



Munchy Monads

By Jonathan Louie

Monads are Confusing

- Monad tutorial fallacy:
<http://wadler.blogspot.com/2013/11/the-monad-tutorial-fallacy.html>
- Many people confuse the FP definition with the category theory definition they are based on
 - [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Monad_\(functional_programming\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Monad_(functional_programming))
 - [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Monad_\(category_theory\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Monad_(category_theory))



Why Monads?

```
def foo(): Option[Int] = Some(1)

def bar(): Option[Int] = Some(2)

def baz(a: Int, b: Int): Option[Int] = Some(a + b)

def getResult(): Option[Int] = {
  foo() match {
    case Some(a) => {
      bar() match {
        case Some(b) => baz(a, b)
        case None => None
      }
    }
    case None => None
  }
}
```

With Monads

```
def getResult(): Option[Int] = {  
  foo().flatMap(a =>  
    bar().flatMap(b =>  
      baz(a, b).map(c => c * 2)))  
}
```



...and with Sugar

```
def getResult(): Option[Int] = {  
  for {  
    a <- foo()  
    b <- bar()  
    c <- baz(a, b)  
  } yield c * 2  
}
```



What is a Monad?

- “Just” an interface supporting a “pure/return” function and a “bind/flatMap” function
 - Multiple ways to define a Monad typeclass, but this is the most common
 - Comes with 3 monad laws, but they are not enforced
- Allows us to generalize a very common pattern we see
- Naïve definition:

```
trait Monad[F[_]] {  
  def flatMap[A, B](fa: F[A], f: A => F[B]): F[B]  
  def pure[A](a: A): F[A]  
}
```

Why don't we talk about them?

- Let's try to define this interface in Rust:

```
trait Monad<A> {  
    fn flat_map<B>(&self, f: fn(A) -> ???) -> ???;  
}
```

- We need “Higher-Kinded Types” to talk about the type constructor (`F<_>`) needed

Types vs. Type Constructors

- Is `Option` a type?
 - No, it's a type constructor
- A data constructor takes a value and constructs a new value
- A type constructor takes a type and constructs a new type
- Example: `Option<u32>` is the type produced by applying the `Option` type constructor to `u32`

Hidden in Plain Sight

```
async fn foo() -> u32 { 1 }
async fn bar() -> u32 { 2 }
async fn baz(a: u32, b: u32) -> u32 { a + b }

async fn get_result() -> u32 {
    let a = foo().await;
    let b = bar().await;
    let c = baz(a, b).await;
    c * 2
}
```

Comparison

Rust:

```
fn get_result() -> Option<u32> {  
    let a = foo()?;  
    let b = bar()?;  
    let c = baz(a, b)?;  
    Some(c * 2)  
}
```

Scala:

```
def getResult(): Option[Int] = {  
    for {  
        a <- foo()  
        b <- bar()  
        c <- baz(a, b)  
    } yield c * 2  
}
```

Questions?



Thanks for listening!

