Predicting Adolescents' Future Smoking Behavior through Anti-Smoking Ad Exposure: A Structural Equation Modeling Approach Based on the Theory of Planned Behavior



by

Myesha Choudhury Iqbal Master of Public Health Candidate – Biostatistics Georgia State University | Summer 2025

Committee Chair:

Dr. Scott R. Weaver

Research Professor, School of Public

Health.

Georgia State University.

Committee Members:

Dr. Alexander Kirpich Boshi Wang

Assistant professor, School of Educational Policy Studies Ph.D.

Public Health. Candidate, College of Education &

Georgia State University. Human Development.

Georgia State University.

Source: Freepik. Say "No" to Smoking Today - World No Tobacco Day. Freepik. https://www.freepik.com



Introduction & Rationale

THE PROBLEM

- Over 2.22 million High school and Middle school students are current smokers.
- Adolescents = key demographic (initiation < age 18).
- > Smoking = leading cause of preventable death (490,000+ deaths/year in U.S. from tobacco-related illnesses)

INDUSTRY INFLUENCE

- > \$8.6 B spent/ year on tobacco marketing.
- Media glamorization normalizes smoking.

KNOWLEDGE GAP

Do anti-smoking advertisements influence adolescents' perceptions or behaviors?

PREVENTION STRATEGY

- > Anti-smoking ads aim to:
- ✓ Raise risk perception.
- ✓ Strengthen peer disapproval.
- ✓ Empower refusal confidence.

Research Objective & Hypotheses

Objective

• Examine how anti-smoking ads and constructs within TPB theory predict smoking intentions and behavior

* Hypothesis

- H₀: Anti-smoking advertisements have no direct or indirect correlation on adolescents' intentions to use tobacco, nor do they significantly influence attitudes, perceived social norms, or perceived behavioral control in predicting current smoking behavior.
- H₁: Anti-smoking advertisements have a direct and indirect correlation on adolescents' intentions to use tobacco. These advertisements shape key psycho-cognitive factors—such as attitudes, perceived social norms, and perceived behavioral control—which, in turn, influence future smoking intentions and affect current smoking behavior.

Data & Methods

• Data Source


- Dataset: Monitoring the Future (MTF), 2023
- Design: Cross-sectional, nationally representative
- Sample: $N \approx 14,000$ adolescents (8th & 10th grade)
- Selection: 3-stage stratified sampling
- Ethics: No identifiable info on respondents.

Variables & Constructs

- Latent Constructs (via CFA):
- ∘ Attitudes (ATT) 3 items
- ∘ Injunctive Norms (INJ) 2 items
- ∘ Descriptive Norms (DES) 2 items
- ∘ Perceived Behavioral Control (PBC) 1 item

Observed Variables

- Intention (INT) Future smoking plan
- Behavior (SMK) Past 30-day smoking
- Anti-Smoking Ad Exposure (ADS) Binary
- o Controls: Gender, Race, Grade, Parental Presence



Source: Choropleth map of the contiguous United States. Image generated by OpenAI's DALL·E. July 2025.



Measurement Model: Constructs and Indicators


/	Construct	Indicators	Survey Question (Paraphrased)	Scale
/	Attitudes	att1, att2, att3	Perceived risk from using tobacco	1 = No risk → 4 = Great risk
/	Injunctive Norms	inj1, inj2	Friends' disapproval of smoking	1 = Not disapprove → 3 = Strongly disapprove
/	Descriptive Norms	des1, des2	Friends' tobacco usage	1=All → 5=None
/	Perceived Control	pbc	Ability/confidence to avoid smoking	1 = Not at all → 4 = Very
/	Intention	int	Intention of smoking in the next five years	1 = Definitely not → 4 = Definitely yes
	Behavior	smk	Current smoking behavior	0 = No / 1 = Yes
	Ad Exposure	ads	Exposure to anti-smoking ads	0 = No / 1 = Yes

Note: All indicators are ordinal categorical variables from a nationally representative questionnaire.




Conceptual Model

Theoretical Framework: Theory of Planned Behavior with Ads & Demographics




Hypothesized Model



Latent & Observed Constructs with Demographics Visualizer

Interact Live with Shiny App for Data Visualization: mye-chow.shinyapps.io/rstudio



Purpose of the Interactive Tool:

The Shiny App enables real-time exploration of the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) framework, allowing users to visualize the impact of anti-smoking ad exposure and psycho-cognitive predictors across demographic subpopulations.

What the Visualization Shows:


Each TPB construct is displayed through its observed indicators, stratified by demographic groups such as gender, grade, race, and parental presence. Users can interactively select constructs and compare response distributions across groups.

Note: Figures showing some examples of the plots created using the R Shiny App.



Measurement Validity: CFA

- CFI \neq 0.978 | TLI \neq 0.959
- RMSEA = 0.047 | SRMR = 0.084
- Result: Excellent model fit using WLSMV estimation.



Note: Figure created with Jupyter Notebook using Python script



Factor Analysis of Latent Constructs

- Purpose
 - Validate the latent constructs (Attitudes, Injunctive Norms, Descriptive Norms) using CFA prior to SEM path analysis.
 - Assess how well observed indicators reflect their theoretical factors under the TPB framework.
- Key Findings
 - Strong Internal Consistency:
 - \Box Attitudes (ATT): CR = 0.93, AVE = 0.82
 - \Box Injunctive Norms (INJ): CR = 0.94, AVE = 0.59
 - \square Descriptive Norms (DES): CR = 0.89, AVE = 0.53.
- Interpretation
 - Latent constructs are psychometrically strong and have great internal alignment.



SEM Path Analysis

• INT strongest predictor: PBC ($\beta = 0.817$)

• INT \rightarrow SMK: $\beta = -1.340$

• ADS \rightarrow INT: Unexpected negative $\beta = -0.283$

	INT				SMK		ADS				
	β	S.E.	p-value	β	S.E.	p-value	β	S.E.	p-value		
PBC	0.817	0.013	< .05	0.556	0.074	< .05	-	-	-		
ATT	0.193	0.021	< .05	-	-	-	0.294	0.022	< .05		
INJ	0.425	0.025	< .05	-	-	-	0.356	0.025	< .05		
DES	0.368	0.024	< .05	-	-	-	0.170	0.029	< .05		
SMK	-1.340	0.073	< .05	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ADS	-0.283	0.038	< .05	-	-	-	-	-	-		



Demographic Insights

- Males have lower ATT, INT, but higher PBC and DES than females.
- Parental presence: higher ATT, DES, and ADS.
- 10th Grade: higher ATT, INT, SMK.
- 8th Grade: lower DES and PBC.


			ATT			INJ			DES			INT	7 7		PBC			ADS			SMK	
		β	S.E.	p-value																		
	MALE	-0.045	0.012	< .05	-0.018	0.019	0.330	0.065	0.016	< .05	-0.053	0.025	0.035	0.073	0.027	0.007	-0.030	0.022	0.163	-0.017	0.037	0.647
	HISP	-0.040	0.012	0.001	-0.039	0.019	0.045	0.024	0.016	0.126	-0.049	0.025	0.046	-0.004	0.027	0.897	-0.060	0.022	0.005	-0.186	0.041	< .05
I	BLACK	-0.059	0.012	< .05	0.048	0.019	0.013	0.095	0.017	< .05	-0.105	0.028	< .05	0.148	0.031	< .05	-0.071	0.021	0.001	-0.052	0.042	0.209
	GRADE	0.129	0.012	< .05	-0.028	0.019	0.142	-0.115	0.016	< .05	0.115	0.026	< .05	-0.066	0.027	0.016	-0.004	0.022	0.866	0.200	0.039	< .05
	PLH	0.033	0.012	0.004	0.025	0.020	0.195	0.035	0.016	0.026	0.003	0.026	0.903	0.012	0.028	0.678	0.074	0.021	< .05	-0.040	0.036	0.269




Discussion

- SEM results rejected the null hypothesis (H₀) and statistically confirmed that anti-smoking ads play a significant role in shaping adolescents' smoking-related beliefs and intentions.
- Strategic targeting and early intervention are essential because adolescents are the future adults of tomorrow.
- Anti-smoking ads are insufficient if not paired with adolescents perceived attitudes, norms, and behavior control to predict future smoking behavior.
- Adolescents' sense of perceived behavior control truly protects them against future smoking, more than anti-smoking ads and peer disapproval.

Strength of the Research





Affordable and Scalable Prevention Strategies

Why this model is cost-effective:

- Leverages Public Data
- Low-Cost Messaging Channels
- Peer-Centric Approaches
- Community Engagement

Limitations of the Research

Cross-sectional Design	Not able to predict actual future behavior or infer causality due to lack of longitudinal data for the respondents' intentions.
Modest Intervention Impact	Anti-smoking ad exposure had a minimal but negative influence on future smoking avoidance intentions.
Measurement Simplifications	Some constructs (e.g., Descriptive Norms) showed weaker reliability and factor loadings.
Possible Social Desirability Bias	Self-reported behaviors and intentions may reflect socially desirable responses rather than actual attitudes or behaviors.
Low Smoking Prevalence	Only ~1% reported recent smoking, possibly limiting the variability needed for stronger behavioral inference.

Strategic Implications for Adolescent Smoking Prevention

Integrate	Integrate TPB-based predictors into youth risk screening tools to identify high-risk adolescents early.
Design	Design peer-led interventions that enhance injunctive norms and perceived behavioral control
Use	Use SEM model based on TPB in public health planning to target intervention pathways with the highest predictive power.
Shift	Shift from media-centric messaging to strategies emphasizing family influence and peer disapproval.

Acknowledgments

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the individuals whose support and guidance were instrumental in the completion of this thesis:

- **Dr. Weaver** For your unwavering mentorship and guidance throughout the development of this SEM-based project. Your leadership helped shape both the research and my academic growth.
- **Boshi Wang** Your insightful feedback greatly enhanced the statistical rigor of this study. Thank you for helping me refine the model with clarity and precision.
- **Dr. Kirpich** I am especially thankful for your inspiration and encouragement, which motivated me to pursue Biostatistics as my academic path.
- My family For your constant support, love, and belief in me every step of the way. Your presence has been my foundation.

Questions

Thank you for your attention. Please feel free to ask any questions or share feedback.