29 April 2015

Problem 1 (20 points)

Optimization

Perform at least **five** standard compiler optimizations on the following C code fragment by writing the optimized version (in C) to the right. Assume **f** and **g** are pure functions that each return an integer with no side effects to other data structures.

```
int mycode(int w, int z) {
                                          int mycode(int w, int z) {
  int x = 256;
                                            int temp = w << 8;
  int y = 1;
                                            int x = 256; y = 1;
  while (y < x + z)
                                            while (y<256+z)
    {
                                             {
      if(x)
        z = f(w*x, y, z+w*x);
                                            z = f(temp, y, z+temp);
      else
        z = g(z+w*x, y, w*x);
      printf("y:%d, z:%d\n",y,z);
                                              printf("y:%d,z:d\n",y,z);
      y += z;
                                              y += z;
    }
                                              }
 while (x>0)
                                            while (x>0)
    printf("%d\n", g(y, --x, z));
                                              printf("%d\n",g(y,--x,z));
  return y;
                                            return y;
```

Briefly describe which standard compiler optimizations you applied:

- 1. constant propagation (x=256)
- 2. strength reduction (w*256 to w<<8)
- 3. common subexpression elimination (temp = w << 8)
- 4. dead code elimination (if (256) ... always nonzero, so reduce to then clause only)
- 5. loop invariant removal (temp=w<<8 moved outside loop)

29 April 2015

Problem	2 (2	parts,	20	points)
----------------	------	--------	----	---------

Conditionals: Compound Predicates

Part A (8 points) Consider the following MIPS code fragment. The comment indicates which variable each register holds. These variables are of type int and are initialized elsewhere.

Label	Instruction	Comment						
		# \$2: I, \$3: C, \$9: Count, \$8: temp						
	slt \$8, \$3, \$0							
	bne \$8, \$0, Next							
	slti \$8, \$3, 26							
	beq \$8, \$0, Next							
	addi \$9, \$9, 1							
Next:	addi \$2, \$2, 1							

What is the equivalent C code fragment? For maximum credit, use a compound logical predicate wherever possible.

```
if ((c >= 0) && (c < 26))
  count++;
i++;</pre>
```

Part B (12 points) Turn this C code fragement into the equivalent MIPS code. Assume \$1 holds A, \$2 holds B, \$3 holds C and \$4 holds D. For maximum credit, include comments and use a minimal number of instructions.

```
if (A && B)
  C = C | D;
else
  C = C & D;
D = C * 8;
```

Label	Instruction	Comment
	beq \$1, \$0, Else	# if !A, branch to Else
	beq \$2, \$0, Else	# else if !B, branch to Else
	or \$3, \$3, \$4	# else (if A&&B), C=C D
	j End	# jump over Else
Else:	and \$3, \$3, \$4	# if !A !B, C=C&D
End:	sll \$4, \$3, 3	# D = C*8

5 problems, 8 pages

Final Exam Solutions

Problem 3 (3 parts, 24 points)

Associative Sets and 3D Arrays

Part A (8 points) Suppose we have an associative set of **125** (key, value) pairs implemented as a **sorted singly linked list**. An application performs **1500** lookups of various keys: **1200** of the lookups find the key in the list and **300** lookups fail to find the key. The keys that are found are distributed throughout the list so that each position is equally likely to be where a key is found.

What is the average number of key comparisons that would be needed for a lookup in this list implementation? (Show work. Note: you may not have to use all data provided.)

L = 125

Number comparisons: (125+1)/2 = 63

number of comparisons: 63

Part B (8 points) Suppose the associative set is reimplemented as an open hash table. The same 125 (key, value) pairs are stored in the hash table and are evenly distributed across 25 buckets, each implemented as an unsorted singly linked list. An application performs the same 1500 lookups in which 1200 find the key being searched for and 300 do not. The keys that are found are distributed throughout the bucket lists so that each bucket and each position in the bucket lists is equally likely to be where a key is found.

What is the average number of key comparisons that would be needed for a lookup in this hash table implementation? (Show work. Note: you may not have to use all data provided.)

L = 125/5 elements/bucket

Number comparisons = (1200/1500)(5+1)/2 + (300/1500)*5

= (4/5)(3) + (1/5)*5 = 3.4

number of comparisons: 3.4

Part C (8 points) Suppose we have a video snippet containing \mathbb{L} image frames, where each frame has width \mathbb{W} and height \mathbb{N} pixels. Complete the following procedure which sets a pixel at position (\mathbb{X} , \mathbb{Y}) in frame number \mathbb{N} to \mathbb{N} to \mathbb{N} in frame number \mathbb{N} to \mathbb{N} to \mathbb{N} in frame number of the image frame, as in Project 3. Assume \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{N} are globally defined. VideoPixels is a pointer to the base of the video pixel array containing all \mathbb{N} image frames in a contiguous linear sequence starting with the first pixel in the first row of frame 0 and ending with the last pixel in the last row of frame \mathbb{N} -1.

```
void SetPixel(int x, int y, int f, uint32_t* VideoPixels, uint32_t Color){
    VideoPixels[f*w*h + y*w + x] = Color;
}
```

5 problems, 8 pages

Final Exam Solutions

29 April 2015

Problem 4 (4 parts, 21 points)

Garbage Collection

Below is a snapshot of heap storage. Values that are pointers are denoted with a "\$". The heap pointer is \$6188. The heap has been allocated contiguously beginning at \$6000, with no gaps between objects.

addr	value										
6000	8	6032	12	6064	0	6096	16	6128	12	6160	0
6004	33	6036	28	6068	4	6100	\$6052	6132	\$6120	6164	0
6008	\$6132	6040	\$6120	6072	\$6132	6104	\$6016	6136	\$6016	6168	16
6012	16	6044	80	6076	8	6108	5	6140	72	6172	\$6016
6016	\$6100	6048	16	6080	24	6112	148	6144	20	6176	0
6020	\$6172	6052	0	6084	\$6172	6116	8	6148	6046	6180	0
6024	25	6056	\$6100	6088	4	6120	32	6152	8	6184	0
6028	30	6060	0	6092	80	6124	\$6080	6156	26	6188	0

Part A (10 points) Suppose the stack holds a local variable whose value is the memory address **\$6080**. No other registers or static variables currently hold heap memory addresses. List the addresses of all objects in the heap that are *not* garbage.

Addresses of 6080, 6172, 6016, 6100, 6052

Non-Garbage Objects:

Part P (3 points) If a reference counting garbage collection strategy is being used, what would

Part B (3 points) If a reference counting garbage collection strategy is being used, what would be the reference count of the object at address \$6016?

Reference count of object at \$6016 = 2

Part C (5 points) If the local variable whose value is the address \$6080 is popped from the stack, which addresses from Part A will be reclaimed by mark and sweep garbage collection strategy, but *not* by a reference counting strategy? If none, write "none."

Addresses: 6172, 6016, 6100, 6052

Part D (3 points) What benefit does old-new space (copying) garbage collection provide that a mark and sweep garbage collection strategy does not provide?

Benefit: It consolidates memory to reduce fragmentation, creating larger contiguous blocks of available memory.

29 April 2015

Problem 5 (2 parts, 20 points)

MIPS and C programming

Part A (5 points) Write a single MIPS instruction that is equivalent to the following MIPS fragment.

Original:	Equivalent MIPS statement:
addi \$1, \$0, 0xFF	lbu \$4, 2(\$8)
sll \$1, \$1, 16	
lw \$4, 0(\$8)	
and \$4, \$1, \$4	
srl \$4, \$4, 16	

Part B (15 points) Consider a singly linked list whose elements are Student_t structs defined as:

```
typedef struct STUDENT
{
   struct STUDENT* next; // Next pointer for linked list
   char* fname;
   char* mname;
   char* lname;
   double average;
   char letterGrade;
} Student_t* head;
Student t* tail;
```

The global variables head and tail are initially NULL and they hold the head and tail of the list, respectively. Complete the C function AddToList below that adds the student record s to the end of the linked list pointed to by head and tail. This list might or might not be empty. Be sure to update head and tail properly. (The list is unsorted.)

```
void AddToList(Student_t* s)
{
  if (head == NULL) {
    head = s;
    tail = s;
    return;
    }
  tail->next = s;
  tail = s;
}
```

Final Exam Solutions

29 April 2015

Problem 6 (40 points)

Activation Frames

The function Bar (below left) calls function Foo after completing code block 1. Write MIPS assembly code that properly calls Foo. Include all instructions between code block 1 and code block 2. Symbolically label all required stack entries and give their values if they are known (below right).

					Bar's	FP	9900	XXX	XXX
							9896	A[2]	49
							9892	A[1]	36
							9888	A[0]	25
	Bar() {	3.53 (0.5	26 40)				9884	В	3
in in in	ıt	A[] = {25, B = 3; *P;	36, 49};			SP	9880	Р	9884
(C	ode block	1)					9876	RA of Bar	
	= &B 2] = Foo(A	A, P, *P);					9872	FP of Bar	9900
(0	ode block	2)					9868	А	9888
}							9864	Р	9884
							9860	*P	3
				SP,	Foo's	FP	9856	RV of Foo	

label	instruction	comment
	addi \$1, \$30, -16	# compute &B
	sw \$1, -20(\$30)	# update P
	addi \$29, \$29, -24	# allocate activation frame
	sw \$31, 20(\$29)	# preserve bookkeeping info
	sw \$30, 16(\$29)	
	addi \$2, \$30, -12	# push inputs
	sw \$2, 12(\$29)	# A
	sw \$1, 8(\$29)	# P
	lw \$1, 0(\$1)	# dereference P
	sw \$1, 4(\$29)	# push *P
	jal Foo	# call Foo
	lw \$31, 20(\$29)	# restore bookkeeping info
	lw \$30, 16(\$29)	
	lw \$1, 0(\$29)	# read return value
	sw \$1, -4(\$30)	# store return value in A[2]
	addi \$29, \$29, 24	# deallocate activation frame