] = x[7] = ... = 1
```

- $\circ$  The second: x[8] = x[7] = 7
- Can't use parallel computing

**Remember**: If you can run your loop in reverse, you can probably use parallel computing.

# Let's practice!

WRITING EFFICIENT R CODE



# The parallel package - parApply

WRITING EFFICIENT R CODE



Colin Gillespie

Jumping Rivers & Newcastle University



# The parallel package

Part of R since 2011

```
library("parallel")
```

- Cross platform: Code works under Windows, Linux, Mac
- Has parallel versions of standard functions

# The apply() function

- apply() is similar to a for loop
  - We apply a function to each row/column of a matrix
- A 10 column, 10,000 row matrix:

```
m <- matrix(rnorm(100000), ncol = 10)
```

apply is neater than a for loop

```
res <- apply(m, 1, median)
```

# Converting to parallel

- Load the package
  - Specify the number of cores
  - Create a cluster object
  - Swap to parApply()
  - o Stop!

```
library("parallel")
```

```
copies_of_r <- 7</pre>
```

```
cl <- makeCluster(copies_of_r)</pre>
```

```
parApply(cl, m, 1, median)
```

stopCluster(cl)

#### The bad news

As Lewis Caroll said

The hurrier I go, the behinder I get.

Sometimes running in parallel is slower due to thread communication

```
# Serial version
apply(m, 1, median)

# Parallel version
parApply(cl, m, 1, median)
```

Benchmark both solutions

# Let's practice!

WRITING EFFICIENT R CODE



# The parallel package - parSapply

WRITING EFFICIENT R CODE



Colin Gillespie
Jumping Rivers & Newcastle University



# The apply family

There are parallel versions of

- apply() parApply()
- sapply() parSapply()
  - o applying a function to a vector, i.e. a for loop
- lapply() parLapply()
  - applying a function to a list

# The sapply() function

sapply() is just another way of writing a for loop

The loop

```
for(i in 1:10)
    x[i] <- simulate(i)</pre>
```

Can be written as

```
sapply(1:10, simulate)
```

We are applying a function to each value of a vector

# Switching to parSapply()

It's the same recipe!

- 1. Load the package
- 2. Make a cluster
- 3. Switch to parSapply()
- 4. Stop!


# **Example: Pokemon battles**

```
plot(pokemon$Defense, pokemon$Attack)
abline(lm(pokemon$Attack ~ pokemon$Defense), col = 2)
cor(pokemon$Attack, pokemon$Defense)
```

0.437

#### **Pokemon Attack & Defense**

Gotta catch them all



Brought to you by a parent of small children



# Bootstrapping

In a perfect world, we would resample from the *population*; but we can't

Instead, we assume the original sample is representative of the population

- 1. Sample with replacement from your data
  - The same point could appear multiple times
- 2. Calculate the correlation statistics from your new sample
- 3. Repeat

# A single bootstrap

```
bootstrap <- function(data_set) {
    # Sample with replacement
    s <- sample(1:nrow(data_set), replace = TRUE)
    new_data <- data_set[s,]

# Calculate the correlation
    cor(new_data$Attack, new_data$Defense)
}</pre>
```

```
# 100 independent bootstrap simulations
sapply(1:100, function(i) bootstrap(pokemon))
```



# Converting to parallel


- Load the package
- Specify the number of cores
- Create a cluster object
- Export functions/data
- Swap to parSapply()
- Stop!

```
library("parallel")
no_of_cores <- 7
cl <- makeCluster(no_of_cores)</pre>
clusterExport(cl,
  c("bootstrap", "pokemon"))
parSapply(cl, 1:100,
  function(i) bootstrap(pokemon))
stopCluster(cl)
```

# Timings

#### **Bootstrapping in parallel**

Is it worth it?





# Let's practice!

WRITING EFFICIENT R CODE



# Congratulations!

WRITING EFFICIENT R CODE



Colin Gillespie

Jumping Rivers & Newcastle University



# Final Slide

WRITING EFFICIENT R CODE

