Six provocations for Big Data

Danah Boyd, Kate Crawford

Samira Shaikh, Veena Ravishankar

Introduction: Importance of Big Data

- Notable because of relationality to other data
 - patterns derived from pieces of data
 - o networked-individual, groups
- Analytic phenomenon in academia and industry
 - seeing patterns in data
 - find out about research methodology, technology used
- Culminates multiple disciplines
- Current decisions will have impact on future

Introduction

- Central questions of computational culture of big data are fundamental to Social and cultural researchers
- Addresses 6 provocations

Automating Research changes the definition of knowledge

- Big data creates a radical shift in how we think about research
- A profound change at the level of ethics and epistemology but not just a matter of scale or depth of data
- Reframes key questions:
 - constitution of knowledge
 - processes of research
 - engagement with information
 - nature and categorization of reality
- ex: correlation with Henry Ford devising automation and assembly lines of manufacturing

Automating Research changes the definition of knowledge

- Do massive numbers speak for themselves? NO.
 - Why people do things, write things is erased by sheer volume of numerical repetitions and large patterns
 - No space for older forms of intellectual craft
- Realize the limitations attached to Big Data
 - No historical context that is predictive and focuses on right now or immediate past
- Automation of tasks requires consideration of inbuilt flaws

Claims to objectivity and accuracy are misleading

- Big data is subjective and what it quantifies is not closer to objective truth
- Reinscribes established divisions in the debates of scientific method
 - Scientific methods develop hypothesis (objective) which are necessarily made by subjects based on subjective observation and choices
 - ex: data cleaning from social media is inherently subjective

Claims to objectivity and accuracy are misleading

- Issue of data errors
 - Understanding of properties and limits of datasets necessary
 - Social scientists carefully collect data and account for biases in it
 - To account for biases in data requires recognizing that one's identity and perspective informs one's analysis
 - o ex: Dunbar's work of analyzing gossips in humans lead to wrong results
- Interpretation center of data analysis

Bigger data are not always better data

- Problematic underlying ethos: Quantity means quality, bigger the data the better
- Twitter provides an example in context of statistical analysis
 - Twitter does not represent the whole population
 - Meaning of user, participant and listener needs to be examined
 - o no track of multiple accounts, lurkers
- Access to Twitter dataset through APIs varies
 - firehose: all public tweets except ones made private
 - o spitzer: 1% of public account
 - gardenhose: 10% of public tweets
 - o white-lists: different subsets of data

Bigger data are not always better data

- Limitations with data collection rarely acknowledged
 - Big data not whole data
 - Results of data collection do not reveal biases associated
 - Twitter data has methodological challenges rarely addressed
- Important to recognize value of small data
 - ex: work of Tiffany Veinot on a vault inspector to understand information practices of blue-collar worker
 - reframed definition of informal practices away from white-collar workers and spaces outside offices

Not all data are equivalent

- Context of data matters
- Two datasets modeled similarly does not make them equivalent
 - equating social media analysis with social graphs and social network analysis
 - not interchangeable
 - does not capture social relations
- Social science uses diverse methodological and analytical approaches
 - o collection of data through surveys, interviews, observations and experiments
 - o developed personal networks-relationship an individual develops and maintains
 - o articulated and behavioral network not equivalent to personal network

Not all data are equivalent

- Measurement of tie strength through frequency or public articulation erroneous
- Risk in treating every connection as equivalent to other

Just because it is accessible Doesn't make it ethical

- What constitutes best ethical practice for researchers?
 - ex: Harvard based project released Facebook data publicly which was easily able to deanonymize identities
- Researchers unaware of the harm
 - o educational intervention seeking to discourage people from suicide increased in attempts
- Institutional Review Boards (IRBs)
 - of framework for evaluating ethics of particular line of research
 - balances and checks in place to protect the subjects

Just because it is accessible Doesn't make it ethical

- Accountability
 - of research being done and research subjects required
 - o multi-directional relationship
 - o relation to colleagues, superiors, participants, public
- Researchers are provided with tools to breach privacy
- Subjects unaware of the agents and algorithms collecting data

Limited access to Big Data creates new digital divides

- Gap exists in the level of access people have to data
 - o ex: social media companies have full access compared to outsiders
 - o considerable unevenness in the system
 - Well-resourced universities buy access to data compared to others
- Gap based on skillset
- Gap based on gender
- Digital divide: Big data poor and Big data rich

Conclusion

- Important to start questioning about the assumptions, values, biases, methodologies associated with Big Data
- Current decisions will have an impact on future

Under what circumstance is small data better than big data?

• Can any measures be taken to minimize (if not avoid) bias and subjectivity while working with big data?

 How much exactly the negative impacts of the new methods of Big data extraction outweigh the constructive results or is it the other way around??

• As the big data not representing everyone's opinion or behavior. How much accurate information we can retrieve using that kind of data?

• What are the (ethical) limits of collecting user data from the social services and applications?

Are privacy laws too strict or too relaxed?

 Making Big Data "open" will solve the problem where only a limited number of researchers have access to it, or different problems could emerge?

How do one define accountability to the research subjects?

• Is it sometimes necessary to let go privacy of data for a greater good? (eg. surveillance systems that detect terrorist activities)

Thank you!