

06/2023

in 6 weeks

Healthy aging (Rosoff, 2023)

Daniel Rosoff, et al.
Nature Aging

Aging



STUDY SUMMARY

This report is based on a study that discovered 62 genetic variants associated with healthy aging.

YOUR RESULT



A number of ways, including healthy, lifespan, and healthspan. Healthy refers to a decline in physical strength and resilience, making older individuals more vulnerable to illness and injury. Lifespan measures how long a person lives, and healthspan focuses on the number of healthy and active years a person experiences within that lifespan. The differing measurements of healthy aging across individuals can be attributed to various factors, including lifestyle choices, environmental factors, psychological factors, and genetics. To better understand the genetic influence of healthy aging, this study examined over 1.9 million individuals of European ancestry. Scientists identified 62 genetic variants associated with healthy aging, 30 of which were newly identified by this study. One of the newly-associated variants is within the LPL gene, which functions to break down fat molecules called triglycerides that travel in your blood. Another new variant is within APOE, a gene that controls the functions of other genes in the brain.

DID YOU KNOW?

The amount of blood pumped by your heart decreases by almost 50% by the time you're 90 years old.

YOUR DETAILED RESULTS

To calculate your genetic score to healthy aging we summed up the effects of genetic variants that were linked to healthy aging in the study that this report is based on. These variants can be found in the table below. The variants highlighted in green have positive effect sizes and increase your genetic score to healthy aging. The variants highlighted in blue have negative effect sizes and decrease your genetic score to healthy aging. Variants that are not highlighted are not found in your genome and do not affect your genetic score to healthy aging. By adding up the effect sizes of the highlighted variants (twice for homozygous variants) we calculated your personal genetic score for healthy aging to be 0.11. To determine whether your score is high or low, we compared this to the proprietary database of Nebula Genomics users. We found that your personal genetic score for healthy aging is in the 61st percentile. This means that it is higher than the personal genetic scores 61% of people. We consider this to be an above average genetic score to healthy aging. Note that on average every user will have ~10% of the reports with scores that are in the >90th percentile, another ~10% of reports with scores in the 80th-90th percentile etc. Hence, having some reports with very high/low percentiles is expected and no reason for concern. However, please note that genetic scores do not account for important non-genetic factors like lifestyle. Furthermore, the genetics of most traits has not been fully understood yet and many associations between traits and genetic variants remain unknown. For additional explanations, click on the column titles in the table below and visit our Nebula Library tutorials.

VARIANT	YOUR GENOTYPE	GENE	EFFECT SIZE	MAJOR FREQUENCY	SIGNIFICANCE
rs167881_T	T / C	TOMM40	0.02 (*)	21%	1.25 x 10 ⁻²⁷
rs5730499_G	C / C	LPA	0.02 (*)	8%	2.41 x 10 ⁻¹⁴
rs7412_C	C / C	APOE	-0.02 (Δ)	8%	1.45 x 10 ⁻¹⁰
rs7859727_C	C / T	CDKN2B-AS1	0.01 (*)	49%	3.45 x 10 ⁻¹⁶
rs1598068_T	T / C	TGF12	0.01 (*)	32%	5.39 x 10 ⁻¹⁶
rs9296128_G	NA	SLC22A3	0.04 (-)	1%	2.52 x 10 ⁻¹⁵
rs6859_A	G / G	PVR12	-0.01 (-)	44%	6.88 x 10 ⁻¹⁴
rs6848336_G	G / G	HTT	-0.01 (Δ)	31%	7.24 x 10 ⁻¹³
rs8042849_C	T / T	HYKK	-0.01 (-)	39%	1.03 x 10 ⁻¹²
rs4766578_T	T / A	ATXN2	-0.01 (Δ)	48%	1.10 x 10 ⁻¹²
rs12035992_C	C / C	IRF4	0.01 (*)	12%	6.88 x 10 ⁻¹²
rs1203699_A	G / G	MAG3	-0.01 (-)	14%	1.84 x 10 ⁻¹¹
rs61903747_A	A / C	ZW10	0.01 (*)	15%	2.48 x 10 ⁻¹¹
rs6543635_T	T / C	ADD1	-0.01 (Δ)	31%	4.16 x 10 ⁻¹¹
rs34891465_T	T / T	DHX58	0.01 (*)	5%	4.97 x 10 ⁻¹¹
rs405509_T	T / G	APOE	-0.01 (Δ)	48%	5.11 x 10 ⁻¹¹
rs161428_T	C / C	C20orf112	0.01 (-)	49%	6.13 x 10 ⁻¹¹
rs3788321_G	G / G	PABPC4	0.01 (*)	19%	6.46 x 10 ⁻¹¹
rs2643826_C	C / C	AC137676.1	0.01 (*)	48%	9.66 x 10 ⁻¹¹
rs142158911_G	G / G	LDLR	-0.01 (Δ)	11%	1.13 x 10 ⁻⁹
rs1698302_G	G / G	TTC12	-0.01 (Δ)	46%	1.38 x 10 ⁻⁹
rs9335297_C	C / C	LPA	-0.01 (Δ)	28%	1.39 x 10 ⁻⁹
rs980183_G	A / A	LINC0122	-0.01 (-)	38%	1.76 x 10 ⁻⁹
rs9807508_A	A / A	C8orf108	0.01 (*)	10%	1.90 x 10 ⁻⁹
rs11068320_A	G / G	PTPRN1	-0.01 (-)	43%	1.99 x 10 ⁻⁹
rs1275922_A	A / G	KCNK3	-0.01 (Δ)	27%	3.02 x 10 ⁻⁹
rs2271691_T	T / T	TRAP	0.01 (*)	47%	3.05 x 10 ⁻⁹
rs102809_G	G / A	TYR	0.01 (*)	26%	6.90 x 10 ⁻⁹
rs472103_A	A / A	NLGN1	0.01 (*)	47%	7.41 x 10 ⁻⁹
rs2613508_C	T / T	RPL31P2	0.01 (-)	18%	7.77 x 10 ⁻⁹
rs12706999_A	A / A	FOXP2	-0.01 (Δ)	37%	9.10 x 10 ⁻⁹
rs78438918_A	A / A	APOE	-0.01 (Δ)	17%	1.07 x 10 ⁻⁸
rs13141210_C	C / C	RNU6	-0.01 (Δ)	50%	1.48 x 10 ⁻⁸
rs114298971_G	G / G	MSANTD1	0.01 (*)	12%	1.57 x 10 ⁻⁸
rs28455998_T	T / A	INO80	0.01 (*)	50%	1.87 x 10 ⁻⁸
rs26837671_T	T / G	RNU6	0.01 (*)	29%	1.95 x 10 ⁻⁸
rs79570391_A	A / G	PLG	0.01 (*)	8%	1.99 x 10 ⁻⁸
rs3607649_T	T / A	MAML3	-0.01 (Δ)	37%	2.21 x 10 ⁻⁸
rs940088_T	T / C	IGFBP1	-0.01 (Δ)	29%	2.27 x 10 ⁻⁸
rs9364652_G	G / G	SLC22A3	0.01 (*)	50%	2.47 x 10 ⁻⁸
rs7742789_C	C / T	ZNF318	0.01 (*)	35%	3.30 x 10 ⁻⁸
rs6052322_A	T / T	ZBTB46	-0.01 (-)	19%	3.30 x 10 ⁻⁸
rs268_A	NA	LPL	0.02 (-)	1%	3.38 x 10 ⁻⁸
rs5886423_A	A / A	PRPF40B	-0.01 (Δ)	9%	3.45 x 10 ⁻⁸
rs449847_A	A / T	APOE	-0.01 (Δ)	17%	3.49 x 10 ⁻⁸
rs17699404_G	A / A	RPH1	-0.01 (-)	46%	3.57 x 10 ⁻⁸
rs2277988_T	T / T	MYLBP	0.01 (*)	20%	3.59 x 10 ⁻⁸
rs6906321_T	C / C	TMEM18	0.01 (-)	18%	3.60 x 10 ⁻⁸
rs2672566_G	C / C	PVR12	0.01 (-)	15%	4.02 x 10 ⁻⁸
rs12759128_C	C / T	MLLT10	0.01 (*)	32%	4.56 x 10 ⁻⁸
rs1714280_C	C / C	ABHD17C	0.01 (*)	46%	4.82 x 10 ⁻⁸
rs1684408_A	A / G	RPH1	0.01 (*)	20%	4.95 x 10 ⁻⁸

N/A indicates variants that could not be imputed using the 1000 genomes project datasets and variants that have a frequency < 0.5%. Your genome was sequenced at 30x100x coverage and is not imputed. However, to calculate percentiles, we need to compare your data with other users' imputed data. To make the data comparable, we need to exclude some of the variants from your data.