

Solution to Exercises

Chapter 6 Introduction to Statistical Inference

Section 6.1 Point Estimation

6.1. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n represent a random sample from each of the distributions having the following probability density functions:

(a) $f(x, \theta) = \theta^x e^{-\theta} / x!$, $x = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $0 \leq \theta < \infty$, zero elsewhere, where $f(0,0) = 1$.

(b) $f(x, \theta) = \theta x^{\theta-1}$, $0 < x < 1$, $0 < \theta < \infty$, zero elsewhere.

(c) $f(x, \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-x/\theta}$, $0 < x < \infty$, $0 < \theta < \infty$, zero elsewhere.

In each case find the m. l. e. $\hat{\theta}$ of θ .

Solution

(a) The likelihood function of the sample is

$$L(x; \theta) = \theta^{\sum x_i} e^{-n\theta} / (x_1! x_2! \cdots x_n!)$$

Here

$$\ln L(x; \theta) = \sum x_i \ln \theta - n\theta - \sum \ln x_i!$$

So we have

$$\frac{d \ln L(\theta)}{d\theta} = \frac{\sum x_i}{\theta} - n = 0.$$

whose solution for θ is \bar{x} which is the desired m. l. e. of the unknown parameter θ .

(b) The likelihood function of the sample is

$$L(x; \theta) = \theta^n (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n)^{\theta-1}$$

Here

$$\ln L(x; \theta) = n \ln \theta + (\theta - 1)(\sum \ln x_i)$$

So we have

$$\frac{d \ln L(\theta)}{d\theta} = \frac{n}{\theta} + \sum \ln x_i = 0.$$

whose solution for θ is $-n / \sum \ln x_i$ which is the desired m. l. e. of the unknown parameter θ .

(c) The likelihood function of the sample is

$$L(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} e^{-\sum x_i / \theta}$$

Here

$$\ln L(x; \theta) = -n \ln \theta - \sum x_i / \theta$$

So we have

$$\frac{d \ln L(\theta)}{d\theta} = -\frac{n}{\theta} + \sum x_i / \theta^2 = 0.$$

whose solution for θ is \bar{x} which is the desired m. l. e. of the unknown parameter θ .

6.2. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be i. i. d., each with the distribution having p. d. f.

$f(x; \theta_1, \theta_2) = (1/\theta_2) e^{-(x-\theta_1)/\theta_2}$, $\theta_1 \leq x < \infty$, $-\infty < \theta_1 < \infty$, $0 < \theta_2 < \infty$, zero elsewhere. Find the m. l. e. of θ_1 and θ_2 .

Solution

Given θ_2 , it is easily verify that the first order statistic can maximize the likelihood function, so the m. l. e. of θ_1 is the first order statistic Y_1 .

The likelihood function of the sample is

$$L(x; \theta_1, \theta_2) = (1/\theta_2^n) e^{-\sum(x_i - \theta_1)/\theta_2}, \theta_1 \leq x < \infty, -\infty < \theta_1 < \infty, 0 < \theta_2 < \infty.$$

$$\ln L(x; \theta_1, \theta_2) = -n \ln \theta_2 - \sum(x_i - \theta_1)/\theta_2$$

We observe that we may maximize by differentiation. We have

$$\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \theta_2} = -\frac{n}{\theta_2} + \frac{\sum(x_i - \theta_1)}{\theta_2^2} = 0$$

whose solution is $\sum(X_i - Y_1)/n$ which is the m. l. e. of the unknown parameter θ_2 .

6.3. Let $Y_1 < Y_2 < \dots < Y_n$ be the order statistics of a random sample from a distribution with p. d. f.

$f(x; \theta) = 1, \theta - \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq \theta + \frac{1}{2}, -\infty < \theta < \infty$, zero elsewhere. Show that every statistic $u(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ such that

$$Y_n - \frac{1}{2} \leq u(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \leq Y_n + \frac{1}{2}$$

is a m. l. e. of θ . In particular, $(4Y_1 + 2Y_n + 1)/6$, $(Y_1 + Y_n)/2$ and $(2Y_1 + 4Y_n - 1)/6$ are three such statistics. Thus the uniqueness is not in general a property of a m. l. e.

Solution

According to the definition of the order statistic, we have

$$\theta - \frac{1}{2} \leq Y_1 < X_2 < \dots < Y_n \leq \theta + \frac{1}{2}.$$

From the inequality, we obtain

$$Y_n - \frac{1}{2} \leq \theta \leq Y_1 + \frac{1}{2}$$

which means that any statistic $u(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ such that

$$Y_n - \frac{1}{2} \leq u(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \leq Y_1 + \frac{1}{2}$$

is a m. l. e. of θ . Particularly, the statistics $Y_n - \frac{1}{2}$ and $Y_1 + \frac{1}{2}$ are both m. l. e. of θ . Furthermore, any weighty average of the two statistics is m. l. e.

Since the statistics can be formulated as

$$(4Y_1 + 2Y_n + 1)/6 = \frac{2}{6}(Y_n - \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{4}{6}(Y_1 + \frac{1}{2}),$$

$$(Y_1 + Y_n)/2 = \frac{1}{2}(Y_n - \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{2}(Y_1 + \frac{1}{2}),$$

$$(2Y_1 + 4Y_n - 1)/6 = \frac{4}{6}(Y_n - \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{2}{6}(Y_1 + \frac{1}{2}).$$

So $(4Y_1 + 2Y_n + 1)/6$, $(Y_1 + Y_n)/2$ and $(2Y_1 + 4Y_n - 1)/6$ are three m. l. e. of θ .

6.4. Let X_1, X_2 and X_3 have the multinomial distribution in which $n = 25, k = 4$, and the unknown probabilities are θ_1, θ_2 and θ_3 , respectively. Here we can, for convenience, let $X_4 = 25 - X_1 - X_2 - X_3$ and $\theta_4 = 1 - \theta_1 - \theta_2 - \theta_3$. If the observed values of the random variables are $x_1 = 4, x_2 = 11$, and $x_3 = 7$, find the m. l. e. of θ_1, θ_2 and θ_3 .

Solution

It is easily to understand that

$$X_i \sim b(25, \theta_i), i = 1, 2, 3$$

So the m. l. e. of the unknown parameters is $\bar{X}_1, \bar{X}_2, \bar{X}_3$, respectively.

Thus the m. l. e. of θ_1, θ_2 and θ_3 is $\frac{4}{25}, \frac{11}{25}, \frac{7}{25}$, respectively.

6.5. The Pareto distribution is frequently used as a model in study of incomes and has the distribution function

$$F(x; \theta_1, \theta_2) = 1 - (\theta_1 / x)^{\theta_2}, \quad \theta_1 \leq x, \text{ zero elsewhere,} \\ \text{where } \theta_1 > 0 \quad \text{and } \theta_2 > 0$$

If X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is a random sample from this distribution, find the m. l. e. of θ_1 and θ_2 .

Solution

The p. d. f. of the population is

$$f(x; \theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{\theta_2 \theta_1^{\theta_2}}{x^{\theta_2+1}}, \quad \theta_1 \leq x.$$

Obviously, the m. l. e. of θ_1 is the first order statistic Y_1 .

The likelihood function of the sample is

$$L(x; \theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{\theta_2^n \theta_1^{n\theta_2}}{x_1^{\theta_2+1} x_2^{\theta_2+1} \dots x_n^{\theta_2+1}}, \quad \frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \theta_2} = \frac{n}{\theta_2} + n \ln \theta_1 - \sum \ln x_i = 0$$

Thus we obtain the m. l. e. of θ_2

$$\hat{\theta}_2 = \frac{n}{\sum \ln X_i - n \ln Y_1}.$$

6.6. Let Y_n be a statistic such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(Y_n) = \theta$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{Y_n}^2 = 0$. Prove that Y_n is consistent estimator of θ .

Proof

Since

$$E[(Y_n - \theta)^2] = E[(Y_n - E(Y_n) + E(Y_n) - \theta)^2] = \sigma_{Y_n}^2 + [E(Y_n - \theta)]^2,$$

So, in accordance with Chebyshev's inequality, we have

$$\Pr(|Y_n - \theta| \geq \varepsilon) \leq \frac{E[(Y_n - \theta)^2]}{\varepsilon^2} = \frac{\sigma_{Y_n}^2 + [E(Y_n - \theta)]^2}{\varepsilon^2} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

Thus according to the definition of consistent estimator, we complete the proof.

6.7. For each of the distributions in Exercise 6.1, find an estimator of θ by the method of moments and show that it is consistent.

Solution

(1) It is obvious that the population is Poisson distribution with parameter θ .

So $E(X) = \theta$. Let $\theta = \bar{X}$. We get the estimator of θ by the method of moments is the sample mean \bar{X} .

$E(\bar{X}) = \theta, V(\bar{X}) = \frac{\theta}{n}$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\Pr(|\bar{X} - \theta| \geq \varepsilon) \leq \frac{V(\bar{X})}{\varepsilon^2} = \frac{\theta}{n\varepsilon^2} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Thus the sample mean \bar{X} is a consistent estimator of the population mean θ .

(2) The population mean is

$$E(X) = \int_0^1 \theta x^\theta dx = \frac{\theta}{\theta+1}. \quad \text{In accordance with the idea of method of moments, let}$$

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta+1} = \bar{X}$$

We have $\hat{\theta} = \frac{\bar{X}}{1-\bar{X}}$ which is the moment estimator of θ .

(3) In fact, the population is Gamma distribution with parameters 1 and θ . So $E(X) = \theta$.

Thus the estimator of θ by method of moments is the sample mean \bar{X} . It is easily to verify that the sample \bar{X} converges in probability to the population mean θ , so \bar{X} is a consistent estimator of θ .

6.8. If a random sample of size n is taken from a distribution having p. d. f. $f(x; \theta) = 2x/\theta^2, 0 < x \leq \theta$, zero elsewhere, find

(a) The m. l. e. $\hat{\theta}$ for θ .

(b) The constant c so that $E(c\hat{\theta}) = \theta$.

(c) The m. l. e. for the median of the distribution.

Solution

(a) The likelihood function of the sample is

$$L(x; \theta) = 2^n x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n / \theta^{2n}.$$

It is obvious that the n th order statistic Y_n can maximize the likelihood function, so the m. l. e. $\hat{\theta}$ for θ is the n th order statistic Y_n .

b) (b) since the p. d. f. of Y_n is $f(y_n) = 2ny_n^{2n-1} / \theta^{2n}, 0 < y_n \leq \theta$, thus

$$E(Y_n) = \frac{2n}{2n+1} \theta$$

$$\text{So } c = \frac{2n+1}{2n}.$$

(c) Since $\frac{1}{2} = \int_0^m 2x/\theta^2 dx = m^2/\theta^2$, $m = \theta/\sqrt{2}$, In accordance with the invariant property of m. l. e. we have

$$\hat{m} = Y_n / \sqrt{2}.$$

6.9. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be i. i. d., each with a distribution with p. d. f. $f(x; \theta) = (1/\theta)e^{-x/\theta}, 0 < x < \infty$, zero elsewhere. Find the m. l. e. of $\Pr(X \leq 2)$.

Solution

It is not difficult to find that the m. l. e. of θ is the sample mean \bar{X} .

$$\Pr(X \leq 2) = \int_0^2 (1/\theta)e^{-x/\theta} dx = 1 - e^{-2/\theta}.$$

In accordance with the invariance property of m. l. e., the m. l. e. of $\Pr(X \leq 2)$ is $1 - e^{-2/\bar{X}}$.

6.10. Let X have a binomial distribution with parameters n and p . The variance of X/n is $p(1-p)/n$; This is sometimes estimated by the m. l. e. $\frac{X}{n}(1-\frac{X}{n})/n$. Is this an unbiased estimator of $p(1-p)/n$? If not, can you construct one by multiplying this one by a constant?

Solution

Since

$$E[\frac{X}{n}(1-\frac{X}{n})/n] = E(X/n)/n - E(X^2)/n^3 = p/n - \{V(X) + [E(X)]^2\}/n^3 = p/n - p^2/n - pq/n^2 = \frac{(n-1)pq}{n^2}.$$

So $\frac{X}{n}(1-\frac{X}{n})/n$ multiplied by $n/(n-1)$ becomes an unbiased estimator of $p(1-p)/n$.

6.11. Let the table

x	0	1	2	3	4	5
Frequency	6	10	14	13	6	1

represent a summary of a sample of size 50 from a binomial distribution having $n=5$. Find the m. l. e. of $\Pr(X \geq 3)$.

Solution

Since the population $X \sim b(n, \theta)$. So the m. l. e. of $\Pr(X \geq 3)$ is $(13+6+1)/50=2/5$.

6.12. Let $Y_1 < Y_2 < \dots < Y_n$ be the order statistics of a random sample of size n from the uniform distribution of the continuous type over the closed interval $[\theta - p, \theta + p]$. Find the maximum likelihood estimators of θ and p . Are these two unbiased estimators?

Solution

Since

$$\theta - p \leq Y_1 < Y_2 < \dots < Y_n \leq \theta + p$$

The p. d. f. of the distribution is

$$f(x; \theta, p) = \frac{1}{2p}, \theta - p \leq x \leq \theta + p,$$

it is obvious that $f(x; \theta, p)$ is a decreasing function of the parameter p , however,

$$Y_n - Y_1 \leq 2p,$$

so the m. l. e. of p is $(Y_n - Y_1)/2$.

On the other hand,

$$Y_n - p \leq \theta \leq Y_1 + p$$

Obviously, the weighty average $\frac{1}{2}(Y_n - \hat{p}) + \frac{1}{2}(Y_1 + \hat{p}) = (Y_n + Y_1)/2$ of $Y_n - \hat{p}$ and $Y_1 + \hat{p}$ is a m. l. e. of the parameter θ .

It is not difficult to compute the following

$$E[(Y_n + Y_1)/2] = \theta, E[(Y_n - Y_1)/2] = p(n-1)/(n+1).$$

So $(Y_n + Y_1)/2$ is an unbiased estimator of θ while $(Y_n - Y_1)/2$ is not.

Section 6.2 Confidence Intervals for Means

6.14. Let the observed value of the mean \bar{X} of a random sample of size 20 from a distribution that is $N(\mu, 80)$ be 81.2. Find a 95 percent confidence interval for μ .

Solution

Since $\bar{X} \sim N(\mu, 4)$, so $\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{2} \sim N(0, 1)$. And the 97.5 percent quantile of the distribution from Table III in Appendix B is 1.96. Thus we have

$$\Pr(-1.96 \leq \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{2} \leq 1.96) = \Pr(\bar{X} - 3.92 \leq \mu \leq \bar{X} + 3.92) = 0.95.$$

If the observed value of the mean \bar{X} is 81.2, then a 95 percent confidence interval for μ is

$$(81.2 - 3.92, 81.2 + 3.92) = (77.28, 85.12).$$

6.15. Let \bar{X} be the mean of a random sample of size n from a distribution that is $N(\mu, 9)$. Find n such that

$$\Pr(\bar{X} - 1 < \mu < \bar{X} + 1) = 0.90, \text{ approximately.}$$

Solution

Since $\bar{X} \sim N(\mu, 9/n)$, we have

$$\Pr(\bar{X} - 1 < \mu < \bar{X} + 1) = \Pr(-1 < \bar{X} - \mu < 1) = \Pr\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{9/n}} < \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sqrt{9/n}} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{9/n}}\right) = 0.90.$$

From Table III in Appendix B, we have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{9/n}} = 1.645,$$

Approximately, we obtain $n = 24$ or $n = 25$.

6.16. Let a random sample of size 17 from the normal distribution $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ yield $\bar{x} = 4.7$ and $s^2 = 5.76$.

Determine a 90 percent confidence interval for μ .

Solution

Since $\frac{\sqrt{n-1}(\bar{X} - \mu)}{S} \sim t(n-1)$, we get the 95 percent quantile from Table IV in Appendix A being 1.746.

The events

$$-1.746 < \frac{\sqrt{n-1}(\bar{X} - \mu)}{S} < 1.746$$

and

$$\bar{X} - 1.746S / \sqrt{n-1} < \mu < \bar{X} + 1.746S / \sqrt{n-1}$$

are equivalent to each other.

So if $\bar{x} = 4.7$ and $s^2 = 5.76$, a 90 percent confidence interval for μ is

$$(\bar{x} - 1.746s / \sqrt{17-1}, \bar{x} + 1.746s / \sqrt{17-1}) = (3.6524, 5.7476).$$

6.17. Let \bar{X} denote the mean of a random sample of size n from a distribution that has mean μ and variance $\sigma^2 = 10$. Find n so that the probability is approximately 0.954 that the random interval $(\bar{X} - \frac{1}{2}, \bar{X} + \frac{1}{2})$ includes μ .

Solution

In accordance with the central limit theorem, approximately, \bar{X} is normally distributed with mean μ and variance $10/n$.

So approximately,

$$\Pr(\bar{X} - \frac{1}{2} < \mu < \bar{X} + \frac{1}{2}) = \Pr(-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{10/n}} < \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sqrt{10/n}} < \frac{1}{2\sqrt{10/n}}) = 0.954.$$

Let $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{10/n}} = 2$, we obtain $n = 160$.

6.18. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample of size 9 from a distribution that is $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$.

(a) If σ is known, find the length of a 95 percent confidence interval for μ if this interval is based on the random variable $\sqrt{9}(\bar{X} - \mu) / \sigma$.

(b) If σ is unknown, find the expected value of the length of a 95 percent confidence interval for μ if this interval is based on the random variable $\sqrt{8}(\bar{X} - \mu) / S$.

Solution

(a) If σ is known, the statistic $\sqrt{9}(\bar{X} - \mu) / \sigma \sim N(0,1)$, so we have

$$\Pr(-1.96 < \sqrt{9}(\bar{X} - \mu) / \sigma < 1.96) = \Pr(\bar{X} - 1.96\sigma / \sqrt{9} < \mu < \bar{X} + 1.96\sigma / \sqrt{9}) = 0.95.$$

The length of the interval is

$$(\bar{X} + 1.96\sigma / \sqrt{9}) - (\bar{X} - 1.96\sigma / \sqrt{9}) = 2 \times 1.96\sigma / 3 = 1.3\sigma.$$

(b) If σ is unknown, the statistic $\sqrt{8}(\bar{X} - \mu) / S \sim t(8)$, so we have

$$\Pr(-2.306 < \sqrt{8}(\bar{X} - \mu) / S < 2.306) = \Pr(\bar{X} - 2.306S / \sqrt{8} < \mu < \bar{X} + 2.306S / \sqrt{8}) = 0.95.$$

The length of the interval is

$$(\bar{X} + 2.306S / \sqrt{8}) - (\bar{X} - 2.306S / \sqrt{8}) = 2 \times 2.306S / \sqrt{8} = 1.6S.$$

6.19. Let $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, X_{n+1}$ be a random sample of size $n+1, n > 1$, from a distribution that is $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$.

Let $\bar{X} = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ and $S^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 / n$. Find the constant c so that the statistic $c(\bar{X} - X_{n+1}) / S$ has a

t -distribution. If $n = 8$, determine k such that $\Pr(\bar{X} - kS < X_9 < \bar{X} + kS) = 0.80$. The observed interval $(\bar{x} - ks, \bar{x} + ks)$ is often called an 80 percent *prediction interval* for X_9 .

Solution

Since $\bar{X} \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2 / n)$, $nS^2 / \sigma^2 \sim \chi^2(n)$, so $\bar{X} - X_{n+1} \sim N(0, \frac{n+1}{n} \sigma^2)$, $\frac{\bar{X} - X_{n+1}}{S\sqrt{n+1}} \sim t(n-1)$,

So $c = 1/\sqrt{n+1}$.

If $n = 8$, $\Pr(\bar{X} - 1.415 \times 3S < X_9 < \bar{X} + 1.415 \times 3S) = 0.80$, thus

$$k = 1.415 \times 3 = 4.248.$$

6.20. Let Y be $b(300, p)$. If the observed value of Y is $y = 75$, find an approximate 90 percent confidence interval for p .

Solution

In accordance with the central limit theorem, we approximately have

$$\frac{Y - np}{\sqrt{n(Y/n)(1 - Y/n)}} \sim N(0,1).$$

Thus approximately,

$$\Pr(-1.645 < \frac{Y - np}{\sqrt{n(Y/n)(1 - Y/n)}} < 1.645) = \Pr(\frac{Y - 1.645\sqrt{n(Y/n)(1 - Y/n)}}{n} < p < \frac{Y + 1.645\sqrt{n(Y/n)(1 - Y/n)}}{n}) = 0.9$$

If the observed value of Y is $y = 75$, an approximate 90 percent confidence interval for p is

$$(0.2088, 0.2911).$$

6.24. Let \bar{x} be the observed mean of a random sample of size n from a distribution having mean μ and known variance σ^2 . Find n so that $\bar{x} - \sigma/4$ to $\bar{x} + \sigma/4$ is an approximate 95 percent confidence interval for μ .

Solution

In accordance with the central limit theorem, we approximately have

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)}{\sigma} \sim N(0,1).$$

Thus approximately,

$$\Pr(-1.96 < \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)}{\sigma} < 1.96) = \Pr(\bar{X} - 1.96\sigma / \sqrt{n} < \mu < \bar{X} + 1.96\sigma / \sqrt{n}) = 0.95.$$

Let $1.96/\sqrt{n} = 1/4$, we have $n = 61$ or $n = 62$.

6.25. Assume a binomial model for a certain random variable. If we desire a 90 percent confidence interval for p that is at most 0.02 in length, find n .

Solution

In accordance with the central limit theorem, we approximately have

$$\frac{Y - np}{\sqrt{n(Y/n)(1 - Y/n)}} \sim N(0,1).$$

and

$$\sqrt{y/n(1 - y/n)} \leq \sqrt{1/2(1 - 1/2)} = 1/2.$$

According to the Exercise 6.20 in the preceding,

A 90 percent confidence interval for p in length is

$$\frac{2 \times 1.645 \sqrt{n(Y/n)(1-Y/n)}}{n} \leq \frac{1.645}{\sqrt{n}},$$

Let $\frac{1.645}{\sqrt{n}} \leq 0.02$, we obtain $n = 6766$.

6.26. It is known that a random variable X has a Poisson distribution with parameter μ . A sample of 200 observations from this population has a mean equal to 3.4. Compute an approximate 90 percent confidence interval for μ .

Solution

6.27. Let $Y_1 < Y_2 < \dots < Y_n$ denote the order statistics of a random sample of size n from a distribution that has p.d.f. $f(x) = 3x^2 / \theta^3, 0 < x < \theta$, zero elsewhere.

(a) Show that $\Pr(c < Y_n / \theta < 1) = 1 - c^{3n}$, where $0 < c < 1$.

(b) If n is 4 and if the observed value of Y_n is 2.3, what is a 95 percent confidence interval for θ ?

Solution

(a) The distribution function of the population is

$$F(x) = \int_0^x 3t^2 / \theta^3 dt = t^3 / \theta^3, 0 < x < \theta,$$

So the p.d.f. of the n th order statistic is

$$f(y) = n[F(y)]^{n-1} f(y) = \frac{3ny^{3n-1}}{\theta^{3n}}, 0 < y < \theta.$$

Thus

$$\Pr(c < Y_n / \theta < 1) = \Pr(\theta c < Y_n < \theta) = \int_{\theta c}^{\theta} \frac{3ny^{3n-1}}{\theta^{3n}} dy = 1 - c^{3n}.$$

(b) In accordance with the preceding discussion, let $1 - c^{12} = 0.95$, we have $c = \sqrt[12]{0.05}$, thus a 95 percent confidence interval for θ is

$$(y_4, y_4 / c) = (2.3, 2.3 / c).$$

6.28. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample from $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, where both parameters μ and σ^2 are unknown.

A confidence interval for σ^2 can be found as follows. We know that nS^2 / σ^2 is $\chi^2(n-1)$. Thus we can find

constants a and b so that $\Pr(nS^2 / \sigma^2 < b) = 0.975$ and $\Pr(a < nS^2 / \sigma^2 < b) = 0.95$.

(a) Show that this second probability statement can be written as $\Pr(nS^2 / b < \sigma^2 < nS^2 / a) = 0.95$.

(b) If $n = 9$ and $S^2 = 7.63$, find a 95 percent confidence interval for σ^2 .

(c) If μ is known, how would you modify the preceding procedure for finding a confidence interval for σ^2 ?

Solution

(a) Since the events

$$a < nS^2 / \sigma^2 < b \text{ and } nS^2 / b < \sigma^2 < nS^2 / a$$

are equivalent.

So we have

$$\Pr(a < nS^2 / \sigma^2 < b) = \Pr(nS^2 / b^2 < \sigma^2 < nS^2 / a^2) = 0.95 .$$

(b)) If $n=9$ and $S^2 = 7.63$, we can be get $a = 2.18, b = 17.5$ from the Table II in the Appendix A. Thus

According to the part (a), we have a 95 percent confidence interval for σ^2 is the interval (3.924,31.5) .

6.29. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a gamma distribution with known parameter $\alpha = 3$ and unknown $\beta > 0$. Discuss the construction of a confidence interval for β .

Solution

It is easy to verify the sample mean $\bar{X} \sim \Gamma(3n, \beta / n)$.

Section 6.3 Confidence Intervals for differences for Means

6.30. Let two independent random samples, each of size 10, from two normal distributions $N(\mu_1, \sigma^2)$ and $N(\mu_2, \sigma^2)$ yield $\bar{x} = 4.8, s_1^2 = 8.64, \bar{y} = 5.6, s_2^2 = 7.88$. Find a 95 confidence interval for $\mu_1 - \mu_2$.

Solution

From the Table IV in the Appendix A we get $b = 2.101$. And the observed value of R in the text of section 6.3 is $R = 1.355$. Thus a 95 confidence interval for $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ can be

$$(\bar{x} - \bar{y} - 2.101 \times 1.355, \bar{x} - \bar{y} + 2.101 \times 1.355) = (-3.646, 2.047).$$

6.31. Let two independent random variables Y_1 and Y_2 , with binomial distributions that have parameters $n_1 = n_2 = 100$, p_1 and p_2 , respectively, be observed to be equal to $y_1 = 50$ and $y_2 = 40$. Determine an approximate 90 percent confidence interval for $p_1 - p_2$.

Solution

In accordance with the central limiting theorem, we approximately have

$$\Pr\left(\frac{Y_1}{n_1} - \frac{Y_2}{n_2} - 1.645U < p_1 - p_2 < \frac{Y_1}{n_1} - \frac{Y_2}{n_2} + 1.645U\right) = 0.95.$$

From the given data, the observed value of the statistic U is

$$\sqrt{y_1/n_1(1-y_1/n_1)/n_1 + y_2/n_2(1-y_2/n_2)/n_2} = 0.07.$$

Thus an approximate 90 percent confidence interval for $p_1 - p_2$ is

$$(0.5 - 0.4 - 2u, 0.5 - 0.4 + 2u) = (-0.04, 0.24).$$

6.32. Discuss the problem of finding a confidence interval for the difference $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ between the two means of two normal distribution if the variances σ_1^2 and σ_2^2 are known but not necessarily equal.

Solution

If the variances σ_1^2 and σ_2^2 are known but not necessarily equal, the sampling theorem of the sample mean is

$$\bar{X} \sim N\left(\mu_1, \frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1}\right), \bar{Y} \sim N\left(\mu_2, \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}\right),$$

So we have

$$\bar{X} - \bar{Y} \sim N\left(\mu_1 - \mu_2, \frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}\right).$$

Thus for given confidence level α , we can obtain the number a from the Table III in the Appendix A such that

$$\Pr\left((\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) - b\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}} < \mu_1 - \mu_2 < (\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) + b\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}\right) = \alpha.$$

6.33. Discuss Exercise 6.32 when it is assumed that the variances are unknown and unequal. This is a very difficult problem, and the discussion should point out exactly where the difficulty lies. If, however, the variances are unknown but their ratio σ_1^2 / σ_2^2 is a known constant k , then a statistic that is a T random variable can again be used. Why?

Solution

According to the sampling theorem, we have

$$\frac{(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}} \sim N(0,1), \text{ and } \frac{n_1 S_1^2}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{n_2 S_2^2}{\sigma_2^2} \sim \chi^2(n_1 + n_2 - 2)$$

and these two are independent each other, furthermore $\sigma_1^2 / \sigma_2^2 = k$, that is $\sigma_1^2 = k\sigma_2^2$ thus we can construct the statistic

$$T = \frac{(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{n_1 S_1^2 + k n_2 S_2^2}} \sqrt{\frac{k n_1 n_2 (n_1 + n_2 - 2)}{n_1 + k n_2}} \sim t(n_1 + n_2 - 2).$$

Then we can apply the static T to obtain the confidence interval of $\mu_1 - \mu_2$.

6.34. As an illustration of Exercise 6.33, one can let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_9 and Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_{12} represent two independent random samples from the respective normal distributions $N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$ and $N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$. It is given that $\sigma_1^2 = 3\sigma_2^2$, but σ_2^2 is unknown. Define a random variable which has a t -distribution that can be used to find a 95 percent interval for $\mu_1 - \mu_2$.

Solution

Since

$$T = \frac{(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{n_1 S_1^2 + k n_2 S_2^2}} \sqrt{\frac{k n_1 n_2 (n_1 + n_2 - 2)}{n_1 + k n_2}} \sim t(n_1 + n_2 - 2).$$

From the Table IV in the Appendix A, $b = 2.093$.

Thus a 95 percent interval for $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ is

$$((\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) - 2.093 \sqrt{\frac{(n_1 S_1^2 k n_2 S_2^2)(n_1 + k n_2)}{k n_1 n_2 (n_1 + n_2 - 2)}}, (\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) + 2.093 \sqrt{\frac{(n_1 S_1^2 k n_2 S_2^2)(n_1 + k n_2)}{k n_1 n_2 (n_1 + n_2 - 2)}}).$$

6.35. Let \bar{X} and \bar{Y} be the means of two independent random samples, each of size n , from the respective distribution $N(\mu_1, \sigma^2)$ and $N(\mu_2, \sigma^2)$, where the common variance is known. Find n such that

$$\Pr(\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - \sigma/5 < \mu_1 - \mu_2 < \bar{X} - \bar{Y} + \sigma/5) = 0.90.$$

Solution

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr\left(\frac{(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sigma\sqrt{2/n}}\right) &= \Pr(\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - \sigma/5 < \mu_1 - \mu_2 < \bar{X} - \bar{Y} + \sigma/5) \\ &= \Pr(\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - 1.645\sigma\sqrt{2/n} < \mu_1 - \mu_2 < \bar{X} - \bar{Y} + 1.645\sigma\sqrt{2/n}) = 0.90. \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$1.645\sqrt{2/n} = 1/5,$$

Thus

$$n = 135 \text{ or } n = 136.$$

6.37. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n and Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m be two independent random samples from the respective normal distribution $N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$ and $N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$, where the four parameters are unknown. To construct a *confidence interval for the ratio*, σ_1^2 / σ_2^2 , of the variances, form the quotient of the two independent chi-square variables, each divided by its degrees of freedom, namely

$$F = \frac{\frac{mS_2^2}{\sigma_2^2} / (m-1)}{\frac{nS_1^2}{\sigma_1^2} / (n-1)},$$

where S_1^2 and S_2^2 are the respective sample variances.

(a) what kind of distribution does F have?

(b) From the appropriate table, a and b can be found so that

$$\Pr(F < b) = 0.975 \text{ and } \Pr(a < F < b) = 0.95.$$

(c) Rewrite the second probability statement as

$$\Pr\left(a \frac{nS_1^2 / (n-1)}{mS_2^2 / (m-1)} < \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} < b \frac{nS_1^2 / (n-1)}{mS_2^2 / (m-1)}\right) = 0.95.$$

The observed values, s_1^2 and s_2^2 , can be inserted in these inequalities to provide a 95 percent confidence interval

for σ_1^2 / σ_2^2 .

Solution

(a) It follows from the sampling theorem that

$$\frac{mS_2^2}{\sigma_2^2} \sim \chi^2(m-1) \text{ and } \frac{nS_1^2}{\sigma_1^2} \sim \chi^2(n-1),$$

and these two statistics are independent with each other. Thus

$$F = \frac{\frac{mS_2^2}{\sigma_2^2} / (m-1)}{\frac{nS_1^2}{\sigma_1^2} / (n-1)} \sim F(m, n).$$

(b) For given degrees of freedom m and n , we can obtain a and b from the Table V in the Appendix A such that $\Pr(F < b) = 0.975$ and $\Pr(a < F < b) = 0.95$.

(c) (c) Since $\Pr(a < F < b) = 0.95$, we Rewrite the probability statement as

$$\Pr(a < F < b) = \Pr\left(a \frac{nS_1^2 / (n-1)}{mS_2^2 / (m-1)} < \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} < b \frac{nS_1^2 / (n-1)}{mS_2^2 / (m-1)}\right) = 0.95,$$

It is easy to verify the formulation.

Section 6.4 Tests of Statistical Hypotheses

6.38. Let X have a p.d.f. of the form $f(x; \theta) = \theta x^{\theta-1}$, $0 < x < 1$, zero elsewhere, where $\theta \in \{\theta : \theta = 1, 2\}$. To test the simple hypothesis $H_0 : \theta = 1$ against the alternative simple hypothesis $H_1 : \theta = 2$, use a random sample X_1, X_2 of size $n = 2$ and define the critical region to be $C = \{(x_1, x_2) : \frac{3}{4} \leq x_1 x_2\}$. Find the power function of the test.

Solution

The joint p.d.f. of the sample X_1, X_2 is

$$f(x_1, x_2; \theta) = \theta^2 (x_1 x_2)^{\theta-1}, \quad 0 < x_1, x_2 < 1.$$

The power function of the test is

$$k(\theta) = \Pr((X_1, X_2) \in C) = \Pr(X_1 X_2 \geq 3/4) = \int_{3/4}^1 dx_1 \int_{3/4x_1}^1 f(x_1, x_2; \theta) dx_2.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} k(1) &= \Pr((X_1, X_2) \in C \mid \theta = 1) = \Pr(X_1 X_2 \geq 3/4 \mid \theta = 1) = \int_{3/4}^1 dx_1 \int_{3/4x_1}^1 1 dx_2 = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{3}{4} \ln \frac{3}{4}, \\ k(2) &= \Pr((X_1, X_2) \in C \mid \theta = 2) = \Pr(X_1 X_2 \geq 3/4 \mid \theta = 2) = \int_{3/4}^1 dx_1 \int_{3/4x_1}^1 4x_1 x_2 dx_2 = \frac{7}{16} + \frac{9}{8} \ln \frac{3}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

6.39. Let X have a binomial distribution with parameters $n = 10$ and $p \in \{p : p = \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\}$. The simple hypothesis $H_0 : p = \frac{1}{2}$ is rejected, and the alternative simple hypothesis $H_1 : p = \frac{1}{4}$ is accepted, if the observed value of X_1 , a random sample of size 1, is less than or equal to 3. Find the power function of the test.

Solution

The power function of the test is

$$k(\theta) = \Pr(X_1 \in C) = \Pr(X_1 \leq 3) = \sum_{i=0}^3 \Pr(X_1 = i).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} k(1/2) &= \Pr(X_1 \in C \mid 1/2) = \Pr(X_1 \leq 3 \mid 1/2) = \sum_{i=0}^3 \Pr(X_1 = i) = \binom{10}{0} + \binom{10}{1} + \binom{10}{2} + \binom{10}{3} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{10} \\ &= 176/2^{10} = 0.1718. \\ k(1/4) &= \Pr(X_1 \in C \mid 1/4) = \Pr(X_1 \leq 3 \mid 1/4) = \sum_{i=0}^3 \Pr(X_1 = i) = \binom{10}{0} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{10} + \binom{10}{1} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^9 + \\ &\quad \binom{10}{2} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2 \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^8 + \binom{10}{3} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^3 \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^7 = (31)3^8/4^9. \end{aligned}$$

6.40. Let X_1, X_2 be a random sample of size $n = 2$ from the distribution having p.d.f. $f(x; \theta) = (1/\theta)e^{-x/\theta}$, $0 < x < \infty$, zero elsewhere. We reject $H_0 : \theta = 2$ and accept $H_1 : \theta = 1$ if the observed values of X_1, X_2 , say x_1, x_2 , are such that

$$\frac{f(x_1; 2)f(x_2; 2)}{f(x_1; 1)f(x_2; 1)} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Here $\Omega = \{\theta : \theta = 1, 2\}$. Find the significance level of the test and the power function of the test when H_0 is false.

Solution

The inequality $\frac{f(x_1;2)f(x_2;2)}{f(x_1;1)f(x_2;1)} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ is equivalent to the following

$$e^{(x_1+x_2)/2} \leq 2 \Leftrightarrow \frac{x_1+x_2}{2} \leq \ln 2.$$

This implies that the critical of the test is

$$C = \{(X_1, X_2) : \frac{X_1 + X_2}{2} \leq \ln 2\}.$$

If H_0 is true, it follows from the sampling theorem that $X_1 + X_2$ is Chi-square distribution with 4 degrees of freedom, thus the significance level of the test is

$$\alpha = \Pr\{(X_1, X_2) \in C \mid \theta = 2\} = \Pr\{X_1 + X_2 \leq 2 \ln 2\} = F(2 \ln 2),$$

where the function F denotes the distribution function of Chi-square distribution with 4 degrees of freedom.

when H_0 is false, it follows from the sampling theorem that $2X_1 + 2X_2$ is Chi-square distribution with 4 degrees of freedom, thus the power function of the test when H_0 is false is

$$\beta = \Pr\{(X_1, X_2) \in C \mid \theta = 1\} = \Pr\{2X_1 + 2X_2 \leq 4 \ln 2\} = F(4 \ln 2)$$

where the function F denotes the distribution function of Chi-square distribution with 4 degrees of freedom.

6.42. Let us assuming that the life of a tire in miles, say X , is normally distributed with mean θ and standard deviation 5000. Past experience indicates that $\theta = 30000$. The manufacturer claims that the tires made by a new process have mean $\theta > 30000$, and it is very possible that $\theta = 35000$. Let us check his claim by testing $H_0 : \theta = 30000$ against $H_1 : \theta > 30000$. We shall observe n independent values of X , say x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , and we shall reject H_0 (thus accept H_1) if and only if $\bar{x} \geq c$. Determine n and c so that the power function $k(\theta)$ of the test has the values $k(30000) = 0.001$ and $k(35000) = 0.98$.

Solution

In accordance with the sampling theorem, we have that $\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \theta)}{\sigma}$ is standard normal distribution. If the critical region is of the form

$$C = \{(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \mid \bar{X} \geq c\}$$

and $k(30000) = 0.001$ and $k(35000) = 0.98$

We have

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(c - 30000)}{5000} = 3, \quad \frac{\sqrt{n}(c - 35000)}{5000} = -2.05,$$

Whose solution is $n = 19$ or $n = 20, c = 10323$ or $c = 10062$.

6.43. Let X have a Poisson distribution with mean θ . Consider the simple hypothesis $H_0 : \theta = \frac{1}{2}$ and the

alternative composite hypothesis $H_0 : \theta < \frac{1}{2}$. Thus $\Omega = \{\theta : 0 < \theta \leq 1/2\}$. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{12} denote a random samples of size 12 from this distribution. We reject H_0 if and only if the observed value of $Y = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{12} \leq 2$. If $k(\theta)$ is the power function of the test, find the powers $k(1/2)$, $k(1/3)$, $k(1/4)$, $k(1/6)$ and $k(1/12)$. What is the significance level of the test?

Solution

It follows from the definition that the power function is of the form

$$k(\theta) = \Pr(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{12} \leq 12).$$

Since $X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{12}$ is Poisson distribution with mean 12θ , thus

$$\begin{aligned} k(1/2) &= \Pr(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{12} \leq 2 | \theta = 1/2) = \Pr(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{12} = 0 | \theta = 1/2) + \\ &\Pr(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{12} = 1 | \theta = 1/2) + \Pr(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{12} = 2 | \theta = 1/2) = 0.062, \\ k(1/3) &= \Pr(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{12} \leq 2 | \theta = 1/3) = \Pr(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{12} = 0 | \theta = 1/3) + \\ &\Pr(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{12} = 1 | \theta = 1/3) + \Pr(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{12} = 2 | \theta = 1/3) = 0.238, \\ k(1/4) &= \Pr(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{12} \leq 2 | \theta = 1/4) = \Pr(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{12} = 0 | \theta = 1/4) + \\ &\Pr(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{12} = 1 | \theta = 1/4) + \Pr(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{12} = 2 | \theta = 1/4) = 0.423, \\ k(1/6) &= \Pr(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{12} \leq 2 | \theta = 1/6) = \Pr(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{12} = 0 | \theta = 1/6) + \\ &\Pr(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{12} = 1 | \theta = 1/6) + \Pr(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{12} = 2 | \theta = 1/6) = 0.677, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} k(1/12) &= \Pr(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{12} \leq 2 | \theta = 1/12) = \Pr(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{12} = 0 | \theta = 1/12) + \\ &\Pr(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{12} = 1 | \theta = 1/12) + \Pr(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{12} = 2 | \theta = 1/12) = 0.920. \end{aligned}$$

The significance level of the test is $k(1/2) = 0.062$.

6.44. Let Y have a binomial distribution with parameters n and p . We reject $H_0 : p = \frac{1}{2}$ and accept $H_1 : p > \frac{1}{2}$ if $Y \geq c$. Find n and c to give a power function $k(p)$ which is such that $k(1/2) = 0.10$ and $k(2/3) = 0.95$, approximately.

Solution It follows from the sampling theorem that the sample mean $\frac{\bar{X} - np}{\sqrt{np(1-p)}}$ is approximately with mean 0

and variance 1. Thus approximately we have

$$k(p) = \Pr(Y \geq c) = \Pr\left(\frac{\bar{X} - np}{\sqrt{np(1-p)}} \geq \frac{c/n - np}{\sqrt{np(1-p)}}\right).$$

If $k(1/2) = 0.10$ and $k(2/3) = 0.95$, then we can obtain

$$\frac{2c/n - n}{\sqrt{n}} \approx 1.282, \quad \frac{c/n - 2n/3}{\sqrt{n \cdot \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{3}}} = -1.645,$$

Whose solutions are

$$n \approx 73, c \approx 42.$$

6.45. Let $Y_1 < Y_2 < Y_3 < Y_4$ be the order statistics of a random sample of size 4 from a distribution with p.d.f. $f(x; \theta) = 1/\theta, 0 < x < \theta$, zero elsewhere, where $0 < \theta$. The hypothesis $H_0 : \theta = 1$ is rejected and $H_1 : \theta > 1$ accepted if the observed $Y_4 \geq c$.

(a) Find the constant c so that the significance level is $\alpha = 0.05$.

(b) Determine the power function of the test.

Solution

(a) If $H_0 : \theta = 1$ is true, the population is uniform distribution on the interval $(0,1)$, so the p.d.f. of the 4th order statistic is

$$f(x;1) = 4x^3, \quad 0 < x < 1.$$

So $\alpha = \Pr(Y_4 \geq c) = \int_c^1 4x^3 dx = 1 - c^4 = 0.05$, thus we can get $c = \sqrt[4]{0.95}$.

(b) The power function of the test is

$$k(\theta) = \int_c^1 4x^3 / \theta^4 dx = 1/\theta^4 - c^4 / \theta^4.$$

Section 6.5 Additional Comments about Statistical Tests

6.46. Assume that the weight of cereal in a “10-ounce box” is $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. To test $H_0 : \mu = 10.1$ against $H_1 : \mu > 10.1$,

we take a random sample of size $n = 16$ and observe that $\bar{x} = 10.4$ and $s = 0.4$.

(a) Do we accept or reject H_0 at the 5percent significance level?

(b) What is the approximate p -value of the test?

Solution

(a) If the null hypothesis H_0 is true, \bar{X} is normal distribution with mean 10.1 and variance $\sigma^2/16$, thus

We have

$$\Pr(\bar{X} \geq c) = \Pr\left(\frac{\sqrt{n-1}(\bar{X} - 10.1)}{S} \geq \frac{\sqrt{n-1}(c - 10.1)}{S}\right) = 0.05$$

From the Table IV in the Appendix A, we obtain $b = 1.753$, let $\frac{\sqrt{n-1}(c - 10.1)}{S}$ be 1.753, we get

$c = 10.28$ and the observed value of the sample mean $\bar{x} = 10.4 > 10.28$, so we reject the null hypothesis H_0 at the 5 percent significance level.

(b) The p -value of the test is

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(\bar{X} \geq 10.4) &= \Pr\left(\frac{\sqrt{15}(\bar{X} - 10.1)}{S} \geq \frac{\sqrt{15}(10.4 - 10.1)}{0.4}\right) = \Pr\left(\frac{\sqrt{15}(\bar{X} - 10.1)}{S} \geq \frac{\sqrt{15}(10.4 - 10.1)}{0.4}\right) \\ &= \Pr\left(\frac{\sqrt{15}(\bar{X} - 10.1)}{S} \geq 2.905\right) \approx 1 - 0.998 = 0.002. \end{aligned}$$

Since $0.002 < 0.05$, so we reject the null hypothesis H_0 .

6.47. Each of 51 golfers hit three golf balls of brand X and three golf balls of brand Y in a random order. Let X_i and Y_i equal the averages of the distances traveled by the brand X and brand Y golf balls hit by the i th golfer, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 51$. Let $W_i = X_i - Y_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 51$. Test $H_0 : \mu_W = 0$ against $H_1 : \mu_W > 0$, where μ_W is the mean

of the differences. If $\mu_W = 2.07$ and $s_W^2 = 84.63$, would H_0 be accepted at an $\alpha = 0.05$ significance level? What is the p -value of this test?

Solution

Let $W = X - Y$, in fact, W is $N(\mu_W, \sigma_W^2)$. It follows from the sampling theorem that

$$\frac{\sqrt{n-1}(\bar{W} - \mu_W)}{S_W} \sim t(n-1),$$

Given the significance level $\alpha = 0.05$, we have $b = 1.645$, and the observed value of $\frac{\sqrt{n-1}(\bar{W} - \mu_W)}{S_W}$ is 1.591 if

$H_0 : \mu_W = 0$ is true. Since $1.591 < 1.645$, so we accept the null hypothesis.

Since the critical region of the test is of the form $\bar{W} \geq c$, then the p -value of this test is

$$\Pr(\bar{W} \geq 2.07) = \Pr\left(\frac{\sqrt{50}\bar{W}}{S_W} \geq \frac{\sqrt{50} \cdot 2.07}{\sqrt{84.63}}\right) = \Pr\left(\frac{\sqrt{50}\bar{W}}{S_W} \geq 1.591\right) > \Pr\left(\frac{\sqrt{50}\bar{W}}{S_W} \geq 1.6\right) \approx 0.005.$$

6.48. Among the data collected for the World Health Organization air quality monitoring project is a measure of suspended particles in $\mu g / m^3$. Let X and Y equal the concentration of suspended particles in $\mu g / m^3$ in the city center (commercial district) for Melbourne and Houston, respectively. Using $n=13$ observations of X and $m=16$ Observations of Y , we shall test $H_0 : \mu_X = \mu_Y$ against $H_1 : \mu_X < \mu_Y$.

- (a) Define the test statistic and critical region, assuming that the variances are equal. Let $\alpha = 0.05$.
 (b) If $\bar{x} = 72.9$, $s_X = 25.6$, $\bar{y} = 81.7$, and $s_Y = 28.3$, calculate the value of the test statistic and state your conclusion.

Solution

- (a) It follows from the sampling theorem that

$$T = \frac{(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) - (\mu_X - \mu_Y)}{\sqrt{\frac{nS_X^2 + mS_Y^2}{n+m-2} \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}\right)}} \sim t(m+n-2).$$

If we shall test $H_0 : \mu_X = \mu_Y$ against $H_1 : \mu_X < \mu_Y$, the critical region should be of the form

$$C = \{T \leq c\}.$$

- (b) If $\bar{x} = 72.9$, $s_X = 25.6$, $\bar{y} = 81.7$, and $s_Y = 28.3$, the p -value of this test is

$$\Pr(T \leq \frac{(\bar{x} - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\frac{ns_X^2 + ms_Y^2}{n+m-2} \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}\right)}}) = \Pr(T \leq -0.838) = 1 - \Pr(T \leq 0.838) > 1 - \Pr(T < 1.703) = 0.05.$$

Since the p -value of this test is no less than 0.05, so we reject the null hypothesis.

6.49. Let p equal the proportion of drivers who use a seat belt in a state that does not have a mandatory seat belt law. It was claimed that $p = 0.14$. An advertising campaign was conducted to increase this proportion. Two months after the campaign, $y=104$ out of a random sample of $n=590$ drivers were wearing their seat belts. Was the campaign successful?

- (a) Defined the null and the alternative hypotheses.
 (b) Define a critical region with an $\alpha = 0.01$ significance level.
 (c) Determine the approximate p -value and state your conclusion.

Solution

- (a) $H_0 : p = 0.14$ against $H_1 : p > 0.14$.

- (b) The critical of this test should have the form $C = \{\bar{X} \geq c\}$. Approximately we have

$$\frac{\bar{X} - p}{\sqrt{\bar{X}(1-\bar{X})/n}} \rightarrow N(0,1),$$

In accordance with the Table III in the Appendix A, we approximately have

$$\frac{c - 0.14}{\sqrt{\bar{X}(1-\bar{X})/n}} = 2.326 \Leftrightarrow c = 0.1765.$$

- (c) The observed value of the statistic $\frac{\bar{X} - p}{\sqrt{\bar{X}(1-\bar{X})/n}} \approx 2.312$, so the approximate p -value of the test is

$$\Pr\left(\frac{\bar{X} - p}{\sqrt{\bar{X}(1-\bar{X})/n}} \geq 2.312\right) \approx 1 - 0.989 = 0.011.$$

Since $0.011 < 0.05$, so we reject the null hypothesis.

6.50. A machine shop that manufactures toggle levers has both a day and a night shift. A toggle lever is defective if a standard nut cannot be screwed onto the threads. Let p_1 and p_2 be the proportion of defective levers among test the null hypothesis, $H_0; p_1 = p_2$ against a two-sided alternative hypothesis based on two random samples, each of 1000 levers taken from the production of the respective shifts.

(a) Define the test statistic which has an approximate $N(0,1)$ distribution. Sketch a standard normal p.d.f. illustrating the critical region having $\alpha = 0.05$.

(b) If $y_1 = 37$ and $y_2 = 53$ defectives were observed for the day and night shifts, respectively, calculate the value of the statistic and the approximate p -value. Locate the calculated test statistic on your figure in part (a) and state your conclusion.

Solution

Additional Exercises

6.62. Let $Y_1 < Y_2 < \dots < Y_n$ be the order statistics of a random sample of size n from the distribution having p.d.f.

$$f(x) = 2x / \theta^2, \quad 0 < x < \theta, \quad \text{zero elsewhere.}$$

(a) If $0 < c < 1$, show that $\Pr(c < Y_n / \theta < 1) = 1 - c^{2n}$.

(b) If $n = 5$ and if the observed value of Y_n is 1.8, find a 99 percent confidence level for θ .

Solution

(a) The distribution function of the population is

$$F(x) = \int_0^x 2t / \theta^2 dt = x^2 / \theta^2.$$

Thus the p.d.f. of the n th order statistic Y_n is

$$f(y) = 2ny^{2n-1} / \theta^{2n}, \quad 0 < y < \theta.$$

Then if $0 < c < 1$,

$$\Pr(c < Y_n / \theta < 1) = \Pr(c\theta < Y_n < \theta) = \int_{c\theta}^{\theta} 2ny^{2n-1} / \theta^{2n} dy = 1 - c^{2n}.$$

(b) In Part (a), let $1 - c^{10} = 0.99$, $c = \sqrt[10]{0.01} = 0.954$. So a 99 percent confidence level for θ is (1.8, 1.885).

6.63. If 0.35, 0.92, 0.56, and 0.71 are the four observed values of a random sample from a distribution having

p.d.f. $f(x; \theta) = \theta x^{\theta-1}$, $0 < x < 1$, zero elsewhere, find an estimate for θ .

Solution

The likelihood function of the population is

$$L(\theta) = \theta^n (x_1 x_2 \dots x_n)^{\theta-1}, \quad 0 < x_i < 1.$$

The logarithm likelihood function is

$$\ln L(\theta) = n \ln \theta + (\theta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i,$$

$$\frac{d \ln L(\theta)}{d\theta} = \frac{n}{\theta} + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i = 0.$$

So the m.l.e. of the unknown parameter θ is

$$\hat{\theta} = - \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i}.$$

If 0.35, 0.92, 0.56, and 0.71 are the four observed values of a random sample, then the m.l.e. for θ is 1.945.

6.64. Let the table

x	0	1	2	3	4	5
Frequency	6	10	14	13	6	1

represents a summary of a random sample of size 50 from a Poisson distribution. Find the maximum likelihood

estimate of $\Pr(X = 2)$.

Solution

It is easy to verify that the m.l.e. of θ is the sample mean \bar{X} .

Since the population is Poisson distribution with parameter θ , so $\Pr(X = 2) = \frac{\theta^2}{2} e^{-\theta}$, it follows from the variance

property that the m.l.e. of $\Pr(X = 2)$ is $\frac{\bar{X}^2}{2} e^{-\bar{X}}$. The observed value of \bar{X} is 2.12, thus the m.l.e. of $\Pr(X = 2)$ is

$$\frac{2.12^2}{2} e^{-2.12} = 0.2697.$$

6.65. Let X be $N(\mu, 100)$. To test $H_0: \mu = 80$ against $H_1: \mu > 80$, let the critical region be defined by $C = \{\bar{x} \geq 83\}$, where \bar{x} is the sample mean of a random sample of size $n = 25$ from this distribution.

(a) How is the power function $k(\mu)$ defined for this test?

(b) What is the significance level of this test?

(c) What are the values of $k(80)$, $k(83)$ and $k(86)$?

(d) What is the p -value corresponding to $\bar{x} = 83.41$?

Solution

(a) Since the sample mean \bar{X} is $N(\mu, \sigma^2/n) = N(\mu, 4)$. Thus the power function of the test is

$$k(\mu) = \Pr(\bar{X} \geq 83) = \Pr\left(\frac{5(\bar{X} - \mu)}{10} \geq \frac{5(83 - \mu)}{10}\right), \text{ for any } \mu \in R.$$

(b) The significance level of the test is

$$\alpha = k(80) = 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{5 \cdot 3}{10}\right) = 1 - \Phi(1.5) = 1 - 0.933 = 0.067.$$

(c) $k(80) = 1 - \Phi(1.5) = 0.067$, $k(83) = 1 - \Phi(0) = 0.5$, and $k(86) = 1 - \Phi(-1.5) = 0.933$.

(d) The p -value corresponding to $\bar{x} = 83.41$ is

$$p\text{-value} = 1 - \Phi(1.705) \approx 1 - 0.955 = 0.005.$$

6.66. Let X equal the yield of alfalfa in tons per acre per year. Assume that X is $N(1.5, 0.09)$. It is hoped that new fertilizer will increase the average yield. We shall test the null hypothesis $H_0: \mu = 1.5$ against the alternative hypothesis $H_1: \mu > 1.5$. Assume that the variance continues to equal $\sigma^2 = 0.09$ with the new fertilizer. Using \bar{X} , the mean of a random sample of size n , as the test statistic, reject H_0 if $\bar{x} \geq c$. Find n and c so that the power function $k(\mu) = \Pr(\bar{X} \geq c: \mu)$ is such that $\alpha = k(1.5) = 0.05$ and $k(1.7) = 0.95$.

Solution

It follows from the sampling theorem that the distribution of the sample mean is

$$\bar{X} \sim N(\mu, 0.09/n).$$

The power function of the test is

$$k(\mu) = \Pr(\bar{X} \geq c: \mu) = \Pr\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)}{0.3} \geq \frac{\sqrt{n}(c - \mu)}{0.3}\right).$$

If $\alpha = k(1.5) = 0.05$ and $k(1.7) = 0.95$, then we have

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(c - 1.5)}{0.3} = 1.645, \quad \frac{\sqrt{n}(c - 1.7)}{0.3} = -1.645$$

whose solutions with respect to n and c are

$$n = 24, c = 1.6.$$

6.67. A random sample of 100 observations from a Poisson distribution has a mean equal to 6.25. Construct an approximate 95 percent confidence interval for the distribution.

Solution

It follows from Slutsky's theorem that

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \theta)}{\sqrt{\bar{X}}} \sim N(0,1).$$

And we have an approximate 95 percent confidence interval for the distribution

$$-1.96 < \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \theta)}{\sqrt{\bar{X}}} < 1.96,$$

Equivalently, (5.76, 6.74) when $n = 100$, $\bar{x} = 6.25$.

6.68. Say that a random sample of size 25 is taken from a binomial distribution with parameters $n = 5$ and p . These data are then lost, but we recall that the relative frequency of the value 5 was $\frac{6}{25}$. Under these conditions, how would you estimate p ? Is this suggested estimate unbiased?

Solution

6.69. When 100 tacks were thrown on a table, 60 of them landed point up. Obtain a 95 percent confidence interval for the probability that a tack of this type will land point up. Assume independent.

Solution

In accordance with the central limit theorem, we approximately have

$$\frac{Y - np}{\sqrt{n(Y/n)(1 - Y/n)}} \sim N(0,1).$$

Approximately we have

$$-1.96 < \frac{Y - np}{\sqrt{n(Y/n)(1 - Y/n)}} < 1.96,$$

Here the observed value of Y is 60 and $n = 100$, so we obtain a 95 percent confidence interval for the probability (0.5, 0.696).

6.70. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_8 be a random sample of size $n = 8$ from a Poisson distribution with mean μ . Reject the simple null hypothesis $H_0 : \mu = 0.5$ and accept $H_1 : \mu > 0.5$ if the observed sum $\sum_{i=1}^8 x_i \geq 8$.

- (a) Compute the significance level α of the test.
- (b) Find the power function $k(\mu)$ of the test as a sum of Poisson probabilities.
- (c) Using the Appendix, determine $k(0.75)$, $k(1)$, and $k(1.25)$.

Solution

We know that the sum of the sample $\sum_{i=1}^8 X_i$ is Poisson distribution with mean 8μ .

- (a) If the simple null hypothesis is true, $\sum_{i=1}^8 X_i \sim P(8\mu_0) = P(4)$, thus the significance level α of the test is

$$\alpha = \Pr\left(\sum_{i=1}^8 X_i \geq 8; \mu = 0.5\right) = 1 - \Pr\left(\sum_{i=1}^8 X_i \leq 7; \mu = 4\right) = 1 - 0.949 = 0.051.$$

(b) The power function of the test is

$$k(\mu) = \Pr\left(\sum_{i=1}^8 X_i \geq 8; \mu\right) = 1 - \Pr\left(\sum_{i=1}^8 X_i \leq 7; \mu\right) = 1 - \sum_{i=0}^7 \frac{\mu^i}{i!} e^{-\mu}.$$

(c) Using the Appendix, we obtain

$$k(0.75) = 1 - 0.744 = 0.256, \quad k(1) = 1 - 0.453 = 0.547, \quad k(1.25) = 1 - 0.22 = 0.78.$$

6.71. Let p denote the probability that, for a particular tennis player, the first serve is good. Since $p = 0.40$, this player decided to take lessons in order to increase p . When the lessons are completed, the hypothesis $H_0: p = 0.40$ will be tested against $H_1: p > 0.40$ based on $n = 25$ trials. Let y equal the number of first serves that are good, and let the critical region be defined by $C = \{y: y \geq 13\}$.

(a) Determine $\alpha = \Pr(Y \geq 13; p = 0.40)$.

(b) Find $\beta = \Pr(Y < 13)$ when $p = 0.60$; that is, $\beta = \Pr(Y \leq 12; p = 0.60)$.

Solution

By the sampling theorem, we know that

$$Y \sim b(n, p).$$

(a) If $n = 25$, the significance level of the test is

$$\alpha = \Pr(Y \geq 13; p = 0.40)$$

6.72. The mean birth weight in the United States is $\mu = 3315$ grams with a standard deviation of $\sigma = 575$. Let X equal the birth weight in grams in Jerusalem. Assume that the distribution of X is $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. We shall test the null hypothesis $H_0: \mu = 3315$ against the alternative hypothesis $H_1: \mu < 3315$ using a random sample of size $n = 30$.

(a) Define a critical region that has a significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$.

(b) If the random sample of $n = 30$ yields $\bar{x} = 3189$, what is your conclusion?

(c) What is the approximate p -value of your test?

Solution

It follows from the sampling theorem that the sample mean \bar{X} is normally distributed with mean μ and variance

$$\sigma^2/n, \text{ that is, } \bar{X} \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2/n).$$

(a) If we shall test the null hypothesis $H_0: \mu = 3315$ against the alternative hypothesis $H_1: \mu < 3315$, the critical region should be selected as the form

$$C = \{\bar{X} \leq c\},$$

Moreover, if the significance level is given $\alpha = 0.05$, that is

$$\alpha = \Pr(\bar{X} \leq c) = \Pr\left(\frac{\sqrt{30}(\bar{X} - 3315)}{575} \leq \frac{\sqrt{30}(c - 3315)}{575}\right) = 0.05,$$

By the Table III in the Appendix B, we have

$$\frac{\sqrt{30}(c - 3315)}{575} = -1.645, \quad c = 3142,$$

Thus the critical of the test is $C = \{\bar{X} \leq 3142\}$.

- (b) If the random sample of $n = 30$ yields $\bar{x} = 3189$, since $3189 < 142$, so we should reject the null hypothesis.
(c) If the random sample of $n = 30$ yields $\bar{x} = 3189$, the approximate p -value of the test is

$$p\text{-value} = \Pr(\bar{X} \leq 3189) = \Pr\left(\frac{\sqrt{30}(\bar{X} - 3315)}{575} \leq \frac{\sqrt{30}(3189 - 3315)}{575}\right) = \Phi(-1.2) = 1 - \Phi(1.2) = 1 - 0.885 = 0.115.$$

6.75. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample from $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$.

- (a) If the constant b is defined by the equation $\Pr(X \leq b) = 0.90$, find the m.l.e. of b .
(b) If c is given constant, find the m.l.e. of $\Pr(X \leq c)$.

Solution

If the parameters μ and σ^2 are unknown, then the m.l.e. of the parameters are \bar{X} and S^2 , respectively.

- (a) Since $\Pr(X \leq b) = \Pr\left(\frac{X - \mu}{\sigma} \leq \frac{b - \mu}{\sigma}\right) = \Phi\left(\frac{b - \mu}{\sigma}\right) = 0.90$, so we have

$$\frac{b - \mu}{\sigma} = 1.282,$$

Thus we have $b = \mu + 1.282\sigma$, from the variance property of the m.l.e., we obtain the m.l.e. of b is

$$\hat{b} = \bar{X} + 1.282S.$$

- (b) Since $\Pr(X \leq c) = \Pr\left(\frac{X - \mu}{\sigma} \leq \frac{c - \mu}{\sigma}\right) = \Phi\left(\frac{c - \mu}{\sigma}\right)$, so for the given c , the m.l.e. of c is $\hat{c} = \Phi\left(\frac{c - \bar{X}}{S}\right)$.

6.76. Let \bar{X}_1, \bar{X}_2 , and \bar{X}_3 and S_1^2, S_2^2 and S_3^2 denote the means and the variances of three independent random samples, each of size 10, from a normal distribution with mean μ and variance σ^2 . Find the constant c so that

$$\Pr\left(\frac{\bar{X}_1 + \bar{X}_2 - 2\bar{X}_3}{\sqrt{10S_1^2 + 10S_2^2 + 10S_3^2}} \leq c\right) = 0.95.$$

Solution

It follows from the sampling theorem that

$$\bar{X}_1 + \bar{X}_2 - 2\bar{X}_3 \sim N\left(0, \frac{3}{5}\sigma^2\right), \text{ and } \frac{10(S_1^2 + S_2^2 + S_3^2)}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(27),$$

and the statistics are independent with each other. So

$$\frac{\bar{X}_1 + \bar{X}_2 - 2\bar{X}_3}{\sqrt{10S_1^2 + 10S_2^2 + 10S_3^2}} \cdot 3\sqrt{5} \sim t(27).$$

Thus

$$\Pr\left(\frac{\bar{X}_1 + \bar{X}_2 - 2\bar{X}_3}{\sqrt{10S_1^2 + 10S_2^2 + 10S_3^2}} \leq c\right) = \Pr\left(\frac{\bar{X}_1 + \bar{X}_2 - 2\bar{X}_3}{\sqrt{10S_1^2 + 10S_2^2 + 10S_3^2}} \cdot 3\sqrt{5} \leq 3\sqrt{5}c\right) = 0.95,$$

We have

$$3\sqrt{5}c = 1.703, c = 0.2538.$$

6.77. Let Y be $b(192, p)$. We reject $H_0 : p = 0.75$ and accept $H_1 : p > 0.75$ if and only if $Y \geq 152$. Use the normal approximate to determine:

(a) $\alpha = \Pr(Y \geq 152; p = 0.75)$.

(b) $\beta = \Pr(Y < 152)$ when $p = 0.80$.

Solution

It follows the sampling theorem that

$$\frac{Y - np}{\sqrt{np(1-p)}} \rightarrow N(0,1) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Thus

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \Pr(Y \geq 152; p = 0.75) = \Pr\left(\frac{Y - np}{\sqrt{np(1-p)}} \geq \frac{152 - np}{\sqrt{np(1-p)}}; p = 0.75\right) \\ &= 1 - \Phi(1.333) = 1 - 0.911 = 0.089. \end{aligned}$$

(c) When $p = 0.80$,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= \Pr(Y < 152; p = 0.80) = \Pr\left(\frac{Y - np}{\sqrt{np(1-p)}} < \frac{152 - np}{\sqrt{np(1-p)}}; p = 0.80\right) \\ &= \Phi(-0.2886) = 1 - \Phi(0.2886) = 1 - 0.618 = 0.382. \end{aligned}$$

Chapter 7 Sufficient Statistics

Section 7.1 Measures of Quality Estimation

Exercises

7.1. Show that the mean \bar{X} of a random sample of size n from a distribution having p.d.f. $f(x; \theta) = (1/\theta)e^{-(x/\theta)}$, $0 < x < \infty$, $0 < \theta < \infty$, zero elsewhere, is an unbiased estimator of θ and has variance θ^2/n .

Solution

The expected value of the population is

$$E(X) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x}{\theta} e^{-x/\theta} dx = \theta.$$

Moreover,

$$E\bar{X} = EX = \theta,$$

Thus the mean \bar{X} is an unbiased estimator of θ .

It is easy to verify $DX = \theta^2$, so the variance of the mean $D\bar{X} = DX/n = \theta^2/n$. This completes the proof.

7.2. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n denote a random sample from a normal distribution with mean zero and variance θ , $0 < \theta < \infty$. Show that $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2/n$ is an unbiased estimator of θ and has variance $2\theta^2/n$.

Solution

In fact, the population is $N(0, \theta)$. Since the sample X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are independent and identically distributed. So

$$X_i \sim N(0, \theta), i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \text{ and } \frac{X_i}{\sqrt{\theta}} \sim N(0, 1), \frac{X_i^2}{\theta} \sim \chi^2(1), i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 / \theta \sim \chi^2(n).$$

According to the property of the Chi-square distribution, we have

$$E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 / \theta\right) = n, D\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 / \theta\right) = 2n,$$

These imply that

$$E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 / n\right) = \theta, D\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 / n\right) = 2\theta^2 / n.$$

7.3. Let $Y_1 < Y_2 < Y_3$ be the order statistics of a random sample of size 3 from the uniform distribution having p.d.f.

$f(x; \theta) = 1/\theta, 0 < x < \theta, 0 < \theta < \infty$, zero elsewhere. Show that $4Y_1, 2Y_2$, and $\frac{4}{3}Y_3$ are all unbiased estimators of θ .

Find the variance of each of these unbiased estimators.

Solution

The distribution function of the population is

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq 0 \\ x/\theta, & 0 < x < \theta, \\ 1, & x \geq \theta \end{cases}$$

So the p.d.f. of the i th order statistic is

$$f(y) = \frac{3!}{i!(3-i)!} \left(\frac{y}{\theta}\right)^{i-1} \left(1 - \frac{y}{\theta}\right)^{3-i} \frac{1}{\theta} = \frac{3!}{i!(3-i)!} \frac{1}{\theta^3} y^{i-1} (\theta - y)^{3-i}, \quad 0 < y < \theta, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Then

$$E(4Y_1) = \int_0^\theta 4y \cdot 3/\theta^3 y(\theta - y)^2 dy = 12\theta B(2,3) = \theta,$$

By the similar computation process, we can obtain

$$E(2Y_2) = \theta, \quad E\left(\frac{4}{3}Y_3\right) = \theta.$$

Thus $4Y_1, 2Y_2$, and $\frac{4}{3}Y_3$ are all unbiased estimators of θ .

It is not difficult to verify that

$$D(Y_1) = \frac{3}{4 \cdot 5} \theta^2 = \frac{3}{20} \theta^2, \quad D(Y_2) = \frac{2 \cdot 2}{4 \cdot 5} \theta^2 = \frac{1}{5} \theta^2, \quad D(Y_3) = \frac{3}{4 \cdot 5} \theta^2 = \frac{3}{20} \theta^2,$$

So the variance of the statistics is

$$D(4Y_1) = \frac{3 \cdot 16}{4 \cdot 5} \theta^2 = \frac{12}{5} \theta^2, \quad D(2Y_2) = \frac{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 4}{4 \cdot 5} \theta^2 = \frac{4}{5} \theta^2, \quad D\left(\frac{4}{3}Y_3\right) = \frac{3}{4 \cdot 5} \theta^2 \cdot \frac{16}{9} = \frac{4}{15} \theta^2.$$

7.4. Let Y_1 and Y_2 be two independent unbiased estimators of θ . Say the variance of Y_1 is twice the variance of Y_2 . Find the constants k_1 and k_2 so that $k_1 Y_1 + k_2 Y_2$ is an unbiased estimator with smallest possible variance for such a linear combination.

Solution

Since $D(Y_1) = 2D(Y_2)$ and, so

$$\begin{aligned} D(k_1 Y_1 + k_2 Y_2) &= k_1^2 D(Y_1) + 2k_1 k_2 D(Y_1) = (k_1^2 + 2k_1 k_2) D(Y_1) = [k_1^2 + 2(1 - k_1)] D(Y_1) \\ &= (3k_1^2 - 4k_1 + 2) D(Y_1). \end{aligned}$$

The function $3k_1^2 - 4k_1 + 2$ can be minimized at the point $k_1 = 2/3$, thus $k_2 = 1/3$.

7.5. In Example 1 of this section, take $L[\theta, \delta(Y)] = |\theta - \delta(Y)|$. Show that $R(\theta, \delta_1) = \frac{1}{5} \sqrt{2/\pi}$ and $R(\theta, \delta_2) = |\theta|$ of these two decision functions δ_1 and δ_2 , which yields the smallest maximum risk?

Solution

Since the sample mean $\bar{X} \sim N(\theta, 1/25)$, so $\bar{X} - \theta \sim N(0, 1/25)$.

$$R(\theta, \delta_1) = E(|\theta - \bar{X}|) = 2 \int_0^\infty x \frac{5}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{25x^2}{2}} dx = \frac{1}{5} \sqrt{2/\pi}, \quad R(\theta, \delta_2) = E(|\theta|) = |\theta|,$$

This completes the proof.

The maximum risk of the function $R(\theta, \delta_1) = \frac{1}{5} \sqrt{2/\pi}$ is $\frac{1}{5} \sqrt{2/\pi}$, whereas the maximum risk of the function

$R(\theta, \delta_2) = |\theta|$ is $+\infty$, so in these two decision functions δ_1 and δ_2 , δ_1 yields the smallest maximum risk.

7.6. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n denote a random sample from a Poisson distribution with parameter θ , $0 < \theta < \infty$. Let

$Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ and let $L[\theta, \delta(Y)] = (\theta - \delta(Y))^2$. If we restrict our considerations to decision functions of the form

$\delta(y) = b + y/n$, where b does not depend upon y , show that $R(\theta, \delta) = b^2 + \theta/n$. What decision function of this form yields a uniformly smaller risk than every other decision function of this form? With this solution, say δ , and $0 < \theta < \infty$, determine $\max_{\theta} R(\theta, \delta)$ if it exists.

Solution

Since $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is Poisson distribution with parameter $n\theta$, $0 < \theta < \infty$, so if we restrict our considerations to

decision functions of the form $\delta(y) = b + y/n$, where b does not depend upon y , we have

$$R(\theta, \delta) = E(\theta - b - Y/n)^2 = b^2 + E(\theta - Y/n)^2 = b^2 + D(Y/n) = b^2 + \theta/n.$$

It is easy to understand that when $b = 0$, that is $\delta = Y/n$, the decision function has uniformly smaller risk. Under conditions, $\max_{\theta} R(\theta, \delta)$ does not exist.

7.7. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n denote a random sample from a distribution that is $N(\mu, \theta)$, $0 < \theta < \infty$, where μ is

unknown. Let $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})/n = S^2$ and let $L[\theta, \delta(Y)] = (\theta - \delta(Y))^2$. If we consider decision functions of the

form $\delta(y) = by$, where b does not depend upon y , show that $R(\theta, \delta) = (\theta^2/n^2)[(n^2-1)b^2 - 2n(n-1)b + n^2]$.

Show that $b = n/(n+1)$ yields a minimum risk for decision functions of this form. Note that $nY/(n+1)$ is not an unbiased estimator of θ . With $\delta(y) = ny/(n+1)$ and $0 < \theta < \infty$, determine $\max_{\theta} R(\theta, \delta)$ if it exists.

Solution

It follows from the sampling distribution theorem that $\frac{nS^2}{\theta} = \frac{nY}{\theta} \sim \chi^2(n-1)$, we have

$$E(bY) = \frac{(n-1)b\theta}{n}, \quad D(bY) = \frac{2(n-1)b^2\theta^2}{n^2}.$$

Thus

$$R(\theta, \delta) = E[\theta - bY]^2 = E[\theta - \frac{(n-1)b\theta}{n} + \frac{(n-1)b\theta}{n} - bY]^2 = (\theta^2/n^2)[(n^2-1)b^2 - 2n(n-1)b + n^2].$$

Since the derivative of the function $(n^2-1)b^2 - 2n(n-1)b = 2(n^2-1) - 2n(n-1)$ with respect to b . Let

$2(n^2-1) - 2n(n-1) = 0$, we obtain $b = n/(n+1)$ which yields a minimum risk for decision functions of this form.

Whereas $R(\theta, \delta) = \theta^2$, $\max_{\theta} R(\theta, \delta)$ does not exist.

7.8. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n denote a random sample from a distribution that is $b(1, \theta)$, $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$. Let $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ and

let $L[\theta, \delta(Y)] = (\theta - \delta(Y))^2$. Consider decision functions of the form $\delta(y) = by$, where b does not depend upon

y . Prove that $R(\theta, \delta) = b^2 n \theta (1 - \theta) + (bn - 1)^2 \theta^2$. Show that

$$\max_{\theta} R(\theta, \delta) = \frac{b^4 n^2}{4[b^2 n - (bn - 1)^2]},$$

Provided that the value b is such that $b^2 n \geq 2(bn - 1)^2$. Prove that $b = 1/n$ does not minimize $\max_{\theta} R(\theta, \delta)$.

Solution

In fact, $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is binomial distribution with parameters n and θ , thus $E(bY) = nb\theta$, $D(bY) = b^2 n \theta (1 - \theta)$.

So

$$R(\theta, \delta) = E(\theta - bY)^2 = E(\theta - nb\theta + nb\theta - bY)^2 = (bn - 1)^2 \theta^2 + D(bY) = b^2 n \theta (1 - \theta) + (bn - 1)^2 \theta^2.$$

Since the derivative of the function $b^2 n \theta (1 - \theta) + (bn - 1)^2 \theta^2 = [2(bn - 1)^2 - 2b^2 n]\theta + b^2 n$ with respect to θ , let

$$[2(bn - 1)^2 - 2b^2 n]\theta + b^2 n = 0 \text{ whose solution is } \theta = -\frac{b^2 n}{2(bn - 1)^2 - 2b^2 n}.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\max_{\theta} R(\theta, \delta) = \frac{b^4 n^2}{4[b^2 n - (bn - 1)^2]}.$$

It is easy to verify $b = 1/n$ does not minimize $\max_{\theta} R(\theta, \delta)$.

Section 7.2. A Sufficient Statistic for a Parameter

Exercises

7.10. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n denote a random sample from the normal distribution $N(0, \theta)$, $0 < \theta < \infty$. Show that

$\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$ is a sufficient statistic for θ .

Solution

The joint p.d.f. of the sample X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta}}\right)^n e^{-\frac{\sum X_i^2}{2\theta}},$$

It follows from the factorization theorem, $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$ is a sufficient statistic for θ .

7.11. Prove that the sum of the observation of a random sample of size n from a Poisson distribution having parameter θ , $0 < \theta < \infty$, is a sufficient statistic for θ .

Solution

The joint p.d.f. of the sample X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is

$$\frac{\theta^{x_1} \theta^{x_2} \dots \theta^{x_n}}{x_1! x_2! \dots x_n!} e^{-n\theta} = \theta^{\sum x_i} e^{-n\theta} \cdot \frac{1}{x_1! x_2! \dots x_n!},$$

It follows from the factorization theorem, $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a sufficient statistic for θ .

7.12. Show that the n th order statistic of a random sample of size n from the uniform distribution having p.d.f. $f(x; \theta) = 1/\theta$, $0 < x < \theta$, $0 < \theta < \infty$, zero elsewhere, is a sufficient statistic for θ . Generalize this result by considering the p.d.f. $f(x; \theta) = Q(\theta)M(x)$, $0 < x < \theta$, $0 < \theta < \infty$, zero elsewhere. Here, of course,

$$\int_0^\theta M(x) dx = \frac{1}{Q(\theta)}.$$

Solution

The joint p.d.f. of the sample X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta^n}, & 0 \leq x_{(1)} \leq x_{(n)} \leq \theta \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \frac{1}{\theta^n} I_{\{x_{(n)} \leq \theta\}} I_{\{x_{(1)} \geq 0\}} = k_1(T, \theta) k_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n),$$

where $k_1(T, \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} I_{\{x_{(n)} \leq \theta\}}$, $k_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = I_{\{x_{(1)} \geq 0\}}$.

It follows from the factorization theorem, Y_n is a sufficient statistic for θ .

By similar reasoning, Y_n is a sufficient statistic for θ in the p.d.f. $f(x; \theta) = Q(\theta)M(x)$, $0 < x < \theta$, $0 < \theta < \infty$.

7.13. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample of size n from a geometric distribution that has p.d.f.

$f(x; \theta) = (1-\theta)^x \theta$, $x = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $0 < \theta < 1$, zero elsewhere. Show that $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a sufficient statistic for θ

Solution

The joint p.d.f. of the sample X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) = (1 - \theta)^{\sum x_i} \theta^n,$$

It follows from the factorization theorem, $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a sufficient statistic for θ .

7.14. Show that the sum of the observation of a random sample of size n from a gamma distribution that has p.d.f. $f(x; \theta) = (1/\theta)e^{-x/\theta}$, $0 < x < \infty$, $0 < \theta < \infty$, zero elsewhere, is a sufficient statistic for θ .

Solution

The joint p.d.f. of the sample X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} e^{-\sum x_i / \theta},$$

It follows from the factorization theorem, $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a sufficient statistic for θ .

7.15. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample of size n from a beta distribution with parameters $\alpha = \theta > 0$ and $\beta = 2$. Show that the product $X_1 X_2 \cdots X_n$ is a sufficient statistic for θ .

Solution

The joint p.d.f. of the sample X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) = \left(\frac{\Gamma(2 + \alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right)^n (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n)^{\theta-1} (1 - x_1)(1 - x_2) \cdots (1 - x_n),$$

It follows from the factorization theorem, $X_1 X_2 \cdots X_n$ is a sufficient statistic for θ .

7.16. Show that the product of the sample observation is a sufficient statistic for $\theta > 0$ if the random sample is taken from a gamma distribution with parameters $\alpha = \theta$ and $\beta = 6$.

Solution

The joint p.d.f. of the sample X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) = \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta) 6^\theta} \right)^n (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n)^{\theta-1} e^{-\sum x_i / 6},$$

It follows from the factorization theorem, $X_1 X_2 \cdots X_n$ is a sufficient statistic for θ .

7.17. What is the sufficient statistic for θ if the sample arises from a beta distribution in which $\alpha = \beta = \theta > 0$?

The joint p.d.f. of the sample X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) = \left(\frac{\Gamma(2\theta)}{\Gamma(\theta)\Gamma(\theta)} \right)^n (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n)^{\theta-1} ((1 - x_1)(1 - x_2) \cdots (1 - x_n))^{\theta-1},$$

It follows from the factorization theorem, $X_1 X_2 \cdots X_n (1 - X_1)(1 - X_2) \cdots (1 - X_n)$ is a sufficient statistic for θ .

Section 7.3. Properties of a Sufficient Statistics

Solutions to Exercises

7.18. In each of the Exercises 7.10., 7.11, 7.13, and 7.14, show that the m.l.e. of θ is a sufficient statistic for θ .

Solution

In the exercises 7.10, the m.l.e. of the unknown θ is $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$ which is also a sufficient for θ .

In the exercises 7.11, the m.l.e. of the unknown θ is $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ which is also a sufficient for θ .

In the exercises 7.13, the m.l.e. of the unknown θ is $\frac{n}{n + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i}$ which is also a sufficient for θ .

In the exercises 7.14, the m.l.e. of the unknown θ is $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ which is also a sufficient for θ .

7.19. Let $Y_1 < Y_2 < Y_3 < Y_4 < Y_5$ be the order statistics of a random sample of size 5 from the uniform distribution having $f(x; \theta) = 1/\theta, 0 < x < \theta, 0 < \theta < \infty$, zero elsewhere. Show that $2Y_3$ is an unbiased estimator of θ . Determine the joint p.d.f. of Y_3 and the sufficient statistic Y_5 for θ . Find the conditional expectation $E(2Y_3 | Y_5) = \varphi(Y_5)$. Compare the variance of $2Y_3$ and $\varphi(Y_5)$.

Solution

The distribution function of the population is

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < 0 \\ \frac{x}{\theta}, & 0 \leq x < \theta \\ 1, & x \geq \theta \end{cases}$$

So the p.d.f. of the order statistic Y_3 is

$$f(y) = 30y^2(\theta - y)^2 / \theta^5, 0 < y < \theta,$$

Thus the expected value of $2Y_3$ is

$$E(2Y_3) = 2 \int_0^\theta y \cdot 30y^2(\theta - y)^2 / \theta^5 dy = 60\theta \int_0^1 t^3(1-t)^2 dt = 60\theta B(4,3) = \theta.$$

This implies that $2Y_3$ is an unbiased estimator of θ .

The joint p.d.f. of Y_3 and the sufficient statistic Y_5 for θ is

$$f(x, y) = 60x^2(y - x) / \theta^5, 0 < x \leq y < \theta,$$

The conditional p.d.f. of Y_3 given $Y_5 = y$ is

$$f(x | y) = \frac{12x^2(y - x)}{y^4}, 0 < x < y < \theta,$$

So the conditional expectation $E(2Y_3 | y_5) = \frac{6}{5} y_5 = \varphi(y_5)$.

The variance of $2Y_3$ is

$$D(2Y_3) = 4 \cdot \frac{3 \cdot 3}{6 \cdot 6 \cdot 7} \theta^2 = \frac{1}{7} \theta^2.$$

Whereas the variance of $\varphi(Y_5)$ is

$$D\left(\frac{6}{5} Y_5\right) = \frac{36}{25} \cdot \frac{5}{6 \cdot 6 \cdot 7} \theta^2 = \frac{1}{35} \theta^2.$$

It is obvious that $D(\varphi(Y_5)) < D(2Y_3)$.

7.20. If X_1, X_2 is a random sample of size 2 from a distribution having p.d.f.

$$f(x; \theta) = (1/\theta) e^{-x/\theta}, \quad 0 < x < \infty, \quad 0 < \theta < \infty, \quad \text{zero elsewhere,}$$

find the joint p.d.f. of the sufficient statistic $Y_1 = X_1 + X_2$ for θ and $Y_2 = X_2$. Show that Y_2 is an unbiased estimator of θ with variance θ^2 . Find $E(Y_2 | y_1) = \varphi(y_1)$ and the variance of $\varphi(Y_1)$.

Solution

The joint p.d.f. of the sample X_1, X_2 is

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{\theta^2} e^{-(x_1 + x_2)/\theta}, \quad 0 < x_1, x_2 < \infty.$$

Let $Y_1 = X_1 + X_2$, $Y_2 = X_2$, then the Jacobian of the transformation is

$$J = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 1,$$

So the joint of the the sufficient statistic $Y_1 = X_1 + X_2$ for θ and $Y_2 = X_2$ is

$$f(y_1, y_2) = \frac{1}{\theta^2} e^{-y_1/\theta}, \quad 0 < y_2 < y_1 < \infty.$$

$$E(Y_2) = E(X_2) = \theta, \quad D(Y_2) = D(X_2) = \theta^2.$$

The marginal p.d.f. of Y_1 is

$$f(y_1) = \int_0^{y_1} \frac{1}{\theta^2} e^{-y_1/\theta} dy_2 = \frac{1}{\theta^2} y_1 e^{-y_1/\theta}, \quad 0 < y_1 < \infty.$$

So the conditional p.d.f. of Y_2 given $Y_1 = y_1$ is

$$f(y_2 | y_1) = \frac{1}{y_1}, \quad 0 < y_2 < y_1 < \infty.$$

Thus

$$E(Y_2 | y_1) = \int_0^{y_1} \frac{y_2}{y_1} dy_2 = y_1 / 2 = \varphi(y_1).$$

The variance of $\varphi(Y_1)$ is $D(\varphi(Y_1)) = \theta^2 / 2$.

7.21. Let the random variables X and Y have the joint p.d.f.

$$f(x, y) = (2/\theta^2) e^{-(x+y)/\theta}, \quad 0 < x < y < \infty, \quad \text{zero elsewhere.}$$

- Show that the mean and the variance of Y are, respectively, $3\theta/2$ and $5\theta^2/4$.
- Show that $E(Y | x) = x + \theta$. In accordance with the theory, the expected value of $X + \theta$ is that of Y , namely, $3\theta/2$, and the variance of $X + \theta$ is less than that of Y . Show that the variance of $X + \theta$ is in fact $\theta^2/4$.

Solution

(a)

$$E(Y) = \iint_{R^2} y f(x, y) dx dy = \int_0^{\infty} dx \int_x^{+\infty} (2/\theta^2) y e^{-(x+y)/\theta} dy = 3\theta/2,$$
$$E(Y^2) = \iint_{R^2} y^2 f(x, y) dx dy = \int_0^{\infty} dx \int_x^{+\infty} (2/\theta^2) y^2 e^{-(x+y)/\theta} dy = 13\theta^2/4,$$

So the variance of Y is

$$D(Y) = E(Y^2) - (E(Y))^2 = 5\theta^2/4.$$

(b) The marginal p.d.f. of X is

$$f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) dy = \int_x^{+\infty} (2/\theta^2) e^{-(x+y)/\theta} dy = \frac{2}{\theta} e^{-2x/\theta}, \quad 0 < x < \infty.$$

The conditional p.d.f. of Y given $X = x$ is

$$f(y|x) = \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-(y-x)/\theta}, \quad 0 < x < y < \infty,$$

Thus the conditional expected value

$$E(Y|x) = \int_x^{+\infty} y \cdot \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-(y-x)/\theta} dy = x + \theta.$$

In fact, X is exponential distribution with parameter $\theta/2$, so the expected value of $X + \theta$ is

$$E(X + \theta) = \theta/2 + \theta = 3\theta/2,$$

And the variance of $X + \theta$ is

$$D(X + \theta) = D(X) = \theta^2/4,$$

This completes the proof.

Section 7.4. Completeness and Uniqueness

7.23. If $az^2 + bz + c = 0$ for more than two values of z , then $a = b = c = 0$. Use this result to show that the family $\{b(2, \theta) : 0 < \theta < 1\}$ is complete.

Solution

Let X be a binomial distribution with parameters 2 and θ , that is, $X \sim b(2, \theta)$. Suppose that there is a statistic $u(X)$ such that $E(u(X)) = 0$, for every $0 < \theta < 1$. Then

$$E(u(X)) = u(0)\binom{2}{0}\theta^0(1-\theta)^2 + u(1)\binom{2}{1}\theta^1(1-\theta)^1 + u(2)\binom{2}{2}\theta^2(1-\theta)^0 = 0,$$

Equivalently, we have

$$(u(0) - 2u(1) + u(2))\theta^2 + (-2u(0) + 2u(1))\theta + u(0) = 0,$$

The equation holds for every $0 < \theta < 1$, from the preceding discussion we know

$$u(0) = u(1) = u(2) = 0,$$

this implies that the family $\{b(2, \theta) : 0 < \theta < 1\}$ is complete.

7.24. Show that each of the following families is not complete by finding at least one nonzero function $u(x)$ such that $E(u(X)) = 0$, for all $\theta > 0$.

(a)

$$f(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{2\theta}, \quad -\theta < x < \theta, \text{ where } 0 < \theta < \infty \\ = 0, \quad \text{elsewhere.}$$

(b) $N(0, \theta)$, where $0 < \theta < \infty$.

Solution

(a) Here let $u(X) = -X$, it is obvious that $E(u(X)) = 0$, for all $\theta > 0$, but $u(x) \neq 0$, $-\theta < x < \theta$, for any $\theta > 0$.

(b) Here let $u(X) = -X$, it is obvious that $E(u(X)) = 0$, for all $\theta > 0$, but $u(x) \neq 0$, $-\theta < x < \theta$, for any $\theta > 0$.

7.25. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n represent a random sample from the discrete distribution having the probability density function

$$f(x; \theta) = \theta^x (1-\theta)^{1-x}, \quad x = 0, 1, \quad 0 < \theta < 1, \\ = 0, \quad \text{elsewhere.}$$

Show that $Y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a complete sufficient statistic for θ . Find the unique function of Y_1 that is the unbiased

minimum variance estimator of θ .

Solution

It follows from the factorization theorem that the statistic $Y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a sufficient statistic for θ , and the statistic

$Y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is binomial distribution with parameters n and θ .

Suppose that there exists a statistic $u(Y_1)$ such that $E(u(Y_1)) = 0$, so we have

$$E(u(Y_1)) = \sum_{i=0}^n u(i) \Pr(Y_1 = i) = \sum_{i=0}^n u(i) \binom{n}{i} \theta^i (1-\theta)^{n-i} = u(0)(1-\theta)^n + u(1)\theta(1-\theta)^{n-1} + \dots + u(n)\theta^n = 0.$$

Obviously, the constant in the preceding equation is $u(0)$ and every term in the equation is nonnegative, so we have $u(0) = 0$, thus the equation becomes the following

$$u(1)\theta(1-\theta)^{n-1} + \dots + u(n)\theta^n = 0,$$

Divide both members of the equation by $\theta \neq 0$, we obtain

$$u(1)(1-\theta)^{n-1} + \dots + u(n)\theta^{n-1} = 0,$$

which implies that the coefficient $u(1) = 0$. Repeat the process n times, we can get

$$u(0) = u(1) = \dots = u(n) = 0,$$

This proves that the sufficient statistic $Y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a complete sufficient statistic for θ .

7.26. Consider the family of probability density functions $\{h(z; \theta) : \theta \in \Omega\}$, where $h(z; \theta) = 1/\theta, 0 < z < \theta$, zero elsewhere.

(a) Show that the family is complete provided that $\Omega = \{\theta : 0 < \theta < \infty\}$.

(b) Show that this family is not complete if $\Omega = \{\theta : 1 < \theta < \infty\}$.

Solution

(a) Suppose that there exists a statistic $u(Z)$ such that $E(u(Z)) = 0$ for all $\theta > 0$, that is,

$$E[u(Z)] = \int_0^\theta u(z) \frac{1}{\theta} dz = \frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^\theta u(z) dz = 0, \text{ for all } \theta > 0,$$

From the formulation, we have

$$\int_0^\theta u(z) dz = 0, \text{ for all } \theta > 0.$$

Note that the derivative of $E(u(Z))$ with respect to θ is equal to zero also, thus

$$u(\theta) = 0, \text{ for all } \theta > 0.$$

This implies that the family of probability density function is complete provided that $\Omega = \{\theta : 0 < \theta < \infty\}$.

(b) By the similar reasoning, we can obtain

$$\int_0^\theta u(z) dz = 0, \text{ for all } \theta > 1,$$

Since

$$\int_0^\theta u(z) dz = \int_0^1 u(z) dz + \int_1^\theta u(z) dz = 0, \text{ for all } \theta > 1,$$

We can construct the function

$$u(z) = \begin{cases} 1-2z, & 0 < z < 1 \\ 0, & 1 < z < \theta \end{cases}.$$

It is obvious that the function $u(Z)$ satisfies $E(u(Z)) = 0$ for all $\theta > 0$, whereas $u(Z) \neq 0$ for all $\theta > 1$, this prove that the family is not complete if $\Omega = \{\theta : 1 < \theta < \infty\}$.

Section 7.5. The Exponential Class of Probability Density Functions

7.29. Write the p.d.f

$$f(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{6\theta^4} x^3 e^{-x/\theta}, 0 < x < \infty, 0 < \theta < \infty,$$

Zero elsewhere, in the exponential form. If X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is a random sample from this distribution, find a complete sufficient statistic Y_1 for θ and the unique function $\varphi(Y_1)$ of this statistic that is the unbiased minimum variance estimator of θ . Is $\varphi(Y_1)$ itself a complete sufficient statistic?

Solution

The p.d.f. of the distribution can be converted into the following form

$$f(x; \theta) = \exp(-x/\theta + 3 \ln x - \ln 6\theta^4), 0 < x < \infty, 0 < \theta < \infty,$$

So the statistic $Y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a complete sufficient statistic for θ .

In fact, the population is gamma distribution with parameters 4 and θ , so the expected value of the distribution is $E(X) = 4\theta$, thus

$$E(Y_1) = E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) = 4n\theta, \quad E(Y_1 / 4n) = \theta,$$

So we obtain the unique unbiased minimum variance estimator of θ $\varphi(Y_1) = Y_1 / 4n$.

Here, the statistic $\varphi(Y_1)$ itself is also a complete sufficient statistic for θ .

7.30. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n denote a random sample of size $n > 1$ from a distribution with p.d.f.

$f(x; \theta) = \theta e^{-\theta x}, 0 < x < \infty$, zero elsewhere, and $\theta > 0$. Then $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a sufficient statistic for θ . Prove that

$(n-1)/Y$ is the unbiased minimum variance estimator of θ .

Solution

Since

$$f(x, \theta) = \exp(-\theta x + \ln \theta),$$

Thus,

$$Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

is a sufficient complete statistics of θ .

In fact, Y is Gamma distribution with parameters n and $\frac{1}{\theta}$, so

$$E(n-1/Y) = (n-1)E(1/Y) = (n-1) \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{y} \frac{\theta^n}{\Gamma(n)} y^{n-1} e^{-\theta y} dy = \theta,$$

In accordance with the Rao-Blackwell theorem, we have $(n-1)/Y$ is the unbiased minimum variance estimator of θ .

7.31. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n denote a random sample of size n from a distribution with p.d.f.

$f(x; \theta) = \theta x^{\theta-1}$, $0 < x < 1$, zero elsewhere, and $\theta > 0$.

(a) Show that the *geometric mean* $(X_1 X_2 \cdots X_n)^{1/n}$ of the sample is a complete sufficient statistic for θ .

(b) Find the maximum likelihood estimator of θ , and observe that it is a function of this geometric mean.

Solution

(a) Since the p.d.f. can be changed into the following formula

$$f(x; \theta) = \exp((\theta-1) \ln x + \ln \theta), \quad 0 < x < 1,$$

So the statistic $\sum_{i=1}^n \ln X_i = \ln(X_1 X_2 \cdots X_n)$ is a complete sufficient statistic for θ , furthermore, the geometric mean

$(X_1 X_2 \cdots X_n)^{1/n}$ of the sample which is a monotonic increasing function of $\ln(X_1 X_2 \cdots X_n)$ is also a complete sufficient statistic for θ .

(b) The likelihood function of the distribution is

$$L(\theta) = \theta^n (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n)^{\theta-1}, \quad 0 < x_i < 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

The logarithm likelihood function is

$$\ln L(\theta) = n \ln \theta + (\theta-1) \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i, \quad 0 < x_i < 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

The derivative of the logarithm likelihood function with respect to θ is

$$\frac{d \ln L(\theta)}{d\theta} = \frac{n}{\theta} + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i,$$

Let $\frac{d \ln L(\theta)}{d\theta} = 0$ whose solution is

$$\hat{\theta} = -\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i} = -\frac{1}{\ln(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n)^{1/n}},$$

which is a function of this geometric mean.

7.32. Let \bar{X} denote the mean of the random sample X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n from a gamma-type distribution with parameters $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta = \theta > 0$. Compute $E[X_1 | \bar{x}]$.

Solution

The likelihood function of the population is

$$L(\theta) = \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\theta^\alpha}\right)^n (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\sum x_i / \theta},$$

By the factorization theorem, we know that the statistic \bar{X} is a sufficient statistic for θ , and it is not difficult to prove that

$$E(\bar{X} / \alpha) = \theta.$$

In fact, the population is gamma distribution with parameters $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta = \theta > 0$, that is, $X \sim \Gamma(\alpha, \theta)$.

So we have $E(X_1) = E(X) = \alpha\theta$, thus by the Rao and Blackwell theorem, we have

$$E[X_1 | \bar{x}] = \alpha \cdot \bar{X} / \alpha = \bar{X}.$$

7.33. Let X be a random variable with a p.d.f. of a regular case of the exponential class. Show that $E[K(X)] = -q'(\theta) / p'(\theta)$, provided these derivatives exist, by differentiating both member of the equality

$$\int_a^b \exp[p(\theta)K(x) + S(x) + q(\theta)]dx = 1 \quad (1)$$

with respect to θ . By a second differentiation, find the variance of $K(X)$.

Solution

By differentiating both member of the equality

$$\int_a^b \exp[p(\theta)K(x) + S(x) + q(\theta)]dx = 1$$

with respect to θ , we have

$$\int_a^b [K(x)p'(\theta) + q'(\theta)] \exp[p(\theta)K(x) + S(x) + q(\theta)]dx = 0, \quad (2)$$

this indicates that

$$E[K(X)p'(\theta) + q'(\theta)] = 0,$$

equivalently,

$$E[K(X)] = -q'(\theta) / p'(\theta).$$

By a second differentiation of the equality (2), we obtain

$$\int_a^b \{ [K(x)p''(\theta) + q''(\theta)] \exp[p(\theta)K(x) + S(x) + q(\theta)] + [K(x)p'(\theta) + q'(\theta)]^2 \exp[p(\theta)K(x) + S(x) + q(\theta)] \} dx = 0 \quad (3)$$

from which we can deduce the variance of $K(X)$,

$$D(K(X)) = \frac{p''(\theta)q'(\theta) - p'(\theta)q''(\theta)}{[p'(\theta)]^3}.$$

7.34. Given that $f(x; \theta) = \exp[\theta K(x) + S(x) + q(\theta)]$, $a < x < b$, $\gamma < \theta < \delta$, represents a regular case of the exponential class, show that the moment-generating function $M(t)$ of $Y = K(X)$ is

$$M(t) = \exp[q(\theta) - q(\theta + t)], \gamma < \theta + t < \delta.$$

Solution

By the definition of the moment-generating function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(t) &= E(e^{tK(X)}) = \int_a^b e^{tK(x)} \exp[\theta K(x) + S(x) + q(\theta)]dx \\ &= \int_a^b \exp[(\theta + t)K(x) + S(x) + q(\theta + t) + q(\theta) - q(\theta + t)]dx \\ &= \exp[q(\theta) - q(\theta + t)], \gamma < \theta + t < \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we complete the proof.

7.35. Given, in the preceding exercise, that $E(Y) = E[K(X)] = \theta$. Prove that Y is $N(\theta, 1)$.

Solution

In the preceding exercise,

$$M'(t) = -q'(\theta + t) \exp[q(\theta) - q(\theta + t)],$$

Since

$$M'(0) = E(Y).$$

Thus we obtain the differential equation

$$-q'(\theta) = \theta,$$

So we obtain

$$q(\theta) = -\theta^2 / 2,$$

Thus the moment-generating of $Y = K(X)$ is

$$M(t) = \exp(\theta t + t^2 / 2),$$

which is exact the moment- generating of the normal distribution with mean θ and variance 1, that is $Y = K(X) \sim N(\theta, 1)$.

7.36. If X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is a random sample from a distribution that has a p.d.f. which is a regular case of the

exponential class, show that the p.d.f. of $Y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n K(X_i)$ is of the form $g_1(y_1; \theta) = R(y_1) \exp[p(\theta)y_1 + nq(\theta)]$.

Solution

The joint p.d.f. of the sample X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \exp[p(\theta) \sum_{i=1}^n K(x_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n S(x_i) + nq(\theta)].$$

Let $Y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n K(X_i)$ and $Y_2 = X_2, Y_3 = X_3, \dots, Y_n = X_n$, then the Jacobian of the transformation is

$$|J| = J(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n),$$

then the joint p.d.f. of the sample Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n is

$$f(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) = \exp[p(\theta)y_1 + \sum_{i=1}^n S(u^{-1}(y_i)) + nq(\theta)]J(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n),$$

The marginal p.d.f. of the statistic $Y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n K(X_i)$ is

$$g_1(y_1; \theta) = \int \int \dots \int_{R^{n-1}} f(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) dy_2 \dots dy_n = R(y_1) \exp[p(\theta)y_1 + nq(\theta)].$$

7.37. Let Y denote the median and let \bar{X} denote the mean of a random sample of size $n = 2k + 1$ from a distribution that is $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Compute $E[Y | \bar{x}]$.

Solution

7.38. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a distribution with p. d. f.

$$f(x; \theta) = \theta^2 x e^{-\theta x}, 0 < x < \infty, \text{ where } \theta > 0.$$

(a) Argue that $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a complete sufficient statistic for θ .

(b) Compute $E(1/Y)$ and find the function of Y which is the unique unbiased minimum variance estimator of θ .

Solution

(a) since

$$f(x; \theta) = \exp\{-\theta x + \ln x + 2 \ln \theta\}$$

So $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a complete sufficient statistic for θ .

(b) In fact, X_i is gamma distribution with parameters 2 and $1/\theta$, thus

$Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is also gamma distribution with parameters $2n$ and $1/\theta$.

$$E(1/Y) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{y} \frac{\theta^{2n}}{\Gamma(2n)} y^{2n-1} e^{-\theta y} dy = \frac{\theta}{2n-1}$$

Accordingly, $E((2n-1)/Y) = \theta$, $\frac{2n-1}{Y}$ is the unique unbiased minimum variance estimator of θ .

7.39. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n , $n > 2$, be a random sample from the binomial distribution $b(1, \theta)$.

- (a) Show that $Y_1 = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$ is a complete sufficient statistic for θ .
- (b) Find the function $\varphi(Y_1)$ which is the unbiased minimum variance estimator of θ .
- (c) Let $Y_2 = (X_1 + X_2)/2$ and compute $E(Y_2)$.
- (d) Determine $E(Y_2 | Y_1 = y_1)$.

Solution

(a) The p.d.f. can be changed into the form

$$\theta^x (1-\theta)^{1-x} = \exp\left[x \ln \frac{\theta}{1-\theta} + \ln(1-\theta)\right],$$

from which we can know that the statistic $Y_1 = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$ is a complete sufficient statistic for θ .

(b) In fact, $Y_1 = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n \sim b(n, \theta)$, so we have

$$E(Y_1) = n\theta, \quad E(Y_1/n) = \theta.$$

It follows the Lehmann and Scheffe theorem that

$$\varphi(Y_1) = Y_1/n = \bar{X}$$

is the unbiased minimum variance estimator of θ .

(c) It is very easy to verify that $E(Y_2) = \theta$.

(d) By the Rao and Blackwell theorem, we have

$$E(Y_2 | Y_1 = y_1) = \varphi(y_1) = y_1/n = \bar{x}.$$

ADDITIONAL EXERCISES

7.68. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n denote a random sample of size $n > 1$ from a distribution with p.d.f.

$$f(x; \theta) = \theta e^{-\theta x}, \quad 0 < x < \infty, \text{ zero elsewhere, and } \theta > 0.$$

(a) What is the complete sufficient statistic, say Y , for θ ?

(b) What function of Y is an unbiased estimator of θ ?

Solution

(a) Since

$$f(x; \theta) = \theta e^{-\theta x} = \exp\{-\theta x + \ln \theta\}$$

So $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a complete sufficient estimator for θ .

(b) In fact, according to the property of gamma distribution, we have

$Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is gamma distribution with parameters n and $1/\theta$.

then

$$E((n-1)/Y) = \int_0^\infty \frac{n-1}{y} \frac{\theta^n}{\Gamma(n)} y^{n-1} e^{-\theta y} dy = \theta$$

This indicates that $(n-1)/Y$ is the unbiased minimum variance estimator of θ .

7.69. Let $Y_1 < Y_2 < \dots < Y_n$ be the order statistics of a random sample of size n from a distribution with p.d.f.

$f(x; \theta) = 1/\theta, 0 < x < \theta$, zero elsewhere. The statistics Y_n is a complete sufficient statistics for θ and it has p.d.f.

$$g(y_n; \theta) = \frac{n y_n^{n-1}}{\theta^n}, \quad 0 < y_n < \theta,$$

and zero elsewhere.

(a) Find the distribution function $H_n(z; \theta)$ of $Z = n(\theta - Y_n)$.

(b) Find the $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n(z; \theta)$ and thus the limiting distribution of Z .

Solution

(a) Since $Z = n(\theta - Y_n)$, we have the p.d.f. of Z is

$$h(z; \theta) = \frac{n(n\theta - z)^{n-1}}{(n\theta)^n}, \quad 0 < z < n\theta.$$

Thus the distribution function of Z is

$$H_n(z; \theta) = \int_0^z \frac{n(n\theta - t)^{n-1}}{(n\theta)^n} dt = 1 - (1 - \frac{z}{n\theta})^n, \quad 0 < z < n\theta.$$

(b) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n(z; \theta) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 - (1 - \frac{z}{n\theta})^n = 1 - e^{-z/\theta}$, thus the limiting distribution of Z is

exponential distribution with mean θ .

7.71. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample of size n from a Poisson distribution with mean θ . Find the conditional expectation $E[X_1 + 2X_2 + 3X_3 \mid \sum_{i=1}^n X_i]$.

Solution

It follows from the factorization theorem that the sum of the sample $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a sufficient statistic for θ , and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim P(n\theta), \text{ so } E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) = n\theta, E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) = \theta.$$

Moreover, $E[X_1 + 2X_2 + 3X_3] = 6\theta$, thus we have

$$E[X_1 + 2X_2 + 3X_3 \mid \sum_{i=1}^n X_i] = \frac{6}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i.$$

7.72. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample of size n from the normal distribution $N(\theta, 1)$. Find the unbiased minimum variance estimator of θ^2 .

Solution

The p.d.f. of the distribution can be changed into the following formula

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(x-\theta)^2/2} = \exp[\theta x - x^2/2 - \theta^2/2 - \ln 2\pi/2],$$

It is easy seen that the statistic $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a complete sufficient statistic for θ^2 .

In fact, $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim N(\theta, \frac{1}{n})$, and $E(\bar{X}) = \theta$, $D(\bar{X}) = \frac{1}{n}$, so $E(\bar{X})^2 = D(\bar{X}) + [E(\bar{X})]^2 = \theta^2 + \frac{1}{n}$, thus we have

$$E[(\bar{X})^2 - \frac{1}{n}] = \theta^2,$$

So the statistic $(\bar{X})^2 - \frac{1}{n}$ is the unbiased minimum variance estimator of θ^2 .

7.73. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample of size n from a Poisson distribution with mean θ . Find the unbiased minimum variance estimator of θ^2 .

Solution

The p.d.f. of the population can be changed into

$$\frac{\theta^x}{x!} e^{-\theta} = \exp[x \ln \theta - \ln x! - \theta],$$

So the statistic $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a complete sufficient statistic for θ^2 , and $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is Poisson distribution with mean $n\theta$,

$$E(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i) = D(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i) = n\theta, E(\bar{X})^2 = D(\bar{X}) + [E(\bar{X})]^2 = \theta^2 + \frac{\theta}{n}.$$

So we can construct the statistic $(\bar{X})^2 - \bar{X}/n$ such that $E[(\bar{X})^2 - \bar{X}/n] = \theta^2$, so the unbiased minimum variance estimator of θ^2 is $(\bar{X})^2 - \bar{X}/n$.

7.76. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a distribution with p.d.f.

$$f(x; \theta) = \theta^x (1 - \theta), x = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \text{ zero elsewhere, where } 0 \leq \theta \leq 1.$$

(a) Find the m.l.e. $\hat{\theta}$ of θ .

(b) Show that $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a complete sufficient statistic for θ .

(c) Determine the unbiased minimum variance estimator of θ .

Solution

The likelihood function of the population is

$$L(\theta) = \theta^{\sum X_i} (1 - \theta)^n,$$

The logarithm likelihood function is

$$\ln L(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \cdot \ln \theta + n \ln(1 - \theta),$$

Let the derivative of the function $\ln L(\theta)$ with respect to θ be equate to zero, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n X_i / n - \frac{n}{1 - \theta} = 0,$$

whose solution is

$$\hat{\theta} = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i / (n + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i),$$

the statistic is exact the m.l.e. of θ .

(b) The p.d.f. of the population can be changed into

$$\theta^x (1 - \theta) = \exp[x \ln \theta + \ln(1 - \theta)],$$

So the statistic $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a complete sufficient statistic for θ .

(b) The expected value of the population is

$$E(X) = \frac{\theta}{1 - \theta},$$

Chapter 8 More About Estimation

Section 8.1 Bayesian Estimation

8.1. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a distribution that is $b(1, \theta)$. Let the prior p.d.f. of Θ be a beta one with parameters α and β . Show that the posterior p.d.f. $k(\theta | x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is exactly the same as $k(\theta | y)$ given in Example 2.

Solution

The conditional p.d.f. of Θ , given $X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_n = x_n$, is

$$k(\theta | x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \propto \theta^{\sum x_i} (1-\theta)^{n-\sum x_i} \theta^{\alpha-1} (1-\theta)^{\beta-1} \propto \theta^{\sum x_i + \alpha - 1} (1-\theta)^{n - \sum x_i + \beta - 1}$$

Provided that $0 < \theta < \infty$, and is equal to zero elsewhere. This conditional p.d.f. is one of the beta type with parameters $\sum x_i + \alpha$ and $n - \sum x_i + \beta$.

We can see that the the posterior p.d.f. $k(\theta | x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is exactly the same as $k(\theta | y)$ given in Example 2.

8.2. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n denote a random sample from a distribution that is $N(\theta, \sigma^2)$, $-\infty < \theta < \infty$, where σ^2 is a given positive number. Let $Y = \bar{X}$, the mean of the random sample. Take the loss function to be $L[\theta, \delta(y)] = |\theta - \delta(y)|$. If θ is an observed value of the random variable Θ that is $N(\mu, \tau^2)$, where $\tau^2 > 0$ and μ are known numbers, find the Bayes' solution $\delta(y)$ for a point estimate of θ .

Solution

The sufficient statistic of θ is $Y = \bar{X}$, and $\bar{X} \sim N(\theta, \sigma^2 / n)$. If the prior p.d.f. is taken as $N(\mu, \tau^2)$, then

$$k(\theta | y) \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\mu} \exp \left[-\frac{(y-\theta)^2}{2(\sigma^2/n)} - \frac{(\theta-\mu)^2}{2\tau^2} \right] \propto \exp \left[-\frac{\left(\theta - \frac{y\tau^2 + \mu\sigma^2/n}{\tau^2 + \sigma^2/n} \right)^2}{\frac{2(\sigma^2/n)\tau^2}{(\tau^2 + \sigma^2/n)}} \right].$$

That is, the posterior p.d.f. of the parameter is obviously normal with mean $\frac{y\tau^2 + \mu\sigma^2/n}{\tau^2 + \sigma^2/n}$ and variance

$\frac{2(\sigma^2/n)\tau^2}{(\tau^2 + \sigma^2/n)}$. If we take the loss function to be $L[\theta, \delta(y)] = |\theta - \delta(y)|$, then the Bayes' solution $\delta(y)$ for a point

estimate of θ is

$$\frac{y\tau^2 + \mu\sigma^2/n}{\tau^2 + \sigma^2/n}.$$

8.3. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n denote a random sample from a Poisson distribution with mean θ , $0 < \theta < \infty$. Let and take the loss function to be $L(\theta, \delta(Y)) = [\theta - \delta(Y)]^2$. Let θ be an observed value of the random variable Θ . If

Θ has the p.d.f. $h(\theta) = \theta^{\alpha-1} e^{-\theta/\beta} / \Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha$, $0 < \theta < \infty$, zero elsewhere, where $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$. Find the Bayes'

solution $\delta(y)$ for a point estimate of θ .

Solution

In accordance with the factorization theorem of Neyman, it is easily proved that

$$Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

is a sufficient of θ .

Here $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is Poisson distribution with mean $n\theta$, this means that the p.d.f. of Y is

$$f(y; \theta) = \frac{(n\theta)^y}{y!} e^{-n\theta}, y = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Since the prior distribution of Θ is $h(\theta) = \theta^{\alpha-1} e^{-\theta/\beta} / \Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha, 0 < \theta < \infty$,

So the posterior distribution of Θ is

$$k(\theta | y) \propto (n\theta)^y \theta^{\alpha-1} e^{-n\theta} e^{-\theta/\beta} \propto \theta^{y+\alpha-1} e^{-\theta/(n\beta+1)}$$

This explains that the posterior distribution of Θ is gamma distribution with parameters $y + \alpha$ and $\frac{\beta}{n\beta + 1}$.

If we take the loss function to be $L(\theta, \delta(Y)) = [\theta - \delta(Y)]^2$, then the Bayes' solution $\delta(y)$ is

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{\beta(Y + \alpha)}{n\beta + 1}.$$

8.4. Let Y_n be the n th order statistic of a random sample of size n from a distribution with p.d.f.

$f(x; \theta) = 1/\theta, 0 < x < \theta$, zero elsewhere. Take the loss function to be $L(\theta, \delta(Y_n)) = [\theta - \delta(Y_n)]^2$. let Θ have p.d.f.

$h(\theta) = \beta \alpha^\beta / \theta^{\beta+1}, \alpha < \theta < \infty$, with $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$. Find the Bayes' solution $\delta(y_n)$ for a point estimate of θ .

Solution

In accordance with the factorization theorem of Neyman, it is easily proved that Y_n is a sufficient of θ . The p.d.f. of Y_n is

$$g(y; \theta) = \frac{ny^{n-1}}{\theta^n}, \quad 0 < y < \theta$$

Since the prior distribution of Θ is $h(\theta) = \beta \alpha^\beta / \theta^{\beta+1}, \alpha < \theta < \infty$, So the posterior distribution of Θ is

$$k(\theta | y) \propto \frac{1}{\theta^{n+\beta+1}}, y < \theta$$

This explains that the posterior distribution of Θ is $k(\theta | y) = (n + \beta)y^{n+\beta} / \theta^{n+\beta+1}, y < \theta$

If we take the loss function to be $L(\theta, \delta(Y)) = [\theta - \delta(Y)]^2$, then the Bayes' solution is

$$\hat{\theta} = E(\Theta | y) = \int_y^\infty (n + \beta)y^{n+\beta} / \theta^{n+\beta+1} d\theta = \frac{n + \beta}{n + \beta - 1} Y_n.$$

8.5. Let Y_1 and Y_2 be statistics that have a trinomial distribution with parameters n, θ_1 , and θ_2 . Here θ_1 and

θ_2 are observed values of the random variables Θ_1 and Θ_2 , which have a Dirichlet distribution with known parameters α_1, α_2 , and α_3 . Show that the conditional distribution of Θ_1 and Θ_2 is Dirichlet distribution and determine the conditional means $E(\Theta_1 | y_1, y_2)$ and $E(\Theta_2 | y_1, y_2)$.

Solution

8.9. Let Y_4 be the largest order statistic of a sample of size $n=4$ from a distribution with uniform p.d.f. $f(x; \theta) = 1/\theta, 0 < x < \theta$, zero elsewhere. If the prior p.d.f. of the parameter is $g(\theta) = 2/\theta^3, 1 < \theta < \infty$, zero elsewhere, find the Bayesian estimator $\delta(Y_4)$ of θ , based upon the sufficient statistic Y_4 , using the loss function $L[\theta, \delta(y)] = |\theta - \delta(y)|$.

Solution

If $y \leq 1$, the posterior distribution of Θ is

$$k(\theta | y) \propto \frac{2}{\theta^3}, \quad 0 < y < \theta, 1 < \theta < \infty,$$

Then the distribution function of the posterior distribution is

If $y \leq 1$, $F(\theta) =$

If we take the loss function to be $L[\theta, \delta(y)] = |\theta - \delta(y)|$, then the Bayes' solution $\delta(y)$ is the median of the posterior distribution

Section 8.2 Fisher Information and the Rao-Cramer Inequality

8.11. Prove that \bar{X} , the mean of a random sample of size n from a distribution that is $N(\theta, \sigma^2)$, is, for every known $\sigma^2 > 0$, an efficient estimator of θ .

Solution

The p.d.f. of the population

$$f(x|\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{(x-\theta)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right], \quad -\infty < x < \infty,$$

where $-\infty < \theta < \infty$, and

$$\ln f(x|\theta) = -\frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{(x-\theta)^2}{2\sigma^2},$$

Thus

$$\frac{\partial \ln f(x|\theta)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{x-\theta}{\sigma^2}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ln f(x|\theta)}{\partial \theta^2} = -\frac{1}{\sigma^2}.$$

So

$$I(\theta) = -E\left[\frac{\partial^2 \ln f(x|\theta)}{\partial \theta^2}\right] = \frac{1}{\sigma^2}.$$

On one hand,

$$E(\bar{X}) = \theta$$

which indicates that \bar{X} is an unbiased estimator of θ .

On the other hand,

$$D(\bar{X}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} = \frac{1}{nI(\theta)}$$

This implies that the variance of \bar{X} achieves the lower bound of the Rao-Cramer inequality, so \bar{X} is an efficient estimator of θ .

8.2. Show that the mean \bar{X} of a random sample of size n from a distribution which is $b(1, \theta)$, $0 < \theta < 1$, is an efficient estimator of θ .

Solution

Firstly, we have

$$E\bar{X} = EX = \theta.$$

Then

$$I(\theta) = -E\left(\frac{\partial^2 \ln f(X|\theta)}{\partial \theta^2}\right) = \frac{1}{\theta(1-\theta)}$$

So the Rao-Cramer lower bound is

$$\frac{1}{nI(\theta)} = \frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{n}$$

Furthermore,

$$D(\bar{X}) = \frac{D(X)}{n} = \frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{n}$$

This indicates that the variance of \bar{X} achieves the Rao-Cramer lower bound, so the mean \bar{X} of a random sample of size n is an efficient estimator of θ .

8.14. Given the p.d.f.

$$f(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{\pi[1+(x-\theta)^2]}, -\infty < x < \infty, -\infty < \theta < \infty.$$

Show that the Rao-Cramer lower bound is $2/n$, where n is the size of a random sample from Cauchy distribution.

Solution

Since

$$f(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{\pi[1+(x-\theta)^2]}, -\infty < x < \infty, -\infty < \theta < \infty.$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \ln f(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{2(x-\theta)}{1+(x-\theta)^2},$$

So the Fisher information is

$$I(\theta) = E\left(\frac{\partial \ln f(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{2},$$

Thus the Rao-Cramer lower bound is

$$\frac{1}{nI(\theta)} = \frac{2}{n}.$$

8.15. Let X have a gamma distribution with $\alpha = 4$ and $\beta = \theta > 0$.

(a) Find the Fisher information $I(\theta)$.

(b) If X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is a random sample from this distribution, show that the m.l.e. of θ is an efficient estimator of θ .

Solution

(a)

$$f(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{6\theta^4} x^3 e^{-x/\theta}, x > 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ln f(X; \theta)}{\partial \theta^2} = \frac{4}{\theta^2} - \frac{2X}{\theta^3}$$

$$I(\theta) = -E\left(\frac{\partial^2 \ln f(X; \theta)}{\partial \theta^2}\right) = \frac{4}{\theta^2}$$

(b) It is easily proved that the m.l.e. of θ is $\hat{\theta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{4n}$

and that

$$E(\hat{\theta}) = \theta$$

$$D(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{16n} 4\theta^2 = \frac{\theta^2}{4n} = \frac{1}{nI(\theta)}$$

This indicates that the m.l.e. of θ is an efficient estimator for θ .

8.16. Let X be $N(0, \theta)$, $0 < \theta < \infty$.

(a) Find the Fisher information $I(\theta)$.

(b) If X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is a random sample from this distribution, show that the m.l.e. of θ is an efficient estimator of θ .

Solution

(a) The p.d.f. of X is

$$f(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\theta}}, -\infty < x < \infty, 0 < \theta < \infty$$

$$\ln f(x; \theta) = -\frac{x^2}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi\theta)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \ln f(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{x^2}{2\theta^2} - \frac{1}{2\theta}, \\ \frac{\partial^2 \ln f(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta^2} &= \frac{-x^2}{\theta^3} + \frac{1}{2\theta^2} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} I(\theta) &= -E\left[\frac{\partial^2 \ln f(X; \theta)}{\partial \theta^2}\right] = E\left[\frac{X^2}{\theta^3} - \frac{1}{2\theta^2}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta^3} E(X^2) - \frac{1}{2\theta^2} = \frac{\theta}{\theta^3} - \frac{1}{2\theta^2} = \frac{1}{2\theta^2} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $I(\theta)$ is $\frac{1}{2\theta^2}$.

(b) since $I(\theta)$ is $\frac{1}{2\theta^2}$, then the Rao-Cramer lower bound $\frac{1}{nI(\theta)}$ is $\frac{2\theta^2}{n}$.

and X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is a random sample from this distribution, so the likelihood function is

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) &= f(x_1; \theta) f(x_2; \theta) \cdots f(x_n; \theta) \\ &= (2\pi\theta)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^2}{2\theta}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\ln L(\theta; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi\theta) - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^2}{2\theta}$$

We note that $L(\theta; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $\ln L(\theta; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is maximized at the value of θ . so it may be easier to solve

$$\frac{d \ln L(\theta; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}{d\theta} = 0$$

and we have $\frac{d \ln L(\theta; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}{d\theta} = -\frac{n}{2\theta} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{2\theta^2} = \frac{-n\theta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{2\theta^2} = 0$, the solution of the parameter is

$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{n}$. Thus the statistic $\hat{\theta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2}{n}$ is the m.l.e. of θ .

It is easily shown that $E\hat{\theta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i^2)}{n} = E(X_i^2) = \theta$, so $\hat{\theta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2}{n}$ is an unbiased estimator of θ and the variance of $\hat{\theta}$ is

$$\text{var}(\hat{\theta}) = \text{var}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2}{n}\right) = \frac{\text{var}(X_i^2)}{n} = \frac{E(X_i^4) - [E(X_i^2)]^2}{n} = \frac{3\theta^2 - \theta^2}{n} = \frac{2\theta^2}{n}$$

Thus

$$\text{var}(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{nI(\theta)}.$$

So the m.l.e. of θ is an efficient estimator of θ .

8.3. Limiting Distribution of Maximum Likelihood Estimation

8.17. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample from each of the following distributions. In each case, find the m.l.e.

$\hat{\theta}$, $\text{var}(\hat{\theta})$, $1/nI(\theta)$, where $I(\theta)$ is the Fisher information of a single observation X , and compare $\text{var}(\hat{\theta})$ and $1/nI(\theta)$.

(a) $b(1, \theta)$, $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$.

(b) $N(\theta, 1)$, $-\infty < \theta < \infty$.

(c) $N(0, \theta)$, $0 < \theta < \infty$.

(d) Gamma ($\alpha = 5, \beta = \theta$), $0 < \theta < \infty$.

Solution

(a),

The m.l.e. of θ is $\hat{\theta} = \bar{X}$, and $\text{var}(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{n}$, $\frac{1}{nI(\theta)} = \frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{n}$. So $\text{var}(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{nI(\theta)} = \frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{n}$.

(b)

The m.l.e. of θ is

$$\hat{\theta} = \bar{X},$$

and $\bar{X} \sim N(\theta, 1/n)$, thus $\text{var}(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{n}$, and $I(\theta) = 1$, so $\text{var}(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{nI(\theta)} = \frac{1}{n}$.

(c) The m.l.e. of θ is

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2,$$

$$\text{var}(\hat{\theta}) = \text{var}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2\right) = \text{var}\left(\frac{\theta}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2\right) = \frac{2\theta^2}{n}.$$

The Fisher information of a single observation X is

$I(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\theta^2}$. So the lower bound of Rao-Cramer inequality is

$$1/nI(\theta) = \frac{2\theta^2}{n} = \text{var}(\hat{\theta}).$$

(d)

The m.l.e. of θ is

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{5n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i.$$

And $\text{var}(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{\theta^2}{5n}$.

The Fisher information of X is

$$I(\theta) = \frac{5}{\theta^2},$$

Thus the lower bound of the Rao-Cramer inequality is

$$1/nI(\theta) = \frac{\theta^2}{5n}. \text{ So } \text{var}(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{nI(\theta)} = \frac{\theta^2}{5n}.$$

8.18. Referring to Exercise 8.17 and using the fact that $\hat{\theta}$ has an approximate $N[\theta, 1/nI(\theta)]$, in each case construct an approximate 95 percent confidence interval for θ .

Solution

(a)

It follows from the central limiting theorem that the m.l.e. \bar{X} has a limiting distribution as following

$$\bar{X} \rightarrow N\left(\theta, \frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{n}\right),$$

8.19. Let $(X_1, Y_1), (X_2, Y_2), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$ be a random sample from a bivariate normal distribution with unknown means θ_1 and θ_2 and with known variance and correlation coefficient, σ_1^2, σ_2^2 , and ρ , respectively. Find

the maximum likelihood estimator $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$ of θ_1 and θ_2 and their approximate variance-covariance matrix.

In this case, does the latter provide the exact variance and covariance?

Solution

8.20. Let $(X_1, Y_1), (X_2, Y_2), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$ be a random sample from a bivariate normal distribution with means equal to zero and variances θ_1 and θ_2 , respectively and known correlation coefficient ρ . Find the maximum

likelihood estimator $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$ of θ_1 and θ_2 and their approximate variance-covariance matrix.

Solution

Chapter 9 Theory of statistical Tests

Section 9.1 Certain Best Tests

9.1. In Example 2 of this section, let the simple hypotheses read $H_0 : \theta = \theta' = 0$ and $H_1 : \theta = \theta' = -1$. Show that the best test of H_0 against H_1 may be carried out by use of the statistic \bar{X} , and that if $n = 25$ and $\alpha = 0.05$, the power of the test is $0.999+$ when H_1 is true.

Solution

Now

$$\frac{L(\theta'; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}{L(\theta''; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)} = \frac{(1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \exp \left[-(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2)/2 \right]}{(1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \exp \left[-(\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i + 1)^2)/2 \right]} = \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \frac{n}{2} \right).$$

If $k > 0$, the set of all points (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) such that

$$\exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \frac{n}{2} \right) \leq k$$

is a best critical region. This inequality holds if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \frac{n}{2} \leq \ln k$$

or, equivalently,

$$\bar{X} \leq (\ln k - \frac{n}{2})/n = c.$$

If $n = 25$ and $\alpha = 0.05$, the number $c = -0.329$ can be found from Table III in Appendix B, so that

$$\Pr(\bar{X} \leq c; H_0) = 0.05.$$

Hence the power function of the test when H_1 is true is

$$\Pr(\bar{X} \leq c; H_1) = \Pr(5(\bar{X} + 1) \leq 3.355) = 0.999+.$$

9.2. Let the random variable X have the p.d.f. $f(x; \theta) = (1/\theta)e^{-x/\theta}$, $0 < x < \infty$, zero elsewhere. Consider the simple hypothesis $H_0 : \theta = \theta' = 2$ and the alternative hypothesis $H_1 : \theta = \theta' = 4$. Let X_1, X_2 denote a random sample of size 2 from this distribution. Show that the best test of H_0 against H_1 may be carried out by use of the statistic $X_1 + X_2$ and that the assertion in Example 2 of Section 6.4 is correct.

Solution

Now

$$\frac{L(\theta'; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}{L(\theta''; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)} = \frac{\frac{1}{4} e^{-(x_1+x_2)/2}}{\frac{1}{16} e^{-(x_1+x_2)/4}} = 4e^{-(x_1+x_2)/4}.$$

If $k > 0$, the set of all points (x_1, x_2) such that

$$4e^{-(x_1+x_2)/4} \leq k$$

is a best critical region. This inequality holds if and only if

$$-(x_1 + x_2)/4 \leq \ln \frac{k}{4}$$

or, equivalently,

$$x_1 + x_2 \geq 4(\ln \frac{k}{4}) = c.$$

Hence, the critical region of the test is of the form

$$C = \{(x_1, x_2) : x_1 + x_2 \geq c\}.$$

9.3. Repeat Exercise 9.2 when $H_1 : \theta = \theta' = 6$. Generalize this for every $\theta'' > 2$.

Solution

Now

$$\frac{L(\theta'; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}{L(\theta''; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)} = \frac{\frac{1}{4} e^{-(x_1+x_2)/2}}{\frac{1}{36} e^{-(x_1+x_2)/6}} = 9e^{-(x_1+x_2)/3}.$$

If $k > 0$, the set of all points (x_1, x_2) such that

$$9e^{-(x_1+x_2)/3} \leq k$$

is a best critical region. This inequality holds if and only if

$$-(x_1 + x_2)/3 \leq \ln \frac{k}{9}$$

or, equivalently,

$$x_1 + x_2 \geq 3(\ln \frac{k}{9}) = c.$$

Hence, the critical region of the test is of the form

$$C = \{(x_1, x_2) : x_1 + x_2 \geq c\}.$$

Similarly, for any $\theta'' > 2$, we can obtain the critical region as following

$$C = \{(x_1, x_2) : x_1 + x_2 \geq c\}.$$

9.4. Let $X_{1j}, X_{2j}, \dots, X_{aj}$ represent independent random samples of sizes a_j from normal distributions with

means μ_j and variances $\sigma^2, j = 1, 2, \dots, b$. Show that

$$\sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{i=1}^{a_j} (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_{..})^2 = \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{i=1}^{a_j} (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_{.j})^2 + \sum_{j=1}^b a_j (\bar{X}_{.j} - \bar{X}_{..})^2, \text{ or } Q' = Q'_3 + Q'_4.$$

Here. If $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_b$, show that Q'/σ^2 and Q'_3/σ^2 have chi-square distributions.

Solution

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{i=1}^{a_j} (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_{..})^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{i=1}^{a_j} (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_{.j} + \bar{X}_{.j} - \bar{X}_{..})^2 \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{i=1}^{a_j} (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_{.j})^2 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{i=1}^{a_j} (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_{.j})(\bar{X}_{.j} - \bar{X}_{..}) + \sum_{j=1}^b a_j (\bar{X}_{.j} - \bar{X}_{..})^2 \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{i=1}^{a_j} (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_{.j})^2 + \sum_{j=1}^b a_j (\bar{X}_{.j} - \bar{X}_{..})^2
\end{aligned}$$

If $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_b$, then X_{ij} is (μ, σ^2) regardless of i and j , so

$$Q'/\sigma^2 \text{ is } \chi^2(\sum_{j=1}^b a_j - 1)$$

$$Q_3'/\sigma^2 = \sum a_j S_j^2 / \sigma^2 \sim \chi^2(\sum (a_j - 1)) = \chi^2(\sum_{j=1}^b a_j - b).$$