

# ELECTROMAGNETIC INVERSE PROBLEMS IN CHIRAL MEDIA

CHANG-YE TU

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We study the problem of time-harmonic electromagnetic waves scattering by a bounded perfectly conducting obstacle embedded in a homogeneous chiral environment in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

The macroscopic Maxwell equations of electromagnetism are

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \mathcal{D} &= \rho & \operatorname{curl} \mathcal{H} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial t} &= \mathcal{J} \\ \operatorname{div} \mathcal{B} &= 0 & \operatorname{curl} \mathcal{E} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{B}}{\partial t} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{D}$  denotes the electric displacement,  $\mathcal{H}$  the magnetic field,  $\mathcal{B}$  the magnetic induction,  $\mathcal{E}$  the electric field,  $\rho$  the charge density and  $\mathcal{J}$  the current density. The connections between derived fields  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}$  and the fundamental terms  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  are known as the constitutive relations.

In presence of the conducting obstacle the current density  $\mathcal{J}$  satisfies Ohm's law

$$\mathcal{J} = \sigma \mathcal{E},$$

where  $\sigma$  is the electric conductivity. The homogeneous chiral medium obeys the Drude-Born-Fedorov constitutive relations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D} &= \varepsilon(\mathcal{E} + \beta \operatorname{curl} \mathcal{E}) \\ \mathcal{B} &= \mu(\mathcal{H} + \beta \operatorname{curl} \mathcal{H}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon$  denotes the electric permittivity,  $\mu$  the magnetic permeability and  $\beta$  the chirality measure. For time-harmonic electromagnetic wave of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(x, t) &= \left(\varepsilon + \frac{i\sigma}{\omega}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} E(x) e^{-i\omega t} \\ \mathcal{H}(x, t) &= \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} H(x) e^{-i\omega t} \end{aligned}$$

---

*Date:* October 21, 2012.

we have the following reduced Maxwell equations for complex-valued spatial part  $E(x)$ ,  $H(x)$ :

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{curl} E - ik(H + \beta \operatorname{curl} H) &= 0 \\ \operatorname{curl} H + ik(E + \beta \operatorname{curl} E) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(1.2) \quad k^2 = \omega\mu(\varepsilon\omega + i\sigma) \text{ and } \operatorname{Im} k \geq 0.$$

If we set

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} Q_L &= E + iH \\ Q_R &= E - iH, \end{aligned}$$

we can transform reduced Maxwell equations (1.1) into

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{curl} Q_L &= \gamma_L Q_L \\ \operatorname{curl} Q_R &= -\gamma_R Q_R \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma_L &= \frac{k}{1 - k\beta} \\ \gamma_R &= \frac{k}{1 + k\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

We say that the tuple  $(E, H, k)$  solves Maxwell equations if

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{curl} E - ikH &= 0 & \operatorname{div} E &= 0 \\ \operatorname{curl} H + ikE &= 0 & \operatorname{div} H &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $(Q_L, -iQ_L, \gamma_L)$  and  $(Q_R, iQ_R, \gamma_R)$  solves Maxwell equations and this fact allows us to reuse the representation theorem (c.f. proposition 2) in achiral cases.

## 2. NOTATIONS, DEFINITIONS AND KNOWN RESULTS

Here we collect relevant notations, definitions and propositions and refer to [1], [2], [3], [4] for proofs and details.

**Definition 1** (Lipschitz domain). An open set  $\Omega$  is called a Lipschitz domain if for each point  $x \in \Gamma$ , the boundary of  $\Omega$ , there exists a rectangular coordinate system  $(u, v)$ , where  $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  and  $v \in \mathbb{R}$ , a neighborhood  $U \equiv U(x)$  and a function  $\phi \equiv \phi(x) : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which satisfies

- (1)  $|\phi(s) - \phi(t)| \leq C(x)|s - t|$  for  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  and  $0 < C(x) < \infty$ .
- (2)  $\Omega \cap U = \{(u, v) \mid v > \phi(u)\} \cap U$ .

We assume that the Lipschitz domain  $\Omega$  is bounded and connected. Define  $\Omega_- := \Omega$  and  $\Omega_+ := \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ .

**Definition 2** (coordinate cylinder).  $Z \equiv Z(x, r)$ ,  $x \in \Gamma$  is called a coordinate cylinder if

- (1)  $Z(x, r)$  is an open, right circular, doubly truncated cylinder centered at  $x$  with radius  $r$ .
  - (2) There exists a rectangular coordinate system  $(u, v)$  where  $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ ,  $v \in \mathbb{R}$  such that the axis of  $Z$  is in the  $v$ -direction.
  - (3) There exists a function  $\phi \equiv \phi(Z) : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that
    - (a)  $|\phi(s) - \phi(t)| \leq C(Z)|s - t|$  for  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  and  $0 < C(Z) < \infty$ .
    - (b)  $\Omega \cap Z = \{(u, v) \mid v > \phi(u)\} \cap Z$ .
    - (c)  $p = (0, \phi(0))$ .
- $(Z, \phi)$  is called a coordinate pair.

Let  $\mu Z := \mu Z(x, r)$ ,  $\mu > 0$  be the dilation of  $Z$  about  $x$  by a factor of  $\mu$ , i.e.  $\mu Z = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x + \frac{(y-x)}{\mu} \in Z\}$ .

**Definition 3** (regular family of cones). Let  $\zeta(x)$  with  $x \in \Gamma$  be the open circular, doubly truncated cone centered at  $x$  with two nonempty, convex components in  $\Omega_+$  (denoted by  $\zeta_+(x)$ ) and  $\Omega_-$  (denoted by  $\zeta_-(x)$ ) respectively.

$\{\zeta\} := \{\zeta(x) \mid \forall x \in \Gamma\}$  is called a regular family of cones if there exists a finite covering of  $\Gamma$  of coordinate cylinders such that for each coordinate pair  $(Z(x, r), \phi)$  there exist three cones  $\zeta_1$ ,  $\zeta_2$ , and  $\zeta_3$ , all with vertex at 0, parallel to the axis of  $Z$  and satisfy

- (1)  $\zeta_1 \subset \overline{\zeta_2} \setminus \{0\} \subset \zeta_3$ ,
- (2) For all  $x \in \frac{4}{5}Z \cap \Gamma$  and  $x \equiv (s, \phi(s))$ 
  - (a)  $\zeta_1 + x \subset \zeta(x) \subset \zeta_2 + x$ ,
  - (b)  $\zeta_3 + x \subset Z$ ,
  - (c)  $\{\frac{4}{5}Z\}$  covers  $\Gamma$ .

**Proposition 1.** Given a bounded Lipschitz domain  $\Omega$ , the followings hold:

- (1) There exists a regular family of cones  $\{\zeta\}$ .
- (2) There exists a sequence of  $C^\infty$  domains  $\Omega_i \subset \Omega$  and corresponding homeomorphisms  $\Lambda_j : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_i$  such that  $\sup_{x \in \Gamma} |\Lambda_j(x) - x| \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  and for all  $j$  and all  $x \in \Gamma$ ,  $\Lambda_j(x) \in \zeta(x)$ .
- (3) There exist positive functions  $\omega_j : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  bounded away from zero and infinity uniformly in  $j$  such that

(a) For any measurable set  $V \subset \Gamma$

$$\int_V \omega_j d\sigma = \int_{\Lambda_j(V)} d\sigma_j.$$

(b)  $\omega_j(x) \rightarrow 1$  pointwise a.e. for  $x \in \Gamma$ .

(4)  $\nu(\Lambda_j(x)) \rightarrow \nu(x)$  pointwise a.e. for  $x \in \Gamma$ .

(5) There exists a real-valued  $C^\infty$  vector field  $h$  such that for all  $j$  and  $x \in \Gamma$ ,  $\nu(\Lambda_j(x)) \cdot h(\Lambda_j(x)) \geq \kappa > 0$ , where  $\kappa$  depends on the Lipschitz character of  $\Omega$ .

The approximation scheme described above is denoted by  $\Omega_i \uparrow \Omega$ . An analogous approximation scheme with  $C^\infty$  domains  $\tilde{\Omega}_i \supset \Omega$  exists and is denoted by  $\tilde{\Omega}_i \downarrow \Omega$ .

**Definition 4** (nontangential maximal function). Given a function  $f$  in  $\Omega$  and a regular family of cones  $\{\zeta\}$ , the nontangential maximal function  $f^*$  is defined by

$$f^*(x) = \sup\{|f(y)| \mid y \in \zeta(x), x \in \Gamma\}$$

**Definition 5** (nontangential convergence). We say that  $f$  converges nontangentially a.e. to  $u$  if for any regular family of cones  $\{\zeta\}$

$$\lim_{\substack{y \rightarrow x \\ y \in \zeta(x)}} f(y) = u(x) \quad x \in \Gamma \text{ a.e.}$$

Let  $\nu$  stands for the unit normal vector of  $\Gamma$ . Given a vector field  $E$ , the normal component  $E_n := (E \cdot \nu)\nu$ , the tangential component  $E_t := E - E_n$ . Let  $\nabla_t$  denotes the tangential differentiation

$$\nabla_t := \nu \times (\nu \times \nabla).$$

A vector field  $a$  defined on  $\Gamma$  is called tangential if  $a \cdot \nu = 0$  a.e. on  $\Gamma$ . The collection of complex-valued  $L_2$ -integrable tangential vector fields is denoted by  $L_{2,t}(\Gamma)$ . The surface divergence  $\text{div}_\Gamma a$  of a given vector field  $a$  is defined as

$$\int_\Gamma \phi \text{div}_\Gamma a d\sigma = - \int_\Gamma \nabla_t \phi \cdot a d\sigma$$

for any  $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . The function space  $L_{2,t}^{\text{div}_\Gamma}$  is defined as

$$L_{2,t}^{\text{div}_\Gamma} = \{a \in L_{2,t}(\Gamma) \mid \text{div}_\Gamma a \in L_2(\Gamma)\}.$$

Endowed with the norm

$$\|a\|_{L_{2,t}^{\text{div}_\Gamma}} := \|a\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \|\text{div}_\Gamma a\|_{L_2(\Gamma)}$$

$L_{2,t}^{\text{div}_\Gamma}$  becomes a Banach space.

The notation  $F \lesssim G$  means that, if there exists  $C > 0$  such that for variables  $F, G$ , the inequality  $F \leq CG$  holds uniformly. The notation  $F \approx G$  means  $F \lesssim G$  and  $G \lesssim F$ . The notation  $\mathbf{K}(a)$  denotes a generic compact operator acting on  $a$ . Notations (small) and (large) stand for the positive constants which may be sufficiently small and large respectively. Note that the constant  $C$  appears in the inequalities generally depends on the underlying regular family of cones  $\{\zeta\}$  (c.f. proposition 1, item (1)), the complex number  $k$ ,  $\kappa > 0$  and the  $L_\infty$  norms of  $h$  and  $\nabla h$  in proposition 1, item (5).

Let  $\Phi(x, y)$  denotes the fundamental solution of the Helmholtz operator  $\Delta + k^2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :

$$\Phi(x, y) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{e^{ik|x-y|}}{|x-y|}.$$

**Proposition 2** (Stratton-Chu formula). Let  $E, H$  be vector fields defined in  $\Omega$  such that  $E, \operatorname{div} E, \operatorname{curl} E$  and  $H, \operatorname{div} H, \operatorname{curl} H$  are in  $L_p(\Omega)$  for a given  $p$  with  $1 < p < \infty$ , then the following identity holds for  $x \in \Omega$  a.e.

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad E(x) = & -\operatorname{curl} \int_{\Gamma} \nu(y) \times E(y) \Phi(x, y) d\sigma(y) \\ & + \nabla \int_{\Gamma} \nu(y) \cdot E(y) \Phi(x, y) d\sigma(y) \\ & - ik \int_{\Gamma} \nu(y) \times H(y) \Phi(x, y) d\sigma(y) \\ & + \operatorname{curl} \int_{\Omega} \{\operatorname{curl} E(y) - ikH(y)\} \Phi(x, y) dV(y) \\ & - \nabla \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} E(y) \Phi(x, y) dV(y) \\ & + ik \int_{\Omega} \{\operatorname{curl} H(y) + ikE(y)\} \Phi(x, y) dV(y). \end{aligned}$$

If  $E, H$  satisfy the above assumptions of Stratton-Chu formula and, in addition, Maxwell equations in  $\Omega$ , then for  $x \in \Omega$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.2) \quad E(x) = & -\operatorname{curl} \int_{\Gamma} \nu(x) \times E(y) \Phi(x, y) d\sigma(y) \\ & - \frac{i}{k} \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} \int_{\Gamma} \nu(y) \times H(y) \Phi(x, y) d\sigma(y) \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.3) \quad H(x) = -\operatorname{curl} \int_{\Gamma} \nu(x) \times H(y) \Phi(x, y) d\sigma(y) \\ + \frac{i}{k} \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} \int_{\Gamma} \nu(y) \times E(y) \Phi(x, y) d\sigma(y)$$

**Definition 6** (Silver-Müller radiation condition). Solutions  $E$ ,  $H$  of Maxwell equations satisfy the Silver-Müller radiation condition if one of the following holds:

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} (x \times H + |x|E) = 0$$

$$(2.5) \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} (x \times E - |x|H) = 0$$

In each case the limit is hold uniformly in all directions  $x/|x|$ .

If  $E$ ,  $H$  are defined in  $\Omega_+$  such that  $E$ ,  $\operatorname{div} E$ ,  $\operatorname{curl} E$  and  $H$ ,  $\operatorname{div} H$ ,  $\operatorname{curl} H$  are in  $L_p(\Omega_+)$  for a given  $p$  with  $1 < p < \infty$ , satisfy Maxwell equations in  $\Omega_+$  and the Silver-Müller radiation condition, we have

$$(2.6) \quad E(x) = \operatorname{curl} \int_{\Gamma} \nu(x) \times E(y) \Phi(x, y) d\sigma(y) \\ + \frac{i}{k} \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} \int_{\Gamma} \nu(y) \times H(y) \Phi(x, y) d\sigma(y)$$

$$(2.7) \quad H(x) = \operatorname{curl} \int_{\Gamma} \nu(x) \times H(y) \Phi(x, y) d\sigma(y) \\ - \frac{i}{k} \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} \int_{\Gamma} \nu(y) \times E(y) \Phi(x, y) d\sigma(y).$$

Define the single layer potential  $\mathcal{S}$  which acts on a scalar function  $f \in L_2(\Gamma)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Gamma$  as

$$\mathcal{S}f(x) = \int_{\Gamma} \Phi(x, y) f(y) d\sigma(y).$$

In the sequel  $a$  stands for a  $L_2(\Gamma)$  vector field.

**Proposition 3.**

$$\lim_{\substack{y \rightarrow x \\ y \in \zeta_+(x)}} \mathcal{S}f(y) = \lim_{\substack{y \rightarrow x \\ y \in \zeta_-(x)}} \mathcal{S}f(y) = \int_{\Gamma} \Phi(x, y) f(y) d\sigma(y) =: Sf(x)$$

**Proposition 4.**

$$\lim_{\substack{y \rightarrow x \\ y \in \zeta_{\pm}(x)}} \nabla \mathcal{S}f(y) \cdot \nu(x) = (\mp \frac{1}{2}I + K^*)f(x)$$

where  $K^*$  is the formal transpose of the bounded operator  $K$  defined as

$$Kf(x) := \frac{1}{4\pi} \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{|x-y| \geq \varepsilon} \frac{(x-y) \cdot \nu(y)}{|x-y|^3} e^{ik|x-y|} (1 - ik|x-y|) f(y) d\sigma(y)$$

**Proposition 5.**

$$\|(\nabla S f)^*\| \lesssim \|f\|$$

**Proposition 6.**

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\substack{y \rightarrow x \\ y \in \zeta_{\pm}(x)}} \operatorname{div} \mathcal{S}a(y) &= \mp \frac{1}{2} \nu(x) \cdot a(x) + \operatorname{pv} \int_{\Gamma} \operatorname{div}_x \{\Phi(x, y) a(y)\} d\sigma(y) \\ \lim_{\substack{y \rightarrow x \\ y \in \zeta_{\pm}(x)}} \operatorname{curl} \mathcal{S}a(y) &= \mp \frac{1}{2} \nu(x) \times a(x) + \operatorname{pv} \int_{\Gamma} \operatorname{curl}_x \{\Phi(x, y) a(y)\} d\sigma(y) \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 7.**

$$\lim_{\substack{y \rightarrow x \\ y \in \zeta_{\pm}(x)}} \nu(x) \times \operatorname{curl} \mathcal{S}a(y) = \pm \frac{1}{2} a(x) + \operatorname{pv} \int_{\Gamma} \nu(x) \times \operatorname{curl}_x \{\Phi(x, y) a(y)\} d\sigma(y)$$

$$\lim_{\substack{y_{\pm} \rightarrow x \\ y_{\pm} \in \zeta_{\pm}(x)}} \nu(x) \times (\operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} \mathcal{S}a(y_+) - \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} \mathcal{S}a(y_-)) = 0$$

Proposition 7 motivates the definition of the magnetic dipole operator  $M$  and the electric dipole operator  $N$  for  $x \in \Gamma$ :

$$\begin{aligned} Ma(x) &= \nu(x) \times \operatorname{pv} \int_{\Gamma} \operatorname{curl}_x \{\Phi(x, y) a(y)\} d\sigma(y) \\ Na(x) &= \nu(x) \times \operatorname{pv} \int_{\Gamma} \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl}_x \{\Phi(x, y) a(y)\} d\sigma(y) \end{aligned}$$

In the sequel we sometimes adopt the subscript convention, e.g.  $\Phi_k$ ,  $S_k$ ,  $M_k$ ,  $N_k$ , etc. to emphasize the dependence of  $k$ .

**Proposition 8.** For arbitrary  $\gamma_L, \gamma_R \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

- (1)  $M_{\gamma_L} : L_{2,t}(\Gamma) \rightarrow L_{2,t}(\Gamma)$  is bounded.
- (2)  $M_{\gamma_L} : L_{2,t}^{\operatorname{div} \Gamma} \rightarrow L_{2,t}^{\operatorname{div} \Gamma}$  is bounded.
- (3)  $N_{\gamma_L} : L_{2,t}^{\operatorname{div} \Gamma} \rightarrow L_{2,t}^{\operatorname{div} \Gamma}$  is bounded.
- (4)  $M_{\gamma_L} - M_{\gamma_R} : L_{2,t}(\Gamma) \rightarrow L_{2,t}(\Gamma)$  is compact.
- (5)  $M_{\gamma_L} - M_{\gamma_R} : L_{2,t}(\Gamma) \rightarrow L_{2,t}^{\operatorname{div} \Gamma}$  is compact.
- (6)  $N_{\gamma_L} - N_{\gamma_R} : L_{2,t}(\Gamma) \rightarrow L_{2,t}(\Gamma)$  is compact.
- (7)  $N_{\gamma_L} - N_{\gamma_R} : L_{2,t}(\Gamma) \rightarrow L_{2,t}^{\operatorname{div} \Gamma}$  is bounded.

### 3. SPECTRAL THEORY OF THE MAGNETIC DIPOLE OPERATOR $M$

In this section we set the following restriction on  $k$ :

$$(3.1) \quad k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \text{ and } \operatorname{Im} k \geq |\operatorname{Re} k|,$$

unless otherwise stated.

**Lemma 1** (Rellich identity). For a complex-valued  $C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$  vector field  $E$  and a real-valued  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$  vector field  $h$

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{\Gamma} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |E|^2 (h \cdot \nu) - \operatorname{Re}((\overline{E} \cdot h)(E \cdot \nu)) \right\} d\sigma \\ = \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |E|^2 \operatorname{div} h - (\overline{E} \cdot h) \operatorname{div} E - \overline{E} \cdot (\nabla h) E + (h \times \overline{E}) \cdot \operatorname{curl} E \right\} dV,$$

where  $\overline{E} \cdot (\nabla h) E$  denotes the quadratic form  $\sum_{i,j} (D_i h_j) E_i \overline{E}_j$ .

*Proof.* It is evident from

$$\operatorname{div} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |E|^2 h - \operatorname{Re}((\overline{E} \cdot h) E) \right\} \\ = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |E|^2 \operatorname{div} h - (\overline{E} \cdot h) \operatorname{div} E - \overline{E} \cdot (\nabla h) E + (h \times \overline{E}) \cdot \operatorname{curl} E \right\}$$

and Divergence theorem.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** For a complex-valued  $C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$  vector field  $E$

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{\Gamma} |E|^2 d\sigma \lesssim \int_{\Gamma} |E_n|^2 d\sigma + \int_{\Omega} |E|^2 + |\operatorname{curl} E|^2 + |\operatorname{div} E|^2 dV$$

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{\Gamma} |E|^2 d\sigma \lesssim \int_{\Gamma} |E_t|^2 d\sigma + \int_{\Omega} |E|^2 + |\operatorname{curl} E|^2 + |\operatorname{div} E|^2 dV.$$

If  $E \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}_+)$  and decays at infinity then the above hold with  $\Omega$  replaced by  $\Omega_+$ .

*Proof.* Let  $h$  be the real-valued vector field which satisfies proposition 1, item (5), i.e.  $h \cdot \nu \geq \kappa > 0$  on  $\Gamma$ . Decomposing  $E$ ,  $h$  into mutually orthogonal parts  $E = E_t + E_n$ ,  $h = h_t + h_n$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2} |E|^2 (h \cdot \nu) - \operatorname{Re}((\overline{E} \cdot h)(E \cdot \nu)) \\ = \frac{1}{2} |E_t|^2 (h \cdot \nu) - \frac{1}{2} |E_n|^2 (h \cdot \nu) - \operatorname{Re}((\overline{E}_t \cdot h_t)(E_n \cdot \nu)),$$

thus the Rellich identity (3.2) is rewritten as

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{2} |E_t|^2 (h \cdot \nu) d\sigma = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{2} |E_n|^2 (h \cdot \nu) d\sigma + \Theta_1 + \Theta_2,$$



where

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_1 &:= \int_{\Gamma} \operatorname{Re}((\overline{E_t} \cdot h_t)(E_n \cdot \nu)) d\sigma, \\ \Theta_2 &:= \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |E|^2 \operatorname{div} h - (\overline{E} \cdot h) \operatorname{div} E - \overline{E} \cdot (\nabla h) E + (h \times \overline{E}) \cdot \operatorname{curl} E \right\} dV\end{aligned}$$

In view of (3.5) and  $h \cdot \nu \geq \kappa > 0$  we have

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{1}{2} \kappa \int_{\Gamma} |E_t|^2 d\sigma \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} |E_n|^2 d\sigma + \Theta_1 + \Theta_2.$$

By Young's inequality

$$ab \leq \varepsilon a^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} b^2 \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0$$

(3.6) becomes

$$(3.7) \quad \int_{\Gamma} |E|^2 d\sigma \lesssim \int_{\Gamma} |E_n|^2 d\sigma + \int_{\Omega} |E|^2 + |E| |\operatorname{curl} E| + |E| |\operatorname{div} E| dV$$

Similarly, from (3.5) and  $h \cdot \nu \geq \kappa > 0$  we have

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \kappa \int_{\Gamma} |E_n|^2 d\sigma &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} |E_t|^2 d\sigma - \Theta_1 - \Theta_2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} |E_t|^2 d\sigma + |\Theta_1| + |\Theta_2|, \end{aligned}$$

hence by Young's inequality (3.8) becomes

$$(3.9) \quad$$

$$\int_{\Gamma} |E|^2 d\sigma \lesssim \int_{\Gamma} |E_t|^2 d\sigma + \int_{\Omega} |E|^2 + |E| |\operatorname{curl} E| + |E| |\operatorname{div} E| dV.$$

Once by Young's inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} |E|^2 + |E| |\operatorname{curl} E| + |E| |\operatorname{div} E| dV \lesssim \int_{\Omega} |E|^2 + |\operatorname{curl} E|^2 + |\operatorname{div} E|^2 dV,$$

and we may rewrite (3.7), (3.9) into (3.3), (3.4) respectively.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** For the complex-valued  $C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$  vector field  $E$  which satisfies  $(\Delta + k^2)E = 0$  in  $\Omega$ ,

$$(3.10) \quad \int_{\Gamma} |\nu \times E|^2 d\sigma \lesssim \int_{\Gamma} |E \cdot \nu|^2 + |\operatorname{div} E|^2 d\sigma + \left| \int_{\Gamma} (\nu \times \overline{E}) \cdot \operatorname{curl} E d\sigma \right|.$$

*Proof.* Vector Green's theorem for vector fields  $a, b$  on  $\Omega$  reads

$$\int_{\Omega} a \Delta b + \operatorname{curl} a \cdot \operatorname{curl} b + \operatorname{div} a \cdot \operatorname{div} b \, dV = \int_{\Gamma} (\nu \times a) \cdot \operatorname{curl} b + (\nu \cdot a) \operatorname{div} b \, d\sigma$$

Setting  $a = \overline{E}$  and  $b = E$  in vector Green's theorem we have

$$\int_{\Gamma} (\nu \times \overline{E}) \cdot \operatorname{curl} E + (\overline{E} \cdot \nu) \operatorname{div} E \, d\sigma = \int_{\Omega} |\operatorname{curl} E|^2 + |\operatorname{div} E|^2 - k^2 |E|^2 \, dV.$$

In view of the restriction on  $k$  (3.1), the above identity becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |E|^2 + |\operatorname{curl} E|^2 + |\operatorname{div} E|^2 \, dV \\ \lesssim \left| \int_{\Gamma} (\nu \times \overline{E}) \cdot \operatorname{curl} E \, d\sigma \right| + \int_{\Gamma} |E \cdot \nu| |\operatorname{div} E| \, d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Once by  $|E \cdot \nu| \leq |E|$  and Young's inequality

$$\int_{\Gamma} |E \cdot \nu| |\operatorname{div} E| \, d\sigma \leq (\text{small}) \int_{\Gamma} |E|^2 \, d\sigma + (\text{large}) \int_{\Gamma} |\operatorname{div} E|^2 \, d\sigma,$$

which turns (3.3) into (3.10).  $\square$

**Theorem 1.** Let  $X, Y, Z$  be Banach spaces and  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  be a closed operator with dense domain. Then the followings are equivalent:

(1) A compact operator  $T : X \rightarrow Z$  exists such that

$$(3.11) \quad \|x\| \leq C(\|Ax\| + \|Tx\|) \quad \forall x \in \operatorname{dom} A.$$

(2)  $\dim \ker A$  is finite, and  $\operatorname{img} A$  is closed.

*Proof of (1) implies (2).* If  $x \in \ker A$ , by (3.11) we have  $\|x\| \leq C\|Tx\|$ , which implies that  $T^{-1}$  exists and is bounded. It follows that  $I = T^{-1}T : \ker A \rightarrow \ker A$  is compact, so  $\dim \ker A$  is finite.

Decompose  $X$  into direct sum

$$(3.12) \quad X = \tilde{X} \oplus \ker A.$$

Extract a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  from  $\tilde{X}$  and let  $Ax_n \rightarrow y$ . We claim that there exists  $M > 0$  such that  $\|x_n\| \leq M$  for all  $n$ . Assume the contrary;  $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $x'_n = \frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|}$ , then  $\|x'_n\| = 1$ ,  $Ax'_n \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $\|x'_n\| = 1$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{x'_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{x'_n\}$  such that  $\{Tx'_{n_k}\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Hence  $\{x'_{n_k}\}$  has a limit  $x \in \tilde{X}$  and  $\|x\| = 1$ . Since  $x \in \operatorname{dom} A$  and  $A$  is closed,  $Ax = 0$ . But  $\tilde{X} \cap \ker A = \{0\}$  implies  $x = 0$ , a contradiction.

From compactness of  $T$  and (3.11) we can extract a convergent subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  from  $\{x_n\}$ . If  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x$  and  $Ax_{n_k} \rightarrow y$ , then  $x \in \operatorname{dom} A$  and  $Ax = y$ , which implies  $\operatorname{img} A$  is closed.  $\square$

*Proof of (2) implies (1).* Decompose  $X$  into direct sum (3.12), denote by  $P$  the projection of  $X$  onto  $\ker A$  parallel to  $\tilde{X}$ , and define  $\tilde{A}$  as the restriction of the operator  $A$  to  $\tilde{X}$ . Then  $\ker \tilde{A} = \{0\}$  and  $\text{img } \tilde{A} = \text{img } A$ .  $\tilde{A}^{-1}$  is a closed operator on the Banach space  $\text{img } A$  and therefore is bounded; a constant  $C' > 0$  exists such that  $\forall \tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}$

$$\|\tilde{x}\| \leq C' \|\tilde{A}\tilde{x}\|.$$

Given an arbitrary  $x \in D(A)$ , by (3.12) we have  $x = x_1 + x_2$  with  $x_1 = (I - P)x \in \tilde{X}$  and  $x_2 = Px \in \ker A$ . The operator  $T = P$  has finite rank and therefore is compact. Since  $Ax = \tilde{A}\tilde{x}$ , we have

$$\|x\| \leq \|x_1\| + \|x_2\| \leq C(\|Ax\| + \|Tx\|)$$

with  $C = \max(1, C')$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.**  $\pm \frac{1}{2}I + M : L_{2,t}^{\text{divr}} \rightarrow L_{2,t}(\Gamma)$  is injective with closed range.

*Proof.* From lemma 2, we have

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \|E\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} &\lesssim \|E_n\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \|(\text{curl } E)_t\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \|\text{div } E\|_{L_2(\Gamma)}, \\ \|E\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} &\lesssim \|E_t\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \|(\text{curl } E)_n\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \|\text{div } E\|_{L_2(\Gamma)}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $(\Delta + k^2)E = 0$  and additionally  $\text{div } E = 0$ . By writing  $H = \frac{1}{ik} \text{curl } E$ , (3.13) becomes

$$(3.14) \quad \|E\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} \lesssim \|E_n\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \|H_t\|_{L_2(\Gamma)},$$

$$(3.15) \quad \|E\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} \lesssim \|E_t\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \|H_n\|_{L_2(\Gamma)}.$$

From  $\text{curl curl } E = -\Delta E + \nabla \text{div } E$  we are free to permute  $E$  and  $H$  in (3.14), (3.15) and obtain

$$(3.16) \quad \|H\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} \lesssim \|H_n\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \|E_t\|_{L_2(\Gamma)},$$

$$(3.17) \quad \|H\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} \lesssim \|H_t\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \|E_n\|_{L_2(\Gamma)}.$$

By (3.15) and (3.16),

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \|E\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} &\lesssim \|E_t\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \|H_t\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} \\ &\lesssim \|E_t\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \|H_t\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \|H_n\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} \\ &\lesssim \|E_t\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \|H\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} \\ &\lesssim \|E_t\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \|H_n\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \|E_t\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} \\ &\lesssim \|H_n\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \|E_t\|_{L_2(\Gamma)}. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.18), (3.16) and  $\|E_t\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \|H_n\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} \lesssim \|E\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \|H\|_{L_2(\Gamma)}$ , we have

$$(3.19) \quad \|E\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \|H\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} \approx \|E_t\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \|H_n\|_{L_2(\Gamma)}.$$

Once by permutting  $E$  and  $H$  in (3.19) we have

$$(3.20) \quad \|H\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \|E\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} \approx \|H_t\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \|E_n\|_{L_2(\Gamma)},$$

hence (3.19), (3.20) amount to

$$(3.21) \quad \|E_t\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \|H_n\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} \approx \|E_n\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \|H_t\|_{L_2(\Gamma)}.$$

By  $\|\cdot\|_{L_{2,t}^{\text{div}\Gamma}} \equiv \|\cdot\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \|\text{div}_\Gamma(\cdot)\|_{L_2(\Gamma)}$  and  $\text{div}_\Gamma(\nu \times E) = -\nu \cdot \text{curl } E$ , (3.21) is written as

$$\|\nu \times E\|_{L_{2,t}^{\text{div}\Gamma}} \approx \|\nu \times \text{curl } E\|_{L_{2,t}^{\text{div}\Gamma}}$$

Now set  $E := \text{curl } \mathcal{S}a$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Gamma$  and apply proposition 7, we have

$$\|(\frac{1}{2}I + M)a\|_{L_{2,t}^{\text{div}\Gamma}} \approx \|Na\|_{L_{2,t}^{\text{div}\Gamma}} \approx \|(-\frac{1}{2}I + M)a\|_{L_{2,t}^{\text{div}\Gamma}}.$$

Together with  $a = (\frac{1}{2}I + M)a - (-\frac{1}{2}I + M)a$  we obtain

$$\|(\pm\frac{1}{2}I + M)a\|_{L_{2,t}^{\text{div}\Gamma}} \approx \|a\|_{L_{2,t}^{\text{div}\Gamma}},$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** For  $f \in L_2(\Gamma)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|\lambda| > \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$(3.22) \quad \|f\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} \leq C_\lambda (\|(\lambda I - K^*)f\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \|\mathbf{K}(f)\|_{L_2(\Gamma)}).$$

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the case  $k = 0$  and the general validity follows from the compactness of  $K^* - K_0^*$ . Set  $E = \nabla \mathcal{S}_0 f$  in  $\Omega$  and let  $T := \lambda I - K^*$ , from  $\text{div } E = \text{curl } E = 0$  and  $|E \cdot \nu| \leq |E|$  on  $\Gamma$ , the Rellich identity (3.2) becomes

$$(3.23) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_\Gamma \frac{1}{2} |E \cdot \nu|^2 (h \cdot \nu) d\sigma \leq \int_\Gamma \frac{1}{2} |E|^2 (h \cdot \nu) d\sigma \\ & = \int_\Gamma \text{Re}((\overline{E} \cdot h)(E \cdot \nu)) d\sigma + \int_\Omega \text{Re}\left\{\frac{1}{2} |E|^2 \text{div } h - \overline{E} \cdot (\nabla h) E\right\} dV \end{aligned}$$

Note that on  $\Gamma$

$$\begin{aligned} E \cdot \nu &= (\lambda - \frac{1}{2})f - T(f) \\ E \cdot h &= -\frac{1}{2}(h \cdot \nu)f + \tilde{K}f \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{K}f(x) = \int_\Gamma \frac{\partial \Phi_0(x, y)}{\partial h(x)} f(y) d\sigma(y).$$

From Green's theorem

$$\int_\Omega |E|^2 dV = \int_\Gamma S_0(f) \cdot \frac{\partial \overline{S_0 f}}{\partial \nu} d\sigma = \int_\Gamma S_0(f) \cdot \overline{((\lambda - \frac{1}{2})f - T(f))} d\sigma,$$

(3.23) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} (h \cdot \nu) |\lambda - \frac{1}{2}|^2 |f|^2 d\sigma \\ & \leq \int_{\Gamma} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \overline{\left( \frac{1}{2} (h \cdot \nu) f + \tilde{K}(f) \right)} \left( (\lambda - \frac{1}{2}) f - T(f) \right) \right\} d\sigma \\ & \quad + C_1 \|f\| (\|S(f)\| + \|T(f)\|) + C_2 \|S(f)\| \|T(f)\|, \end{aligned}$$

which is further simplified to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} (|\lambda|^2 - \frac{1}{4}) \int_{\Gamma} (h \cdot \nu) |f|^2 d\sigma \\ & \leq \int_{\Gamma} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \overline{\tilde{K}(f)} \cdot (\lambda - \frac{1}{2}) f \right\} d\sigma \\ & \quad + C_1 \|f\| (\|S(f)\| + \|T(f)\|) + C_2 \|S(f)\| \|T(f)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Gamma} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \overline{\tilde{K}(f)} \cdot (\lambda - \frac{1}{2}) f \right\} d\sigma \\ & = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}) \int_{\Gamma} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \overline{\tilde{K}(f)} \cdot f \right\} d\sigma - \operatorname{Im}(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}) \int_{\Gamma} \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \overline{\tilde{K}(f)} \cdot f \right\} d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{\Gamma} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \overline{\tilde{K}(f)} \cdot f \right\} d\sigma = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} f Q(\bar{f}) d\sigma,$$

where  $Q := \tilde{K} + \tilde{K}^*$ .  $Q$  is an operator with weakly singular kernel, hence is compact.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** For  $a \in L_{2,t}^{\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma}}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|\lambda| > \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.24) \quad \|a\|_{L_{2,t}^{\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma}}} & \leq C_{\lambda} (\|(\lambda I + M)a\|_{L_{2,t}^{\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma}}} + \|\mathbf{K}(\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} a)\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} \\ & \quad + \|\mathbf{K}(a)\|_{L_2(\Gamma)}). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* From

$$\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} Ma = -K^* \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} a - k^2 \nu \cdot Sa$$

for  $a \in L_{2,t}^{\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma}}$ , we have

$$(\lambda I - K^*) \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} a = \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} (\lambda I + M)a + k^2 \nu \cdot Sa.$$

Applying lemma 4 and the compactness of  $S$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (3.25) \quad \|\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} a\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} & \lesssim \|\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} (\lambda I + M)a\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} \\ & \quad + \|\mathbf{K}(a)\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \|\mathbf{K}(\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} a)\|_{L_2(\Gamma)}. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $E = \operatorname{curl} \mathcal{S}a$  in  $\Omega$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \lambda + \frac{1}{2} \right| \|a\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} &\leq \|(\lambda I + M)a\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \left\| \left(-\frac{1}{2}I + M\right)a \right\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} \\ &= \|(\lambda I + M)a\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \|\nu \times E\|_{L_2(\Gamma)}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of lemma 3,

$$(3.26) \quad \|a\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} \lesssim \|(\lambda I + M)a\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \|E \cdot \nu\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \left| \int_{\Gamma} (\nu \times \overline{E}) \cdot \operatorname{curl} E \, d\sigma \right|$$

From Stratton-Chu formula (2.1),

$$K^*(E \cdot \nu) = -\nu \cdot \operatorname{curl} S(Ma) + \mathbf{K}(A) + \mathbf{K}(\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} a),$$

then

$$(\lambda I - K^*)(E \cdot \nu) = \nu \cdot \operatorname{curl} S((\lambda I + M)a) + \mathbf{K}(A) + \mathbf{K}(\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} a).$$

Once by lemma 4 we have

$$(3.27) \quad \|E \cdot \nu\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} \lesssim \|(\lambda I + M)a\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \|\mathbf{K}(a)\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \|\mathbf{K}(\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} a)\|_{L_2(\Gamma)}.$$

On  $\Gamma_-$ ,  $\nu \times E = (-\frac{1}{2}I + M)a = (-\frac{1}{2} - \lambda)a + (\lambda I + M)a$  is tangential; the tangential component of  $\operatorname{curl} E$  is  $k^2 Sa + \nabla S(\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} a)$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\Gamma} (\nu \times \overline{E}) \cdot \operatorname{curl} E \, d\sigma \right| \\ &\lesssim \left| \int_{\Gamma} \overline{a} \cdot Sa \, d\sigma \right| + \left| \int_{\Gamma} \overline{a} \cdot \nabla S(\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} a) \, d\sigma \right| + \left| \int_{\Gamma} \overline{(\lambda I + M)a} \cdot Sa \, d\sigma \right| \\ &+ \left| \int_{\Gamma} \overline{(\lambda I + M)a} \cdot \nabla S(\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} a) \, d\sigma \right| \\ &:= I + II + III + IV \end{aligned}$$

Applying Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq (\text{small}) \|a\|_{L_2(\Gamma)}^2 + (\text{large}) \|Sa\|_{L_2(\Gamma)}^2, \\ II &= \left| \int_{\Gamma} \overline{a} \cdot \nabla S(\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} a) \, d\sigma \right| = \left| \int_{\Gamma} (\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} \overline{a}) S(\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} a) \, d\sigma \right| \\ &\leq (\text{small}) \|\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} a\|_{L_2(\Gamma)}^2 + (\text{large}) \|S(\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} a)\|_{L_2(\Gamma)}^2, \\ III &\leq (\text{small}) \|a\|_{L_2(\Gamma)}^2 + (\text{large}) \|(\lambda I + M)a\|_{L_2(\Gamma)}^2, \\ IV &\leq (\text{small}) \|\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} a\|_{L_2(\Gamma)}^2 + (\text{large}) \|(\lambda I + M)a\|_{L_2(\Gamma)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

By (3.27) and above results, (3.26) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \|a\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} &\lesssim \|(\lambda I + M)a\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \|\mathbf{K}(a)\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \|\mathbf{K}(\operatorname{div}_\Gamma a)\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} \\ &\quad + (\text{small})\|a\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} + (\text{small})\|\operatorname{div}_\Gamma a\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} \end{aligned}$$

Together with (3.25) the result follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.**  $\lambda I + M : L_{2,t}^{\operatorname{div}_\Gamma} \rightarrow L_{2,t}^{\operatorname{div}_\Gamma}$  is injective if  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $|\lambda| > 1/2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  be the eigenvalue of  $M$  and  $a \in L_{2,t}^{\operatorname{div}_\Gamma}$  be the corresponding eigenvector. Set  $E = \operatorname{curl} \mathcal{S}a$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Gamma$ , we have

$$\pm \int_{\Gamma_\pm} (\nu \times \overline{E}) \cdot \operatorname{curl} E \, d\sigma = \int_{\Omega_\pm} |\operatorname{curl} E|^2 - k^2 |E|^2 \, dV.$$

On  $\Gamma_\pm$ ,  $\nu \times \overline{E} = (\pm \frac{1}{2} + M)a = (\lambda \pm \frac{1}{2})a$  and hence is tangential; together with the fact that  $(\operatorname{curl} E)_t$  is continuous across  $\Gamma_\pm$  and set

$$\mu_\pm := \int_{\Omega_\pm} |\operatorname{curl} E|^2 - k^2 |E|^2 \, dV$$

we get

$$|\lambda| = \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{\mu_+ - \mu_-}{\mu_+ + \mu_-} \right|.$$

The restriction of  $k$  (3.1) implies that  $\mu_\pm$  are in the same quadrant of  $\mathbb{C}$ , therefore  $|\lambda| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** Let  $W$  be a Hilbert space,  $X$  be a connected topological space and  $T_\lambda : X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(W)$ , a continuous function from  $X$  to the set of all bounded operators on  $W$ . Assume that  $T_\lambda$  is injective with closed range for each  $\lambda \in X$ . If for some  $\lambda_0 \in X$  the operator  $T_{\lambda_0}$  is actually an isomorphism of  $W$ , then  $T_\lambda$  is an isomorphism of  $W$  for any  $\lambda \in X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $O := \{\lambda \in X \mid T_\lambda \text{ is invertible}\}$ ;  $O$  is nonempty because  $\lambda_0 \in O$ . To establish that  $O = X$  we have to show that  $O$  is closed. Suppose  $\lambda_j \in O$  such that  $\lambda_j \rightarrow \lambda$ . Let  $u \in W$  and take  $x_j \in W$  such that  $T_{\lambda_j} x_j = u$  for each  $j$ . We claim that  $\sup \|x_j\| < \infty$ . From assumption and the open-mapping theorem, there exists an positive constant  $C_\lambda$  with  $\|x\| \leq C_\lambda \|T_\lambda x\|$  for all  $x \in W$ . We have

$$\|x_j\| \leq C_\lambda \|T_\lambda x_j\| \leq C_\lambda \|T_{\lambda_j} x_j\| + C_\lambda \|(T_{\lambda_j} - T_\lambda)x_j\|$$

As  $\|(T_{\lambda_j} - T_\lambda)x_j\| \leq \|T_{\lambda_j} - T_\lambda\| \|x_j\|$ , the coefficient of  $\|x_j\|$  becomes, for large  $j$ , small enough to be absorbed into the left-hand side, hence the claim is established.

From  $\sup \|x_j\| < \infty$  we can find a subsequence of  $\{x_j\}$  weakly convergent to some  $x \in W$  and deduce that  $T_\lambda x = u$ , i.e.  $T_\lambda$  is invertible.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.**  $\pm \frac{1}{2}I + M : L_{2,t}^{\text{div}\Gamma} \rightarrow L_{2,t}(\Gamma)$  is invertible.

*Proof.* If  $k$  satisfies (3.1), from theorems 1, 2, 3 and 4,  $\lambda I + M_k : L_{2,t}^{\text{div}\Gamma} \rightarrow L_{2,t}^{\text{div}\Gamma}$  with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|\lambda| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  is injective with closed range. Moreover,  $\lambda I + M_k$  is invertible on  $L_{2,t}^{\text{div}\Gamma}$  for sufficiently large  $|\lambda|$ . By theorem 4,  $\lambda I + M_k$  with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|\lambda| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  is invertible. For general  $k$  the same conclusion follows from proposition 8, item (5) the decomposition

$$\lambda I + M_k = \lambda I + M_{k_0} + (M_k - M_{k_0}),$$

where  $k_0$  satisfies (3.1). In particular,  $\pm \frac{1}{2}I + M : L_{2,t}^{\text{div}\Gamma} \rightarrow L_{2,t}^{\text{div}\Gamma}$  is invertible.  $\square$

#### 4. STATEMENT OF THE DIRECT SCATTERING PROBLEM

Find the function  $E$  which satisfies

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} \text{curl curl } E - 2\beta\gamma^2 \text{curl } E - \gamma^2 E = 0 & \text{on } \Omega_+ \\ \nu \times E = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma \\ \frac{x}{|x|} \times H + E = o(|x|^{-1}) & |x| \rightarrow \infty \\ E^* \in L_2(\Gamma) \end{cases}$$

where  $\gamma^2 = \frac{k^2}{1-k^2\beta^2}$  and  $k, H$  are defined by (1.2), (1.1) respectively.

Introducing the transformation (1.4), the direct scattering problem (4.1) is transformed to the following: Find  $Q_L, Q_R$  which satisfy

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{cases} \text{curl } Q_L = \gamma_L Q_L & \text{on } \Omega_+ \\ \text{curl } Q_R = -\gamma_R Q_R & \text{on } \Omega_+ \\ \nu \times (Q_L + Q_R) = f \in L_{2,t}^{\text{div}\Gamma} & \text{on } \Gamma_+ \\ \frac{x}{|x|} \times Q_L + iQ_L = o(|x|^{-1}) & |x| \rightarrow \infty \\ \frac{x}{|x|} \times Q_R - iQ_R = o(|x|^{-1}) & |x| \rightarrow \infty \\ Q_L^*, Q_R^* \in L_2(\Gamma) \end{cases}$$

where  $\gamma_L, \gamma_R$  are defined as in (1.5).

Inspired by Stratton-Chu formula, we propose the following ansatz

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} Q_L &= \gamma_L \text{curl } \mathcal{S}_{\gamma_L} a + \text{curl curl } \mathcal{S}_{\gamma_L} a \\ Q_R &= \gamma_R \text{curl } \mathcal{S}_{\gamma_R} a - \text{curl curl } \mathcal{S}_{\gamma_R} a, \end{aligned}$$

where  $a \in L_{2,t}^{\text{div}\Gamma}$  to be determined. Then (4.2) is reduced to the solution of the following boundary integral equation of unknown  $a$ :

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{1}{2}\gamma_L a + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_L M_{\gamma_L} a + N_{\gamma_L} a + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_R a + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_R M_{\gamma_R} a - N_{\gamma_R} a = f$$



## 5. SOLVABILITY OF THE DIRECT SCATTERING PROBLEM

**Theorem 7.** The boundary integral equation (4.4) has an unique solution.

*Proof of Existence.* Let  $T := (\gamma_L + \gamma_R) \left( \frac{1}{2}I + M_{\gamma_L} \right) + \gamma_R(M_{\gamma_R} - M_{\gamma_L}) + (N_{\gamma_L} - N_{\gamma_R})$ , then (4.4) is rearranged as  $Ta = f$ . We claim that, if  $a \in L_{2,t}(\Gamma)$  and  $(\frac{1}{2}I + M)a \in L_{2,t}^{\text{div}\Gamma}$ , then  $a \in L_{2,t}^{\text{div}\Gamma}$ . This is seen from

$$\left(-\frac{1}{2}I + K^*\right)(\text{div}_\Gamma a) = -k^2 \nu \cdot Sa - \text{div}_\Gamma \left( \left(\frac{1}{2}I + M\right)a \right)$$

and the invertibility of  $(-\frac{1}{2}I + K^*)$ . From this claim and the fact that the operator  $T$  is Fredholm with index zero on  $L_{2,t}(\Gamma)$ ,  $T$  is Fredholm with index zero on  $L_{2,t}^{\text{div}\Gamma}$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Uniqueness.* We first show that the only solution for the homogeneous problem, i.e. (4.2) with  $f = 0$ , is  $Q_L = Q_R = 0$ . Let  $B_r$  be an open ball centered at 0 with radius  $r$  such that  $\Omega \subset B_r$ . From Silver-Müller radiation condition of  $Q_L$ , we have

$$(5.1) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial B_r} |\nu \times Q_L|^2 + |Q_L|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Im} \left\{ (\nu \times Q_L) \cdot \overline{Q_L} \right\} d\sigma = 0$$

By Gauss divergence theorem and vector identities

$$(a \times b) \cdot c = (b \times c) \cdot a, \quad \operatorname{div}(a \times b) = b \cdot \operatorname{curl} a - a \cdot \operatorname{curl} b,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial B_r} (\nu \times Q_L) \cdot \overline{Q_L} d\sigma &= \int_{\partial B_r} (Q_L \times \overline{Q_L}) \cdot \nu d\sigma \\ &= \int_{B_r \setminus \overline{\Omega}} \operatorname{div}(Q_L \times \overline{Q_L}) dV + \int_{\Gamma} (Q_L \times \overline{Q_L}) \cdot \nu d\sigma \\ &= \int_{B_r \setminus \overline{\Omega}} \operatorname{div}(Q_L \times \overline{Q_L}) dV + \int_{\Gamma} (Q_R \times \overline{Q_R}) \cdot \nu d\sigma \\ &= \int_{\partial B_r} (Q_R \times \overline{Q_R}) \cdot \nu d\sigma + 2i \operatorname{Im} \gamma_R \int_{B_r \setminus \overline{\Omega}} |Q_R|^2 dV \\ &\quad + 2i \operatorname{Im} \gamma_L \int_{B_r \setminus \overline{\Omega}} |Q_L|^2 dV \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (5.2) \quad \int_{\partial B_r} \operatorname{Im} \left\{ (\nu \times Q_L) \cdot \overline{Q_L} - (\nu \times Q_R) \cdot \overline{Q_R} \right\} d\sigma \\ = 2 \operatorname{Im} \gamma_R \int_{B_r \setminus \overline{\Omega}} |Q_R|^2 dV + 2 \operatorname{Im} \gamma_L \int_{B_r \setminus \overline{\Omega}} |Q_L|^2 dV. \end{aligned}$$

From Silver-Müller radiation condition of  $Q_R$ , we have

$$(5.3) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial B_r} |\nu \times Q_R|^2 + |Q_R|^2 - 2 \operatorname{Im} \left\{ (\nu \times Q_R) \cdot \overline{Q_R} \right\} d\sigma = 0$$

Add (5.3) and (5.1), by (5.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \int_{\partial B_r} |\nu \times Q_R|^2 + |Q_R|^2 + |\nu \times Q_L|^2 + |Q_L|^2 d\sigma \right. \\ \left. + 4 \operatorname{Im} \gamma_R \int_{B_r \setminus \overline{\Omega}} |Q_R|^2 dV + 4 \operatorname{Im} \gamma_L \int_{B_r \setminus \overline{\Omega}} |Q_L|^2 dV \right\} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

By assumption  $\operatorname{Im} \gamma_L, \operatorname{Im} \gamma_R$  are nonnegative, so we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial B_r} |Q_R|^2 dV &= 0 \\ \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial B_r} |Q_L|^2 dV &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $Q_L, Q_R$  satisfy the Helmholtz equation; by Rellich's lemma  $Q_L = Q_R = 0$  in  $\Omega_+$ , hence

$$(5.4) \quad \nu \times Q_L = \gamma_L M_{\gamma_L} a + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_L a + N_{\gamma_L} a = 0$$

$$(5.5) \quad \nu \times Q_R = \gamma_R M_{\gamma_R} a + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_R a - N_{\gamma_R} a = 0$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= -\gamma_L \operatorname{curl} \mathcal{S}_{\gamma_L} a - \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} \mathcal{S}_{\gamma_L} a \\ Q_2 &= -\gamma_R \operatorname{curl} \mathcal{S}_{\gamma_R} a + \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} \mathcal{S}_{\gamma_R} a \end{aligned}$$

in  $\Omega$ . From (5.4), (5.5) and the expressions

$$\begin{aligned} \nu \times Q_1 &= -\gamma_L M_{\gamma_L} a + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_L a - N_{\gamma_L} a \\ \nu \times Q_2 &= -\gamma_R M_{\gamma_R} a + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_R a + N_{\gamma_R} a \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\nu \times Q_1 = \alpha \nu \times Q_2$$

on  $\Gamma$ , where  $\alpha = \frac{\gamma_L}{\gamma_R}$ . We claim  $Q_1 = Q_2 = 0$  in  $\Omega$ . This can be seen from

$$\begin{aligned}
2i \operatorname{Im} \gamma_L \int_{\Omega} |Q_1|^2 dV &= \int_{\Omega} \overline{Q_1} \cdot \operatorname{curl} Q_1 - Q_1 \cdot \operatorname{curl} \overline{Q_1} dV \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(Q_1 \times \overline{Q_1}) dV \\
&= \int_{\Gamma} (Q_1 \times \overline{Q_1}) \cdot \nu d\sigma \\
&= \int_{\Gamma} (\nu \times Q_1) \cdot \overline{Q_1} d\sigma \\
&= \alpha \int_{\Gamma} (\nu \times Q_2) \cdot \overline{Q_1} d\sigma \\
&= -\alpha \int_{\Gamma} (\nu \times \overline{Q_1}) \cdot Q_2 d\sigma \\
&= -|\alpha|^2 \int_{\Gamma} (\nu \times \overline{Q_2}) \cdot Q_2 d\sigma \\
&= -|\alpha|^2 \int_{\Gamma} (\overline{Q_2} \times Q_2) \cdot \nu d\sigma \\
&= -|\alpha|^2 \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(\overline{Q_2} \times Q_2) dV \\
&= -|\alpha|^2 \int_{\Omega} Q_2 \cdot \operatorname{curl} \overline{Q_2} - \overline{Q_2} \cdot \operatorname{curl} Q_2 dV \\
&= -2i|\alpha|^2 \operatorname{Im} \gamma_R \int_{\Omega} |Q_2|^2 dV
\end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\operatorname{Im} \gamma_L \int_{\Omega} |Q_1|^2 dV + |\alpha|^2 \operatorname{Im} \gamma_R \int_{\Omega} |Q_2|^2 dV = 0,$$

$Q_1 = Q_2 = 0$  in  $\Omega$ . In particular,

$$(5.6) \quad \nu \times Q_1 = -\gamma_L M_{\gamma_L} a + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_L a - N_{\gamma_L} a = 0$$

Add this with (5.4) we have  $\gamma_L a = 0$  which implies  $a = 0$ , as required.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. Coifman, A. McIntosh, and Y. Meyer, “L’intégrale de Cauchy définit un opérateur borné sur  $L^2$  pour les courbes Lipschitziennes,” *Ann. Math.*, vol. 116, no. 2, pp. 361–387, 1982.

- [2] D. Mitrea, M. Mitrea, and J. Pipher, “Vector potential theory on non-smooth domains in  $R^3$  and applications to electromagnetic scattering,” *Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 131–192, 1997.
- [3] G. C. Verchota, *Layer Potentials and Boundary Value Problems for Laplace’s Equation on Lipschitz Domains*. PhD thesis, University of Minnesota, 1982.
- [4] G. C. Verchota, “Layer potentials and regularity for the Dirichlet problem for Laplace’s equation in Lipschitz domains,” *J. Funct. Anal.*, vol. 59, pp. 572–611, 1984.
- [5] D. Mitrea and M. Mitrea, “Finite energy solutions of Maxwell’s equations and constructive Hodge decompositions on nonsmooth Riemannian manifolds,” *J. Funct. Anal.*, vol. 190, pp. 339–417, 2002.
- [6] B. Jawerth and M. Mitrea, “Higher dimensional scattering theory on  $C^1$  and Lipschitz domains,” *Amer. J. of Math.*, vol. 117, pp. 929–963, 1995.
- [7] E. Fabes, M. Jodeit, and N. Rivière, “Potential techniques for boundary value problems on  $C^1$  domains,” *Acta. Math.*, vol. 141, pp. 165–186, 1978.
- [8] A. Buffa, “Hodge decompositions on the boundary of non-smooth domains: The multi-connected case,” *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, vol. 11, pp. 1491–1504, 2001.
- [9] A. Buffa and P. Ciarlet Jr., “On traces for functional spaces related to Maxwell’s equations: Part I. An integration by parts formula in Lipschitz polyhedra,” *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.*, vol. 24, pp. 9–30, 2001.
- [10] A. Buffa and P. Ciarlet Jr., “On traces for functional spaces related to Maxwell’s equations: Part II. Hodge decompositions on the boundary of Lipschitz polyhedra and applications,” *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.*, vol. 24, pp. 31–48, 2001.
- [11] A. Buffa, M. Costabel, and C. Schwab, “Boundary element methods for Maxwell’s equations on non-smooth domains,” *Numer. Math.*, vol. 92, pp. 679–710, 2002.
- [12] A. Buffa and G. Geymonat, “On traces for  $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  in Lipschitz domains,” *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I*, vol. 332, pp. 699–704, 2001.
- [13] M. Costabel, “A remark on the regularity of solutions of Maxwell’s equations on Lipschitz domains,” *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.*, vol. 12, pp. 365–368, 1990.
- [14] A. Buffa, M. Costabel, and D. Sheen, “On traces for  $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{curl}, \Omega)$  in Lipschitz domains,” *Numer. Math.*, vol. 92, pp. 679–710, 2002.
- [15] S. Heumann, *The Factorization Method for Inverse Scattering from Chiral Media*. PhD thesis, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, 2012.
- [16] E. Fabes, M. Sand, and J. Seo, “The spectral radius of the classical layer potentials on convex domains,” in *Partial Differential Equations with Minimal Smoothness and Applications*, vol. 42 of *IMA Vol. Math. Appl.*, pp. 129–137, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [17] L. Escauriaza, E. Fabes, and G. Verchota, “On a regularity theorem for weak solutions to transmission problems with internal Lipschitz boundaries,” *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 115, pp. 1069–1076, 1992.
- [18] M. Mitrea, “The method of layer potentials in electromagnetic scattering theory on nonsmooth domains,” *Duke Math. J.*, vol. 77, pp. 111–133, 1995.

- [19] C. Athanasiadis, P. A. Martin, and I. G. Stratis, “Electromagnetic scattering by a homogeneous chiral obstacle: boundary integral equations and low-chirality approximations,” *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, vol. 59, no. 5, pp. 1745–1762, 1999.
- [20] C. Athanasiadis, P. A. Martin, and I. G. Stratis, “Electromagnetic scattering by a homogeneous chiral obstacle: Scattering relations and the far-field operator,” *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.*, vol. 22, pp. 1175–1188, 1999.
- [21] C. Amrouche, C. Bernardi, M. Dauge, and V. Girault, “Vector potentials in three-dimensional non-smooth domains,” *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.*, vol. 21, pp. 823–864, 1998.
- [22] A. I. Nachman, L. Päiväranta, and A. Teirilä, “On imaging obstacles inside inhomogeneous media,” *J. Funct. Anal.*, vol. 250, pp. 490–516, 2007.
- [23] B. Barnes, “Majorization, range inclusion, and factorization for bounded linear operators,” *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 133, no. 1, pp. 155–162, 2004.
- [24] R. Bouldin, “A counterexample in the factorization of Banach space operators,” *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 68, no. 3, pp. 155–162, 1978.
- [25] R. Douglas, “On majorization factorization and range inclusion of operators on Hilbert space,” *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 17, pp. 413–415, 1966.
- [26] M. Embry, “Factorization of operators on Banach space,” *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 587–590, 1973.
- [27] D. Colton and R. Kress, *Inverse Acoustic and Electromagnetic Scattering Theory*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, second ed., 1998.
- [28] A. Kirsch and N. Grinberg, *The Factorization Method for Inverse Problems*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007.
- [29] O. D. Kellogg, *Foundations of Potential Theory*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1929.
- [30] K. Yosida, *Functional Analysis*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, sixth ed., 1980.
- [31] W. McLean, *Strongly Elliptic Systems and Boundary Integral Equations*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- [32] S. Mikhlin and S. Prössdorf, *Singular Integral Operators*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- [33] P. Monk, *Finite Element Methods for Maxwell’s Equations*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2003.
- [34] R. Potthast, *Point Sources and Multipoles in Inverse Scattering Theory*. Boca Raton: Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2001.
- [35] V. Girault and P.-A. Raviart, *Finite Element Methods for Navier-Stokes Equations: Theory and Algorithms*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- [36] F. Cakoni, D. Colton, and P. Monk, *The Linear Sampling Method in Inverse Electromagnetic Scattering*. Philadelphia: SIAM Publications, 2011.
- [37] J. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*. Hoboken, N.J.: John Wiley & Sohns, third ed., 1998.
- [38] J. V. Bladel, *Electromagnetic Fields*. Piscataway, N.J.: IEEE Press, second ed., 2007.
- [39] M. Cessenat, *Mathematical Methods in Electromagnetism: Linear Theory and Applications*. River Edge, N.J.: World Scientific, 1996.
- [40] J. C. Nédélec, *Acoustic and Electromagnetic Equations: Integral Representations for Harmonic Problems*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2001.
- [41] P. Grisvard, *Elliptic Problems in Nonsmooth Domains*. London: Pitman Publishing, 1985.

- [42] J. Nečas, *Direct Methods in the Theory of Elliptic Equations*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2012.
- [43] V. Maz'ya, *Sobolev Spaces*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, second ed., 2012.
- [44] H. Brezis, *Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2011.
- [45] R. A. Adams and J. F. Fournier, *Sobolev Spaces*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, second ed., 2003.
- [46] E. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1970.
- [47] E. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1971.
- [48] E. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1993.
- [49] G. Folland, *Introduction to Partial Differential Equations*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, second ed., 1995.
- [50] N. Lebedev, *Special Functions and their Applications*. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, 1965.
- [51] Y. Meyer, *Wavelets and Operators*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- [52] Y. Meyer and R. Coifman, *Wavelets: Calderón-Zygmund and Multilinear Operators*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [53] L. Grafakos, *Classical Fourier Analysis*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, second ed., 2008.
- [54] L. Grafakos, *Modern Fourier Analysis*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, second ed., 2009.
- [55] A. Torchinsky, *Real-Variable Methods in Harmonic Analysis*. Orlando: Academic Press, 1986.
- [56] M. Christ, *Lectures on Singular Integral Operators*. Providence, Rhode Island: American Mathematical Society, 1990.
- [57] J. Wloka, *Partial Differential Equations*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1987.