# **Everything SQL Server Compact**

This blog tries to keep up with .NET Data Access related topics

Home Contents Tools Forum Twitter Github

Monday, December 29, 2014

# A breaking change in Entity Framework 6.1.2 when using EDMX and SQL Server 2008/2005

Version 6.1.2 of Entity Framework has just been released, as noted on the ADO.NET blog. One of the new features in 6.1.2 is support for the OFFSET..FETCH SQL Server 2012+ paging syntax. This is used when you have LINQ queries with syntax similar to:

db.Albums.OrderBy(a => a.Name).Take(20).Skip(80).ToList();

#### The issue

But if you are using EDMX based Entity Framework development (and not Code First), this new feature can cause your application to break at runtime. This can happen under the following scenario:

You use SQL Server 2012 (or later, including LocalDb) for development, with Entity Framework 6.1.2 and generate the EDMX model based on a database hosted on that server. This sets the value of ProviderManifestToken="2012" in your EDMX file.

```
| 1  | Provider | Note | Provider | Provider
```

You then run the application against a SQL Server 2008 or 2005 instance (for example in test or production), and will get errors like the one reported here:

http://entityframework.codeplex.com/workitem/2619:

Incorrect syntax near 'OFFSET'.

Invalid usage of the option NEXT in the FETCH statement.

I will consider this a breaking change, as before EF 6.1.2 the same paging SQL was generated against all SQL Server versions, but in 6.1.2, special T-SQL using the new OFFSET..FETCH syntax is used when running against SQL Server 2012 or later.

#### The fix

The fix is to modify your EDMX file, using the XML editor, and change the value of ProviderManifestToken from 2012 to 2008. I found that on line 7 in my EDMX file. After saving that change, the paging SQL will be generated using the "old", SQL Server 2008 compatible syntax.

Follow @ErikEJ

## About Me



Data Platform MVP, .NET and Data Access Developer,

**ErikEJ** 

Cloud Data & DevOps Specialist at Cloudeon.com Contact: ejlskov(at)hotmail.com

View my complete profile

# Subscribe To Posts Comments

#### **Popular Posts**

Comparison of SQL Server Compact, SQLite, SQL Server Express and LocalDB

SQL Compact 3rd party tools

Using SQL Server Compact 4.0 with Desktop Private Deployment and a Setup project (MSI) (part 2)

SQL Server Compact 4.0 released!

Visual Studio Tools for SQL Server Compact 4 now available

Using SQLite with the Entity Framework 6.1.x designer - a troubleshooting guide

Migrating databases between SQL Server and SQL Server Compact

Entity Framework 6 & SQL Server Compact 4 (2)—Easy Private Desktop Deployment

SQLite Toolbox 4.0 – Visual Guide of Features

Whether a EF code change, which would include SQL Server version detection, is the "real" fix is debatable, as it could break expectations of a desired behaviour from the runtime.

G+

Indsendt af ErikEJ kl. 8:36 PM



Etiketter: ADO.NET, Entity Framework, Visual Studio

## 13 comments:



#### Mark Clouden said...

Just so you know, and since your post appears early in a search, this \_can\_ impact code first - in a very specific scenario.

I like to keep all configuration data in a database. So with this custom config provider I bootstrap an application with a connection string 'A' and retrieve application configuration from it, including the connection string to the real database (connection string 'B').

I create a dbcontext instance to 'A' and retrieve the connection string 'B', and then create a new dbcontext to query 'B' with a EF query using Take/Skip constructs, and it fails in exactly the way you describe.

After a bit of thought I realized that my database 'A' was 2012 and 'B' was 2008, matching your description of the issue - just with the difference that CodeFirst builds its assumptions based on the first database that it connected to.

Wouldnt have found this without your initial post to get me thinking - so thanks. And hopefully you can include this info about CodeFirst so the next person searching on this can benefit.

Mark Clouden

March 13, 2015 at 7:39 PM



#### ErikEJ said...

Thanks, Mark. Are both the DcContext instances you mention the same class?

March 13, 2015 at 7:50 PM



### Tim Thimmey said...

I'm also having this problem. Is there still no official Fix?

April 18, 2016 at 2:44 PM



#### ErikEJ said...

Tim: As noted in my blog post, I am not sure that an official fix is "possible"

April 18, 2016 at 2:50 PM



#### larrybud said...

Downright terrible that the EDMX tool doesn't remember the version of the ProviderManifestToken, or that you can only access it via the XML file rather than the properties window.

May 3, 2016 at 4:56 PM



#### Constantine Colotiline said...

Thanks!

May 12, 2016 at 10:09 AM



#### Panchof said...

Thanks to you, it works for me!

July 1, 2016 at 5:23 PM



#### Suncat2000 said...

I consider this a breaking change, too - a bug in the Entity Framework Designer in Visual Studio. Thank you for the fix. I'm sure you saved me a ton of time!

How to Migrate/Downsize a SQL Server database to SQL Server Compact 4.0 (and 3.5)

#### **Blog Archive**

- **2018** (1)
- **2017** (1)
- 2016 (4)
- **2015** (11)
- **▼ 2014** (22)
  - ▼ December 2014 (2)

A breaking change in Entity Framework 6.1.2 when u...

SQLite & SQL Server Compact Toolbox 4.1 – Visual G...

- ► October 2014 (2)
- September 2014 (1)
- August 2014 (1)
- ▶ June 2014 (3)
- ► May 2014 (2)
- April 2014 (3)
- ► March 2014 (3)
- ► February 2014 (2)
- ▶ January 2014 (3)
- **2013** (38)
- 2012 (28)
- **2011** (38)
- **2010** (53)
- **2009** (51)
- **2008** (36)
- **2007** (8)

August 29, 2016 at 1:50 PM



#### Jorge Chinchilla said...

Thanks for this, saved me a lot of time.

March 14, 2017 at 6:37 AM



#### Ricker Silva said...

Ihave some news on this. I'm writing an Web. API project in VS 2017. It has no edmx file anymore, and the error is thrown. Am I Doomed, any way to do this programatically?

July 24, 2017 at 5:06 PM



#### ErikEJ said...

Ricker: Yes, you can also fix it in code: https://romiller.com/2014/06/10/reducingcode-first-database-chatter/

July 24, 2017 at 5:43 PM



#### Reman said...

He Mark Clouden: I know this is an old post, but I just had the same issue you describe. So this does affect Code First apps as well.

We have one DbContext, which can connect to different databases - if the first database is a 2012 SQL Server and another one is a 2008 SQL Server the SQL generation fails.

September 20, 2017 at 9:33 AM



#### ferventcoder said...

The way to fix this with SQL Server 2008 and EF Core is noted at https://github.com/aspnet/EntityFrameworkCore/issues/4616#issuecomment-221331425

March 2, 2018 at 10:55 PM

Post a Comment

**Newer Post** 

Home

Older Post

Subscribe to: Post Comments (Atom)

#### **SQL Compact Technical Information**

**SQL Compact Product Group Blog** 

Steve Lasker's blog

SQL Compact KB articles

A Technical Comparison of Replication and Remote Data Access Features in SQL Server 2005 Mobile Edition 3.0

Background Data Synchronization with SQL Server 2005 Compact

How to Develop an Efficient Architecture based on SQL Server CE Replication Requirements

Multi-User Considerations in Data Synchronization for SQL Server 2005 Mobile Edition 3.0

Step by Step: Developing a SQL Mobile Application with Visual Studio 2005 and SQL Server 2005

You Can Take It With You: SQL Server CE and Scalability

Upgrading from SQL Server CE 2.0 to SQL Server 2005 Compact Edition

#### **SQL Server Compact Forum**

Where is the syntax definition for table\_name in SQL Server Compact v4.0 ? - 10/25/2018 -

sdf file - modyfing Database Structure. - 1/19/2010 -

Compact 3.5 Server Tools 8088 + - 10/5/2018 -

How can I make SQL CE 3.5 work on Windows Embedded 7? - 4/3/2014 -

On-demand hotfix update package for SQL Server Compact 4.0 Service Pack 1 - 10/1/2018 -