

P23.

a.

$$d_1 = \frac{L}{R_s} + d_{\text{prop}} + \frac{L}{R_c} + d_{\text{prop}}$$

$$d_2 = 2\frac{L}{R_s} + d_{\text{prop}} + \frac{L}{R_c} + d_{\text{prop}}$$

$$\text{inter-arrival time} = d_2 - d_1 = \frac{L}{R_s}$$

b.

It's possible. Because when the last bit of first packet finishes the transmission of the second link, we have

$$d = \frac{L}{R_s} + d_{\text{prop}} + \frac{L}{R_c}$$

Meanwhile, when the last bit of the second packet arrives the router, we have

$$d' = \frac{2L}{R_s} + d_{\text{prop}}$$

Given $R_c < R_s$, we have $d - d' > 0$, which means the second packet can't start transmitting because the first packet is still transmitting.

Thus, to ensure no queuing, $d' + T = d$

$$\Rightarrow T = d - d' = \frac{L}{R_c} - \frac{L}{R_s}$$

P31.

a. To the first packet switch,

$$d_{\text{first switch}} = \frac{10^6}{5 \times 10^6} = 0.2 \text{ s}$$

To the destination host,

$$d_{\text{e-to-e}} = 3 \cdot \frac{10^6}{5 \times 10^6} = 0.6 \text{ s}$$

b. First packet to the first switch,

$$d_{1\text{-to-1}} = \frac{10^4}{5 \times 10^6} = 2 \text{ ms}$$

Second packet to the first switch,

$$d_{2\text{-to-1}} = 2 \cdot \frac{10^4}{5 \times 10^6} = 4 \text{ ms}$$

c. Use segmentation.

$$\begin{aligned} d'_{\text{e-to-e}} &= 100 \cdot \frac{10^4}{5 \times 10^6} + \frac{10^4}{5 \times 10^6} + \frac{10^4}{5 \times 10^6} \\ &= 0.204 \text{ s} \end{aligned}$$

Find $d'_{\text{e-to-e}} < d_{\text{e-to-e}} = 0.6 \text{ s}$. So use message segmentation can reduce the transport time effectively because switches don't have to wait the huge file to arrive, then forward the message

P7.

Time to receive IP address ,

$$RTT_1 + \dots + RTT_n$$

Time to set up TCP link and receive the object

$$2RTT_0$$

$$\text{Total time} : 2RTT_0 + RTT_1 + \dots + RTT_n$$

P8.

a. every object need a TCP connection and transport time , that's $2RTT_0$

$$\text{Thus, total time} = 18RTT_0 + RTT_1 + \dots + RTT_n$$

b. Two times of parallel connections can transport all objects , each uses $2RTT_0$

$$\text{total time} = 6RTT_0 + RTT_1 + \dots + RTT_n$$

c. every object needs RTT_0 without pipelining,

$$\text{total time} = 10RTT_0 + RTT_1 + \dots + RTT_n$$

with pipelining,

$$\text{total time} = 3RTT_0 + RTT_1 + \dots + RTT_n$$

P10.

$$d_{\text{prop}} = \frac{10}{3 \times 10^8} = \frac{1}{3} \times 10^{-7} \text{ s}$$

Now, each object is wrapped into one packet, we have

Non-persistent HTTP with parallel:

$$\begin{aligned}d &= 3\left(\frac{200}{150} + d_{\text{prop}}\right) + \frac{10^5}{150} + d_{\text{prop}} + 3\left(\frac{200}{15} + d_{\text{prop}}\right) \\&\quad + \frac{10^5}{15} + d_{\text{prop}} \\&= 44 + \frac{22000}{3} + 8d_{\text{prop}} \\&\approx 7377.33 \text{ s}\end{aligned}$$

persistent HTTP:

$$\begin{aligned}d' &= 3\left(\frac{200}{150} + d_{\text{prop}}\right) + \frac{10^5}{150} + d_{\text{prop}} + 10\left(\frac{200}{150} + d_{\text{prop}} + \frac{10^5}{150} + d_{\text{prop}}\right) \\&= 4 + \frac{40}{3} + \frac{22000}{3} + 24d_{\text{prop}} \\&\approx 7350.67 \text{ s}\end{aligned}$$

Because $d' \approx d$, so persistent HTTP doesn't have significant gains over non-persistent HTTP.

Note that d_{prop} is negligible, so this is also true for persistent HTTP with pipelining.

P27.

a.

$$d_{\text{pack}} = \frac{8L}{128 \times 10^3} = \frac{L}{16 \times 10^3} = \frac{L}{16} \text{ ms}$$

b.

$$d_{\text{pack}_1} = \frac{1500}{16} = 93.75 \text{ ms} > 70 \text{ ms}$$

$$d_{\text{pack}_2} = \frac{50}{16} = 3.125 \text{ ms} < 70 \text{ ms}$$

c.

$$d_{\text{trans}_1} = \frac{L+40}{R} = \frac{8 \times 1500 + 40}{622 \times 10^6} = 19.36 \mu\text{s}$$

$$d_{\text{trans}_2} = \frac{L+40}{R} = \frac{8 \times 50 + 40}{622 \times 10^6} = 0.71 \mu\text{s}$$

d.

Smaller packet size has less store-and-forward delay and will not cause unpleasant echo.