

A collection of objects is arranged on a light-colored, textured surface. In the top left, a portion of a wooden chessboard with a checkered pattern and several chess pieces is visible. Below the chessboard, there are two medals: one with a red ribbon and a star-shaped emblem, and another with a blue ribbon and a star-shaped emblem. A small, round, silver-colored compass is located in the bottom left corner. A pair of thin-framed glasses with round lenses is positioned diagonally across the lower middle of the image. The background is a plain, light-colored surface.

Normal Forms & Propositional Resolution

By Sukmawati NE



Resolution Rule

$$\frac{A \vee C, B \vee \neg C}{A \vee B}$$

- dengan A, B, C merupakan formula proposisi
- Formula $A \vee B$ disebut **resolvent** dari
 $A \vee C$ dan $B \vee \neg C$,
- Dan ditulis $A \vee B = \text{Res}(A \vee C, B \vee \neg C)$
- Berikan contohnya!



TERMINOLOGI (Definisi istilah)

1. A ***literal*** is a propositional variable or its negation.
2. An ***elementary disjunction (respectively elementary conjunction)*** is any literal or a disjunction (respectively conjunction) of two or more literals.
3. A ***disjunctive normal form (DNF)*** is any elementary conjunction or a disjunction of two or more elementary conjunctions.
4. A ***conjunctive normal form (CNF)*** is any elementary disjunction or a conjunction of two or more elementary disjunctions.

Contoh:

- $p, \neg q, p \vee \neg q, p \vee \neg p \vee q \vee \neg r$ are elementary disjunctions;
- $p, \neg q, \neg p \wedge q, \neg p \wedge q \wedge \neg r \wedge \neg p$ are elementary conjunctions;
- $p, \neg q, p \wedge \neg q, p \vee \neg q, (p \wedge \neg p) \vee \neg q, (r \wedge q \wedge \neg p) \vee (\neg q \wedge p) \vee (\neg r \wedge p)$ are disjunctive normal forms;
- $p, \neg q, p \wedge \neg q, p \vee \neg q, p \wedge (\neg p \vee \neg q), (r \vee q \vee \neg r) \wedge \neg q \wedge (\neg p \vee r)$ are conjunctive normal forms



Cara Membentuk Equivalent Normal Form

- **Method 1** *This method is based on the following algorithm, which transforms any formula into a DNF, respectively CNF*
- **Method 2** *This second method constructs the normal forms directly from the truth table of the given formula. We will outline it for DNF.*



Cara membentuk equivalent normal form

- Proses dalam metode diatas, formula proposisi dapat disederhakan menggunakan hukum-hukum logika yang ada.

$$p \vee \neg p \equiv \top, p \wedge \neg p \equiv \perp, ,; p \wedge \top \equiv p, p \wedge \perp \equiv \perp; p \vee \top \equiv \top, p \vee \perp \equiv p.$$



Metode 1

1. *Eliminate all occurrences of \leftrightarrow and \rightarrow using the logical equivalences*

- $A \rightarrow B \equiv \neg A \vee B$,
- $A \leftrightarrow B \equiv (A \rightarrow B) \wedge (B \rightarrow A)$.

2. *Import all negations to stand directly in front of the propositional variables, using the logical equivalences listed above.*

3. *For DNF: distribute all conjunctions over disjunctions using the distributive law: $p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$.*

4. *Respectively, for CNF: distribute all disjunctions over conjunctions using the other distributive law: $p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$.*

Contoh :

$$(p \wedge \neg r) \rightarrow (p \leftrightarrow \neg q)$$

$$\equiv \neg(p \wedge \neg r) \vee ((p \rightarrow \neg q) \wedge (\neg q \rightarrow p))$$

$$\equiv (\neg p \vee \neg \neg r) \vee ((\neg p \vee \neg q) \wedge (\neg \neg q \vee p))$$

$$\equiv \neg p \vee r \vee ((\neg p \vee \neg q) \wedge (q \vee p))$$

For a DNF we further distribute \wedge over \vee and simplify:

$$\equiv \neg p \vee r \vee (((\neg p \vee \neg q) \wedge q) \vee ((\neg p \vee \neg q) \wedge p))$$

$$\equiv \neg p \vee r \vee ((\neg p \wedge q) \vee (\neg q \wedge q)) \vee ((\neg p \wedge p) \vee (\neg q \wedge p))$$

$$\equiv \neg p \vee r \vee ((\neg p \wedge q) \vee \perp) \vee (\perp \vee (\neg q \wedge p))$$

$$\equiv \neg p \vee r \vee (\neg p \wedge q) \vee (\neg q \wedge p).$$

For a CNF we distribute \vee over \wedge and simplify:

$$\equiv (\neg p \vee r \vee \neg p \vee \neg q) \wedge (\neg p \vee r \vee q \vee p)$$

$$\equiv (\neg p \vee r \vee \neg q) \wedge (\top \vee r \vee q)$$

$$\equiv (\neg p \vee r \vee \neg q) \wedge \top$$

$$\equiv \neg p \vee r \vee \neg q.$$

Contoh Metode 2

The formula $p \leftrightarrow \neg q$ has a truth table

p	q	$p \leftrightarrow \neg q$
T	T	F
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F

The corresponding DNF is $(p \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg p \wedge q)$. Check this!



Latihan 1

Bentuk ke DNF atau CNF

(a) $\neg(p \leftrightarrow q)$

(b) $((p \rightarrow q) \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow p$

(c) $(p \leftrightarrow \neg q) \leftrightarrow r$

(d) $p \rightarrow (\neg q \leftrightarrow r)$

(e) $(\neg p \wedge (\neg q \leftrightarrow p)) \rightarrow ((q \wedge \neg p) \vee p)$



Clausal Form

1. *A clause is essentially an elementary disjunction $l_1 \vee \dots \vee l_n$ but written as a set of literals $\{l_1, \dots, l_n\}$.*
2. *The empty clause is a clause containing no literals; a unit clause is a clause containing only one literal.*
3. *A clausal form is a (possibly empty) set of clauses, written as a list: $C_1 \dots C_k$. It represents the conjunction of these clauses.*

Clausal Form

- Every CNF can be rewritten in a clausal form, and therefore every propositional formula is equivalent to one in a clausal form.
- For instance, the clausal form of the CNF formula $(p \vee \neg q \vee \neg r) \wedge \neg p \wedge (\neg q \vee r)$ is $\{p, \neg q, \neg r\}\{\neg p\}\{\neg q, r\}$.
- The Resolution Rule can be rewritten for clauses as follows:
$$\frac{\{A_1, \dots, C, \dots, A_m\}\{B_1, \dots, \neg C, \dots, B_n\}}{\{A_1, \dots, A_m, B_1, \dots, B_n\}}.$$
- The clause $\{A_1, \dots, A_m, B_1, \dots, B_n\}$ is a **resolvent** of the clauses $\{A_1, \dots, C, \dots, A_m\}$ and $\{B_1, \dots, \neg C, \dots, B_n\}$.

Contoh

Example 3.6.7

Some examples of applications of clausal resolution:

$$\frac{\{p, q, \neg r\} \{ \neg q, \neg r \}}{\{p, \neg r\}},$$
$$\frac{\{ \neg p, q, \neg r \} \{ r \}}{\{ \neg p, q \}},$$
$$\frac{\{ \neg p \} \{ p \}}{\{ \}}.$$

Contoh

- Terdapat dua clauses yang dapat mempunyai lebih dari satu resolvents, misal:

$$\frac{\{p, \neg q\}\{\neg p, q\}}{\{p, \neg p\}}, \frac{\{p, \neg q\}\{\neg p, q\}}{\{\neg q, q\}}.$$

- Namun tidak berarti :

$$\frac{\{p, \neg q\}\{\neg p, q\}}{\{\}}.$$



Resolution – based Derivations

- The idea behind the method of resolution is similar to that of semantic tableaux.
- In order to determine whether a logical consequence $A1, \dots, An \models B$ holds using the method of resolution, we negate the conclusion B and transform each of the formulae $A1, \dots, An, \neg B$ into clausal form.
- Then we test whether the resulting set of clauses is unsatisfiable, by looking for a resolution-based derivation of the empty clause from that set of clauses.



Definisi

- *A **resolution-based derivation** of a formula B from a list of formulae A_1, \dots, A_n is a derivation of the empty clause $\{\}$ from the set of clauses obtained from the formulae $A_1, \dots, A_n, \neg B$, by successive applications of the Rule of Propositional Resolution.*

Contoh

Example 3.6.9

Using Propositional Resolution, check the logical consequence $p \rightarrow q, q \rightarrow r \models p \rightarrow r$.

Solution

First, we transform each of $p \rightarrow q, q \rightarrow r, \neg(p \rightarrow r)$ to clausal form. The resulting set of clauses is:

$$C_1 = \{\neg p, q\}, C_2 = \{\neg q, r\}, C_3 = \{p\}, C_4 = \{\neg r\}.$$

Now we apply the Resolution Rule successively:

$$C_5 = \{q\} = \text{Res}(C_1, C_3);$$

$$C_6 = \{r\} = \text{Res}(C_2, C_5);$$

$$C_6 = \{\} = \text{Res}(C_4, C_6).$$

The derivation of the empty clause completes the proof that $p \rightarrow q, q \rightarrow r \models p \rightarrow r$.

- Catatan :
 - $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$
 - $q \rightarrow r \equiv \neg q \vee r$
 - $\neg(p \rightarrow r) \equiv \neg(\neg p \vee r) \equiv \neg\neg p \wedge \neg r \equiv p \wedge \neg r$

Contoh

Example 3.6.10

Check whether $(\neg p \rightarrow q), \neg r \models p \vee (\neg q \wedge \neg r)$ holds.

Solution

First transform $(\neg p \rightarrow q), \neg r, \neg(p \vee (\neg q \wedge \neg r))$ to clausal form:

$$C_1 = \{p, q\}, C_2 = \{\neg r\}, C_3 = \{\neg p\}, C_4 = \{q, r\}.$$

Now, applying resolution successively:

$$C_5 = \text{Res}(C_1, C_3) = \{q\};$$

$$C_6 = \text{Res}(C_2, C_4) = \{q\}.$$

At this stage, no new applications of the Propositional Resolution Rule are possible hence the empty clause is not derivable. Therefore, $(\neg p \rightarrow q), \neg r \not\models p \vee (\neg q \wedge \neg r)$.

- Catatan :

- $(\neg p \rightarrow q) \equiv \neg \neg p \vee q \equiv p \vee q$
- $\neg(p \vee (\neg q \wedge \neg r)) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg(\neg q \wedge \neg r) \equiv \neg p \wedge (\neg \neg q \vee \neg \neg r) \equiv \neg p \wedge (q \vee r)$



Latihan 2

- Using the method of resolution check which of the following formulae are tautologies.

(a) $((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow q) \rightarrow q$

(b) $((p \rightarrow q) \wedge (p \rightarrow \neg q)) \rightarrow \neg p$

(c) $((p \vee q) \rightarrow \neg r) \rightarrow \neg(\neg q \wedge r)$

(d) $((p \rightarrow q) \wedge (p \rightarrow r)) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow (q \wedge r))$



Latihan 3

- Using the method of resolution check the following logical consequences.

(a) $\neg p \rightarrow q, \neg p \rightarrow \neg q \models p$

(b) $p \rightarrow r, q \rightarrow r \models (p \vee q) \rightarrow r$

(c) $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r \models (q \rightarrow r) \vee (p \rightarrow r)$