

Mobile App Programming II Bibliography

Matt Zagame

March 1, 2022

References

- [1.1] Metcalf, David, Milliard, Sharlin T.J., Gomez, Melinda, and Schwartz, Michael. Wearables and the internet of things for health: Wearable, interconnected devices promise more efficient and comprehensive health care. *IEEE Pulse*, 7(5):35–39, 2016.

Abstract: The Internet of Things (IoT) and its implementation into wearable smart devices has proven to provide a host of benefits to human lifestyle and health. IoT devices are ushering in a wave of innovation by allowing us to connect nearly any device that we might use on a daily basis to a pervasive smart network that can be controlled from our fingertips. Also, new wearable and embeddable tech makes staying connected even easier and provides great health care benefits. For example, the average user is able to monitor their own health, and health care providers have access to more tools (such as AR) to offer better care. So as long as IoT and wearables remain useful to most users, this emerging technology could certainly be here for the long-term.

- [1.2] Nield, David. What is web3 and why should you care? *Gizmodo*, 2021.

Abstract: Web3 is being coined as the evolution of the internet. However, its aim is to take a step back from the over-centralization of the current web (Web 2.0) to the early days of the internet which was more

decentralized. Essentially, striking a balance between Web 1.0 and the current web that is primarily controlled by large corporations. This is done by layering blockchain technology onto the web to allow data transfers to be done on an encrypted network that is not owned nor operated by any central authority. Currently it is too early to tell whether this will be possible to implement in a truly decentralized way and on such a large scale. However, if implemented, it could change the way information moves across the internet forever.

- [2.1] Davies, Aran. How to build an investment portfolio app. *DevTeam.Space*, 2019.

Abstract: The market for personal finance applications has been on the rise over the past few years and is expected to continue rising at a compounded annual growth rate of 6.4% from 2017 to 2023. The ease of managing your portfolio and monitoring your spending right from your mobile device has enticed a new generation of investors and people who are less involved with finances to gain more control over their financial goals. In order to create a useful personal finance app, there are a several important steps that must be taken. Some of these include: planning features and a minimum viable product, creating a development roadmap, implementing industry-standard or better APIs for a smooth and secure app experience, team building and organization, etc.

- [2.2] Kirkpatrick, Keith. Monetizing your personal data. *Communications of the ACM*, 65(1), 2022.

Abstract: In the modern digital age of the internet, it has become common for most online services that we use in our everyday lives to keep a record of the data that we provide. Large companies such as Google, Facebook, Amazon, etc., all use this data to target advertisements towards us in hopes to get our attention and ultimately turn a profit. In essence, our

data is being traded behind the scenes so that these companies can sell us their products. But what if consumers were the ones in control of the data that was up for sale? While individuals' data is not necessarily all that expensive, giving end users the ability to control which data gets shared could lead to a more fair and trusted internet advertising market. In the future, data brokers could offer up this kind of information exchange which could largely alter our interactions online.

- [3.1] Kim, Dongyeon, Park, Kyuhong, Park, Yongjin, and Ahn, Jae-Hyeon. Willingness to provide personal information: Perspective of privacy calculus in iot services. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 92:273–281, 2019.

Abstract: The increased development and production of IoT devices has provided many personalized benefits to the average consumer. However, a more personalized experience comes at the expense of more personal information. This study seeks to determine how willing consumers are to provide personal information at the risk of a breach of their own privacy using the privacy calculus theory. Data was collected via a survey of over 150 people with exposure to IoT devices in a few different areas such as healthcare, smart home, and smart transportation. In summary, perceived benefit was met with more people willing to provide personal information, whereas perceived risk did not significantly impact the data. The exception being IoT in healthcare where privacy risk is high, in which case people were less willing to provide personal information despite the less personalized experience.

- [3.2] Polkadot.ERI. One article to understand the past, present, and future of web 3.0. *Medium*, 2021.

Abstract: In the early days of the World Wide Web, information and entertainment services such as AOL, Google, and Yahoo were among the most popular and

profitable services as the web's user base largely consisted of content consumers. After over a decade of what was known as Web 1.0, Web 2.0 brought in an era of content creators that share and post content on various platforms such as YouTube, Facebook, Twitter, etc, which continues to exist to this day. Originally, Web 3.0 was to be known as the Semantic Web, where devices would process and share data contextually and conceptually via machine learning, ideally in an IoT style network. Given the rise of blockchain technology, the focus of Web 3.0 has shifted to democratizing and restoring privacy on the internet. While Web 3.0 is still being defined, it has the potential to mitigate the issues with the current web and bring about the perfect internet, where users can be both content consumers and creators without any fear of losing the rights to their digital identity.

- [4.1] Jacksi, Karwan and Abass, Shakir M. Development history of the world wide web. *Int. J. Sci. Technol. Res*, 8(9):75–79, 2019.

Abstract: This article discusses the different versions of the web from Web 1.0 to Web 3.0 which at the time was known as the semantic web. Web 1.0 began in the early 90s and was a static, read-only type of web where users could simply search for and read information off of HTML based web pages. The only people who created content for the web were developers with knowledge of HTML, CSS, etc. Web 2.0 emerged around 2004 and became known as the read-write web. By this point in time users could interact with more dynamic web pages, and through the use of various platforms publish a variety of their own content. However, this web also brought with it the issue of data centralization. Originally, Web 3.0 was projected by Tim Berners-Lee to be the era of a machine-learning based contextual web. This evolution of the web can be thought of as an infrastructure that is made up of many layers. Some of these layers include, the semantic web stack, ontology and rela-

tion of data, resource description frameworks, and OWL, most of which are developed by the W3C.

- [4.2] Mühle, Alexander, Grüner, Andreas, Gayvoronskaya, Tatiana, and Meinel, Christoph. A survey on essential components of a self-sovereign identity. *Computer Science Review*, 30:80–86, 2018.

Abstract: The emergence of blockchain technology has opened the door for decentralized solutions to data transfer and information exchange. Currently, users need to sign up for services in order to be identifiable on the web. Corporations being in control of user data is the reason why many are looking into self-sovereign identity solutions for the web. Originally coined by the W3C, a self-sovereign identity is an identity management system where individuals have full control over their digital identity. Blockchain’s decentralized consensus mechanisms have the potential to be the solution to online identity management. The three main requirements for a self-sovereign identity are security, controllability, and portability. In terms of the current SSI architecture, four components are analyzed in relation to blockchain tech; these are, identification, authentication, verifiable claims, and attribute storage.

- [5.1] Bloomfield, Brian P. and Coombs, Rod. Information technology, control and power: The centralization and decentralization debate revisited*. *Journal of Management Studies*, 29(4):459–459, 1992.

Abstract: The article discusses the debate between centralization and decentralization of computers within organizations and analyzes the implications this has on power. In the sense of this debate, power is directly related to the control of information. A sovereign view of power establishes the notion that you either have power or something else has power over you, ergo it can be seen as a zero-sum game. Likewise, centralization and decentralization must both

exist in a system of equilibrium. However, the centralization of information is more often found towards the top of organizational hierarchies. It is also argued that computers are not responsible for the centralization or decentralization of power, but tend to reinforce the power of dominant actors. Furthermore, organizational information systems are better managed by computers and those who can use computers to their advantage. The article also focuses on how information systems are being developed for use in the National Health Service.

- [5.2] Nofer, M., Gomber, P., and Hinz, O. et al. Blockchain. *Bus Inf Syst Eng*, 59:183–187, 2017.

Abstract: One of the biggest problems with financial markets is tracing back ownership in long transaction chains. Intermediaries are responsible for carefully and accurately recording transactions so that everything is in proper order. Blockchain technology aims to overcome the risks involved in these legacy financial situations by replacing trust in people with trust in a complex mathematical structure. Blockchains are an append-only, immutable type of data structure that operates and is maintained by various nodes. Nodes validate information on-chain via a consensus mechanism in which each node must agree on the validity of the block before it is added to the chain. Since blockchains do not rely on third parties to verify information, they are inherently more secure and allow for a trustless transaction of information. Today, blockchain is most commonly implemented in cryptocurrencies. Blockchain, naturally, could play a large role in the financial sector due to its ledger-like properties being able to work in real-time. In the future, innovative entrepreneurs who are willing to work with cryptocurrencies may allow blockchain to flourish.

- [6.1] Greengard, Samuel. What is the cost of living online? *Commun. ACM*, 64(12):23–25, nov 2021.

Abstract: As the digital age continues to expand and take over more of our daily lives, the cost of living online has also seen a sharp uptick. Many popular social networking platforms such as YouTube, Facebook, Zoom, TikTok, etc., have experienced great increases in user interaction most recently during the pandemic. The increased demand for more bandwidth has brought with it concerns about energy consumption associated with our projected internet usage. Along with existing internet technologies such as video streaming, which reportedly accounts for 45% of all internet activity today, emerging technologies such as AI, machine learning, blockchain, and IoT are likely to raise energy usage considerably more. It is imperative that we are able to accurately measure energy consumption, and to devise solutions that enable devices to be more energy-efficient. While bandwidth fears are becoming more apparent, and justifiably so, it has been deemed incredibly unlikely that bandwidth-heavy activity such as video streaming could ever take down the Internet.